INSTITUTUL DE MATEMATICA

PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

DERIVATIONS OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS INTO
THE COMPACT IDEAL SPACE OF A SEMIFINITE
ALGEBRA ARE INNER

Бу

Sorin POPA and Florin RADULESCU
PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS
No.6/1987

Jeed 23775

BUCURESTI

DERIVATIONS OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS INTO THE COMPACT IDEAL SPACE OF A SEMIFINITE ALGEBRA ARE INNER

by Sorin POPA *) and Florin RADULESCU *)

revised February 1987

^{*)} Department of Mathematics, The National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation, Bd. Pacií 220, 79622 Bucharest, ROMANIA.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let M be a semifinite von Neumann algebra and let J(M) be the norm closed two sided ideal generated by the finite projections of M. Let N⊆M be a subalgebra of M. A derivation of N into J(M) is a linear application $\delta: N \mapsto J(M)$ satisfying $\delta(xy)=\delta(x)y+x\delta(y)$, for $x,y\in\mathbb{N}$. For instance if $K\in \mathcal{J}(M)$ then the derivation $\delta(x)=(adK)(x)=Kx-xK$ is of this type. Such derivations implemented by elements in J(M) are called inner. There are many examples of derivations of *-subalgebras N⊆M into the ideal J(M) which are not inner A typical such example is as follows: take M=B(L2(T,M)), where μ is the Lebesgue measure on the thorus T, let N=C(T) act on $L^2(T,\mu)$ by left multiplication and define $\delta(x) = (ad P_{H^2})(x)$ where P_{H^2} is the projection onto the Hardy subspace $H^2(T,\mu)$. Then it is easy to see that $S(x) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) =$ =J(B(H)) for x C(T) and that S is not implemented by a compact operator.

We will however show in this paper that if N is self-adjoint and w-closed in M then, except for certain situations all derivations of N into J(M) are inner. Moreover for the most typical excepted case we'll construct a counterexample.

This derivation problem was initiated in the case M=B(H) and J(M)=K(H) by Johnson and Parrott in a paper of the early 70's ([3]). In that paper Johnson and Parrott wanted

to characterise the commutant modulo the ideal of compact operators $K(\mathcal{H})\subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for a von Neumann algebra $N\subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. They noted that in order to identify it with the compact perturbations of the commutant of N in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ it suffices to show that any derivation $\delta: \mathbb{N} \longmapsto \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is inner. They proved that this is indeed the case if N has no certain type $II_{\mathcal{H}}$ factors as direct summands. To do this they first solved the case when N is abelian the other cases being rather easy consequences of it. The general type $II_{\mathcal{H}}$ case was proved recently in [7] by different techniques and using more of the ergodic theory of the type $II_{\mathcal{H}}$ factors.

In [4] it is studied this derivation problem in the more general setting when $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is replaced by a semifinite won Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ by the ideal $\mathcal{J}(M)$ and the center of N is assumed to contain the center of M. Under this hypothesis it is proved that if N is either an abelian or a properly infinite von Neumann algebra then any derivation of N into $\mathcal{J}(M)$ is inner.

To state in precise terms our results let us first recall that any von Neumann algebra N can be decomposed into a direct sum $N=N_0 \in N_1$ with N_0 a finite type I von Neumann algebra and N_1 a von Neumann algebra that has no finite type I summands. We will than say that N_0 , as a subalgebra in M, is locally compatible with the center of M, $\mathcal{L}(M)$, if there exist a partition of the unity $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$ in the center of N_0 , $\mathcal{L}(N_0)$, so that for each i we have either $\mathcal{L}(N_0)p_i\subseteq \mathcal{L}(M)p_i$ or $\mathcal{L}(M)p_i\subseteq \mathcal{L}(N_0)p_i$.

Then our first theorem states:

1.1. Theorem. Let M be a semifinite von Neumann algebra and J(M) its compact ideal space. Let NCM be a weakly closed $^{\pm}$ -subalgebra of M and suppose the finite type I summand of N is locally compatible with the center of M (in the sense described above). Then any derivation of N into J(M) is inner. In particular the commutant modulo J(M) of N in M equals $N^* \cap M + J(M)_*$

Thus, theorem 1.1 solves in the affirmative the derivation problem if N is of type II_1 or properly infinite. It also gives an affirmative answer to the remaining case when N is finite of type I (e.g. when N is the tensor product of a matrix algebra with an abelian algebra) under an additional assumption of local compatibility between the centers of N and M. The typical situation when this condition is not fulfilled is when N is abelian and diffuse (i.e. without atoms), $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ is also diffuse and N and $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ are independent von Neumann algebras, namely N and $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ generate the von Neumann algebra N $\overline{\otimes}$ $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ with N, $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ sitting inside it as N $\overline{\otimes}$ 1 and 1 $\overline{\otimes}$ $\mathcal{Z}(M)$.

The second theorem that we will prove in this paper deals with the most simple such case, left open by theorem 1.1, namely when $M= \mathbb{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}([1, \mu])$ and $N=1 \oplus \mathbb{C}([1, \mu])$. In this case we will construct a counterexample, showing the existence of a derivation of N into J(M) not implemented by an element in J(M). This is somehow unexpected and is pro-

bably the first nonvanishing 1-cohomological result in von Neumann algebras. It practically shows that the one parameter version of Johnson and Parrott original result may fail to be true. In order to have an alternative, more intuitive interpretation of the next theorem, the reader should notice that we may identify $M=L^{\infty}([0,1],\mu)$ $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(L^{2}(T,\mu))$ with $L^{\infty}([0,1],\beta(L^{2}(T,\mu)))$, J(M) with $L^{\infty}([0,1],\beta(L^{2}(T,\mu)))$ and $N=1 \otimes L^{\infty}(T,\mu)$ with the set of constant $K(L^{2}(T,\mu))$ valued functions on the interval [0,1].

1.2. Theorem. Let $M=K^{\infty}([0,1],\mu)$ $\mathbb{Z}(K^{2}(T,\mu))$, $N=1 \otimes L^{\infty}(T,\mu) \subset M$. There exists an operator $T \in M$ which commutes modulo J(M) with all the elements in N but which is not a compact (i.e. J(M)) perturbation of an element commuting with M. In particular, there exists a derivation S (= ad T) from N into J(M) which is not inner, i.e. not implemented by an element in J(M).

The paper is organised are follows: in sections

2-7 we prove theorem 1.1 and in section 8 we prove theorem

1.2. We will now present some of the ideas behind the proof
of theorem 1.1.

A key idea of our proof is to work with a new norm on the algebra M, denoted $\| \|$, which in our problem turns out to be the right correspondent of the uniform norm on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$. This norm has two main features: it helps dealing with the center of M, when diffuse, and with the continuous dimension of projections, when M is of type II_{∞} . The defi-

nition and main properties of the norm | | are discussed in Sec. 2.

We then prove theorem 1.1 in the case N is atomic and abelian. In the proof we define the operator implementing S as $\sum \delta(e_i)e_i$, where e_i are the atoms of N and the series is strongly convergent, and we use an adaption of a trick in [3] to show that $\sum \delta(e_i)e_i \in J(M)$.

By the stomic abelian case and by the same argument as in 4.1 [7] (for M=B(H)) we prove a continuity result namely that if N is finite and countably decomposable then & is continuous from the unit ball of N with the strong operator topology into J(M) with the norm | | . Using this result we prove that in most situations if an element T is in $K = \overline{co}^W \{ \delta(u)u^{\frac{1}{2}} | u \text{ unitary element in } N \} \subset M \text{ and implements}$ δ on N then it is in J(M). From this we easily get the proof of the theorem for finite type I (under the local compatibility condition and properly infinite algebras and also reduce the remaining type II, case to the situation when N is separable and M is countably decomposable. Moreover, by using the Ryll-Nerdzewski fixed point theorem in the same way it is used to prove the Kadison-Sakai theorem on derivations of von Neumann algebras we make the reduction to the case when Nº M contains no finite projections of M.

Finally we prove the type $II_{\hat{I}}$ case under the above assumptions: To construct a candidate for the operator $K\in J(M)$ implementing δ on N we show that N has a maximal abelian

^{* -} Subalgebra A C N such that A 1 / M contains no finite projec-

tions of M. The proof of this fact is inspired from [6].

Since A is abelian by the type I case there exists KeJ(M) implementing 8 on A and the rest of the proof shows that in fact this K implements S on all N. To this end we proceed by contradiction following the lines of the proof in [7]. The assumption &= S-ad K#O shows that So(v)#O for some unitary element veN. Then with the help of A and v and using some technical devices symilar to 2.1 in [7] we construct a sequence of abelian subalgebras An in N on which $\delta_{\mathbf{o}}$ behaves as bad as possible. More precisely wa construct the algebras An together with some finite projections e EM so that if we consider M as acting on L2 (M, T) then the compressions of $\delta_0 | A_n$ to the spaces $\overline{A_n} \in L^2(M,Y)$ are spatially isomorphic to a sequence of derivations $\delta_n: L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T},\mu) \mapsto \mathbb{R}(L^2(\mathbb{T},\mu))$. We do this in such a way that the derivations S_n behave more and more like ad P and moreover so that by the continuity result the limit ad P follows so-normic continuous. This is easily seen to be a contradiction. We mention that the construction of the finite projections en, which doesn't appear in [7], is essential here and carry most of the technical difficulties of passing from the case M=B(H) to the general case. In fact the reader will not that, although the proof of theorem 1.1 is inspired in certain places from [3] and [7] , our approach is rather new even when particularised to the case M= P(H).

It is our feeling that the new techniques we intro-

duced here to deal with the case M is of type II_∞ may also be used to prove Voiculescu or Andersen stability type theorems obtained when replacing $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ by a type II_∞ factor M and $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ by J(M).

A first version of this paper has been circulated as INCREST preprint No.75/1985 and has been submitted to this journal in that form. Unfortunately, as the referee pointed out to us, the paper contained an error in one of the preliminary considerations, a fact that actually made that proof of theorem 1.1 correct only in the case the semifinite algebra M had atomic center. We deeply thank the refferee for pointing this out to us. However, in order to make the proof of 1.1 work in the generality presented in this paper we only had to modify the defintion of the norm | and to adopt accordingly some of the statements and proofs in the preliminary section 2, a matter that only affected their form, not their spirit. In turn, the fact that in certain situations the problem has a negative answer seems to us of oven more interest, and clearly deserves further investigations. Especially, our theorem 1.2 shows that one parameter versions of classical derivation problems (or higher cohomological problems) may have negative answers.

2. SOME PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Let M be a semifinite von Neumann algebra.

Assume M has countable decomposable (or countable type)

center Z(M) and let Y be a normal faithful state on

Z(M), fixed from now on. We will associate to Ya normal semifinite faithful trace Y on M in the following way:

Let M decomposed into a direct sum as $M=\bigoplus (M_i \boxtimes \mathcal{G} \mathcal{H}_i)$) iel where M_i are finite von Neumann algebras and $\dim \mathcal{H}_i \neq \emptyset$ \emptyset for $i \neq j$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_i = \mathcal{Z}(M_i)$ be the center of M_i . Then $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\bigoplus \mathcal{Z}_i$. On each M_i there is a unique normal finite faithful trace \mathcal{H}_i which equals \mathcal{H}_i when restricted to \mathcal{Z}_i (here \mathcal{Z}_i is regarded as a subalgebra of $\bigoplus \mathcal{Z}_i = \mathcal{Z}(M)$ in the obvious way). Thus there exists a unique normal semifinite faithful trace \mathcal{H}_i on $\mathcal{M}_i \boxtimes \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}_i)$, where \mathcal{H}_i is the usual trace on $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}_i)$.

We denote $M_{\phi} = \{x \in M \mid f(x^*x) < \infty \}$ and, for $x \in M$, $\|x\|_{\phi} = -(x^*x)^{1/2}$. Let \mathcal{H}_{ϕ} be the Hilbert space completion of M_{ϕ} in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\phi}$. M will allways be regarded in its standard representation, acting on \mathcal{H}_{ϕ} by left multiplication. The usual uniform norm of an operator in M will be denoted $\|\cdot\|_{\phi}$.

Note that if $e \in M$ is a finite projection then we do not necessarily have $e \in M_{\varphi}$ (actually this implication holds true only in the case the properly infinite part of M has atomic center). However we clearly have,

2.1.1. If eeW is a finite projection then there is an increasing sequence of central projections $p_n \in \mathcal{I}(M)$, so

that pni and epne My for all no

2.2. We denote by $\mathbb{N}_{\gamma,\gamma}^{1} = \{x \in \mathbb{N}_{\gamma} \mid \|x\| \leq 1, \ f(x^{*}xp) \leq f(p) \}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{N})$. Although we will not use in this paper any reduction theory argument it may be helpful for the reader's intuition to note that if \mathbb{N} is regarded as a measurable field of (semifinite) von Neumann factors then roughly speaking a projection is in $\mathbb{N}_{\gamma,\gamma}^{1}$ if in each point it has dimension ≤ 1 .

The next properties of M4 will be frequently used:

2.2.1. If $T \in M$, $||T|| \le 1$, then $TM^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap F_{,,,,,} \cap T$ and $M_{f,,,,,} \cap T \cap M_{f,,,,,} \cap T \cap T$

2.2.2. If e ,e are projections in M with e $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ and e $\in \mathbb{M}^1_{\gamma,\gamma}$ then e $\in \mathbb{M}^1_{\gamma,\gamma}$.

2.2.3. If xell, then zee Ml, y and Izleml, y.

2.2.4. If f is a nonzero projection in M then the re exists a projection e_{ϕ}^{2} 0 in $M_{\gamma,\gamma}^{2}$ with $e_{\phi} \leq f$. If in addition e is properly infinite with central support p then e_{ϕ}^{2} 0 may be chosen so that to have central support p and so that $\Upsilon(e_{\phi}) = \Psi(p)$.

Properties 2.2.1 - 2.2.3 are trivial consequences of the definitions. To prove 2.2.4 it is sufficient to con-

sider the case $M=M_o \otimes \mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H})$ where M_o is finite with center $\mathcal{Z}(M_o)=\mathcal{Z}(M)=\mathcal{Z}_o$ Y is a normal faithful state on \mathcal{Z} and $\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{T}\otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{r}}$ where \mathcal{T} is the unique trace on M_o which equals Y when restricted to $\mathcal{Z}(M_o)=\mathcal{Z}_o$. Let then \mathbf{e}_o^* be a minimal projection of $\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbf{e}_o=1\otimes \mathbf{e}_o^*$. By the comparision theorem there exists a central projection $\mathbf{p}\in\mathcal{Z}$ such that $\mathbf{e}_o\mathbf{p}\prec\mathbf{f}\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{e}_o(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{p}) \not\succ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{p})$.

Thus in particular if f is properly infinite then f(1-p)=0 so that $0\neq e_0$ ppfp=f and in fact p equals the central support of f (because e_0 has central support one). Thus we allways have a nonzero projection e under f in $M_{p,p}^{1}$ and if in addition f is properly infinite then e may be chosen to have the trace equal to the trace of e_0 , i.e. $y(e)=y(e_0)=y(p)$.

2.3. Definition. For TeM we put $||T|| = \sup \{||Tx||_{\varphi} \mid x \in M_{\varphi, \psi}^{1}\}$. This is clearly a norm on M. It will play an important role in the sequel. Note that $||T|| \le ||T||$ and that the equality holds if $M = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ but fails if M is nonatomic.

The next few properties are easy consequences of the definitions and of the properties at M_{γ}^{1} .

2.3.1. If $T_1, T_2, T \in M$ then $||T_1TT_2|| \le ||T_1|| ||T_2||$ and $||T|| = ||T^{\frac{1}{2}}|| = ||T|||$.

2.3.2. If TeM and $\{p_n\}_n$ are disjoint central projections in M then $\|T\sum_n p_n\|^2 = \sum_n \|Tp_n\|^2$.

2.3.3. If $T,T_0\in M$, $||T_0||\leq 1$ and $||T||=|||TT_0||$ then $||Tp||=||TT_0p||$ for any central projection $p\in \mathbb{Z}(M)$.

2.3.4. If $f \in \mathbb{N}$ is a properly infinite projection with central support $p \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{N})$ then $\|f\| = Y(p)^{1/2}$.

2.4. We denote by J(M) the norm closed two sided ideal of M generated by the finite projections of M. Thus an element $T\in M$ is in J(M) if and only if all the spectral projections $E_{[t,\infty)}(|T|)$ of T, corresponding to intervals $[t,\infty)$ with t>0, are finite projections. Alternatively J(M) may be characterised as follows.

2.4.1. K=J(M) if and only if given any E>0 there is a K₀=M_{\phi} and a projection p₀=\mathbb{Z}(M) such that \mathbb{Y}(p_0)>1-E and $\|\ Kp_0=K_0\|<\epsilon$.

Indeed, assume KeJ(M) and let $e=E_{[\epsilon,\infty)}(|K|)$. Then e is a finite projection of M, so that by 2.1.1 there exists a projection $p_e \in Z(M)$ so that $Y(p_e) > 1-\epsilon$ and $Y(ep_e) < \infty$. Let $K_e = Kep_e$. Then $Y(K_o^*K_e) \le |K|^2 Y(ep_e) < \infty$ and clearly $||Kp_e - K_e|| < \epsilon$.

The other implication is trivial and, in fact will not be needed in the sequel.

2.5. Let $K \in J(M)$ and $\{e_n\}_n$ a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in M. If $M = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ then it follows that $\|Ke_n\| \mapsto 0$ and $\|e_nK\| \mapsto 0$. This is no longer true for

general M, but still we have $\|Ke_n\| \mapsto 0$ and $\|e_nK\| \mapsto 0$.

Indeed to prove this, since K is a linear combination of four positive elements in J(M), we may assume K is positive and K21. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $pe \not\equiv (M)$, $K_0 \in J(M)_+$ such that $\Upsilon(K_0^2) < \infty$, $\|Kp-K_0\| < \epsilon/3$, $\Upsilon(p_0) > 1 - \epsilon/3$ as in 2.4.1. Since e_n tend weakly to zero we have $\|K_0 e_n\|_{\varphi}^2 = \|e_nK_0\|_{\varphi}^2 = \Upsilon(e_nK_0^2) \mapsto 0$. But if $\chi \in \mathbb{R}_{\varphi}$ then we have

 $\| Ke_{n} x \|_{\gamma} \le \| K_{o}e_{n} x \|_{\gamma} + \| (K-K_{o})e_{n} p x \|_{\gamma} + \\ + \| (K-K_{o})e_{n} (1-p) x \|_{\gamma} \le \| K_{o}e_{n} \|_{\gamma} + \| Kp-K_{o} \|_{\gamma} + \\ + 2\epsilon/3,$

so that if n is big enough then $\|Ke_nx\|_{\phi} < \epsilon$ independently on $x \in M^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\phi}$. Thus $\|Ke_n\|_{\bullet} \mapsto 0$ and similary $\|e_nK\|_{\bullet} \mapsto 0$.

2.6. If TeM, we denote by $\|T\|_{\operatorname{ess}} = \inf\{\|T-K\| | \|K\in J(M)\}$. Note that if $T\notin J(M)$ then $\|T\|_{\operatorname{ess}} > 0$. Indeed, since $T\notin J(M)$ there exists t>0 such that $E_{[t,\infty)}(|T|)$ is an infinite projection. Thus there exists a sequence of mutually orthogonal mutually equivalent infinite projections $\{f_n\}_n$ with $C\not=f_n\in E_{[t,\infty)}(|T|)$. For each n we take $e_n\in f_n$, $e_n\in M_{\gamma,\gamma}^2$ e_n mutually equivalent. Thus if $K\in J(M)$ we get by 2.5 $\|T-K\| > \lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\|(T-K)e_n\|_{\gamma}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\|Te_n\|_{\gamma}>t\|e_1\|_{\gamma}$, which shows that $\|T\|_{\operatorname{ess}}>t\|e_1\|_{\gamma}>0$.

In fact in certain simple situations this norm can be computed.

2.6.1. If f is a properly infinite projection of central support p then $\|f\|_{\text{ess}} = \forall (p)^{1/2} = \|f\|$. More generally if TeM, TeO is of the from $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i f_i$ for some $c_i > 0$ and properly infinite mutually orthogonal projections f_i of same central support p then $\|T\|_{\text{ess}} = (\max \{c_i\}) \ \forall (p)^{1/2}$.

Indeed we have $c_k f_k \leq T \leq (\max \{c_i\}) \sum_j f_j$ for all k, which shows that the first part implies the second. Now the first part follows by taking a sequence of mutually orthogonal, mutually equivalent projections $e_n \in \mathbb{M}^2_{\gamma, \gamma}$ under f so that each e_n has central support p and so that $Y(e_n) = Y(p)$. Then for any $K \in J(M)$ we get $\|f - K\| > \lim_n \sup_j \|f - K\| = Y(p)^{1/2}$.

Let us also note that we have for the norm $\| \ \|_{\rm ess}$ similar properties as the properties 2.3.3, 2.3.2 of the norm $\| \ \|$.

- 2.6.2. If $T,T_0\in M$, $\|T_0\|\leq 1$ and $\|T\|_{ess}=\|TT_0\|_{ess}$ then $\|Tp\|_{ess}=\|TT_0\|_{ess}$ for any central projection $p\in \mathbb{Z}(M)$.
- 2.6.3. If $\{p_n\}_n$ are disjoint central projections in M then $\|T\sum p_n\|^2_{\text{ess}} = \sum \|Tp_n\|^2$.
- 2.7. The norms | | and | | ess will play in this paper the similar role as does the uniform and usual essential norms in the proof of the case | = | in [3] and [7]. These norms have for our general problem all the advantages but one: for two operators of disjoint right

and left supports the norm of their sum does not equal the maximum of their norms (as does the uniform and usual essential norms for $M=\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{V})$). We will instead use the following weaker property of the norm $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{V})$

Lemma. If $T \in M$ and f_1 , f_2 are mutually orthogonal projection in M then there are central projections $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ such that $p_1 + p_2 = 1$ and $\|f_i T f_i p_i\|_{ess} = \|(f_1 T f_1 + f_2 T f_2)p_i\|_{ess}$, i=1,2.

Proof. Let's first show that if $T_1, T_2 \in M$ then there exists a central projection $p \in \mathbb{Z}(M)$ such that $\|qT_1\|_{\operatorname{ess}} > \|T_2q\|_{\operatorname{ess}}$ for any projection $q \in \mathbb{Z}(M)$, $q \leq p$ and $\|T_1q\|_{\operatorname{ess}} \leq \|T_2q\|_{\operatorname{ess}}$ for any projection $q \in \mathbb{Z}(M)$, $q \leq 1-p$. Indeed, by 2.6.3 it follows that there exists a maximal projection p in $\mathbb{Z}(M)$ so that for any $q \leq p$, $q \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Z}(M))$ we have $\|T_1q\|_{\operatorname{ess}} > \|T_2q\|_{\operatorname{ess}}$. Now if for some $q_0 \leq 1-p$ we have $\|T_1q_0\|_{\operatorname{ess}} > \|T_2q_0\|_{\operatorname{ess}}$ then there exists some $p_0 \leq q_0$ so that for any $q \leq p_0$ we have $\|T_1q\|_{\operatorname{ess}} > \|T_2q\|_{\operatorname{ess}}$, otherwise by 2.6.3 and a maximality argument we get a contradiction.

Now we have that if $T_1 = f_1 T f_1$, $T_2 = f_2 T f_2$ and p is the central projection corresponding to $T_1 T_2$ as above then $p_1 = p$, $p_2 = 1 - p$ will satisfy the conditions. To do this note first that since $\left| f_1 T f_1 + f_2 T f_2 \right| = \left| f_1 T f_1 \right| + \left| f_2 T f_2 \right|$, by 2.3.4. it follows that it is sufficient to prove this assertion in the case $T_1, T_2 > 0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $X_{1,2} \in \mathbb{N}_+$ be elements with finite spec-

trum so that $X_1 > T_1$, $X_2 < T_2$, $\|X_1 - T_1\| < \varepsilon/2$, $\|X_2 - T_2\| < \varepsilon/2$.

Since $\|T_1 q\|_{ess} > \|T_2 q\|_{ess}$ for all q < p, we also have $\|X_1 q\|_{ess} > \|X_2 q\|_{ess}$ for all q < p. Moreover, by substracting if necessary a compact operator from each X_j we may assume $X_j = \sum_i c_i^j f_j^j$ where $c_i^j > 0$ and f_j^j are properly infinite projections for all i, j. Assume in addition that all f_j^j have the same central support. Then by 2.6.1 we have $\|(X_1 + X_2)p\|_{ess} = \|X_1 p\|_{ess}$ and since $\|f_1 T f_1 p\|_{ess} + \varepsilon/2 > \|X_1 p\|_{ess} = \|(X_1 + X_2)p\|_{ess} > \|(f_1 T f_1 + f_2 T f_2)p\|_{ess} - \varepsilon/2$, tending with ε to zero we get the result (the reverse inequality is trivial) in the case all f_j^j have the same central support. Now the general case reduces imediately to this one by 2.6.7.

Q.E.D.

2.8. Since the norm $\| \|$ is a supremum of vector norms it is inferior semicontinuous with respect to the weak operator topology. Indeed if T_i tends in the weak operator topology to T then $\|T\xi\| \leq \lim_i \sup \|T_i\xi\|$ so that

$$||T|| = \sup \{||Tx||_{\varphi} \mid x \in M_{\varphi, \psi}^{2}\} \le \lim_{i} \sup \{\sup \{\sup_{i} x \mid_{\varphi} \mid x \in M_{\varphi, \psi}^{2}\} = \lim_{i} \sup ||T_{i}||_{\varphi}$$

2.9. We now prove a version of Johnson and Parrott trick in [3].

Lemma. Let $N \subset M$ be a von Neumann algebra and $T \in M$ such that (ad T)(N)CJ(M) and $T \notin J(M)$. Suppose the set

Proof. Let T be a maximal chain in \mathcal{F} and let $f_o = \inf \mathcal{F}$. Since \mathcal{F} has no minimal projections, $f_o \notin \mathcal{F}$. Thus $c = (\|\mathbf{T}\|_{ess} - \|\mathbf{f}_o \mathbf{T}\|_{ess})/2 > 0$. Then the chain $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_o \mid \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}\}$ decreases to zero and since

it follows that \f'Tr' ess > 2c for any f'ET'.

we can now construct recursively the required sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Assume f_1^*,\dots,f_n^* are n projections in \mathbb{F}^* with $\|(f_k^*-f_{k-1}^*)T(f_k^*-f_{k-1}^*)\|_{\mathbb{F}^n}$ c $n\geqslant k\neq 1$. Since \mathbb{F}^* is a chain decreasing to zero, by the inferior semicontinuity of the norm $\|\|$ it follows that there exists a projection $f_{n+1}^*\in\mathbb{F}^*$ with $f_{n+1}^*\leq f_n^*$ such that

Thus $\|f_n^*Tf_n^*\|_{\mathcal{T}_n^{r,1}}\|_{ess}^{2c}$. And consequently $\|(f_n^*-f_{n+1}^*)T(f_n^*-f_{n+1}^*)\|_{\mathcal{T}_n^{r,1}}\|_{ess}^{2c}$ so that $f_n^*=f_{n+1}^*-f_n^*$ will do.

Neumann algebra and NCM a weakly closed * -subalgebra of it. Let $\delta: \mathbb{N} \mapsto J(\mathbb{M})$ be a derivation. By [3] δ is norm continuous and by [2] it is weakly continuous. Let p be the unit of \mathbb{N} and $K = \delta(p)p = p\delta(p) \in J(\mathbb{M})$. Then $Kp = pK = \delta(p)p = 2p\delta(p)p + p\delta(p) = (\delta(p) - p\delta(p)) - (2\delta(p^2)p - 2\delta(p)p^2) + p\delta(p) = \delta(p)$ so that $(\delta - \operatorname{ad} K)(p) = 0$ and $(\delta - \operatorname{ad} K)(x) = (\delta - \operatorname{ad} K)(pxp) = p(\delta - \operatorname{ad} K)(x)p$ which shows that δ -ad K takes values in pMp.

This shows that in order to prove the theorem 1.1 we may assume the weakly closed * - subalgebra N C M has the same unit as M, i.e. N is a von Neumann subalgebra of M. Therefore in all the rest of the paper the subalgebra N will be considered to have the same unit as M.

2.11. Let $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of mutually orthogonal projections in the center of M with $\sum_i p_i = 1$. Assume that for each i there exists $K_i \in J(M) p_i = J(M_{p_i})$ such that $\delta(x) p_i = ad K_i(x)$ for all $x \in N$. Then $K = \sum_i K_i$ is in J(M) and $\delta = ad$ K on N.

Since in a semifinite von Neumann algebra there exist mutually orthogonal central projections p_i with $\sum p_i = 1$ such that each $\mathcal{L}(M)$ p_i is countable decomposable (or equivalently has a normal faithfull state) it follows by the above observation that to prove theorem 1.1 for general M it is sufficient to prove it for each M_{pi}, i.e. under the assumption that M is of countable type. Thus we may and will assume from now: on in the rest of the paper that M has countable decomposable center $\mathcal{L}(M)$, that Y is a normal faithful state on-

11.117377

Z(M) and that Y is the unique normal faithful trace on M associated to Yas in 2.1.

2.12. Let N C N be a finite dimensional von Neumann subalgebra of N, \mathcal{U}_o the unitary compact group of N and λ the normalized Haar measure on \mathcal{U}_o .

Then $K = \int \int (u) u^{\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda(u) \in J(M)$ satisfies for any $u \in \mathcal{U}_{0}^{*}$

$$\begin{split} & Ku_{o} - u_{o}K = \int \delta(u) u^{*}u d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \int \delta(u) (u^{*}u)^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \int \delta(u_{o}u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) - \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) = \\ &= \delta(u_{o}) \int d\lambda(u) + \int u_{o}\delta(u) u^{*}d\lambda(u) + \int u$$

Thus $(\delta-adK)(x_0)=0$ for any $x_0\in N_0$. In particular this shows that if N is a finite direct sum, then to prove 1.1 for NCM it is sufficient to prove it for each summand.

3. THE ATOMIC ABELIAN CASE

In this section we prove theorem 1.1 in the case N is isomorphic to the algebra $1^{\infty}(I)$ for a set I of arbitrary cardinality.

To do this let $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the minimal projections of $N=I^\infty(I)$ and note first that the series $\sum_{i\in I}\delta(e_i)e_i$ is convergent in the strong operator topology. Indeed, the sequence is bounded because if $e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_n\in\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ then

$$(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta(e_k) e_k = \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} \int z_k \bar{z}_l \delta(e_k) e_l \, d\lambda(z) =$$

$$= \int \delta(\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k e_k) (\sum_{l=1}^{n} z_l e_l) d\lambda(z),$$

where λ is the normalized Haar measure on the thorus T^n and $z=(z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n)$ T^n , so that

$$\|\sum_{k=1}^n \delta(e_k)e_k\| \leq \int \|\delta(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k e_k) (\sum_{l=1}^n \overline{z}_l e_l)\| d\lambda(z) \leq \|\delta\|.$$

Now if M is normally represented on some Hilbert space f, $\xi \in f$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ then there exists a finite set $I_o \subset I$ such that $\|\xi - (\sum_{i \in I_o} e_i)\xi\| < \varepsilon$ and thus for any finite set $I_o \subset I$ with $I_o \cap I_o = \emptyset$ we have

$$\|\sum_{i \in J_o} \delta(e_j) e_j \xi \| \epsilon \| \delta \| + \| (\sum_{j \in J_o} \delta(e_j) e_j) \| \sum_{i \in I_o} e_i) \xi \| \epsilon \| \delta \|$$

which shows that $\sum \delta(e_i)e_i\xi$ is convergent for any $\xi\in\mathcal{H}$. iel

Let $T = \sum_{i \in I} \delta(e_i)e_i$. Since δ is a derivation and

$$(\sum \delta(e_i)e_i)e_i = \delta(e_i)e_i$$
 we have

Te_i -e_i
$$T = \delta(e_i)e_i - \sum_{i \in I} e_i \delta(e_i)e_i = \delta(e_i)e_i - \sum_{i \in I} e_i \delta(e_i)e_i = \delta(e_i)e_i - \sum_{i \in I} \delta(e_i)e_i + \delta(e_i) \sum_{i \in I} e_i = \delta(e_i)e_i - \delta(e_i)e_i + \delta(e_i) = \delta(e_i)e_i - \delta(e_i)e_i + \delta(e_i) = \delta(e_i)e_i - \delta(e_i)e_i + \delta(e_i) = \delta(e_i)e_i - \delta(e_i)e_i + \delta(e_i)e_i - \delta(e_i)e_i - \delta(e_i)e_i + \delta(e_i)e_i - \delta(e_i)e_$$

Since both & and ad T are weakly continuous on N and the

linear span of $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ is weakly dense in N=1°(I) it follows that δ =ad T on N.

We show that T is in J(M). Suppose $T \notin J(M)$. Denote by

Then Γ contains no minimal projections. Indeed, because if $e \in \Gamma$ is a minimal projection of Γ and $e_o \le e$ is an minimal projection of N then $e_o = 0$ (by the definition of T) so that $e = e_o \in \Gamma$, a contradiction. Thus by 2.9 there exist e > 0 and a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in N such that

$$\|f_n \mathbb{T}_n^c\| \geqslant c$$
, for all n.

Moreover, by the inferior semicontinuity of the norm $\|$ $\|$ we may assume each projection f_n is the sum of a finite set $J_n\subset J$ of minimal projections in N. But by $({}^{\star})$ we have

$$Tr_{n} = \sum_{j \in J_{n}} \delta(e_{j}) e_{j} = \int \delta(\sum_{i \in J_{n}} z_{i} e_{i}) (\sum_{j \in J_{n}} \overline{z}_{j} e_{j}) d\lambda(z),$$

so that

$$\int \| f_n \delta(\sum_{i \in J_n} z_i e_i) (\sum_{j \in J_n} \bar{z}_j e_j) f_n \| d\lambda(z) \ge \| f_n T f_n \| \ge c^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

which implies that for some $w_n = \sum_{i \in J_n} z_i e_i$

$\|\|\mathbf{f}_{n}\delta(\mathbf{u}_{n})\mathbf{u}_{n}^{*}\mathbf{f}_{n}\|\|_{\geq c}$

Let now $u = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_n$. Then, for each n_r

 $r_n \delta(u) u^x r_n = r_n \delta(r_n u) u_n^x r_n - r_n \delta(r_n) r_n = r_n \delta(u_n^x) u_n r_n$, so that

 $\||f_n\delta(u)u^{\frac{1}{2}}f_n\|| = \||f_n\delta(u_n^{\frac{1}{2}})u_nf_n\|| \geq c.$

Since $\delta(u)u^{\pm}$ is in J(M), by 2.5 this is a contradiction.

Thus $\sum_{i \in I} S(e_i)e_i$ is in J(M), and the case $N=I^{\infty}(I)$ is solved.

4. THE CONTINUITY RESULT

For the next result we assume N \subset M is a finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful finite trace \mathcal{Z} , $\mathcal{Z}(1)=1$. We denote by $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{x}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{N}$.

4.1. PROPOSITION. Let $S:N \mapsto J(M)$ be a derivation. Then S is continuous from the unit ball of N with the strong operator topology into J(M) with the norm M

proof. We first prove that if $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of projections in N with $\mathsf{T}(f_n)\mapsto \mathsf{0}$ then $\|\delta(f_n)\|\mapsto \mathsf{0}$. Suppose $\|\delta(f_n)\|$ does not converge to 0. By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\|\delta(f_n)\| \geqslant c$ for some

We may thus get by induction an increasing sequence of integers n_1, n_2, \ldots such that the projections $h_k = f_{n_k} = \frac{1}{n_k}$ satisfy $\| S(h_k) \| > c/2$. These projections also satisfy $\| S(h_k) \| > c/2$. These projections also satisfy $\| S(h_k) \| > c/2$.

Moreover since $h_k \le f_{n_k}$ and s_{n_{k+1},n_k} is the Support of $f_{n_k} \le_{n_{k+1},n_k} f_{n_k}$, by the definition of h_k we get

 $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{g}_{n_{\mathbf{k}+1}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{f}_{n_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbf{g}_{n_{\mathbf{k}+1}} \mathbf{f}_{n_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{g}_{n_{\mathbf{k}+1}, n_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbf{g}$

Thus $h_k s_{n_{k+1}} = 0$, in particular $h_k s_{n_1}$ for $1 \ge k+1$ and so $h_k h_1 = 0$ which means that h_k are all mutually orthogonal projections. Since we also have $\|S(h_k)\| \ge 2$ we obtain a contradiction, by the atomic abelian case (§3) and 2.5.

Now we turn to the general case. Since $\|\cdot\|_2$ induces the strong operator topology on the unit ball of M, we have to show that if $(x_n)_n$ is a bounded sequence in M with $\|x_n\|_2^2$

then $\|S(x_n)\| \to 0$. It is clear that we only need to prove this implication in the case x are selfadjoint elements and $\|x_n\| \le 1$. Moreover, since $\|x_n\| \|x_n\| \|x_$

Let $x_n = \sum_{m \ge 1} 2^{-m} e_m^n$ be the diadic decomposition of x_n . It follows that $\zeta(e_m^n) \longmapsto_n 0$ for each $m \ge 1$. Let ε >0 and $m \ge 1$ so that $2^{-m} \le \varepsilon/2$: Then by the first part of the proof there exists n_0 such that for $n > n_0$, $\| \xi(e_m^n) \| \le \varepsilon'/2$ for any $m \le n_0$. Thus, for $n > n_0$ we get

$$\||\delta(\mathbf{x}_n)|| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} 2^{-m} ||\delta(\mathbf{e}_m^n)|| + ||\delta|| \sum_{m \geqslant m_0} 2^{-m} \leq \epsilon.$$

Q.H.D.

The above continuity result will enable us to reduce the theorem to more tractable situations and to prove it in several cases. We will actually use the following consequence of 4.1.

4.2. COROLLARY. Let $K_S = \overline{co}^W \{ \delta(u)u^X | u \text{ unitary element in N} \}$. Assume N is finite and countably decomposable and denote by T a normal finite faithful trace on it, T(1) = 1. Given $\beta > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that if $x \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|x\| \le 1$, $\|x\|_2 \le \infty$ then

MIXIMS B and MXIMSB for all TEKS.

PROOF. By the preceding proposition there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|y\| \leq 1$, $\|y\|\|_2 < \alpha$ implies $\|\|\delta(y)\|\| < \beta/3$. Since $\delta(u)u^{\frac{1}{2}}y = \delta(y) - u\delta(u^{\frac{1}{2}}y)$ and $\|u^{\frac{1}{2}}y\|_2 = \|y\|_2$ it follows that

$\| \delta(u)u^{\frac{1}{2}}y \| \leq \| \delta(y)\| + \| \delta(u^{\frac{1}{2}}y) \| \leq 2\beta/3$

Q.E.D.

Actually we will mostly use 4.1 and 4.2 through the next technical results which show that, in many cases, whenever there exists $T \in K_{\mathcal{J}}$ (defined as in 4.2) with ad $T = \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{J}}$ then $T \in \mathcal{J}(M)$.

First we consider the case when N is abelian and locally compatible with $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{N})$ (in the sense of 1.1).

4.3. Proposition. Assume that the von Neumann subalgebra N of M is abelian and that there exist projections $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ in N so that $\sum e_i=1$ and so that for each i we have either $Ne_i\supset \mathbb{Z}(M)e_i$ or $Ne_i\subset \mathbb{Z}(M)e_i$. Moreover assume that there exist projections $\{p_j\}_{j\in J}$ in N so that $\sum p_j=1, N_{p_j}$ is of countable type for each j and $\{e_j\}_{j\in J}$ vanishes on the set

{pjjj

If $T \in K_{\delta} = \overline{co}^{W} \{ \delta(u) u^{2} | u \in \mathcal{U}(N) \}$ is so that ad $T = \delta$ then $T \in \mathcal{J}(M)$.

Proof. Assume $T \notin J(M)$, so that $\|T\|_{ess} > 0$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{e \in \mathcal{P}(N) \mid \|eTe\|_{ess} = \|T\|_{ess}\}$. If \mathcal{P} contains no minimal projections then by 2.8 there exists a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections $\{e_n\}_n$ in N so that $\|e_n Te_n\| > c$ for some c>0 and all n.

By the inferior semicontinuity of the norm $\| \| \| \|$ for each n we can find a projection p_n in the von Neumann algebra generated by $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$ such that $\| p_n \|$ is countably decomposable and

$$\|e_n^T e_n p_n^T\| > \|e_n^T e_n^T \|e_n e_n^T e_n^T \|e_n^T e_n^T e_n^T \|e_n^T e_n^T \|e_n^T e_n^T \|e_n^T e_n^T e_$$

Let p be the supremum of $\{p_n\}_n$. Then p belongs to $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$ (and thus $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$), $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$ (and thus $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$), $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$ (and thus $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$), $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$

 $\|\|e_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{T}}e_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{T}}p\|\|\geqslant c/2$, for all n.

By construction $e_n p$ tends strongly to zero in Np. If we consider $S': N_p \mapsto J(M_p)$ defined by $S'(xp) = \delta(x) p$ then obviously $Tp \in K_{\delta'}$. Thus by 4.2 we have $\|e_n Te_n p\| \to 0$, a contradiction.

Assume now that P has minimal projections and

denote by e one of them.

Assume first that $ee_i \neq 0$ for some i with $Ne_i \subset \mathbb{Z}(M)e_i$. Denote $f_o = ee_i$. Then we also have $Nf_o \subset \mathbb{Z}(M)f_o$. It follows that for any unitary element $u \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ there is a $z_o \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{U}(M))$ such that $uf_o = z_o f_o$ and we have

$$f_{0}\delta(u)u^{2}f_{0} = \delta(f_{0})f_{0} - \delta(f_{0})u^{2}f_{0} = \delta(f_{0})f_{0} - (Tf_{0}u - f_{0}uT)u^{2}f_{0} = \delta(f_{0})f_{0} - (Tz_{0}f_{0} - z_{0}f_{0}T)z^{2}f_{0} = \delta(f_{0})f_{0} - (Tf_{0}-f_{0}T)z_{0}z^{2}f_{0} = 0.$$

Thus, since $T \in \overline{\mathsf{Co}} \left\{ \mathcal{S}(u)u^{\frac{1}{2}} | u \in \mathcal{U}(N) \right\}$ we get $f_0 T f_0 = 0$ which implies that $eTe^-(e-f_0)T(e-f_0)\in \mathcal{J}(M)$. Thus $e^-f_0 \in \mathcal{F}$, contradicting the minimality of e in \mathcal{F} .

Now the only case left is when there is a nonempty set $I_o \subset I$ so that $e_i \not \in e$ for all $i \in I_o$ and $\sum_{i \in I_o} e_i = e$. Fix an i in I_o with $e_i \not = 0$. If $Ne_i = \mathbb{Z}(M)e_i$ then the first case applies to get a contradiction, so we may assume $Ne_i \not = \mathbb{Z}(M)e_i$ and in fact we may assume there exists no $e_i^* \in Ne_i$ so that $Ne_i^* = \mathbb{Z}(M)e_i^*$. It then follows that there exists a projection $f_i \in Ne_i$ so that $qf_i \not = \mathbb{Z}(M)e_i$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}(M)$, $q \not = 0$.

Then by 2.7.1 it follows that there exists a projection $q_i^* \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$ such that

Thus if we denote by $e_i'=f_i'q_i''+(e_i-f_i)(1-q_i')$ then we have $e_i' \in e_i$, $e_i' \neq e_i$, $e_i' \in \mathcal{P}(N)$ and by 2.3.2, we have $||| \operatorname{Te}_i'|| \stackrel{2}{=} \operatorname{ess}$ = $||\operatorname{Tf}_i'q_i'|| \stackrel{2}{=} \operatorname{ess} + ||\operatorname{T}(e_i-f_i)(1-q_i')|| \stackrel{2}{=} \operatorname{ess} + ||\operatorname{Te}_i(1-q_i')|| \stackrel{2}{=} \operatorname{ess} + ||\operatorname{Te}_i(1-q_i')||$

Denote by $e'=(e-e_1)+e_1'$. Then $e'\in\mathbb{N}$, $e'\leq e$ and $e'\neq e$. Let then $q_1\in\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{M})$ be a projection satisfying

Then we have

Thus $\|Te\|_{ess} = \|Te'\|_{ess}$ which again contradicts the minimality of e. This ends the proof of the proposition.

Q.E.D.

4.4. Proposition. Let NCM be a von Neumann subalgebra of type II_1. Assume the derivation $\delta: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow J(\mathbb{M})$ vanishes on a set of projections $\{p_i\}_i \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{M})$ with the property that $\sum p_i = 1$ and \mathbb{N}_{p_i} is countable decomposable for all i. If $T \in \mathbb{K}_{\delta}$ is such that ad $T = \delta$ on N then $T \in J(\mathbb{M})$.

Proof. Since N is of type II_1 there exists a decreasing sequence of projections $\{e_n\}_{n\geqslant 0}$ in N with $e_0=1$, $e_{n+1}\sim e_n=1$ for all $n\geqslant 0$. Suppose we have shown that for some $n\geqslant 0$

we have $\|\mathbf{e}_k\|_{\mathrm{ess}} = \|\mathbf{T}\|_{\mathrm{ess}}$ for all kin. Let u_n be a unitary element in N such that $u_n \mathbf{e}_{n+1} u_n^* = \mathbf{e}_n - \mathbf{e}_{n+1}$. Since $u_n \mathbf{T} u_n^* = \mathbf{T} \in J(\mathbf{M})$ we have $\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \mathbf{q}\|_{\mathrm{ess}} = \|u_n \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \mathbf{u}_n^* \mathbf{q}\|_{\mathrm{ess}} = \|u_n \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \mathbf{q}\|_{\mathrm{ess}} = \|u_n \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \mathbf{q}\|_{\mathrm{ess}} = \|u_n \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \mathbf{q}\|_{\mathrm{ess}}$ for any central projection $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{M})$. Since

 $\| e_n T e_n \|_{ess} = \| e_{n+1} T e_{n+1} + (e_n - e_{n+1}) T (e_n - e_{n+1}) \|_{ess}$

by 2.7 and 2.3.2 it follows that $\|e_{n+1}Te_{n+1}\|_{ess} = \|e_nTe_n\|_{ess}$. Thus $\|e_nTe_n\|_{ess} = \|T\|_{ess}$ for all n. Assume $T^{\sharp}J(M)$, so that $\|e_nTe_n\|_{ess} > 0$ for all n.

Then the proof continues exactly the same way as: the first part of the proof of 4.3 to lead to a contradiction

Q.E.D.

4.5. Lemma. Let ACN be an abelian von Neumann subalgebra of N and suppose there exists a decreasing sequence of nonzero projections $\{e_n\}_n$ in A such that $e_n \downarrow o$ $e_0=1$ and e_{n+1} is equivalent to e_n-e_{n+1} in N for all $n\geqslant 0$. If $T\in K_{\delta,A}=\overline{co}^{W}\{\delta(u)u^{*}\mid u$ unitary element in A \int is so that ad $T=\delta$ on N then $T\in J(M)$.

Proof. If $v_n \in \mathbb{N}$ are so that $v_n^* v_n = e_{n+1}$, $v_n v_n^* = e_n = e_{n+1}$ then we have $v_n = \mathbb{I} =$

Q.E.D.

We end this section by proving a useful converse to the preceding results. Note that the proof doesn't use the

continuity result 4.1.

4.6. LEMMA. Let N be an arbitrary von Neumann subalgebra of M and $\delta: N \longmapsto J(M)$ a derivation. If there exists $K \in J(M)$ such that $\delta = ad \ K$ then there exists $T \in K_{\delta}$ such that $\delta = ad \ T$.

PROOF. Assume first that \((K K) < ∞. Let

 $C=\overline{co}^{W}\{uKu^{\frac{1}{N}}|u \text{ unitary element in }N\}$

Then $\|y\|_{\varphi} \le \|K\|_{\varphi}$ for all y in C and C is a weakly compact convex subset of M. By the inferior semicontinuity of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$ it follows that there exists a unique element $y_o \in C$ with $\|y_o\|_{\varphi} \le \|y\|_{\varphi}$ for all $y \in C$. Since $\|y_o\|_{\varphi} \le C$ and $\|\|y_o\|_{\varphi} \le \|y_o\|_{\varphi}$ it follows that $\|y_o\|_{\varphi} \le C \cap N'$.

Let's show now that also for arbitrary K, there exists some $y \in C \cap N'$.

To this end note first that for each n there exist (by 2.4) $p_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}(M))$ and $K_n \in M_{\phi} \in J(M)$ such that $\|K_n\| \leq \|K\|, \ K_n p_n = K_n, \ \|Kp_n - K_n\| \leq 1/n \text{ and } \forall (p_n) \geqslant 1-1/n. \text{ Let } C_n = \overline{co}^W \{uK_n u^* | u \text{ unitary element in } N \} \text{ and } y_n \in C_n \cap N' \text{ (cf. the first part of the proof). Then the Hausdorf distance between } C_n \text{ and } p_n C \text{ satisfies}$

 $d(p_n C, C_n) \le ||Kp_n - K_n|| \le 1/n.$

Thus there exists $x_n \in \operatorname{Cp}_n$ so that $\|x_n - y_n\| \mapsto 0$. Let then y be a weak limit point of $\{y_n\}_n$. It follows that $y \in \mathbb{N}^r$ (because $y_n \in \mathbb{N}^r$ for each n) and $y \in \mathbb{C}$ (because

y is also a limit point of $\{x_n\}_n$).

Now denote by T=K-y. Then K-yeK-C = $\overline{co}^W \Big\{ K-uKu^* \Big\} u$ unitary element in N = K and moreover, since yeN, ad T= ad K= δ .

Q.E.D.

5. THE TYPE I AND PROPERLY INFINITE CASES

We first prove theorem 1.1 when N is a finite type I von Neumann algebra locally compatible with $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ (in the sense explained in section 1 and in 4.3. Since N is finite, there exists a partition of the unity $\left\{p_i\right\}_{i\in I}$ in the center of N such that N_p is countably decomposable for each i. By $\left\{3\right\}$ there exists an element $K_0\in J(M)$ such that $\left(\delta-Ad\ K_0\right)\left(p_i\right)=0$ for all i. Thus we may assume that $\left\{0\right\}$ vanishes on $\left\{p_i\right\}_{i\in I}$ Moreover, by refining if necessary $\left\{p_i\right\}_i$ we may assume N_p is homogeneous for each i.

The unitary group of N has amenable subgroups $\mathcal{N}_{\bullet}\mathcal{N}_{o}$ such that $\mathcal{N}=N$, $\mathcal{N}_{o}=\mathcal{Z}(N)$. Let $T_{o}=\int_{\mathcal{N}_{o}}^{\delta}(u)u^{\frac{1}{2}}d\mu_{o}(u)$ where μ_{o} is the invariant mean on \mathcal{N}_{o} and the integral has the usual significance (see e.g.[2]). Then T_{o} is in the K_δ set corresponding to $\mathcal{Z}(N)$ and by the same computations as in 2.12 we have

 $Tu_0 - u_0 T_0 = \delta(u_0)$, for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_0$.

Since both δ and ad T_o are weakly continuous and $\mathfrak{Z}(N)$ is the closed linear span of V_o , it follows that δ =ad T_o on $\mathfrak{Z}(N)$ and thus 4.3 applies to get that $T_o \in J(M)$. Thus, by taking if necessary δ -ad T_o instead of δ , we may assume vanishes on $\mathfrak{Z}(M)$.

Since $N_{p_{i}}$ is homogeneous we have $N_{p_{i}} \simeq M_{n(i)} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_{i}$ for some n(i) x n(i) matrix algebra Mn(i) and an abelian algebra Zi. Let Ui be the unitary group of Mn(i) & 1 and $\mathcal{U} = \oplus \mathcal{U}_i$. Then \mathcal{U} is amenable with an invariant mean . Denote $T = \int_{\Omega} \int (u) u^{\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(u)$ is in the K₈ set corresponding to N and the integral $p_i \int_{\Omega_i}^{\infty} \delta(u) u^* d\mu(u) p_i = p_i \int_{\Omega_i}^{\infty} \delta(u) u^* d\mu(u) p_i$ is norm conconvergent (since \mathcal{U}_i is compact. Thus $p_i T p_i \in J(M)$ (as a norm limit of elements $\delta(u)u^{\frac{1}{N}}$ which are in J(M)). Moreover ad T equals δ on $\mathcal U$ and also on $\mathcal Z(N)$. But $\mathcal U$ and $\mathcal Z(N)$ generate N, so that ad T= δ en N. Now if T \notin J(M) and $\mathcal{G} = \{e \in \mathcal{G}(N) | \|e = \|e = S(N)\| \}$ $= \|T\|_{ess}$ then it follows that \mathcal{P} has no minimal projections (if e would be such a minimal projection then $ep_i \neq 0$ for some i and e-ep, contradicts the minimality of e . To get from this a contradiction we continue exactly as in the proofs of 4.3 or 4.5. Thus T lies in J(M) and the proof of theorem 1.1 in the case N is finite type I is completed.

Assume now that N is properly infinite. Then N and M are isomorphic to $N_1 \bar{\otimes} \, \Im(1^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $M_1 \bar{\otimes} \, \Im(1^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ respectively, where $N_1 \subset M_1$ are von Neumann algebras, in such a way that the inclusion $N \subset M$ becomes $N_1 \bar{\otimes} \, \Im(1^2(\mathbb{Z})) \subset M_1 \bar{\otimes} \, \Im(1^2(\mathbb{Z}))$. Note first that if the derivation $\delta: N \longmapsto J(M)$ vanishes on $C1 \otimes \, \Im(1^2(\mathbb{Z})) \subset N = N_1 \otimes \, \Im(1^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ then given a unitary $u \in N_1 \otimes C1$ we have for any $x \in C1_{M_1} \otimes \, \Im(1^2(\mathbb{Z}))$,

 $\delta(\mathbf{u}) = \delta(\mathbf{u}) = \delta(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}\delta(\mathbf{u})$,

so that $\delta(u) \in J(M) \cap (\mathbb{C}1 \otimes \mathbb{B}(1^2(\mathbb{Z})) \cap M_1 \otimes \mathbb{B}(1^2(\mathbb{Z})) = J(M) \cap (M_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}1_{\mathbb{B}(1^2(\mathbb{Z}))}) = 0$. Thus $\delta = 0$ on N.

From this it follows that to prove the properly infinite case it is sufficient to prove the case when $\delta: \mathbb{N} = \Re\left(1^2\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right) \longmapsto \mathbb{J}\left(\mathbb{M}\right).$

Let D be the diagonal von Neumann subalgebra of

 $\mathfrak{S}(1^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and L the von Neumann algebra generated by the bilateral shift u. Let $\sigma(x)=uxu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x\in D$ be the automorphism of D implemented by the shift u. By $\frac{1}{2}3$ we may assume $\frac{1}{2}3$ vanishes on D. Then for any $x\in D$ we have

$$x \, \delta(u^{n}) \, u^{-n} = \delta(xu^{n}) \, u^{-n} = \delta(u^{n} \, \sigma^{-n}(x)) \, u^{-n} =$$

$$= \delta(u^{n}) \, \sigma^{-n}(x) \, u^{-n} = \delta(u^{n}) \, u^{-n} \, \sigma^{-n}(x) \, u^{-n} = \delta(u^{n}) \, u^{-n} \, u^{-n} \, \sigma^{-n}(x) \, u^{-n} = \delta(u^{n}) \, u^{-n} \,$$

which shows that $\delta(u^n)u^{-n} \in D' \cap M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

But if we take T to be a (weak) mean (after n) of $\delta(u^n)u^{-n} \ \text{then TeD'} \cap \text{M and, as in the preceding proof of the type I case, we have }$

$$\delta|_{L} = \text{ad T}|_{L}$$

Thus ad T equals \mathcal{E} on both D and L. Since \mathcal{E} and ad T are weakly continuous derivations if follows that \mathcal{E} =ad T on the von Neumann algebra generated by D and L, which is easily seen to be $\mathcal{E}(1^2(\mathbb{Z}))=\mathbb{N}$. Since T belongs to the $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}}$ set corresponding to L, 4.5 applies to get that $\mathcal{E}(M)$.

6. SOME TECHNICAL RESULTS

To prove the remaining type II₁ case of the theorem we need some technical devices that we prove bellow. As before, we continue to assume that M is countable decomposable and use the notations of Sec.1.

6.1. LEMMA. Let N be a von Neumann algebra without atoms, Y a normal faithful state on N and $\{w_n\}_n$ a sequence of unitary elements in N such that $\forall (w_n^k) \xrightarrow[n]{} 0$ for all $k \neq 0$.

Then there exist unitary elements $\{v_n\}_n$ in N such that $\forall (v_n^k)=0$, $k\neq 0$, and $\|w_n-v_n\|\longrightarrow 0$.

PROOF. The proof is the same as the proof of 1.3 in [7] but we give it here any way for the sake of completeness.

Since N has no atoms each w_n is contained in some diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra Anc N with separable predual and (An, Yan) can be identified by some measure preserving isomorphism \mathcal{Y}_n with $L^{\omega}(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ where μ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the thorus T. Moreover Υ_n can be chosen so that $f_n(w_n) = f_n$, where $f_n(e^{2\pi i t}) = e^{2\pi i h_n(t)}$ for some nondecreasing function $h_n: [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]$. By Helly's selection principle there exists a subsequence $\{h_k\}_m$ tending everywhere to some nondecreasing function h: $[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$. Thus, if $f(e^{2\pi it})=e^{2\pi ih(t)}$ then $\{f_k\}_n$ tends everywhere to f so that by Lebesgue's theorem $\int_{k}^{p} d\mu \mapsto \int_{k}^{p} d\mu$ for all p, which by the hypothesis implies $\int f^p d\mu = 0$ for $p \neq 0$. Thus $\int q(f) d\mu = \int q d\mu$ for Laurent polynomials q so that gofdu= gdu for any $g \in L^{\infty}(T, \mu)$. In particular if we define $g_z(e^{2\pi i s}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le s < t \\ 0 & \text{if } t \le s < 1 \end{cases}$ where $z=e^{2\pi i t}$, then we get $\int_{h(s)/t} d\lambda(s) = \int_{g_z} g_z d\mu = \int_{g_z} d\mu$ λ being the Lebesgue measure on [0,1]. This implies h(t)=t and hence f(z)=z is the identity function on T. Now, since hkn are monotone and converge everywhere to a continuous function it follows that h_{k_n} converge uniformly to h_{ℓ} so that $\|f_{k_n} - f\| \rightarrow 0$. Since any limit point of f_{k_n} was shown to be equal to the identity f, it follows that $\|f_n - f\| \longrightarrow 0$.

We can now take $v_n = f_n^{-1}(f)$. Since $\int f^p d\mu = 0$, $\psi(v_n^p) = 0 \text{ for all } p \neq 0. \text{ Moreover } \|w_n - v_n\| = \|f_n(w_n) - f_n(v_n)\| = \|f - f_n\| \Rightarrow 0.$ Q.E.D.

- 6.2. LEMMA. 1° . Let NCM be a von Neumann subalgebra such that N'\(\text{M}\) contains no finite projections of M. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and e, f two finite projections of M with $f(e)<\infty$. Then there exists a unitary element ueN such that $\|fu\|_{\varphi}<\varepsilon$. Moreover if N is abelian then given any n>1 there exists a unitary element ueN such that $\|fu\|_{\varphi}<\varepsilon$ for k\(\psi\)0, $\|k\| \leq n$.
- 2°. If N is of type II₁ and countable decomposable, M is countable decomposable and N' \(\cap M\) contains no finite projections of M then there exist an approximately finite dimensional type II₁ von Neumann algebra RCN which contains a diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra ACR such that A' \(\cap M\) contains no finite projections of M.

PROOF. 1°. Let γ_n be the semifinite faithful trace on \mathbb{M}^{2n} given by $\gamma_n((\mathbf{x}_k)_{|k|\leq n,k\neq 0})=\Sigma f(\mathbf{x}_k)$. Denote by $K_e^n=\overline{co}^{\mathbb{W}}\{(\mathbf{u}^k\mathbf{e}\mathbf{u}^{-k})_{|k|\leq n,k\neq 0}\mid \mathbf{u} \text{ unitary element of } \mathbf{N}\} c^{2n}$. Then $f_n(\overline{\mathbf{x}})\leq 2n\,f(\mathbf{e})$ and $\|\overline{\mathbf{x}}\|_{f_n}\leq 2n\,\|\mathbf{e}\|_{f_n}$ for any $\overline{\mathbf{x}}\in K_e^n$. By the inferior semicontinuity of the norm $\|\|\mathbf{x}_n\|_{f_n}$, there exists a unique element $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_o\in K_e^n$ with $\|\overline{\mathbf{x}}_o\|\|\mathbf{y}_n\leq \|\overline{\mathbf{x}}\|\|\mathbf{y}_n$ for all $\mathbf{x}\in K_e^n$. But if \mathbf{N} is abelian then for any unitary element $\mathbf{u}\in \mathbf{N}$, if $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}=(\mathbf{u}^k)_{|k|\leq n,k\neq 0}$ then $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}K_e^n\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\subset K_e^n$ and $\|\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}\overline{\mathbf{x}}_o\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathbf{y}_n\|_{f_n}=\|\mathbf{x}_o\|\|\mathbf{y}_n$ so that, by the uniqueness of $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_o$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}\overline{\mathbf{x}}_o\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\overline{\mathbf{x}}_o$. Thus if $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_o=(\mathbf{x}_k)_{|k|\leq n,k\neq 0}\neq 0$ then $\mathbf{x}_k\neq 0$ for some \mathbf{k} and $\mathbf{u}^k\mathbf{x}_k=\mathbf{x}_k\mathbf{u}^k$ for any unitary element $\mathbf{u}\in \mathbb{N}$. Since in a von Neumann algebra \mathbf{N} any unitary element $\mathbf{v}\in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as \mathbf{u}^k for some $\mathbf{u}\in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}_k=\mathbf{x}_k\mathbf{v}$ for unitary elements $\mathbf{v}\in \mathbb{N}$ and by taking li-

near combinations, $yx_k=x_ky$ for all yeN. But $0<\|x_k\|_p\le\|e\|_p$ and $x_k\in N'\cap M$, a contradiction. If N is arbitrary we take M instead of M²ⁿ and the proof is the same.

2°. The argument we use is similar to the one in [6]. We first prove that if pen then $N_p' \cap M_p$ contains no finite projections of M_p . To show this let $f \neq 0$ be a projection in $N_p' \cap M_p$ and z a projection in the center of N. Then $zf \in N_p' \cap M_p$ and if f is finite in M_p then zf is finite in M_{zp} . Take z to be so that $fz \neq 0$ and pz divides z, say n times. It follows that the inclusion $N_z \subset M_z$ is the same as $N_{zp} \otimes M_{n \times n} \subset M_{zp} \otimes M_{n \times n}$ and that $f' = zf \otimes I_n \in (N_{zp} \otimes M_{n \times n})' \cap (M_{zp} \otimes M_{n \times n})$. Hence $f' \in N_z' \cap M_z = z(N' \cap M) z \subset N' \cap M$ and if f is finite then f' is finite, contradicting the hypothesis.

Since M is countable decomposable, there exists an increasing sequence of finite projections $\{f_n\}_n$ in M with f_n ? Moreover, by cutting if necessary each f_n with a central projection we may assume $f(f_n)<\infty$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

We now construct recursively an increasing sequence of finite dimensional von Neumann subalgebras R_k in N with matrix units $\left\{e_{ij}^k\right\}_{1\leq i,j\leq n(k,p)}^{1\leq i,j\leq n(k,p)}$ satisfying the prolements;

- 1) Each $e_{st}^{k-1,r}$ is the sum of some e_{ij}^{kp} .
- 2) If A_k is the diagonal algebra of R_k generated by $\left\{e_{\text{ii}}^{kp}\right\}_{\text{i,p}}$ then $\|E_{A_k^{\prime}\bigcap M}(f_n)\|_{\gamma}^2 < (3/4)^k$.
 - 3) $n(k,p) \geqslant k$ for each $p=1,2,\ldots,m(k)$.

Assume we have constructed these objects up to some k. By 1° it follows that for each $g=e_{11}^{kp}$ there exists a unitary element us 1° such that if e is the support of

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i} e_{1i}^{kp} f_{n+1} e_{i1}^{kp} \text{ then for each nonzero } \mathbf{x}_i = e_{1i}^{kp} f_{n+1} e_{i1}^{kp} \text{ we have} \\ &\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{u}^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \|\mathbf{e} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{e}\|_{\varphi}^2 < 1/2 \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_{\varphi}^2 \text{ . Approximating } \mathbf{u} \text{ in the uniform} \\ &\mathbf{n} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{m} \text{ we may assume it has finite spectrum so that } \mathbf{u} = \sum \lambda_s \mathbf{e}_s \\ &\mathbf{v} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{h} \sum_{s} \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{g} \text{ and } |\lambda_s| = 1. \text{ Then, since } \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{u}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{u}^{\frac{1}{2}}), \text{ we} \\ &\mathbf{h} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e}_s \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_{\varphi}^2 = 2 \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_{\varphi}^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_{\varphi}^2 < \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_{\varphi}^2 + \|\mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}_i\|_{\varphi}^2 - 2 \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{u}^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{u}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\varphi}^2 = \|\sum_{r \neq s} (\lambda_r \lambda_s - 1) \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{e}_s \|_{\varphi}^2 < 4 \sum_{r \neq s} \|\mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{x}_e \mathbf{u}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\varphi}^2 - 4 \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_{\varphi}^2 - 4 \|\mathbf{x}_i\|_{\varphi}^$$

Thus $\sum_{\mathbf{r}} \|\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} \times_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}\|_{\varphi}^2 \leq 3/4 \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\|_{\varphi}^2$. Now we can apply the same trick to $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} \times_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}$ instead of $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and get a refinement $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}^2\right\}_{\mathbf{s}}$ of the projections $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}^4 = \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}$ so that $\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}^2 \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{s}}^2\right\|_{\varphi}^2 \leq 1/4$ $\leq (3/4)^2 \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\|_{\varphi}^2$. More generally we apply the trick k+1 times, to get projections $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{k}+1}$ so that $\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{f}} \times_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{f}}\right\|_{\varphi}^2 \leq (3/4)^{k+1} \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\|_{\varphi}^2$ and $\sum_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathbf{k}}$.

Now since N is type II₁ each g_1 can be divided into k+1 mutually orthogonal equivalent projections. Thus we may consider matrix units $\{g_{ab}^{\hat{I}}\}_{1\leq a,b\leq k+1}$ with $\sum_{a}g_{aa}^{\hat{I}}=g_1$. Then easy computation show that if we denote by $\{e^{k+1},r\}_{s,t,r}$ an apropiate relabeling of $\{e_{i1}^{kp},g_{ab}^{\hat{I}}\}_{a,b},f_{i,j,p}$ then this matrix unit and the von Neumann algebra R_{k+1} generated by it together with diagonal A_{k+1} will satisfy conditions 1), 2), 3).

Let $R = \overline{U_R}_k^W$. Then condition 3) implies that R is of type II,

Let $A=\overline{U}A_n^W$. Supose $e\in A'\cap M$, $e\neq 0$, is a finite projection of M. Then by cutting if necessary e with a projection in $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ we may assume $\Upsilon(e)<\infty$. Since $f_n\uparrow 1$, there exists n such that $\|f_nef_n-e\|\phi<1/2\|e\|\phi$. By the construction of A_n C A there exists a partition of the unity e_1,\ldots,e_m with projections in A such that

 $\|\sum_{i} e_{i} f_{n} e_{i} \| \varphi^{<1/2} \| e \| \varphi$. But then

 $\|\sum_{i} e_{i} f_{n} e f_{n} e_{i} \| \varphi \le \|\sum_{i} e_{i} f_{n} e_{i} \| \varphi \le 1/2 \| e \| \varphi \|$

so that, since e=Ze_iee_i,

 $\|e\|_{\varphi} = \|\sum_{i} e_{i} e_{i}\|_{\varphi} < \|\sum_{i} e_{i} (f_{n} e f_{n} - e) e_{i}\|_{\varphi} + \|\sum_{i} e_{i} f_{n} e f_{n} e_{i}\|_{\varphi} < \|e\|_{\varphi} ,$

which is a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

In the rest of this section NCM will be a type II $_1$ von Neumann subalgebra with a fixed normal finite faithful trace \mathcal{T} , $\mathcal{T}(1)=1$. The norm on N given by \mathcal{T} is denoted $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x})^{1/2}$, xeM. If BCN is a von Neumann subalgebra then \mathbf{E}_B denotes the unique normal \mathcal{T} - preserving conditional expectation onto B (cf. [11]).

6.3. LEMMA. Assume ACN is an abelian von Neumann sualgebra of N such that A' \(\) M contains no finite projections of M. Let £>0, n>1, e and f finite projections in M\(\phi \) and v a unitary element in N. Then there exists a unitary element ueA such that $\| f(uv)^k e \|^2 \le \epsilon$ for any $k \ne 0$, $|k| \le n$.

PROOF. Since $\P(e)$, $\P(f) \not \sim it$ follows that $\P(e \lor f) \not \sim .$ Since $\P(e \lor f) \not \sim f$ f(uv) $\P(e \lor f) \not \sim f$ for the statement when e=f. Since $\P(e \lor f) \not \sim f$ for equality we only need to prove the estimates for $f \sim f$. We'll actually prove the following more general result:

(*) If $\epsilon>0$, n>1, $\epsilon<0$ is a finite selfadjoint set of norm one elements containing the identity and e, f are finite projections in M ϕ then there exists a unitary element u ϵ A such that

$$\| \text{ fx}_0 \prod_{i=1}^k (\text{ux}_i) \text{e} \|_{\phi}^2 < \epsilon$$

for any $1 \le k \le n$ and $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{T}$.

We first prove (*) in the case $\Upsilon(xe) \not\in C_T(x)$, $\Upsilon(fx) \not\in C_T(x)$, $x \in N_+$, for some constant c > 0. Let W = w partial isometry in $A = \int_{0}^{K} f(xx_1) e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \not\in C_T(x_1^*)$ for any $1 \not\in k \in N_+$, where $f(x) = \int_{0}^{K} f(xx_1) e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \not\in C_T(x_1^*)$ for any $1 \not\in k \in N_+$, $f(x) = \int_{0}^{K} f(x) \cdot f(x) \cdot f(x) \cdot f(x) \cdot f(x)$ for any $1 \not\in k \in N_+$, $f(x) = \int_{0}^{K} f(x) \cdot f$

$$(**)$$
 $\|e_0 y e_0 - E_{A_0}(y) e_0\|_2^2 < \delta \tau(e_0)$, $y \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

Let n > r, s > 0, $x \in \mathcal{F}$, $y_1, \dots, y_s \in \mathcal{F}_0$, $x' \in \mathcal{F}^*$, y_1, \dots $\dots, y_r' \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and $w \in A_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$, $\|w\| \le 1$ and denote $\alpha = \|\mathcal{F}(ex, \frac{r}{\|}(y_i'w^*))\|_{1=1}$ y' fy $\|(wy_j)xe)\|_{1}$, with the convention that a product over a void set equals 1.

If s=1 then by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have:

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ is the supremum of the left supports of all the elements of the form $z\mathbf{y}_1\mathbf{x}$, with $\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{T}$, $\mathbf{y}_1\in\mathcal{T}_0$ and $\mathbf{z}\in\mathcal{T}_1=$ $=\left\{\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{E}_A(\mathbf{y}_i)\mathbf{e}_o \middle| 0 \leq k \leq n, \ \mathbf{y}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_k\in\mathcal{T}_0\right\}, \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{f}} \text{ is the supremum of the elements fy with } \mathbf{y}\in\mathcal{T}_0.$

If s>2 then we have

$$\| \underset{i=1}{\overset{s}{\prod}} (wy_{i}) \times e \|_{\varphi}^{\leq \frac{s-1}{j-1}} \| \underset{i=1}{\overset{j-1}{\prod}} (wy_{i}) \times (E_{A}(y_{j}) e_{o} - e_{o} y_{j} e_{o})$$

$$w^{s-j} (\underset{t=j+1}{\overset{s-1}{\prod}} E_{A}(y_{t})) y_{s} \times e \|_{\varphi} + \| fyw^{s} \underset{j=1}{\overset{s-1}{\prod}} E_{A}(y_{j}) y_{s} \times e \|_{\varphi} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum \{ \| (E_{A}(y_{o}) e_{o} - e_{o} y_{o} e_{o}) w^{j} zy \times e \|_{\varphi} | 1 \leq j \leq s, x \in \mathcal{F}, y_{o}, y \in \mathcal{F}_{o}, z \in \mathcal{F}_{q} \} + \| \tilde{f}w^{s} \tilde{e} \|_{\varphi},$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ are as before. Thus if $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ denotes the sum in the right hand side of the above inequalities then by (***) we get $\boldsymbol{\beta} \leq \mathrm{SNN}_0^2 \mathrm{N_1} \mathbf{c}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{\zeta}^{1/2} \| \mathbf{e}_0 \|_2$, where N, N₀ and N₁ are the number of elements in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}$, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_0$ and respectively $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_1$.

Thus, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain:

Thus if δ is so that $nNN_0^2N_1c\delta^{1/2}<\epsilon 2^{-2n-1}$ and if

using 6.2 we choose w to be a unitary element in $A_0e_0=$ = $A_0ce_0Me_0$ such that $c^{1/2}\|\bar{f}_w^s\bar{e}\|_{\phi}<\epsilon 2^{-2n-1}\|e_0\|_{2}$, then we get $\alpha<2^{-2n}\epsilon\tau(e_0)$.

We now show that if w is chosen like this then $u_o=u+w \text{ contradicts the maximality of } u. \text{ Indeed we have for any } 1\leqslant k\leqslant n \text{ and } x_o,x_1,\ldots,x_k\in \mathfrak{F}:$

$$\| fx_{0}(\prod_{i=1}^{k} (u+w)x_{i})e \|_{\varphi}^{2} \leq \| fx_{0}\prod_{i=1}^{k} (ux_{i})e \|_{\varphi}^{2} + \sum \alpha$$

where the α 's appearing in the sum are of the form estimated above and there are $2^{2k}-1$ terms in that sum. It follows that $\sum_{\alpha} \angle \mathcal{ET}(e_{\alpha})$ so that

$$\| \operatorname{fx}_{0}(\prod_{i=1}^{k} (u+w)x_{i}) e \|_{\varphi}^{2} \leq \varepsilon(\varepsilon(u^{\frac{1}{k}}u) + \varepsilon(w^{\frac{1}{k}}w)) = \varepsilon\varepsilon((u+w)^{\frac{1}{k}}(u+w))$$

This ends the proof of (x) in the case $f(x) \leq c_{x}(x)$, $f(x) \leq c_{x}(x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$.

To prove the general case, i.e. for arbitrary e, f in M $_{\phi}$ note that given any $\geq >0$ there exist finite projections e', f'eM $_{\phi}$ with $\|e-e'\|_{\phi} < \geq /3$, $\|f-f'\|_{\phi} < \geq /3$ and such that $\P(xe')_{\leq} \subset T(x)$, $\P(f'x)_{\leq} \subset T(x)$ for some constant c>0. Indeed, since $\P(\cdot,e)$, $\P(f\cdot,e)_{\leq} \subset T(x)$ for some constant c>0. Indeed, that $\P(xe)_{\leq} \subset T(x)$, $\P(fx)_{\leq} \subset T(x)$, for xeN. Thus if E_n , F_n are the spectral projections of X and respectively Y corresponding to the intervals [0,n] then $E_n \uparrow 1$, $F_n \uparrow 1$ and $\P(xE_neE_n)_{\leq} = \P(E_nxE_ne)_{\leq} = T(E_nxE_nX)_{\leq} = T(xE_nX)_{\leq} = T(x)_{\leq} = T($

 $\|f_n'-f\|_{\gamma} \longleftrightarrow 0 \text{ and } f(xe_n') \le 2 f(xE_neE_n) \le 2n_{\mathcal{I}}(x), \ f(f_n'x) \le 2 f(F_nfF_n'x) \le 2n_{\mathcal{I}}(x) \text{ (see e.g. 1.4 in [8]). Now by the first part of the proof given $\epsilon 0$ and $n > 1$ there exists a unitary element $u \in A$ such that $\|f'(x)\|_{1}^{\infty} (ux_1)e'\|_{\gamma} \le \frac{2n_{\mathcal{I}}(x)}{3}$ for any $1 \le k \le n$, x_0, x_1, \ldots $x_k \in \mathcal{F}$. But then$

$$\| fx_{o_{i=1}}^{\frac{k}{k}} (ux_{i}) e \|_{\varphi} < 2\epsilon/3 + \| f'x_{o_{i=1}}^{\frac{k}{k}} (ux_{i}) e' \|_{\varphi} \leq 2\epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon.$$

Q.E.D.

6.4. COROLLARY. Let $\varepsilon>0$, $n\geqslant1$, e,f two finite projections in M_{ε} and $v\in N$ a unitary element. There exist a finite projection $e_n\in M$ and a unitary element $w\in N$ such that:

10.
$$\varphi(e_n w^k e_n) = 0$$
 for any $k \neq 0$;

3°.
$$\|fw^k e_n\| < \varepsilon$$
, for $k \neq 0$, $|k| \leq n$;

4°. Nw-uv N< E for some unitary element u∈A.

PROOF. First we prove that given any $\epsilon'>0$ there exist unitary elements usA and w'sN and a finite projection e_n such that:

- a) $e_n \le e_n$, $\forall (e-e_n) < \epsilon'$;
- (*) b) $\|\text{fw'}^k e_n\| < \epsilon'$, for $k \neq 0$, $|k| \leq n$;
 - c) ||w'-uv|| <8';
 - d) $\mathcal{P}(ew^{k}e) = 0$ for all $k \neq 0$.

Then it follows by a) and d) that $|\Upsilon(w)^k e_n)| \le \epsilon'$ for any $k\neq 0$ and thus if ϵ' is small enough and $\epsilon' \le \epsilon/2$ by 6.1 there

exists a unitary element weN such that $\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}'\| \le \epsilon/2n$ and $\phi(\mathbf{w}^k \mathbf{e}_n) = 0 \text{ for any } \mathbf{k} \neq 0. \text{ But then } \|\mathbf{f}\mathbf{w}^k \mathbf{e}_n\| \le \|\mathbf{f}\mathbf{w}^{-k}\mathbf{e}_n\| + n \|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}^{-k}\| \le \epsilon \epsilon$ for $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$, $\|\mathbf{k}\| \le n$ and $\|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\| \le \|\mathbf{w}-\mathbf{w}^{-k}\| + \|\mathbf{w}^{-k}-\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\| \le \epsilon/2n + \epsilon/2 \le \epsilon.$

Now to prove (*) we let ϵ ">0, n'>1. By the preceding lemma there exists a unitary element usA such that $\|(e \vee f)(uv)^k e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " for $k \neq 0$, $\|k\| \leq n'$. It follows that $\|f(e(uv)^k e)\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi}$, for all $k \neq 0$, $\|k\| \leq n'$, and $\|f(e(uv)^k e)\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi}$, for all $k \neq 0$, $\|k\| \leq n'$, and $\|f(e(uv)^k e)\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi}$, for all $k \neq 0$, $\|k\| \leq n'$, and $\|f(e(uv)^k e)\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi}$, $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " is the spectral projection of $\|e(uv)^k e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " or corresponding to the interval $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " then $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$, $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " and $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ ". Let $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ ". Let $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ ". Let $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ ". Let $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ ". Let $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " and $\|e\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ " $\|e\|_{\varphi} <$

Lemma 6.1 shows that if n' is large enough and ϵ " is small enough with ϵ " $<\epsilon'/(n+1)$ then there exists a unitary element w' \in N such that $\phi(w,k_e)=0$ for all $k\neq 0$ and $\|w'-uv\|<\epsilon'/n+1$. But then $\|fw,k_e\|\leq\sum_{p=0}^{k-1}\|f(uv)^p(w'-uv)(w)^{k-p-1}e\|+\|f(uv)^ke\|\leq k\epsilon'/(n+1)+\epsilon'/(n+1)=(k+1)\epsilon'/(n+1)\leq\epsilon'$ which proves (*).

Q.E.D.

7. END OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1: the type II1

In this section we prove 1.1 in the case N is of type II_1. By 2.11 and $\S 5$ this will end the proof of the theorem. We begin the section by reducing the problem in several steps to the case when the type II_1 von Neumann algebra N is separable, M is countably decomposable and N'\(\cap M\) containing no finite projections of M. Note from the beginning that by Sec.3 we may assume $\S 6$ vanishes on a set of pro-

jections $\{p_i\}_i$ in the center of M having the properties $\sum p_i = 1$ and N_{p_i} is of countable type for each i.

7.1. First reduction: It is sufficient to prove the theorem for separable N (i.e. N with separable predual).

To show this let RCN be a copy of the hyperfinite type II factor with the same unit as N (cf [5]). There exists an increasing net of separable von Neumann subalgebras $\{N_i\}_i$ of N with RCN_i and $\overline{U}N_i^W=N$. Indeed, if $\{p_j\}_{j\in J}$ is a partition of the unity in the center of N such that Np; is countably decomposable for each j, then any countably generated von Neumann subalgebra of Np; is separable, so that if Ni are such that Nipi is countably generated and contains Rp, for a finite number J of jeJ and if $N_{i} \sum_{j \notin J_{c}} p_{j} = R \sum_{j \notin J_{c}} p_{j}$ then N_{i} will do. Since RCN_{i} , each N_{i} is of type II₁ and if $K_i \in J(M)$ is such that $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and k_i then by 4.6 there exists $T_i \in K_{\delta}$ (in fact in $\overline{co}^W \{ \delta(u) u^* | u \text{ unitary } \}$ element of $N_{ij}cK_{\delta}$) such that ad $T_{i}=adK_{i}=\delta_{N_{i}}$. Let T be a weak limit point of $\{T_i\}_i$. Then ad $T = \delta$ on UN_i , so that by the weak continuity of ad T and δ , ad T= δ on N= $\overline{U}N_i^W$. Since N is of type II₁, by 4.4 we have TEJ(M).

7.2. Second reduction: It is sufficient to prove the theorem when N is separable and M is countably decomposable.

Indeed, by the preceding reduction we may assume N is separable. Let \mathcal{N}_0 be a countable subset in the unitary group \mathcal{N} of N, dense in \mathcal{N} in the *-strong operator topology. Let $\{p_i\}_{i\in J}$ be an increasing net of countably decomposable projections of M with $p_i \uparrow 1$. By the density of \mathcal{N}_0 in \mathcal{N} it follows that for each $i, \mathcal{N}_0 \downarrow up_i u^* \mid u \in \mathcal{N}_0 \downarrow v \in \mathcal{N}_0 \downarrow v$ so that

if we denote this projection by s_i then it is countably decomposable (being a supremum of a countable set of countably decomposable projections) and moreover $s_i \in \mathbb{N}' \cap \mathbb{M}$, $s_i \uparrow 1$. Define $\delta_i : \mathbb{N}_{s_i} \longleftrightarrow s_i J(\mathbb{M}) s_i = J(\mathbb{M}_{s_i})$ by $\delta_i (xs_i) = s_i \delta(x) s_i$. Since $s_i \in \mathbb{N}' \cap \mathbb{M}$, δ_i are well defined derivation. If for each i there exists an element $K_i \in J(\mathbb{M}_{s_i})$ such that $\delta_i = \operatorname{ad} K_i$ then by 4.6 there exists $T_i \in K_{\delta}$ such that $s_i T_i s_i \in K_{\delta_i} C s_i K_{\delta} s_i$ satisfies $\delta_i = \operatorname{ad}(s_i T_i s_i)$. Let T be a weak limit point in \mathbb{M} of the net $\{T_i\}_i$ (C \mathbb{M}). Since $\{s_i\}_i$ converges strongly to the identity, $T \in K_{\delta_i}$ and ad $T = \delta_i$ on \mathbb{N} . Then 4.4 applies to get $T \in J(\mathbb{M})$.

7.3. Third reduction: it is sufficient to prove the theorem when N is separable, M is countable decomposable and N' \cap M contains no finite projections of M.

Let po=Ve'EN' \M e' finite projection of M . Note that in fact $p_0 = \bigvee \{e' \in \mathbb{N}' \cap M | e' \text{ projection with } f(e') < \infty \}$. Indeed, this follows immediately by 2.1, because given any e∈N' ∩ M and p∈ Z(M) we have ep∈N' ∩ M. Assume now that $\delta(x) = \delta(x) p_0$, xen. Then $K_{\delta} = K_{\delta} p_0$. For each unitary element ueN define on K, the weakly continuous affine transformation $T_u(x) = uxu^{\frac{x}{2}} + \delta(u)u^{\frac{x}{2}}$. Then $T_uT_v = T_{uv}$ and since $T_u(\delta(v)v^{\frac{x}{2}}) = uv^{\frac{x}{2}}$ $= u \, \delta(v) \, v^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{\frac{1}{2}} + \delta(u) \, u^{\frac{1}{2}} = \delta(uv) \, v^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ it follows that } T_u(K_{\delta}) \subset K_{\delta}.$ Consider on M the seminorms $\mathcal{L} = \{ \mathcal{L}(x^*xe^*)^{1/2} \text{ for } x \in M | e^* \text{ finite} \}$ projection in N' \bigcap M with $\gamma(e') < \infty$. Then the semigroup of transformations T_u on K_{δ} is noncontractive, because if $x,y \in K_{\mathcal{S}}$, $x \neq y$, then inf $f(u(x-y)^{\frac{1}{n}}(x-y)u^{\frac{1}{n}}e^{s}) = f((x-y)^{\frac{1}{n}}(x-y)e^{s})$ and if $f((x-y)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x-y)e^{t}) = 0$ then $x-y=(x-y)p_{0}=(x-y)(\forall e^{t})=0$ (by the faithfulness of Υ). Thus by the Ryll-Nardjewski fixed point theorem (see A.3 in [10]) there exists an element $X \in K_{\delta}$ with $T_{u}(X) = X$ for all unitary elements u.e.N. But

then $uxu^* + \delta(u)u^* = x$ and thus $\delta(u) = xu - ux$ and by linearity, $\delta(x) = xx - xx$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Since N is of type II_1 by 4.4 we get $x \in J(M)$. Similarly, if $\delta(x) = p_0 \delta(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain that δ is implemented by an element in J(M). It follows that there exists $K \in J(M)$ such that $(\delta - ad K)(x) = (1 - p_0)(\delta - ad K)(x)(1 - p_0)$. Thus, if we define $\delta_0 : \mathbb{N}_1 - p_0 \to \mathbb{N}_1 - p_0$ by $\delta_0(x(1 - p_0)) = (\delta - ad K)(x)(1 - p_0)$ then δ_0 is a well defined derivation taking values into $(1 - p_0)J(M)(1 - p_0) = J(M_1 - p_0)$. Since $\mathbb{N}'_1 - p_0 \to \mathbb{N}'_1 - p_0$ contains no finite projections of $M_1 - p_0$ (see the proof of 6.2, 2^0) this shows that in order to prove the theorem for N separable of type II_1 and M countable decomposable, we may in addition assume that $\mathbb{N}' \cap \mathbb{M}$ contains no finite projections of M.

7.4. In the rest of this section we may therefore assume N is separable, M is of countable type and $N' \cap M$ contains no finite projections of M.

By 6.2 there exists an approximately finite dimensional type II_1 von Neumann subalgebra RCN with an abelian von Neumann subalgebra ACR such that AMM contains no finite projections of M. Since R is approximately finite dimensional, there exists an amenable subgroup of unitary elements U in R such that U"=R. Let $K = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \{u\} u^* d \mu(u)$ where μ is an invariant mean on U. Then, like in 2.12, it is easy to see that ad K equals δ on U and thus on R. By 4.4 it follows that $K \in \mathcal{J}(M)$. Thus, by taking if necessary δ ad K instead of δ we may suppose δ vanishes on R and thus on ACR.

We show that from the fact that $\delta_A=0$ it follows that $\delta=0$ on all N and this will end the proof of the theorem 1.1.

Assume $\delta \neq 0$. Then there exists a unitary element veM such that $\delta(v) \neq 0$. Moreover there exists a finite projection $e \in \mathbb{M}_{\varphi}$ such that $f(ev^{\frac{1}{2}} \int (v)e) \neq 0$. Indeed, because otherwise $f(v^{\frac{1}{2}} \int (v)x)=0$ for any linear combination of projections $e \in \mathbb{M}_{\varphi}$ and thus, by taking norm limits, for any $x \in \mathbb{M}_{\varphi}$, which implies $v^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta(v)=0$, a contradiction.

Fix each to be a finite projection with f(e)=1 and $f(ev^*\delta(v)e)\neq 0$. By replacing if necessary δ with a scalar multiple of it we may then assume $f(ev^*\delta(v)e)=1$. Moreover, by slightly modifying e we may assume $f(ev^*\delta(v)e)=1$ is a faithful state on N.

We now prove that for any n there exist a finite projection $e_n \in M$ and a unitary element $w_n \in N$ such that:

- 1) $e_n \le e$, $f(e-e_n) \le 2^{-n}$.
- 2) $\|e_n w_n^k e_n\| < 2^{-n}$, for $k \neq 0$, $|k| \leq n$.
- 3) $f(e_n w_n^k e_n) = 0$, for $k \neq 0$.
- 4) $|\Upsilon(e_n w_n^{-p} \delta(w_n^p) e_n) 1| < 2^{-n}$ if n > p > 0 and $|\Upsilon(e_n w_n^{-s} \delta(w_n^p) e_n)| < 2^{-n}$ if $p \neq s$ or $p \leq 0$ or $s \leq 0$.

To do this let feM be a finite projection such that $\|\delta(v)\,(1-f)\| < (4n)^{-1}2^{-n-1}, \ \|(1-f)v^{-1}\delta(v)\| < (4n)^{-1}2^{-n-1}, \\ \|\delta(v^{-1})v\,(1-f)\| < (4n)^{-1}2^{-n-1} \ \text{and} \ f > e. Then by the preceding corollary there exist unitary elements } w_n \in \mathbb{N} \ \text{and} \ u_n \in \mathbb{A} \ \text{and} \ a$ projection $e_n \in \mathbb{M}$ such that

- a) $e_n \leqslant e_n \qquad \forall (e e_n) \leq 2^{-n}$.
- b) $\|fw_n^k e_n\| \le (4n \|\delta\|)^{-1} 2^{-n-1} for, |k| \le n, k \ne 0.$
- c) $\|\mathbf{w}_n \mathbf{u}_n \mathbf{v}\| \le (4n \|\mathbf{b}\|)^{-1} 2^{-n-1}$ and $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}_n \mathbf{w}_n^k \mathbf{e}_n) = 0$ for $k \ne 0$.

It follows that if n>k>0 then:

$$\begin{split} &(i) \| \delta(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{k}) \mathbf{e}_{n} - \mathbf{w}_{n}^{k-1} \delta(\mathbf{w}_{n}) \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi = \| \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \mathbf{w}_{n}^{s} \delta(\mathbf{w}_{n}) \mathbf{w}_{n}^{k-s-1} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \| \delta(\mathbf{w}_{n}) \mathbf{w}_{n}^{k-s-1} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi \leq \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \| \delta(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{v}) \mathbf{w}_{n}^{k-s-1} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi + \\ &+ (k-1) \| \delta(\| \| \mathbf{e} \|_{\varphi} \| \mathbf{w}_{n} - \mathbf{u}_{n}^{v} \mathbf{v} \| &= \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \| \delta(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{w}_{n}^{k-s-1} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi + \\ &+ (k-1) \| \delta(\| \mathbf{w}_{n} - \mathbf{u}_{n}^{v} \mathbf{v} \| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \| \delta(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{f} \mathbf{w}_{n}^{k-s-1} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi + \\ &+ (k-1) \| \delta(\mathbf{v}) (1 - \mathbf{f}) \| + 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} \leq 2^{-n-1} ; \end{split}$$

$$(ii) \| \delta(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{-k}) \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi \leq \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \| (\mathbf{w}_{n}^{-1})^{s} \delta(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{-1}) (\mathbf{w}_{n}^{-1})^{k-s-1} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi + \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \| (\delta(\mathbf{v}^{-1}) \mathbf{v}) (\mathbf{u}_{n}^{v} \mathbf{v})^{-1} (\mathbf{w}_{n}^{-1})^{k-s-1} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi + \\ &+ \| k \| \delta \| \| \mathbf{u}_{n}^{v} - \mathbf{w}_{n} \| \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \| \delta(\mathbf{v}^{-1}) \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{w}_{n}^{-1})^{k-s} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi + 2k \| \delta \| \| \mathbf{u}_{n}^{v} - \mathbf{w}_{n} \| \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \| \delta(\mathbf{v}^{-1}) \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{w}_{n}^{-1})^{k-s} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi + 2k \| \delta \| \| \mathbf{u}_{n}^{v} - \mathbf{w}_{n} \| \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \| \delta(\mathbf{v}^{-1}) \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{w}_{n}^{-1})^{k-s} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi + 2k \| \delta \| \| \mathbf{u}_{n}^{v} - \mathbf{w}_{n} \| \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \| \delta(\mathbf{v}^{-1}) \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{w}_{n}^{-1})^{k-s} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi + k \| \delta(\mathbf{u}^{-1})^{k-s} \mathbf{e}_{n} \| \varphi +$$

Thus for $n_{p}>0$ we have by (i), (c) and the equality $\delta\left(u_{n}v\right)=u_{n}\delta\left(v\right):$

 $+(3/4)2^{-n-1} \le (n \|\delta\| (4n \|\delta\|)^{-1} + 3/4)2^{-n-1} = 2^{-n-1}$

$$\begin{split} & | f(e_n w_n^{-p} \delta(w_n^p) e_n) - 1 | \xi | | f(e_n w_n^{-1} \delta(w_n) e_n) - 1 | + 2^{-n-1} \xi | \\ & \xi | | f(e_n v^{-1} u_n^{-1} \delta(u_n v) e_n) - 1 | + 2 | | | \delta | | | | | | | | | | | | + 2^{-n-1} | \xi | \\ & \xi | | | f(e_n v^{-1} \delta(v) e_n) - 1 | + 2^{-n} = 2^{-n}. \end{split}$$

If nppo and spp then by (i), (c) and (b) we have:

$$\begin{split} & \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s} \delta(w_{n}^{p}) e_{n}) \right| \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p-1} \delta(w_{n}) e_{n}) \right| + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p-1} u_{n}vv^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 4^{-1}2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 2 \cdot 4^{-1}2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-s+p}v^{-1} \delta(v) e_{n}) \right| + 3 \cdot 4^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n-1} + 2^{-n-1} \leq \\ & \leq \left| f(e_{n}w_{n}^{-$$

Finally if p<0 then by (ii) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have for any s:

$$\left| f(e_n w_n^{-s} \delta(w_n^p) e_n) \right| \leq \left\| \delta(w_n^p) e_n \right\| e^{\leq 2^{-n}}$$

This shows that e_n and w_n as defined before fulfill conditions (1)-(4).

We now define $A_n \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the von Neumann algebra generated by w_n , $p_n \in \mathbb{G}(L^2(\mathbb{M}_n f))$ be the orthogonal projections onto $\overline{A_n e_n}$, the isometries $u_n : L^2(\mathbb{T}_n \mu) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{M}_n f)$ (where μ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the thorus \mathbb{T}) be defined by $u_n(z^k) = f(e_n)^{-1/2} w_n^k e_n$ and the measure preserving isomorphism $\Psi_n : L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_n \mu) \mapsto (A_n, f(e_n)^{-1} f(.e_n))$ by $\Psi_n(z^k) = w_n^k$. Moreover we define $\delta_n : L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_n \mu) \mapsto \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}_n \mu))$ by $\delta_n(f) = u_n^k \delta(\Psi_n(f)) u_n$ for $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_n \mu)$. Since $p_n = u_n u_n^k \in A_n$, an easy computation shows that all δ_n are derivations and clearly $\|\delta_n\| \leq \|\delta\|$.

Let ω be a free ultrafilter on N and denote $\Delta: L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_{\mu}\mu) \longmapsto \mathbb{G}(L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\mu}\mu))$ by $\Delta(f) = w - \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_{n}(f)$. Then Δ is also a derivation and $\|\Delta\| \leq \|\delta\|$. We show that if P denotes the orthogonal projection onto the Hardy space $H^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\mu}\mu) = \sup_{n \to \infty} \{z^{k} \mid k > 0\} \subset L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\mu}\mu)$ then $\Delta = \operatorname{ad} P$ and Δ is a continuous

function from the unit ball of $L^{co}(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}\mu)$ with the norm

 $\|\cdot\|_2$ into $\Re(\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{T},\mu))$ with the uniform norm. To prove the first assertion note that by $(4) \Big\langle \mathcal{S}_n(z^p) \mathbf{1}, z^s \Big\rangle = \Re(e_n w_n^{-s} \mathcal{S}(w_n^p) e_n)$ tend to 1 for p=s>0 and to 0 otherwise so that $\Big\langle \mathcal{L}(z^p) \mathbf{1}, z^s \Big\rangle$, is equal to 1 if p=s>0 and to 0 otherwise. Since ad P also satisfies these equalities and \triangle , ad P are derivations it follows that $\Big\langle \mathcal{L}(z^p) z^k, z^s \Big\rangle = \Big\langle \operatorname{ad} P(z^p) z^k, z^s \Big\rangle$ for all k,p,se% and thus, by linearity and weak continuity of \triangle and ad P, \triangle ad P.

To prove the second assertion (i.e. the continuity result on Δ) note first that:

(*) Given $\beta\!>\!0$ there exists $n_0\!\!>\!\!1$ and $\alpha\!>\!0$ such that for any $n\!\!>\!n_0$ and $a\in\!A_n$, with $\|a\|\!\!\leq\!\!1$ and $\gamma(e_na^{\!\!/\!\!\!\!/}ae_n)\!\!<\!\!\alpha$, we have $\|\|S(a)\|\|\!\!<\!\!\beta$.

Indeed, since $N\ni x \mapsto f(xe)$ is faithfull on N by 4.1 there exists $\alpha'>0$ such that if $a\in N$, $\|a\|\leqslant 1$, $f(ea^{\frac{\pi}{2}}ae)<\alpha'$ then $\|\delta(a)\|<\beta$. Let n_o be such that if $n>n_o$ then $f(e^{\frac{\pi}{2}}ae)<\alpha'/2$. If we take $\alpha=\alpha'/2$ and if $f(e_na^{\frac{\pi}{2}}ae_n)\leqslant \alpha$ then we get $f(ea^{\frac{\pi}{2}}ae)\leqslant \alpha'/2=\alpha'$, so that $\|\delta(a)\|<\beta$.

Now the required continuity assertion on Δ states that given any $\beta>0$ there exists $\alpha>0$ such that if $f\in L^\infty(\mathbb{T},\mu)$, $\|\xi\|_2 \leqslant 1 \text{ and } \|f\|_2 \leqslant \alpha \text{ then } \|\Delta(f)\xi\| \leqslant \beta \text{ for any } \xi\in L^2(\mathbb{T},\mu),$ $\|\xi\|_2 \leqslant 1. \text{ In fact it is sufficient to check this for } \xi$ Laurent polynomials, $\xi = \sum_{|k| \leq m} \alpha_k z^k \text{ (with } \sum |\alpha_k|^2 \leq 1 \text{)}.$ Let α be the one given by $(\frac{\pi}{\alpha})$. Then if $a_n = \Psi_n(f)$ we have $\|\Delta(f)\xi\| \leqslant \lim\sup_{n \to \infty} \|\delta_n(f)\xi\| = \lim\sup_{n \to \infty} \|p_n\delta(a_n)p_n(\sum_{|k| \leq m} \alpha_k w_n^k)e_n\|_{\varphi} \leqslant \lim\sup_{n \to \infty} \|\delta(a_n)(\sum_{|k| \leq m} \alpha_k w_n^k)e_n\|_{\varphi}.$ But $\|(\sum_{|k| \leq m} \alpha_k w_n^k)e_n\|_{\varphi}.$

We have thus proved that ad P is continuous from the unit ball of $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu)$ with the two-norm into $\mathfrak{R}(L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu))$ with the uniform norm. But ad P takes values into the finite rank operators for all the polynomials in $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu)$ so that by the above continuity it follows that ad P takes values into $\mathfrak{R}(L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu))$ on all $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu)$. But then by $\frac{1}{2}5$ (the abelian case of the theorem) ad P is equal to ad K for some $\mathfrak{K}\in K(L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu))$ It follows that $P-K\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu)$ and thus P-K is a multiplication operator M_{p} of for some function $f\in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu)$ (since $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu)$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{R}(L^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{r}\mu))$. But $1=\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle (P-K) z^{n}, z^{n} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}_{r}} \mathbb{T}_{r} d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}_{r}} \mathbb{T}_{r} d\mu(z) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle (P-K) z^{n}, z^{n} \rangle = 0$, which is a contradiction.

The initial assumption $\delta \neq 0$ is therefore false and so theorem 1.1 is completely proved.

8. The counterexample:proof of theorem 1.2

The most simple yet typical situation when the condition of local compatibility between N and $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ is not fulfilled, for abelian (or more generally finite type I) is when M is the algebra $L^{\infty}([0,1],\lambda)\otimes \mathcal{Z}(L^2(\mathbb{T},\mu))$ and $N=1\otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T},\mu)$, where μ is the Lebesgue measure the on thorus \mathbb{T} and λ is the Lebesgue measure on the unit interval [0,1].

It is well known that $L^{\infty}([0,1],\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T},\mu))$ can be identified with $L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T},\mu)))$ with L^{∞} having here the obvious significance (i.e. weak λ -measurable functions of [0,1] into $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T},\mu))$, uniformly bounded, considered modulo a.p.t. vanishing such functions). Under this identification the ideal J(M) may be identified with the functions in $L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T},\mu)))$ which take values a.p.t. in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. We denote this set by $L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}))$.

The subalgebra N=1 x $L^\infty(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ in turn becomes the algebra of all constant, $L^\infty(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ valued functions on $\begin{bmatrix}0,1\end{bmatrix}$.

Moreover the center of M may be identified with the scalar valued functions on [0,1], i.e. $\mathcal{L}(M) = L([0,1], CI,)$.

Note also that the von Neumann algebra generated by N and Z(M) is $\widetilde{N}=L^{\infty}([0,1],L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T},\mu))\subset M=L^{\infty}([0,1],\mathbb{G}(L^{2}(\mathbb{T},\mu)))$

Now as general observation concerning problems on derivations into J(M) is as follows: by theorem 1.1 if the von Neumann subalgebra N contains the center of M then any derivation S of N into J(M) is implemented by an element in J(M); thus, if N does not contain $\mathcal{L}(M)$, it is natural to try to show that the unique extention of S to the von Neumann algebra generated by N and $\mathcal{L}(M)$ still take values into J(M). It turns out that this is not allways the case. More precisely we will construct an element $T \in M = L^{\infty}([0,1], \mathcal{L}^2(T,\mu)))$ so that $[T,N] \subset J(M)$ but so that $[T,N] \not\subset J(M)$. Then if $K \in J(M)$ would be so that $T = K \in N^* \cap M$, it would follow that ad T = ad K on \widetilde{N} so that $[T,\widetilde{N}] \subset J(M)$, a contradiction.

The key point of the construction of an element T as above is the next.

^{8.1.} Lemma. There exists $T_0 \in \Re(L^2(T,\mu))$ such that:

- 10. Given any measurable set ECT with 1 \in T a point of Lebesgue density 0 or 1 for E, the projection $e= \underset{E}{\times} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T},\mu) \text{ satisfies } \big[\mathbb{T}_{0},\ e\big] \in \mathcal{K}(L^{2}(\mathbb{T},\mu)).$
- 2°. There exists a projection $e_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}, \mu)$ such that $[T_0, e_0] \notin \mathbb{K}(L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mu))$.

Before proving this lemma let us show how one can construct from the operator To the desired element T in M.

8.2. Proposition. Let U be the unitary element in $\text{M=L}^{\text{OO}}(\left[0,1\right],\left(\mathbb{R}\left(\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}\mu)\right)\right)) \text{ defined by } \text{U=}(\text{U}_{t})_{0\leq t\leq 1} \text{ with } \\ \text{U}_{t}:\text{L}^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}\mu) \longleftrightarrow \text{L}^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{\ell}\mu), \quad (\text{U}_{t}f)\left(e^{2\pi i x}\right)=f\left(e^{2\pi i\left(x+t\right)}\right), \quad x\in\left[0,1\right].$ Let \widetilde{T}_{o} be the element T_{o} of lemma 8.1 regarded as a constant function in M (i.e. $\widetilde{T}_{o}=1\otimes T_{o}$). Then $\text{T=UT}_{o}\text{U}^{*}$ satisfies $\left[T,\text{N}\right]\text{CJ(M)}$ but there exists no KeJ(M) so that ad T=ad K on N.

Proof of 8.2. To prove that $[T,N]\subset J(M)$ it is sufficient to show that $[T,\widetilde{e}]\in J(M)$) for any projection $\widetilde{e}\in N=1$ \otimes $L^\infty(T,\mu)$. Thus we have to show that given any projection $e\in L^\infty(T,\mu)$ we have $[U_t \ T_0 \ U_t^{\frac{1}{2}},\ e]\in \mathcal{K}(L^2(T,\mu))$ for λ -almost all $t\in [0,1]$.

Now if $e=\sum_E$ for some measurable subset $E\subset T$ then by Lebesgue's theorem for almost all $t\in [0,1]$, $e^{2\pi it}$ has density 0 or 1. But if t is so that $e^{2\pi it}\in T$ is a point of density 0 or in E then the set E_t corresponding to the projection U_t^* e U_t (i.e. $X_E = U_t^* e U_t$) has density 0 or 1 in the point leT. Thus by 8.1, 1°, $[T_0, U_t^* e U_t] \in K(L^2(T,\mu))$ which shows that $[U_t, T_0, U_t^*] \in K(L^2(T,\mu))$.

This shows that $\left[U_{t} T_{0} U_{t}^{*}, e\right] \in \mathcal{K} \lambda$ - a.e. in $t \in [0,1]$ and proves that $T = U T_{0} U^{*}$ satisfies $[T,N] \in \mathcal{K}(L^{2}(T,\mu))$.

Now if $K \in J(M) = L^{\infty}([0,1], K(L^{2}(T,\mu)))$ is such that ad T = ad K on N then, since the elements in $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ commutes with both T and K, it follows that ad T = ad K on $N = vN(N, \mathcal{Z}(M))$ = $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}[0,1], L^{\infty}(T,\mu))$. But $U \cap U^{\frac{1}{2}} = N$ and more precisely $\widetilde{e} = (U_{t}e_{0}U_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}})_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ is in $L^{\infty}([0,1], L^{\infty}(T,\mu))$ so that $[T,\widetilde{e}] = [K, \widetilde{e}] \in J(M)$ which means that $[T_{0},e_{0}] = [U_{t}, T_{0}, U_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}, U_{t}, U_{t}, U_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}] \in K(L^{2}(T,\mu))$ for λ -almost all $t \in [0,1]$. But this contradicts 8.1, 2° .

Q.E.D.

Proof of 8.1. Let A_n , B_n be subsets of T defined as follows: $A_n = \exp(2\pi i \left[1/2^{2n}, 1/2^{2n-1}\right)$, $B_n = \exp(2\pi i \left[1/2^{2n+1}, 1/2^{2n-1}\right)$, for each $n \ge 1$.

For an element $\text{feL}^2(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ we denote by $\|\text{f}\|_2$ its norm. We define $\mathbb{Y}_n = \mathbb{Y}_n = \mathbb{Y}$

Note that $\{\xi_n\}_n$ \cup $\{\eta_n\}_n$ is is an orthonormal family of vectors in L^2(\mathbb{T},μ).

If $\xi,\eta\in L^2(\mathbb{T},\mu)$ we denote by $p_{\xi,\eta}$ the one dimensional vector in $\mathfrak{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T},\mu))$ defined by

P = (3) = (5, 7) }

We define $T_0 = \sum_n p_n$ (the infinite sum is so-convergent because ξ_n , η_m are all mutually orthogonal vectors). Note that in fact T_0 is a partial isometry with $T_0^2 = 0$.

Let ECT be a measurable set of density zero in 1. We show that e= $\chi_{\rm E}$ satisfies eTo, Toeck(L^2(T, μ)). Indeed, we have eTo= $\chi_{\rm E}$. Since the vectors

 $\left\{\chi_{E}\,\xi_{n}\right\}_{n} \text{ are mutually orthogonal in } L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T},\mu\right), \text{ to show that } \\ e_{o}\text{T is compact it is sufficient to show that} \\ \left\|\chi_{E}\,\xi_{n}\right\|_{2} \longmapsto 0. \quad \text{But } \left\|\chi_{E}\,\xi_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{\mu(E\,\cap\,A_{n})}{\mu\left(A_{n}\right)} \leqslant \frac{\mu(E\,\cap\,\exp\left(2\pi i\left[-1/2^{2n-1}\right]/2^{2n-1}\right)}{\mu\left(A_{n}\right)} = \frac{\mu\left(A_{n}\right)}{\mu\left(A_{n}\right)}$

$$= \mu(\text{E} \cap \exp(2\pi i [-1/2^{2n-1}, 1/2^{2n-1}]))$$

$$\mu(\exp(2\pi i [-1/2^{2n-1}, 1/2^{2n-1}]))$$

and this last term tends to zero, because 1 is point of density zero for E.

Similary, we have $T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \cdot \chi_{T_i} \cdot \chi_{T$

Now to show that T_o also satisfies condition 8.1, $2^O, \text{ let } E_o \subset T \text{ be a measurable set so that } \mu(E_o \cap A_n) = \\ = \mu(A_n)/2 \text{ and } \mu(E_o \cap B_n) = \mu(B_n)/2 \text{ (e.g. take } E_o \text{ to be the union of the halfs of each interval } A_n \text{ or } B_n).$

It is easy to see that if $e_0 = \chi_{E_0}$ then $[T_0, e_0] = \sum_n p(1-\chi_{E_0})\xi_n, \chi_{E_0} \eta_n - \sum_n p\chi_{E_0}\xi_n, (1-\chi_{E_0})\eta_n$.

Moreover the vectors $\{\chi_{E_0}\xi_n, (1-\chi_{E_0})\xi_n, \chi_{E_0}\eta_n, (1-\chi_{E_0})\eta_n \}$ are all mutually orthogonal.

Thus, to prove that $[T_0,e_0] \not \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(T,\mu))$ it is sufficient to show that $[X_E, \eta_n] = \frac{2}{2} \|(1-\chi_E)\eta_n\|^2 \int_{0}^{2} does$ not tend.

to zero.

$$\operatorname{But} \left\| \chi_{E_0} \xi_n \right\|_2^2 \left\| (1 - \chi_{E_0}) \eta_n \right\|_2^2 = \frac{\mu(E_0 \cap A_n)}{\mu(A_n)} \frac{\mu(B_n \cap F_0)}{\mu(B_n)}$$

= 1/4.

Thus $[T_0, e_0] \notin \mathcal{K}(L^2(T,\mu))$ which ends the proof of 8.1.

- 8.3. Final Remarks. Theorem 1.2 suggests that in all the cases left open by theorem 1.1 the derivation problem into the compacts has a negative answer. In fact with some extra effort one may easily extend the methods of this section to get counterexamples in a large class of cases. However let us point here one case left open which deserves attention.
- 8.3.1. Problem. Let M_o be a type II_1 factor, \mathcal{H} an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and $M=L^\infty(\left[0,1\right],\ \lambda)$ \otimes M_o \otimes $S(\mathcal{H})$. Let A_o C M_o be a (maximal) abelian *-subalgebra of M_o and A_1 C M_o 1 an atomic (maximal) abelian *-subalgebra of M_o 1. Let M_o 1 \otimes M_o 1 and M=(1)1 \otimes M_o 1. Is there true that any derivation of M_o or M_o 1 into M_o 1 is inner?

REFERENCES

- 1 Douglas, R.G.: Banach algebra techniques in operator theory, 1972, Academie Press, New York and London.
- Johnson, B.E.; Kadison, R.V.; Ringrose, J.R.; Cohomology of operator algebras, III, Bull.Soc.Math. France, 100(1972), 73-96.
- Johnson, B.E.; Parrett, S.K.: Operators commuting modulo the set of compact operators with a von Neumann algebra, J. Funct. Analysis, 11(1972), 39-61.
- 4 Kaftal, V; Weiss, G.: Compact derivations relative to semifinite von Neumann algebras, Preprint 1984.
- Murray, P.I.; von Neumann, J.: On rings of operators, IV, Ann. Math., 44(1943), 716-808.
- Popa, S.: On a problem of R.V.Kadison on maximal abelian *z-subalgebras in factors, Invent.Math.65(1981), 269-281.
- 7 Popa, S.: The commutant modulo the set of compact operators of a von Neumann algebra, J. Funct. Analysis, 71 (1987), 393-408.
- 8 Popa, S.: Singular Maximal Abelians *-Sualgebras in Continuous von Neumann algebras, J.Funct.Analysis, 50(1983), 151-166.
- 9 Rădulescu, F.: Derivations into C^P spaces of a von Neumann algebra are inner, Preprint 1985.
- 10 Strătilă, S.; Zsido, L.: Lectures on von Neumann algebras, 1979, Editura Academiei, Bucharest and Abacus Press, London.

- Umegaki, N.: Conditional expectations in an operator algebra, I, Tohoku Math.J., 6(1954), 358-362.
 - 12 Zygmind