

INSTITUTUL  
DE  
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL  
PENTRU CREATIE  
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

---

*SPECTRAL SPACES AND MORGAN-SHALEN  
COMPACTIFICATION OF ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES  
OVER LOCALLY COMPACT FIELDS:  
A MODEL THEORETIC APPROACH*

*by*

*Serban A. BASARAB*

*PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS*

*No. 11/1988*

---

BUCURESTI

*new 24812*

SPECTRAL SPACES AND MORGAN-SHALEN COMPACTIFICATION  
OF ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES OVER LOCALLY COMPACT FIELDS:  
A MODEL THEORETIC APPROACH

by

Serban A. BASARAB\*

*February 1988*

*\*) Department of Mathematics, The National Institute for  
Scientific and Technical Creation, Bd. Pacii 220, 79622  
Bucharest, Romania.*

SPECTRAL SPACES AND MORGAN-SHALEN COMPACTIFICATION  
OF ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES OVER LOCALLY COMPACT FIELDS: A  
MODEL THEORETIC APPROACH

by

Serban A. BASARAB

Introduction

In the fundamental paper [26], J.W.Morgan and P.B.Shalen give, among other nice things, an original procedure of compactification of an arbitrary affine variety defined over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers or the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers. Reading by chance their paper, the author of the present work realized that their construction can be equivalently described in terms of Robinson's non-standard analysis, by replacing the sequences of points on the variety by non-standard points on an enlargement of the given variety. The non-standard point of view has the advantage to put in evidence an elementary extension of the base field, a sort of universal domain, equipped with a canonic non-archimedean valuation, playing the role of a "generic point" for the Riemann spaces of valuations on the fields of rational functions on the irreducible components of the variety. The author also realized that the main Theorems



I.3.7, I.4.4 given in Section I of [26] can be alternatively proved by using basic model theoretic methods. It seemed natural to try to extend the afore mentioned results to the case when the base field is a local field, i.e., a Cauchy complete discrete valued field with finite residue field.

The task to give an unitary model theoretic approach of the Morgan-Shalen compactification over arbitrary locally compact fields of characteristic zero is one of the main goals of the present paper. The another one, intimately related to the first one, but having a more general character, is to treat in an unitary model theoretic way some significant classes of spectral spaces induced by first order theories of fields.

The paper is organized in seven sections as follows. Section 1 introduces the reader to some basic model theoretic results concerning the algebraically closed, the real closed and the  $p$ -adically closed fields. As an original contribution we mention the explicit description of the substructures, called  $\underline{K}$ -domains, of the  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field extensions of a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued base field  $\underline{K}$  (see Definition 1.11, Lemma 1.12, Theorem 1.14, ii). Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to the spectral spaces induced by theories of fields. Based on Stone's representation theorem for distributive lattices, the Lindenbaum Boolean algebra of a first order theory and Gödel's completeness theorem, a general theory of spectral spaces assigned to commutative rings is developed, containing as particular



cases the Zariski spectrum, the Coste-Roy real spectrum and the p-adic spectrum of a ring. Some basic algebraic-geometric facts concerning the affine varieties defined over arbitrary fields are discussed in this general frame. Let us mention as significant results the p-adic analogues of the Artin-Lang homomorphism theorem and of the finiteness theorem for open semialgebraic sets (see Theorems 3.15 and 3.16). The general scheme developed in Sections 2 and 3 is applied in Section 4 in order to extend the basic concept of Riemann space of a field to adequate Riemann spaces of commutative rings, including real and p-adic versions. Robinson's theorem on elimination of quantifiers for non-trivial valued algebraically closed fields and its real and p-adic analogues Theorems 4.3 and 4.6 play here an important role. The section ends with a density theorem on Riemann spaces (Theorem 4.7), which is a basic tool for the last part of the paper. Some natural continuous maps on the Riemann space of a field and a density theorem (Proposition 5.2) are considered in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the non-standard description of the Morgan-Shalen procedure of compactification over arbitrary locally compact fields. Finally, the main results concerning the compactification of affine varieties over arbitrary locally compact fields of characteristic zero make the object of Section 7.

## 1. Some model theory for fields

In this section, having a preliminary character, some basic model theoretic results concerning the algebraically closed, real closed and p-adically closed fields are stated. These results will play a key role in the rest of the paper.

The basic notions from model theory used in this paper can be found in books like [9], [12] or [33].

Denote by  $\underline{L}$  the customary first order language of rings whose vocabulary contains besides the logical symbols and the variables  $\{x_i\}_{i < \omega}$ , two binary function symbols  $+$  and  $\cdot$  standing for addition and multiplication, a unary function symbol  $-$  standing for the map  $x \mapsto -x$ , and two constants for the neutral elements  $0, 1$ .

Let  $\underline{D}$ , respectively  $\underline{ACF}$ , be the universal  $\underline{L}$ -theory of integral domains, respectively the inductive  $\underline{L}$ -theory of algebraically closed fields. The main theorem concerning the  $\underline{L}$ -theory  $\underline{ACF}$ , due to Tarski, Chevalley and Robinson reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1.  $\underline{ACF}$  admits elimination of quantifiers.

Equivalently, in geometric terms, the projection map  $K \xrightarrow{n+1} K^n$  ( $K$  algebraically closed) maps a constructible subset onto a constructible one. Equivalently, in model theoretic terms  $\underline{ACF}$  is the model completion of  $\underline{D}$ .

An analogous result due to Tarski, Seidenberg and Robinson holds for real closed fields.

Definition 1.2. An ordered domain is a pair  $\underline{A} = (A, P)$  where  $A$  is an integral domain and  $P$  is a subset of  $A$ , called



order, subject to the conditions: i)  $P \neq P \subset P$ ; ii)  $P \cdot P \subset P$ ; iii)  $P \cup -P = A$  and iv)  $P \cap -P = \{0\}$ . The ordered domain A is an ordered field if A is a field.

Definition 1.3. The field K is real closed if  $\underline{K} = (K, K^2)$  is an ordered field and each polynomial  $f \in K[X]$  of odd degree has a root in K.

Let  $\underline{L}_2$  be the language L augmented with a one-place relation symbol P, standing for order. Denote by OD the universal  $\underline{L}_2$ -theory of ordered domains. Let RCF be the inductive  $\underline{L}_2$ -theory obtained from the L-theory of real closed fields by adding the axiom - definition  $P(x_1) \leftrightarrow (\exists x_2) (x_1 = x_2^2)$ . Now the analogue of Theorem 1.1 reads as follows:

Theorem 1.4. RCF admits elimination of quantifiers.

Equivalently, the projection map  $K \xrightarrow{n+1} K^n$  (K real closed) maps a semialgebraic subset onto a semialgebraic one. Equivalently, RCF is the model completion of OD.

A quite similar result, due to MacIntyre [24] and Prestel Roquette [28], holds for the p-adically closed fields. More general results concerning the relative elimination of quantifiers for Henselian valued fields of characteristic zero are proved by Weispfenning [39] by primitive recursive techniques and by the author [5] by algebraic and basic model theoretic methods.

Definition 1.5. Given a prime number p and the positive integers e and f, a p-valued field of type (e, f) is a valued field  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  satisfying i) K is of characteristic zero,



while the residue field  $K_v$  is of characteristic  $p$ ;  
 ii) the maximal ideal  $\underline{m}_v$  of the valuation ring  $O_v$  is principal, say  $\underline{m}_v = \pi O_v$ ; putting  $v(\pi) = 1$ , the ordered group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is identified with a convex subgroup of the value group  $vK$ ;  
 iii) the p-ramification index  $v(p)$  is  $e$  and the residue degree is  $f$ , i.e.,  $K_v \cong \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = p^f$ .

Definition 1.6. A p-valued field  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  of type  $(e, f)$  is called p-adically closed if  $\underline{K}$  does not admit any proper algebraic p-valued field extension of the same type.

By Zorn's lemma, if  $\underline{K}$  is p-valued of type  $(e, f)$  there exists a maximal p-valued algebraic extension  $\widetilde{\underline{K}}$  of the same type. Any such valued field  $\widetilde{\underline{K}}$  is called a p-adic closure of  $\underline{K}$ . The p-adic closure of a p-valued field  $\underline{K}$  is not necessarily unique. The most prominent examples of p-adically closed fields are those which are locally compact with respect to the given valuation. They can be characterized as the completions of finite algebraic number fields with respect to a nonarchimedean valuation.

The next lemma is very useful in the following.

Lemma 1.7. Let  $(K, v)$  be a Henselian valued field such that the value group  $vK$  has a smallest positive element 1, say  $1 = v(\pi)$  for some  $\pi \in K$ . Let  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number which is prime to the residue characteristic and  $G$  be a multiplicative subgroup of  $K^\times$  such that  $K^{\times n} \subset G$  and  $\pi \notin G$ . Then the valuation ring  $O_v$  admits the description:  $O_v = \{a \in K : 1 + \pi a^n \in G\}$ .

Proof. For  $i \geq 1$ , let us put  $O_i = \{a \in K : 1 + \pi^i a^n \in G\}$ . By Hensel's lemma we get  $1 + \pi^i a^n \in O_v^{Xn} \subset G$ , and hence  $O_v \subset O_i$  for  $i \geq 1$ . In particular,  $O_v \subset O_1$ . Conversely, let  $a \in O_1$  and assume that  $v(a) < 0$ . As  $\pi^{-1} a^{-1} \in O_v \subset O_{n-1}$ , we get  $(1 + \pi a^n)^{n-1} (1 + \pi^{n-1} (\pi^{-1} a^{-1})^n) = \pi^{-1} a^{-n} (1 + \pi a^n)^n \in G$ , and hence  $\pi \in G$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $O_v = O_1$ , as required. ■

Lemma 1.8. Given a  $p$ -valued field  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  of type  $(e, f)$  and a field extension  $F$  of  $K$ , the next statements are equivalent:

- i) There exists a valuation  $w$  of  $F$  such that  $\underline{F} = (F, w)$  is a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field extension of  $\underline{K}$  of type  $(e, f)$ .
- ii) There exists a unique valuation  $w$  of  $F$  satisfying the condition above.
- iii) Let  $\pi \in K$  be such that  $v(\pi) = 1$  and let  $O = \{a \in F : 1 + \pi a^2 \in F^2\}$  if  $p \neq 2$ , respectively  $O = \{a \in F : 1 + \pi a^3 \in F^3\}$  if  $p = 2$ . Then  $O$  is a Henselian valuation ring of  $F$  lying over  $O_v$ , the canonic morphism  $O_v / p O_v \rightarrow O / p O$  is an isomorphism (equivalently,  $\pi O$  is the maximal ideal of  $O$  and the residue field  $O / \pi O$  is isomorphic to  $K_v \simeq \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q = p^f$ ) and the value group  $F^X / O^X$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -group, i.e.,  $F^X / \pi \mathbb{Z} O^X$  is divisible.

Proof. Let  $\underline{F} = (F, w)$  be a  $p$ -valued field extension of  $\underline{K}$  of type  $(e, f)$ . According to [28] Theorem 3.1, the necessary and sufficient condition for  $\underline{F}$ , to be  $p$ -adically closed is that  $\underline{F}$  is Henselian and its value group  $wF$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -group, i.e.,



$\mathbb{W}\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Z}$  is divisible. Thus, the lemma is immediate, thanks to Lemma 1.7. ■

Remark. According to [28] Theorem 6.15, we may take  $O = \gamma F = \{\gamma(a) : a \in F\}$ , where  $\gamma(X) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{X^q - X}{(X^q - X)^2 - 1}$  is the Kochen-Roquette operator.

The previous lemma shows that the class of p-adically closed p-valued fields of type (e, f) extending the given p-valued field  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  of type (e, f) is axiomatizable in terms of the language  $\underline{L}_K$  of rings augmented by constants standing for the elements of K.

Definition 1.9. ([25], 4.2). A valued domain is a pair  $\underline{A} = (A, R)$ , where A is an integral domain and R is a binary relation satisfying i) R is transitive; ii)  $aRb$  or  $bRa$ ; iii)  $aRb$  and  $aRc \Rightarrow aR(b+c)$ ; iv)  $c \neq 0 \Rightarrow (aRb \Leftrightarrow acRbc)$ ; v) not OR1.

Given an integral domain A there is a canonical bijection between the structures of valued domains on A and the valuation rings of the quotient field  $K = Q(A)$  of A, given by  $R \mapsto O_R = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} : a, b \in A, b \neq 0, bRa \right\}$ , whose inverse is given by  $O_v \mapsto R_v = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : v(a) \leq v(b)\}$ .

Definition 1.10. A p-valued domain of type (e, f) is a valued domain  $\underline{A} = (A, R)$  satisfying i)  $\underline{K} = (Q(A), v_R)$  is a p-valued field of type (e, f) and ii) the canonic map  $O_A \rightarrow O_{v_R/p} O_{v_R}$  is onto, where  $O_A = \{a \in A : 1 Ra\} = O_{v_R} \cap A$ .



Definition 1.11. Given a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field  $\underline{K}=(K, v)$  of type  $(e, f)$ , a  $\underline{K}$ -domain is a structure  $\underline{A}=(A, P_n: n \geq 2)$ , where  $A$  is an integral domain extending  $K$  and  $P_n \subset A$  for  $n \geq 2$  such that the next conditions are satisfied:

- i)  $A^n \subset P_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ ;
- ii)  $P_n^X = P_n \setminus \{0\}$  is a monoid with respect to multiplication and  $(P_n^X)^{-1} \cdot P_n \cap A = P_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , i.e.,  $(a \in A, b \in P_n, c \in P_n^X \text{ and } ac=b) \Rightarrow a \in P_n$ ;
- iii)  $K \cap P_n = K^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ ;
- iv)  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell_n} c_i P_n$ , where  $c_1, \dots, c_{\ell_n} \in K^X$  is a system of representatives of  $K^X / K^{Xn}$ ;
- v)  $m | n \Rightarrow P_n \subset P_m$ ;
- vi) let  $R = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : a^2 + \pi b^2 \in P_2\}$  if  $p \neq 2$ , respectively  $R = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : a^3 + \pi b^3 \in P_3\}$  if  $p=2$ , where  $\pi \in K$  satisfies  $v(\pi)=1$ ; then  $(A, R)$  is a  $p$ -valued domain of type  $(e, f)$  extending  $\underline{K}$ ;
- vii) if  $a \in A, b \in P_n^X$  and  $(\pi n^2 b)R a$  then  $a+b \in P_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

The next lemma is immediate.

Lemma 1.12. Let  $\underline{K}=(K, v)$  be a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field of type  $(e, f)$ ,  $F$  be a field extension of  $K$  and  $(P_n)_{n \geq 2}$  be a family of subsets of  $F$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for  $\underline{F}=(F, P_n: n \geq 2)$  to be a  $\underline{K}$ -domain (then we say that  $\underline{F}$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -field) is that

- i)  $F^n \subset P_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ ;
- ii)  $P_n^X$  is a subgroup of  $F^X$  for  $n \geq 2$ ;
- iii) the canonic morphism  $K^X / K^{Xn} \rightarrow F^X / P_n^X$  is an isomorphism for  $n \geq 2$ ;

iv)  $m \nmid n \Rightarrow P_n \subset P_m$ ;

v) let  $O = \{a \in F: 1 + \pi a^2 \in P_2\}$  if  $p \neq 2$ , respectively  $O = \{a \in F: 1 + \pi a^3 \in P_3\}$  if  $p = 2$ ; then  $O$  is a valuation ring of  $F$  with corresponding valuation  $w$  and  $(F, w)$  is a  $p$ -valued field extension of  $(K, v)$  of the same type as  $(K, v)$ ;

vi)  $1 + \frac{m}{w} 2v(n) + 1 \in P_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

Lemma 1.13. Let  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  be a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field of type  $(e, f)$  and  $A$  be an integral domain extending  $K$ . The map  $(P_n)_{n \geq 2} \mapsto ((P_n^X)^{-1} P_n = \{ \frac{a}{b} : a \in P_n, b \in P_n^X \})_{n \geq 2}$  is a bijection from the set of  $\underline{K}$ -domains with underlying domain  $A$  onto the set of  $\underline{K}$ -fields with underlying field  $F = Q(A)$ , whose inverse is given by  $(P_n)_{n \geq 2} \mapsto (P_n \cap A)_{n \geq 2}$ .

The proof is easy.

With  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  as above, let  $(\underline{L}_{\omega})_K$  be the augmentation of the language  $\underline{L}$  of rings with constants standing for the elements of  $K$  and one-place relation symbols  $P_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Denote by  $\underline{D}_{\underline{K}}$  the universal  $(\underline{L}_{\omega})_K$ -theory of  $\underline{K}$ -domains and by  $pCF_{\underline{K}}$  the inductive  $(\underline{L}_{\omega})_K$ -theory obtained from the  $\underline{L}_{\underline{K}}$ -theory of  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued fields of type  $(e, f)$  extending  $\underline{K}$ , by adding the axioms - definitions  $P_n(x_1) \leftrightarrow (\exists x_2) x_1 = x_2^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . The following theorem is an analogue of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4.

Theorem 1.14. Assume  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  is a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field of type  $(e, f)$ .

i)  $pCF_{\underline{K}}$  admits elimination of quantifiers.



ii) The complete  $(\underline{L})_{\underline{K}}$ -theory  $p_{\underline{K}}^{CF}$  is the model completion of  $\underline{D}_{\underline{K}}$ .

Proof. i) is immediate by [28] Theorem 5.6. In order to prove ii), a model theoretic reformulation of i) according to [33] Theorem 13.2, it suffices to show that  $\underline{D}_{\underline{K}} = (p_{\underline{K}}^{CF})_{\forall}$ , the set of all universal  $(\underline{L})_{\underline{K}}$ -sentences which follow from  $p_{\underline{K}}^{CF}$ . In semantic terms, we have to show that, given an integral domain  $A$  extending  $K$  and a family  $(P_n)_{n \geq 2}$  of subsets of  $A$ ,  $\underline{A} = (A, P_n: n \geq 2)$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -domain iff  $\underline{A}$  is an  $(\underline{L})_{\underline{K}}$ -substructure of some model of  $p_{\underline{K}}^{CF}$ . By Lemma 1.13, we may assume that  $A = F$  is a field.

Assume  $\underline{F} = (F, P_n: n \geq 2)$  is a substructure of some model  $\underline{L} = (L, L^n: n \geq 2)$  of  $p_{\underline{K}}^{CF}$ , i.e.,  $F$  is a subfield of  $L$  and  $P_n = F \cap L^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then the conditions i), ii), iv), v) from Lemma 1.11 are trivially satisfied. As the extension  $L/K$  is elementary and the groups  $K^X/KX_n$  are finite for  $n \geq 2$  it follows that the canonic morphism  $K^X/KX_n \rightarrow L^X/LX_n$  is an isomorphism for  $n \geq 2$ , and hence the condition iii) from Lemma 1.11 is also verified. In order to verify the condition vi) from the aforementioned lemma, let  $a \in \bigcap_{w \in W}^{2v(n)+1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and consider the polynomial  $f(X) = X^n - 1 - a \in \mathcal{O}_W[X]$ . As  $w(f(1)) > 2v(n) = 2w(f'(1))$  it follows by Newton's lemma that  $f(X)$  admits a root  $b$  in  $L$  and hence  $1+a = b^n \in F \cap L^n = P_n$ . Consequently,  $\underline{F}$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -field.

Conversely, assume that  $\underline{F}$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -field. Since the class of  $\underline{K}$ -fields is inductive it follows by Zorn's lemma that there exists a maximal algebraic  $\underline{K}$ -field extension of  $\underline{F}$ , so we may



assume from the beginning that the  $\underline{K}$ -field  $\underline{F}$  is algebraically maximal. It remains to show that  $\underline{F}$  is a model of  $pCF'_{\underline{K}}$ .

Let  $O_w$  be the valuation ring of  $F$  given by the condition v) of Lemma 1.12. First let us show that the valued field  $(F, w)$  is Henselian. Let  $(F', w')$  be the Henselization of  $(F, w)$  and consider the family  $(P'_n)_{n \geq 2}$  of subsets of  $F'$  given by  $P'_n = P_n \cdot F'^n$ . Let us show that  $\underline{F}' = (F', P'_n: n \geq 2)$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -field extension of  $\underline{F}$ . The conditions i), ii), iv) of Lemma 1.12 are obviously verified. According to Lemma 1.12, iii),  $K^X / K^{X_n} \cong$

$F^X / P_n^X$  for  $n \geq 2$ , so we have to show that the canonic morphism  $F^X / P_n^X \rightarrow F'^X / P_n'^X$  is an isomorphism for  $n \geq 2$ . First let us check that  $F \cap P'_n = P_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . As  $F \cap P'_n = P_n \cdot (F \cap F'^n)$ , it suffices to show that  $F \cap F'^n \subset P_n$ . Since  $wF = w'F'$ , we get  $F \cap F'^n = F \cap F'^n O_{v'}^{X_n} = F^n \cdot (F \cap O_{v'}^X)$ , so we have to show that  $F \cap O_{v'}^X \subset P_n$ . Let  $x \in O_{v'}^X$  be such that  $x^n \in F$ . As  $(F', w')$  is a  $p$ -valued field extension of  $(F, w)$  of type  $(e, f)$ , it follows that the canonic morphisms

$O_v / \underline{m}_v^k \rightarrow O_w / \underline{m}_w^k$  and  $O_{w'} / \underline{m}_{w'}^k \rightarrow O_w / \underline{m}_w^k$  are isomorphisms for  $k \geq 1$ .

Let  $y \in O_v^X$  be such that  $w'(x-y) > 2v(n)$ . Consequently,  $xy^{-1} \in 1 + \underline{m}_{w'}^{2v(n)+1}$ , and hence  $(xy^{-1})^n \in F \cap (1 + \underline{m}_{w'}^{2v(n)+1}) = 1 + \underline{m}_w^{2v(n)+1}$ . It follows  $x^n \in F^n \cdot (1 + \underline{m}_w^{2v(n)+1}) \subset P_n$ , according to Lemma 1.12, i) and vi) applied to the  $\underline{K}$ -field  $\underline{F}$ . Next let us show that  $F'^X = F^X \cdot P_n'^X$ .

Since  $wF = w'F'$ , we get  $F'^X = F^X \cdot O_{w'}^X$ , so it suffices to show that

$O_{w'}^X \subset F^X \cdot P_n'^X$ . Let  $x \in O_{w'}^X$  and  $y \in O_v^X$  be such that  $w'(x-y) > 2v(n)$ .

As  $(F', w')$  is Henselian, it follows by Newton's lemma that

$xy^{-1} \in O_{w'}^{X_n}$ , and hence  $x \in O_v^X \cdot O_{w'}^{X_n} \subset F^X \cdot P_n'^X$ , as required. Finally,

the condition v) from Lemma 1.12 follows by Lemma 1.7, while the condition vi) is immediate by Newton's lemma. Thus  $\underline{F'}$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -field extension of  $\underline{F}$ . As  $F'/F$  is algebraic and  $\underline{F}$  is assumed to be algebraically maximal we conclude that  $\underline{F'} = \underline{F}$ , and hence  $(F, w)$  is Henselian.

Now it remains to show that  $P_n = F^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Indeed, if so, we get in particular that  $wF = \mathbb{Z} + n \cdot wF$  for  $n \geq 2$ , i.e.,  $wF$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -group, and hence  $\underline{F}$  is a model of  $pCF_{\underline{K}}$ .

Assuming the contrary, let  $m \geq 2$  be such that  $P_m \neq F^m$ . Since  $F^{kl} = F^k \cap F^l$  and  $P_{kl} = P_k \cap P_l$  if  $(k, l) = 1$ , we may assume that  $m$  is a prime's power. Moreover we may assume that  $m$  is a prime. Indeed, if  $P_k = F^m$  for some  $m \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , then  $F^{m^{k+1}} \subset P_{m^{k+1}} = F^{m^k} \cap P_{m^{k+1}} = (K \cdot F^{m^k})^{m^k} \cap P_{m^{k+1}} \subset K^{m^{k+1}} \cdot F^{m^{2k}} \subset F^{m^{k+1}}$ , i.e.,  $P_{m^{k+1}} = F^{m^{k+1}}$ .

Let  $a \in P_m \setminus F^m$  and  $\dot{w}$  be the valuation  $F^X \xrightarrow{w} wF \rightarrow wF/\mathbb{Z}$ . First let us show that  $\dot{w}(a) \notin m \cdot \dot{w}F$ . Assuming the contrary, we get  $a = \pi^i b c^m$  with  $0 \leq i < m$ ,  $b \in O_w^X$ ,  $c \in F^X$ , so we may assume without loss of generality that  $a = \pi^i b$ , with  $0 \leq i < m$ ,  $b \in O_w^X$ . As  $O_{\frac{m}{v}}^X \cong O_{\frac{m}{w}}^X$  for  $k \geq 1$ , there exists  $d \in O_v^X$  such that  $w(b-d) > 2v(m)$ . By Newton's lemma,  $db^{-1} \in F^m$ , and hence  $\pi^i d = a \cdot (db^{-1}) \in P_m \cap K = K^m$ . It follows  $a \in F^m$  contrary to the assumption.

Now let  $t$  be an  $m$ 'th root of  $a$  in the algebraic closure of  $F$ , and let us put  $F' = F(t)$ . As  $(F, w)$  is Henselian,  $w$  extends uniquely to a valuation  $w'$  of  $F'$ . Using the same argument as in [28] Theorem 3.1, it follows that  $[F':F] = (w'F':wF) = m$  and  $(F', w')$  is a  $p$ -valued field extension of  $(F, w)$  of type  $(e, f)$ . Let  $\sqrt[n]{F} = \{x \in F'^X : x^n \in F \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$  be the group of radical ele-



ments of  $F'$  over  $F$ . According to [28] Theorem 3.8,  $w'F' = w'(\sqrt{F})$  and the canonic morphism  $\sqrt{F}/_{F^X} \rightarrow w'F'/_{w'F} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/_{m\mathbb{Z}}$  is an isomorphism, so  $\sqrt{F} = \bigcup_{0 \leq i < m} t^i F^X$ , i.e.,  $tF^X$  is a generator of the cyclic group  $\sqrt{F}/_{F^X}$ .

First let us show that  $F' = F'^n \cdot \sqrt{F} = F \cdot F'^n \cdot t^{\frac{1}{n}}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . As  $w'F' = wF + \mathbb{Z}w'(t)$ , we get  $F' = F \cdot t^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{w'}^X$ , so it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{O}_{w'}^X = \mathcal{O}_w^X \cdot \mathcal{O}_{w'}^{Xn}$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{w'}^X$ . Since  $(F', w')$  is a  $p$ -valued field extension of  $(F, w)$  of type  $(e, f)$ , there is  $y \in \mathcal{O}_w^X$  such that  $w'(x-y) > 2v(n)$ , and hence  $x = y \cdot (xy^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w^X \cdot \mathcal{O}_{w'}^{Xn}$  by Newton's lemma applied to the Henselian valued field  $(F', w')$ .

Now we define a family  $(P'_n)_{n \geq 2}$  of subsets of  $F'$  in such a way that  $\underline{F'} = (F', P'_n : n \geq 2)$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -field extension of  $F$ . Let  $n \geq 2$ . If  $m \nmid n$  let us put  $P'_n = P'_m \cdot F'^n$ . As we must have  $P'_{m \cdot n} = P'_m \cap P'_n$  for  $i \geq 1$  and  $m \nmid n$ , it remains to define  $P'_i$  for  $i \geq 1$ . In order to do this we define inductively a sequence  $(b_i)_{i \geq 0}$  of elements in  $K^X$  such that  $a_i := a \cdot \prod_{j=0}^i b_j^{m^j} \in P'_{m^{i+1}}$  for  $i \geq 0$ . For  $i=0$ , set  $b_0 = 1$ . Then  $a_0 = a \in P'_m$  by assumption. Let  $i \geq 0$  and  $(b_j)_{0 \leq j \leq i}$  be a sequence of elements in  $K^X$  such that  $a_j \in P'_{m^{j+1}}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq i$ . As  $K^{Xm^{i+1}} / K^{Xm^{i+2}} \simeq$

$P'_{m^{i+1}} / P'_{m^{i+2}}$ , we may choose some  $b_{i+1} \in K^X$  such that  $a_{i+1} = a_i b_{i+1}^{m^{i+1}} \in$

$P'_{m^{i+2}}$ . Note that the  $b_i$ 's are uniquely determined modulo  $K^{Xm} / \mu_{m^i}$ , where  $\mu_{m^i} = \{x \in K : x^{m^i} = 1\}$ , thanks to the isomorphism  $K^X / \mu_{m^i} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^{Xm^i} / \mu_{m^{i+1}}$  for  $i \geq 0$ , induced by the map  $x \mapsto x^{m^i}$ . Now let us put  $t_i = t \cdot \prod_{j=1}^i b_j^{m^{j-1}}$  for  $i \geq 0$ . We get  $t_0 = t$ ,  $t_i^m = a_i$  and



- 15 -

$t_{i+1} \cdot t_i^{-1} \in K^{X^{m^i}}$  for  $i \geq 0$ . Let us define  $P'_i = P_i F_i^{m^i} t_i^{\mathbb{Z}}$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

We have to show that  $\underline{F'}$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -field extension of  $\underline{F}$ . The conditions i), ii) and iv) of Lemma 1.12 are trivially satisfied. In order to check iii) and the fact that  $\underline{F'}$  extends  $\underline{F}$ , we have to show that the canonic morphism  $F^X/X \rightarrow F'^X/P_n^X$  is an isomorphism for each  $n \geq 2$ .

We distinguish two cases:

Case 1:  $m \nmid n$ . Let us show that  $F' = F \cdot P'_n = F \cdot F'^n$ . As  $F' = FF'^n t^{\mathbb{Z}}$  it suffices to show that  $t \in F \cdot F'^n$ . Writing  $1 = km + ln$  with  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we get  $t = a^k t^{ln} \in F \cdot F'^n$ , as required. It remains to show that  $F \cap P'_n = P_n$ . Since  $P'_n = P_n \cdot F'^n$ , it suffices to show that  $F \cap F'^n = F^n$ . Let  $x \in F'^X$  be such that  $x^n \in F$ . Thus  $x \in \sqrt[n]{F}$  and its order modulo  $F^X$  divides  $n$  and  $m$ , the order of  $\sqrt[n]{F}/F^X$ , so  $x \in F$  and  $x^n \in F^n$ .

Case 2:  $n = m^i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . As  $F' = FF'^n t^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $t \equiv t_i \pmod{F^X}$ , we get  $t \in F \cdot t_i^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset F \cdot P'_n$ , and hence  $F' = F \cdot P'_n$ . It remains to show that  $F \cap P'_n = P_n$ .

It suffices to verify that  $F \cap F'^n t_i^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset P_n$ . Let  $y \in F'^X$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $x = y^n t_i^j \in F$ . Thus  $y^n \in F^X \cdot t_i^{\mathbb{Z}} = F^X \cdot t_i^{\mathbb{Z}} = \sqrt[n]{F}$ , and hence  $y \in \sqrt[n]{F}$ , i.e.,  $y = z t_i^k$  with  $z \in F^X$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consequently,  $x = z^n t_i^{kn+j} \in F^X$ , and  $m \mid kn+j$ . As  $n = m^i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , we get  $m \mid j$ , and hence  $x = z^n a_i^{km^{i-1} + \frac{j}{m}}$  since  $a_i \in P_{nm}$ .

Finally, the condition v) from Lemma 1.12 is a consequence of Lemma 1.7, while the condition vi) follows by Newton's lemma. Thus  $\underline{F'}$  is a proper algebraic  $\underline{K}$ -field extension of  $\underline{F}$ , contrary

to the assumption that  $\underline{F}$  is algebraically maximal. ■

Given a  $\underline{K}$ -field  $\underline{F}$ , there exists by Zorn's lemma a maximal algebraic  $\underline{K}$ -field extension  $\tilde{\underline{F}}$  of  $\underline{F}$ . According to the proof of Theorem 1.14,  $\tilde{\underline{F}}$  is a model of  $pCF_{\underline{K}}$ ; call it a p-adic closure of the  $\underline{K}$ -field  $\underline{F}$ . The following statement shows that the concept above is a suitable analogue of the concepts of algebraic closure of a field and real closure of an ordered field.

Theorem 1.15. Let  $\underline{K}$  be a p-adically closed p-valued field of type  $(e, f)$ . Given a  $\underline{K}$ -field  $\underline{F} = (F, P_n: n \geq 2)$ , its p-adic closure is unique up to an  $\underline{F}$ -isomorphism.

Proof. Let  $\tilde{\underline{F}}_i$ ,  $i=1,2$ , be p-adic closures of  $\underline{F}$ , and let  $Z_i = \{f \in F[X] : f \text{ has a root in } \tilde{\underline{F}}_i\}$  for  $i=1,2$ . According to Theorem 1.14, the  $\underline{K}$ -fields  $\tilde{\underline{F}}_1$  and  $\tilde{\underline{F}}_2$  are elementarily equivalent over  $\underline{F}$ , and hence  $Z_1 = Z_2$ . As the field extensions  $\tilde{\underline{F}}_1/\underline{F}$  and  $\tilde{\underline{F}}_2/\underline{F}$  are algebraic separable we may apply [1] Lemma 5 and conclude that  $\tilde{\underline{F}}_1$  and  $\tilde{\underline{F}}_2$  are isomorphic over  $\underline{F}$  as fields. Then, obviously, the  $\underline{K}$ -fields  $\tilde{\underline{F}}_1$  and  $\tilde{\underline{F}}_2$  are isomorphic over the  $\underline{K}$ -field  $\underline{F}$ . ■

Remark. The theorem above is an immediate consequence of [28] Corollary 3.11. A general criterion for two algebraic Henselian valued field extensions of a given valued field of characteristic zero to be isomorphic over the given valued field is proved by the author in [6].



## 2. Spectral spaces induced by theories of fields:

### Affine varieties over arbitrary fields

A key role in the following two sections is played by the celebrated Stone's duality theorem. Consider the category  $\underline{DL}$  whose objects are the distributive lattices  $\underline{L} = (L, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  with the customary binary operations  $\vee, \wedge$ , a smallest element 0 and a greatest element 1 with respect to the partial order  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a = a \wedge b \Leftrightarrow b = a \vee b$ . If  $\underline{L}, \underline{L}'$  are objects of  $\underline{DL}$  then the set  $\underline{DL}(\underline{L}, \underline{L}')$  of morphisms from  $\underline{L}$  to  $\underline{L}'$  consists of the maps  $f: L \rightarrow L'$  satisfying  $f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b)$ ,  $f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b)$  for  $a, b \in L$ , and  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(1) = 1$ .

On the other hand let us consider the category  $\underline{SS}$  whose objects are usually called spectral spaces by ring theorists, respectively coherent spaces by category and lattice theorists. Thus the objects of  $\underline{SS}$  are the topological spaces  $X$  satisfying i)  $X$  is sober, i.e., every irreducible closed subset of  $X$  is the closure of a unique point of  $X$ , and ii) the family  $L(X)$  of quasi-compact open subsets of  $X$  is closed under finite intersection (in particular,  $X$  itself is quasi-compact) and forms a base for the topology of  $X$ . A morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\underline{SS}$ , called a coherent map, is a (continuous) map subject to  $f^{-1}(U) \in L(X)$  for each  $U \in L(Y)$ .

Theorem 2.1 (Stone's representation theorem for distributive lattices). The category  $\underline{DL}$  is dual to the category  $\underline{SS}$ . This duality induces a duality between the category  $\underline{BA}$  of Boolean algebras and the category  $\underline{BS}$  of Boolean spaces (i.e., compact to-

Med 248 12

tally disconnected spaces).

Proof. The duality sends a distributive lattice  $L$  to the prime spectrum  $S(L)$  of proper prime filters of  $L$ ; its open sets may be identified with arbitrary ideals of  $L$ , a point  $F \in S(L)$  being in an open set  $I \in \text{Id}(L)$  iff  $F \cap I$  is non-empty. Conversely, the duality sends a spectral space  $X$  to the distributive lattice  $L(X)$  of all quasi-compact open subsets of  $X$ . For details, see [19] Ch.II. ■

In particular, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are spectral spaces, and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a coherent epi,  $L(Y)$  is identified with the sublattice  $\{f^{-1}(U) : U \in L(Y)\}$  of  $L(X)$ , and  $Y$  is canonically isomorphic over  $X$  with the quotient space  $Y' = X/\sim$ , where  $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \{U \in L(Y) : f(x) \in U\} = \{U \in L(Y) : f(y) \in U\}$ , whose base is the family of sets  $\{x \bmod \sim : f(x) \in U\}$  for all  $U \in L(Y)$ . Thus,  $Y$  is completely determined up to a canonic isomorphism over  $X$  by a sublattice of  $L(X)$ . Let us apply this general scheme to the following more concrete situation: Consider a first order language  $\underline{L}$ , an  $\underline{L}$ -theory  $T$  and let  $B = B(T)$  be the Lindenbaum Boolean algebra of  $\underline{L}$ -sentences up to equivalence modulo  $T$ ; two  $\underline{L}$ -sentences  $\varphi, \psi$  are identified iff  $T \vdash (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ , i.e., the  $\underline{L}$ -sentence  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$  follows from  $T$ . Let  $X = S(B)$  be the Boolean space assigned by Stone's duality to the Boolean algebra  $B$ . The underlying set of  $X$  is the set of all complete  $\underline{L}$ -theories extending  $T$ , which is identified to the class  $\text{Mod}(T)$  of the models of  $T$  up to the elementary equivalence  $\equiv$ : if  $A_1, A_2$  are models of  $T$  (write  $A_i \models T$ ,  $i=1,2$ ) then  $A_1 \equiv A_2$  iff for each  $\underline{L}$ -sentence  $\varphi$ ,  $A_1 \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow A_2 \models \varphi$ . The family of sets



$D_\varphi = \{T' : T' \text{ is a complete } \underline{L}\text{-theory such that } T \subset T' \text{ and } T' \vdash \varphi\}$   
 with  $\varphi \in B$  is a base for the topology of  $X$ . Let  $L$  be a sublattice of  $B$ . Then the spectral space  $Y = S(L)$  assigned by Stone's duality to  $L$  is identified with the quotient space  $X / \equiv_L$ , where the equivalence relation  $\equiv_L$  is given by:  $T_1 \equiv_L T_2 \Leftrightarrow \{\varphi \in L : T_1 \vdash \varphi\} = \{\varphi \in L : T_2 \vdash \varphi\}$  for  $T_i \in X$ ,  $i=1,2$ , or in semantic terms,  $A_1 \equiv_L A_2 \Leftrightarrow \{\varphi \in L : A_1 \models \varphi\} = \{\varphi \in L : A_2 \models \varphi\}$  for  $A_i \in \text{Mod}(T)$ ,  $i=1,2$ . In other words,  $Y$  is identified with the set of  $\underline{L}$ -theories  $T_F := T \cup F \cup \{\neg \varphi : \varphi \in L \setminus F\}$  for all prime filters  $F$  of  $L$ , with the base given by the family  $D_{L,\varphi} := \{T_F : \varphi \in F\} = \{T_F : T_F \vdash \varphi\}$  for  $\varphi \in L$ .

Now let us apply the previous scheme to a still more concrete situation: Assume that  $\underline{L}$  is an augmentation of the customary first order language of rings and  $T$  is an  $\underline{L}$ -theory of fields. Let  $\underline{F} = \underline{F}(T)$  be the Boolean algebra of all  $\underline{L}$ -formulas up to equivalence modulo  $T$ .

Definition 2.2. A subset  $M$  of  $\underline{F}$  is closed under polynomial substitution (abbreviated cps) if for each  $\underline{L}$ -formula  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , such that  $\varphi$  modulo  $T$  belongs to  $M$ , and for arbitrary polynomials  $f_i \in Z[x_1, \dots, x_n; a_1, \dots, a_k]$ , where  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and the parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are constants of  $\underline{L}$ , the class modulo  $T$  of the  $\underline{L}$ -formula  $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \varphi(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  belongs to  $M$  too.

Obviously, given a family  $(M_i)_{i \in I}$  of cps subsets of  $\underline{F}$ , the intersection  $\bigcap_{i \in I} M_i$  is cps too, so we may speak on the cps subset of  $\underline{F}$  generated by some subset of  $\underline{F}$ . Similarly, we may speak on the cps sublattice of  $\underline{F}$  generated by some subset of  $\underline{F}$ .

Fix a cps sublattice  $L$  of  $\underline{F}$ . Given an  $\underline{L}$ -structure  $A$  which

is also a commutative ring, call it an  $\underline{L}$ -ring, let  $\underline{L}_A$  be the augmentation of the language  $\underline{L}$  with constants which are names for the elements of  $A$ ,  $D(A)_+$  be the positive diagram of  $A$ , i.e., the set of all atomic  $\underline{L}_A$ -sentences which are true on  $A$ , and  $D(A)$  be the diagram of  $A$ , i.e., the union of  $D(A)_+$  with the set of all negated atomic  $\underline{L}_A$ -sentences which are true on  $A$ . Denote by  $T_A$  the  $\underline{L}_A$ -theory  $T \cup D(A)_+$ , whose models are identified with the  $\underline{L}$ -morphisms  $A \rightarrow F$ , with  $F \models T$ , and let  $B(A)$  be the Boolean algebra of all  $\underline{L}_A$ -sentences up to equivalence modulo  $T_A$ , and  $L(A)$  be the sublattice of  $B(A)$  induced by  $L$ , consisting of the classes modulo  $T_A$  of the  $\underline{L}_A$ -sentences  $\varphi(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ , where  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is an  $\underline{L}$ -formula, such that  $\varphi$  modulo  $T \in L$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in A$ . Denote by  $\text{Spec}_{T,L}(A) = S(L(A))$  the spectral space assigned by Stone duality to the distributive lattice  $L(A)$ . One gets in this way a contravariant functor  $\text{Spec}_{T,L}$  from the category of  $\underline{L}$ -rings into the category  $\underline{SS}$  of spectral spaces, assigned to the pair  $(T, L)$ .

A particularly important (for algebraic - geometric applications) cps lattice will be the object of the rest of this section, while other relevant cps lattices will be investigated in Section 3.

Given an  $\underline{L}$ -theory  $T$  of fields, let us denote by  $ZL = ZL(T)$  the cps sublattice of the Boolean algebra  $\underline{F} = \underline{F}(T)$ , generated by the class modulo  $T$  of the  $\underline{L}$ -formula  $x_1 \neq 0$ , and call it the Zariski lattice assigned to  $T$ . If  $A$  is an  $\underline{L}$ -ring we abbreviate  $\text{Spec}_{T,ZL}(A)$  by  $\text{Spec}_T(A)$ .



- 21 -

In particular, if  $\underline{L}$  is the language of rings and  $T$  is the theory  $\underline{F}$  of fields or the theory  $\underline{ACF}$  of algebraically closed fields, the spectral space  $\text{Spec}_T(A)$  is identified with the Zariski prime spectrum  $\text{Spec}(A)$  of the commutative ring  $A$ . In general, if  $T$  is arbitrary, then  $\text{Spec}_T(A)$  is identified with the subspace of  $\text{Spec}(A)$  consisting of the prime ideals  $\underline{p}$  of  $A$  for which the field  $k(\underline{p}) = Q(A/\underline{p})$  is embeddable in some model of  $T$ . In particular, we get  $\text{Spec}_T(A) = \text{Spec}_{T'}(A)$ , where  $T' = \underline{F} \cup T$ . For instance, if  $T$  the theory  $\underline{RCF}$  of real closed fields, in which case  $T'$  is the theory of formally real fields, then the underlying set of  $\text{Spec}_T(A)$  consists of those  $\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  for which  $k(\underline{p})$  is formally real.

Let us fix for the rest of this section a base field  $K$ . Let  $\underline{L}_K$  be the language  $\underline{L}$  of rings augmented with constants which are names for the elements of  $K$  and  $T = \text{Th}(K, \langle a \rangle_{a \in K})$  be the complete  $\underline{L}_K$ -theory of  $K$ . The models of  $T$  are the elementary extensions of the base field  $K$ . If  $A$  is a  $K$ -algebra, we write  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  instead of  $\text{Spec}_T(A)$ .  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  is the subspace of  $\text{Spec}(A)$  consisting of those  $\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  for which  $K$  is existentially complete in  $k(\underline{p})$ . Thus,  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  is  $\text{Spec}(A)$  if  $K$  is algebraically closed (by Theorem 1.1),  $\{ \underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) : A/\underline{p} \cong K \}$  if  $K$  is finite,  $\{ \underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) : k(\underline{p}) \text{ is formally real} \}$  if  $K$  is formally real (by Theorem 1.4), and  $\{ \underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) : k(\underline{p}) \text{ is formally } p\text{-adic over } \underline{K}, \text{ i.e., there is a valuation } w \text{ of } k(\underline{p}) \text{ such that } (k(\underline{p}), w) \text{ is a } p\text{-valued field extension of } \underline{K} \text{ of type } (e, f) \}$  if  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  is a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field of type  $(e, f)$  (by Theorem 1.14).

Given an ideal  $I$  of  $A$ , let  $V_K(I)$  be the closed subset  $\{ \underline{p} \in \text{Spec}_K(A) : I \subseteq \underline{p} \}$  of  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$ , and let  $\text{rad}(I) = \bigcap_{\underline{p} \in V_K(I)} \underline{p}$  be the

so called K-radical of  $I$ . If  $I$  is the null ideal of  $A$ , let us put  $\text{Rad}_K(A) := \text{rad}_K(I)$ .  $I$  is called a K-radical ideal if  $I = \text{rad}_K(I)$ . In some concrete situations  $\text{rad}_K(I)$  admits more explicit descriptions. For instance,  $\text{rad}_K(I)$  is the nilradical of  $I$ , if  $K$  is algebraically closed;  $\text{rad}_K(I) = \{f \in A : -f^{2n} \in \sum A^2 + I \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$ , if  $K$  is real closed (see [35]); if  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  is a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field of type  $(e, f)$ ,  $A = K[\underline{X}]$ ,  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ , then  $\text{rad}_K(I) = \{g \in A : g^l = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i \text{ with } l \geq 1, \lambda_i = \frac{s_i}{1 - \pi t_i}, s_i \in A[\gamma F], t_i \in Z[\gamma F]\}$ , where  $\pi \in K$ ,  $v(\pi) = 1$ ,  $F = K(\underline{X})$ ,  $\gamma F = \{\gamma(g) : g \in F\}$  and  $\gamma(X) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{X^q - X}{(X^q - X)^2 - 1}$ ,  $q = p^f$  (see [18]).

Theorem 1.1).

Obviously,  $V_K(I) = V_K(\text{rad}_K(I))$  and the map  $I \mapsto V_K(I)$  induces a galoisian correspondence between the  $K$ -radical ideals of  $A$  and the closed subsets of  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$ . As  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  is sober,  $V_K(I)$  is irreducible iff  $\text{rad}_K(I) \in \text{Spec}_K(A)$ . In particular,  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  is irreducible iff  $\text{Rad}_K(A) \in \text{Spec}_K(A)$ . Since  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  is a spectral space, the basic open sets  $D_K(f) = \{p \in \text{Spec}_K(A) : f \notin p\}$ ,  $f \in A$ , are quasi-compact and hence the set of  $K$ -radical ideals of  $A$  is inductive with respect to the partial order given by inclusion, i.e., the union of a chain of  $K$ -radical ideals is a  $K$ -radical ideal. Consequently, we get by standard arguments (see [22] Ch. 6, §1):

Lemma 2.3. Given a  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , the next statements are equivalent:

i) For every  $K$ -radical ideal  $I$  there exists a finitely generated ideal  $J$  such that  $I = \text{rad}_K(J)$ ;



- ii) Every ascending chain  $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots$  of K-radical ideals is stationary.
- iii) Every non-empty set of K-radical ideals has a maximal element.

Definition 2.4. A K-algebra A satisfying the equivalent conditions above is called K-noetherian.

Obviously, A is K-noetherian iff the space  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  is noetherian. The next lemma is immediate by standard arguments (see [34] Ch.1, §3, Theorem 1.2).

Lemma 2.5. If the K-algebra A is K-noetherian then  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  has a unique decomposition in finitely many irreducible components.

Now let  $\text{Max}_K(A) = \{ \underline{p} \in \text{Spec}_K(A) : V_K(\underline{p}) = \{ \underline{p} \} \}$  be the set of closed points of  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$ . The closed subsets of  $\text{Max}_K(A)$  with respect to the topology induced from  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  have the form  $V_K(I) = V_K(I) \cap \text{Max}_K(A)$ , where I ranges over the ideals of A. If I is an ideal of A, let  $\text{Jrad}_K(I)$  be the ideal  $\bigcap_{\underline{p} \in V_K(I)} \underline{p}$ ; call it the Jacobson K-radical of I. Obviously,  $\text{rad}_K(I) \subset \text{Jrad}_K(I)$ .

Theorem 2.6. (Nullstellensatz). Assume that the K-algebra A is finitely generated. Then

- i)  $\text{Max}_K(A) = \{ \underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) : A/\underline{p} \simeq K \}$  and  $\text{Max}_K(A)$  is dense in  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$ ;
- ii) the map  $V_K(I) \mapsto \underline{V}_K(I)$  is an isomorphism of inf-complete lattices from the lattice of closed subsets of  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$  onto the lattice of closed subsets of  $\text{Max}_K(A)$ ;

iii)  $\text{Jrad}_K(I) = \text{rad}_K(I)$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $A$ .

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that  $A$  is a polynomial algebra  $K[\underline{X}]$ ,  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

In order to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that for every prime ideal  $\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}_K(A)$  and every  $f \in A \setminus \underline{p}$ , there exists  $\underline{q} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  such that  $A/\underline{q} \cong K$ ,  $\underline{p} \subset \underline{q}$  and  $f \notin \underline{q}$ .

Let  $\underline{p}$  and  $f$  be as above, and  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  be generators of  $\underline{p}$ . Consider the existential  $\underline{L}_K$ -sentence  $\varphi := (\exists \underline{x}) (\bigwedge_{i=1}^m g_i(\underline{x}) = 0) \wedge (f(\underline{x}) \neq 0)$  which is obviously true on  $k(\underline{p}) = Q(A/\underline{p})$ . As  $K$  is existentially complete in  $k(\underline{p})$ ,  $\varphi$  is true on  $K$  too, and hence there is  $\underline{a} \in K^m$  such that  $g_i(\underline{a}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $f(\underline{a}) \neq 0$ . Thus the substitution  $\underline{X} \mapsto \underline{a}$  induces a  $K$ -morphism  $u: A/\underline{p} \rightarrow K$ . The prime ideal  $\underline{q} = \text{Ker}(u)$  satisfies the required conditions. ■

The theorem above identifies  $\text{Max}_K(A)$ , where  $A = K[\underline{X}]$ ,  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with the affine space  $K^n$ , and the closed subsets  $V_K(I)$  of  $\text{Max}_K(A)$  with the subsets  $\{\underline{a} \in K^n : f(\underline{a}) = 0 \text{ for each } f \in I\}$  of  $K^n$ ; call the latter ones affine K-varieties (abbreviated K-varieties). Call Zariski K-topology on  $K^n$  the topology whose closed sets are exactly the K-varieties in  $K^n$ . If  $Y = V_K(I)$  is a K-variety in  $K^n$ , let  $J_K(Y)$  be the ideal  $\{f \in A : f(\underline{a}) = 0 \text{ for each } \underline{a} \in Y\}$ . According to Theorem 2.6,  $J_K(Y) = \text{rad}_K(I)$ , and the map  $Y \mapsto J_K(Y)$  is a galoisian correspondence between the K-varieties in  $K^n$  and the K-radical ideals of  $A$ .

For  $Y = V_K(I)$ , denote by  $K[Y]$  the coordinate K-algebra  $A/J_K(Y) = A/\text{rad}_K(I)$  of the K-variety  $Y$ .  $Y$  is irreducible with respect to the Zariski K-topology iff  $J_K(Y) \in \text{Spec}_K(A)$ . For an irre-



ducible  $K$ -variety  $Y$ , let us denote by  $K(Y) = Q(K[Y])$  the field of rational functions on  $Y$ .

Definition 2.7. Let  $Y \subset K^n$ ,  $Z \subset K^m$  be  $K$ -varieties. A map  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  is called regular if there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in K[Y]$  such that  $f(\underline{a}) = (f_1(\underline{a}), \dots, f_m(\underline{a}))$  for every  $\underline{a} \in Y$ .

The correspondence  $Y \mapsto K[Y]$  extends to an equivalence from the category of  $K$ -varieties, with regular maps as morphisms onto the category of finitely generated  $K$ -algebras  $A$ , which are  $K$ -reduced, i.e.,  $\text{Rad}_K(A) = 0$ . This equivalence induces an equivalence between the category of irreducible  $K$ -varieties and the category of finitely generated  $K$ -algebras  $A$  for which the null ideal belongs to  $\text{Spec}_K(A)$ . A finitely generated field extension  $F$  of  $K$  is isomorphic over  $K$  to  $K(Y)$  for some irreducible  $K$ -variety  $Y$  iff  $K$  is existentially complete in  $F$ .

Definition 2.8. The  $K$ -variety  $Y \subset K^n$  is defined (rational) over a subfield  $k$  of  $K$  if its defining ideal  $J_K(Y)$  is generated by polynomials in  $k[\underline{X}]$ ,  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

If  $Y \subset K^n$  is a  $K$ -variety and  $k$  is a subfield of  $K$ , let us denote by  $Y(k) = Y \cap k^n$  the set of  $k$ -points of  $Y$ . If  $F$  is a field extension of  $K$ , let  $Y \otimes_K F$  be the  $F$ -variety  $\bigvee_F (J_K(Y) F[\underline{X}])$ .

The following lemmas are immediate.

Lemma 2.9. Let  $Y \subset K^n$  be a  $K$ -variety,  $k$  be a subfield of  $K$  and  $F$  be a field extension of  $K$ .

i)  $J_F(Y \otimes_K F) = J_K(Y) F[\underline{X}]$  and  $F[Y \otimes_K F] = K[Y] \otimes_K F$ ; in particular

the  $F$ -variety  $Y \otimes_K F$  is defined over  $K$ .

ii)  $Y \otimes_K F$  is the smallest  $F$ -variety  $Z \subset F^n$  satisfying  $Y(Z(K))$ .

iii) If  $Y$  is defined over  $k$ , then  $Y(k) \subset k^n$  is a  $k$ -variety,

$J_k(Y(k)) = \text{rad}_k(J_K(Y) \wedge k[\underline{X}])$  and  $Y(k) \otimes_K K \subset Y$ , with equality if  $k$  is existentially complete in  $K$ .

Lemma 2.10. Let  $F/K$  be a field extension.

i) The map  $Y \subset F^n \mapsto K[\underline{X}]/J_F(Y) \wedge K[\underline{X}]$ ,  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , extends to an equivalence from the category of  $F$ -varieties defined over  $K$ ,

with regular maps defined over  $K$  as morphisms, onto the category of finitely generated  $K$ -algebras  $A$  for which  $A \otimes_K F$  is  $F$ -reduced

ii) The functor  $Y \mapsto Y(K)$  from the category of  $F$ -varieties defined over  $K$  into the category of  $K$ -varieties is the left adjoint of the functor  $Z \mapsto Z \otimes_K F$ .

In the following, let us fix the base field  $K$  and let  $F = \tilde{K}$  be the algebraic closure of  $K$ , or an arbitrary algebraically closed field extension of  $K$ . The  $K$ -varieties are identified with the  $\tilde{K}$ -varieties  $Y$  defined over  $K$  satisfying  $Y = Y(K) \otimes_K \tilde{K}$ .

Lemma 2.11. The necessary and sufficient condition for the  $K$ -variety  $Y$  to be irreducible is that the  $\tilde{K}$ -variety  $Y \otimes_K \tilde{K}$  is irreducible.

Proof. Let  $A = K[Y]$ ,  $B = F[Y \otimes_K \tilde{K}] = A \otimes_K \tilde{K}$ . By Lemma 2.9, the canonic  $K$ -morphism  $u: A \rightarrow B$  is injective. Thus if  $Y \otimes_K \tilde{K}$  is irreducible, i.e.,  $B$  is an integral domain, then  $A$  is an integral domain too. On the other hand,  $A$  is  $K$ -reduced, i.e., the null



exist  $\underline{p}_1, \dots, \underline{p}_m \in \text{Spec}_K(A)$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m \underline{p}_i = 0$ . As  $0 \in \text{Spec}(A)$ , it follows immediately that moreover  $0 \in \text{Spec}_K(A)$ , i.e.,  $Y$  is irreducible.

Conversely, if  $Y$  is irreducible, then  $K$  is existentially complete in the field  $F = K(Y) = Q(A)$ , and hence the field extension  $F/K$  is regular. Consequently,  $B = A \otimes_K \tilde{K}$  is an integral domain, i.e.,  $Y \otimes_K \tilde{K}$  is irreducible. ■

If  $Y$  is an irreducible  $\tilde{K}$ -variety, let us denote by  $Y_{\text{reg}}$  the Zariski open set of regular (simple, smooth) points of  $Y$ . If  $Z$  is an irreducible  $K$ -variety, let  $Z_{\text{reg}} := Z \cap (Z \otimes_K \tilde{K})_{\text{reg}}$ .

Lemma 2.12. If  $Z$  is an irreducible  $K$ -variety then  $Z_{\text{reg}}$  is dense in  $Z$  with respect to the Zariski  $K$ -topology. In particular  $Z_{\text{reg}}$  is non-empty.

Proof. Let  $Z \subset K^n$  and  $g \in K[\underline{X}] \setminus J_K(Z)$ . We have to show that  $\{a \in Z_{\text{reg}} : g(\underline{a}) \neq 0\}$  is non-empty. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in K[\underline{X}]$  be generators of  $J_K(Z)$  and hence of  $J_K(Y)$ , where  $Y = Z \otimes_K \tilde{K}$ . Given a field extension  $F$  of  $\tilde{K}$ , the necessary and sufficient condition for a point  $\underline{b}$  of  $Y \otimes_{\tilde{K}} F = Z \otimes_K F$  to be regular is that there exists a minor  $h \in K[\underline{X}]$  of order  $n - \dim Y$  of the Jacobian matrix  $(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$  such that  $h(\underline{b}) \neq 0$ , where  $\dim Y = \text{trdeg}(\tilde{K}(Y)/K) = \text{trdeg}(K(Z)/K)$ . Let  $\underline{y} = \underline{x} \bmod J_K(Z) = \underline{x} \bmod J_K(Y)$  and take  $F = \tilde{K}(Y) = K(Z) \otimes_K \tilde{K}$ . Since  $\underline{y}$  is generic, there exists a minor  $h \in K[\underline{X}]$  as above such that  $h(\underline{y}) \neq 0$ . As  $K$  is existentially complete in  $K(Z)$ , there exists  $\underline{a} \in K^n$  such that  $f_i(\underline{a}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $h(\underline{a})g(\underline{a}) \neq 0$ . Thus  $\underline{a} \in Z_{\text{reg}}$  and  $g(\underline{a}) \neq 0$ .

as required. ■

The next stratification lemma follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.12 by standard arguments (see [27] Ch.1, §1A).

Lemma 2.13. If  $Y$  is a  $K$ -variety then there exists finitely many irreducible  $K$ -varieties  $Y^i \subset Y$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , such that

$$Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m Y^i_{\text{reg.}}$$

### 3. Spectral spaces induced by theories of fields:

#### Regularity and finiteness properties.

$K$  being a fixed base field, let  $\underline{L}_K$  be the language  $\underline{L}$  of rings augmented with constants standing for the elements of  $K$ .  $T$  be the  $\underline{L}_K$  theory  $\text{Th}(K, \langle a \rangle_{a \in K})$  and  $\underline{F}$  be the Boolean algebra of the  $\underline{L}_K$  - formulas up to equivalence modulo  $T$ .

Given an  $\underline{L}_K$  - formula  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , let  $\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}) := (x_{m+1} \neq 0) \wedge [(\exists z_1) \dots (\exists z_m) \varphi(z_1, \dots, z_m) \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^m x_i = x_{m+1} \cdot z_i]$ ; call  $\tilde{\varphi}$  the homogenization of  $\varphi$ .

Definition 3.1. A subset  $M$  of  $\underline{F}$  is called homogeneous if whenever  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is an  $\underline{L}_K$ -formula such that  $\varphi \bmod T \in M$ , then  $\tilde{\varphi} \bmod T$  belongs to  $M$  too.

One checks easily that the Zariski lattice  $ZL = ZL(T)$  is homogeneous.

Given a cps sublattice  $L$  of  $\underline{F}$  and a  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , let  $D(A)_+$ ,  $D(A)$ ,  $T_A$ ,  $B(A)$  and  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A) := \text{Spec}_{T,L}(A)$  be as defined



in Section 2. In the following we assume always that the cps sublattice  $L$  contains  $ZL$ , so we get a coherent epi  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}_K(A)$ . Thus, the points of the spectral space  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$  are identified with pairs  $(\underline{p}, f)$ , where  $\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}_K(A)$  and  $f$  is a  $K$ -embedding of the integral domain  $A/\underline{p}$  into some model  $F$  of  $T$ , up to the equivalence relation given by  $(\underline{p}_1, f_1: A/\underline{p}_1 \rightarrow F_1) \sim (\underline{p}_2, f_2: A/\underline{p}_2 \rightarrow F_2)$  iff  $\underline{p}_1 = \underline{p}_2$  and  $F_1 \equiv_L (A/\underline{p}_1) F_2$ . One checks easily that the underlying set of  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$  is the disjoint union

$$\bigcup_{\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}_K(A)} \text{Spec}_{K,L}(k(\underline{p})) \text{ if } L \text{ is supposed to be homogeneous.}$$

Now let  $Y$  be a  $K$ -variety and  $A = K[Y]$  be its coordinate  $K$ -algebra. As the set  $Y$  is identified with  $\text{Hom}_K(A, K)$  and  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(K)$  is a singleton, we get a canonic embedding of  $Y$  into  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$ , inducing on  $Y$  a topology, called  $L$ -topology, with the family  $\gamma_{Y,L} := \{D_{L,\varphi} \cap Y\}_{\varphi \in L(A)}$  as base of open sets. Since, by assumption,  $ZL \subset L$ , it follows that the  $L$ -topology on  $Y$  is finer as the Zariski  $K$ -topology on  $Y$ . Obviously,  $\gamma_{Y,L}$  is a sublattice of the power set  $P(Y)$  with respect to finite unions and intersections.

Lemma 3.2. The map  $\phi_L: L(A) \rightarrow \gamma_{Y,L} : \varphi \mapsto D_{\varphi}$  is an isomorphism of lattices.

Proof. Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in L(A)$ . We have to show that  $D_{\psi_1} \subset D_{\psi_2} \Rightarrow D_{\psi_1} \subset D_{\psi_2}$ . Let  $\hat{\psi}_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ ,  $i=1,2$ , be  $\underline{L}_K$ -formulas, and  $\underline{f}_i \in A^m$ ,  $i=1,2$ , be such that  $\psi_i = \hat{\psi}_i(\underline{f}_i) \bmod T$  for  $i=1,2$ . We have to show that  $T_A = T \cup D(A)_+ \vdash \hat{\psi}_1(\underline{f}_1) \rightarrow \hat{\psi}_2(\underline{f}_2)$ . Write  $A = K[\underline{y}]$ ,  $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots$

$\dots, y_n)$ , and assume that  $J_K(Y) = \text{Ker}(K[\underline{x}] \rightarrow A: \underline{x} \mapsto y)$  is generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_l$ . Denote by " $\underline{x} \in Y$ " the  $\underline{L}_K$ -formula  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^l g_i(\underline{x}) = 0$ ,  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then  $T_A = T \cup \{ \text{"}\underline{x} \in Y\text{"} \}$ . Since, by assumption,  $K \models \text{"}\underline{x} \in Y\text{"} \rightarrow (\hat{\psi}_1(f_1(\underline{x})) \rightarrow \hat{\psi}_2(f_2(\underline{x})))$  and  $K \models T$ , we get  $T_A \vdash \hat{\psi}_1(f_1) \rightarrow \hat{\psi}_2(f_2)$ , as required. ■

Let  $\hat{\gamma}_{Y,L}$  be the spectral space assigned by Stone duality to the distributive lattice  $\gamma_{Y,L}$ . The points of  $\hat{\gamma}_{Y,L}$  are the prime filters of  $\gamma_{Y,L}$  and the sets  $[D] = \{ F \in \hat{\gamma}_{Y,L} : D \in F \}$  with  $D \in \gamma_{Y,L}$  is a base of  $\hat{\gamma}_{Y,L}$ . The isomorphism  $\phi_L$  above induces a homeomorphism  $\hat{\phi}_L: \hat{\gamma}_{Y,L} \rightarrow \text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$ :

$$F \mapsto T_A \cup \{ \varphi \in L(A) : \phi_L(\varphi) \in F \} \cup \{ \varphi \in L(A) : \phi_L(\varphi) \notin F \}.$$

In particular,  $Y$  is dense in  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$ .

Now let  $\bar{L}$  be the cps sublattice of  $\underline{L}$  generated by  $L \cup \{ (x_1 = 0) \bmod T \}$ . Thus the members of  $\bar{L}$  are the classes modulo  $T$  of the  $\underline{L}_K$ -formulas having the form  $\bigvee_{i=1}^l \left[ \varphi_i(\underline{x}) \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^{s_i} (f_{ij}(\underline{x}) = 0) \right]$ , or equivalently, having the form  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^l \left[ \varphi_i(\underline{x}) \vee (f_i(\underline{x}) = 0) \right]$ , where  $f_{ij}, f_i \in K[\underline{x}]$ ,  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , and  $\varphi_i \bmod T \in L$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Call the members of the distributive lattice  $\gamma_{Y,\bar{L}}$  (i.e., the basic  $\bar{L}$ -open subsets of  $Y$ ) the  $L$ -constructible subsets of  $Y$ . The embedding  $L(A) \subset \bar{L}(A)$  induces the coherent epis  $\lambda_L: \text{Spec}_{K,\bar{L}}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$ ,  $\lambda_L': \hat{\gamma}_{Y,\bar{L}} \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{Y,L}$  such that  $\lambda_L \circ \hat{\phi}_{\bar{L}} = \hat{\phi}_L \circ \lambda_L'$ .

Since  $ZL \subset L$ , it follows:

Lemma 3.3. The map  $\lambda_L: \text{Spec}_{K,\bar{L}}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$  is bijective. Thus, the underlying sets of  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$  and  $\text{Spec}_{K,\bar{L}}(A)$  can be



identified, and so, the topology on  $\text{Spec}_{K, \bar{L}}(A)$  is a refinement of the topology on  $\text{Spec}_{K, L}(A)$ .

Lemma 3.4. Let  $U, V \subset Y$  be  $L$ -constructible sets such that  $U \subset V$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for  $\phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(U)$  to be open in  $\phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(V)$  with respect to the topology of  $\text{Spec}_{K, L}(A)$  is that  $U = V \cap \underline{D}$  for some  $\underline{D} \in \mathcal{X}_{Y, L}$ .

Proof. The if part is trivial. Conversely, assuming that  $\phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(U)$  is  $L$ -open in  $\phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(V)$ , there exists a family  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$  of elements of  $L(A)$  such that  $\phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(U) = \phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(V) \cap \bigcup_{i \in I} D_{L, \varphi_i}$ . As  $\phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(U)$  is open quasi-compact in  $\text{Spec}_{K, \bar{L}}(A)$ , there exists a finite subset  $J$  of  $I$  such that  $\phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(U) = \phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(V) \cap \bigcup_{i \in J} D_{L, \varphi_i}$ . Consequently,  $U = V \cap \underline{D}$ , where  $\underline{D} = \bigcup_{i \in J} D_{\varphi_i} \in \mathcal{X}_{Y, L}$ . ■

Corollary 3.5. Let  $U, V \subset Y$  be  $L$ -constructible sets such that  $\phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(U)$  is  $L$ -open in  $\phi_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(V)$ . Then  $U$  is  $L$ -open in  $V$ .

Definition 3.6. We say that the lattice  $L$  has finiteness property if the converse of Corollary 3.5. holds, i.e., for every  $K$ -variety  $Y$  and arbitrary  $U, V \in \mathcal{X}_{Y, \bar{L}}$ , if  $U$  is  $L$ -open in  $V$  then  $U = V \cap \underline{D}$  for some  $\underline{D} \in \mathcal{X}_{Y, L}$ .

Now let us assume that  $Y$  is an irreducible  $K$ -variety, and let  $A = K[Y]$ ,  $F = K(Y)$ . Assuming  $L$  homogeneous, the canonic morphism of lattices  $\psi_L: L(A) \rightarrow L(F)$  is onto and so we get an embedding  $\hat{\psi}_L: \text{Spec}_{K, L}(F) = \text{Spec}_{K, \bar{L}}(F) \hookrightarrow \text{Spec}_{K, L}(A)$ . Note that  $\bar{L}$  is homogeneous too. Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \hat{\gamma}_{Y, \bar{L}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}_{\bar{L}}} & \text{Spec}_{K, \bar{L}}(A) & \xleftarrow{\hat{\psi}_{\bar{L}}} & \\
 \downarrow \lambda_L & & \downarrow \lambda_L & & \downarrow \psi_L \\
 \hat{\gamma}_{Y, L} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}_L} & \text{Spec}_{K, L}(A) & \xleftarrow{\psi_L} & \text{Spec}_{K, L}(F)
 \end{array}$$

The following result is a generalization of Brumfiel's ultrafilter theorem for orders [11] p.232, [8] 1.7.

Lemma 3.7. With the notations and hypothesis above,

- i)  $\text{Im}(\hat{\phi}_L^{-1} \circ \hat{\psi}_L) = \{F \in \hat{\gamma}_{Y, L} : D_f \subset F \text{ for every } 0 \neq f \in A\}$ , where  $D_f = \{a \in Y : f(a) \neq 0\}$ ;
- ii)  $\text{Im}(\hat{\phi}_{\bar{L}}^{-1} \circ \hat{\psi}_{\bar{L}}) = \{F \in \hat{\gamma}_{Y, \bar{L}} : U \text{ is dense in } Y \text{ with respect to the Zariski } K\text{-topology on } Y \text{ for every } U \in F\}$ .

Proof i) is trivial.

- ii) Let  $F \in \text{Im}(\hat{\phi}_L^{-1} \circ \hat{\psi}_L)$ . Then there exists a field extension  $N$  of  $F$  such that the extension  $N/K$  is elementary and  $F = \{D_f : f \in \bar{L}(A), N \models f\}$ . Let  $0 \neq f \in A$ . Then  $D_f \in F$  and hence  $U \cap D_f$  is non-empty for each  $U \in F$ .

Conversely, let  $F \in \hat{\gamma}_{Y, \bar{L}}$  be such that  $U$  is Zariski-dense in  $Y$  for every  $U \in F$ . Let  $N$  be a model of  $T_A = T \cup D(A)_+$  such that  $\hat{\phi}_{\bar{L}}^{-1}(N) = F$ . We have to show that  $N$  is a field extension of  $F$ . Assuming the contrary, let  $0 \neq f \in A$  be such that  $N \models (f=0)$ . Then  $U = \{a \in Y : f(a) = 0\} \in F$ , and hence  $U \cap D_f$  is non-empty since  $U$  is Zariski dense in  $Y$ , a contradiction. ■

Lemma 3.8. Assume that  $L$  is homogeneous and  $Y$  is an irreducible  $K$ -variety. Let us denote by  $\bar{Y}_{\text{reg}}$  the closure of  $Y_{\text{reg}}$  with



respect to the  $L$ -topology on  $Y$ , and by  $\overline{\text{Im}(\hat{\psi}_L)}$  the closure of  $\text{Im}(\hat{\psi}_L)$  in  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$ ,  $A=K[Y]$ . Identifying  $Y$  with a subset of  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$ , we have  $Y \cap \overline{\text{Im}(\hat{\psi}_L)} \subset Y_{\text{reg}}$ .

Proof. Let  $\underline{a} \in Y \cap \overline{\text{Im}(\hat{\psi}_L)}$  and  $\varphi \in L(A)$  be such that  $\underline{a} \in \underline{D}_{\varphi}$ . We have to show that  $Y_{\text{reg}} \cap \underline{D}_{\varphi}$  is non-empty. As  $\underline{D}_{L,\varphi} \cap \overline{\text{Im}(\hat{\psi}_L)}$  is non-empty by assumption, there exists a field extension  $N$  of  $F=K(Y)$  such that the extension  $N/K$  is elementary and  $\varphi$  is true on  $N$ . Thus,  $N$  satisfies the  $L_K$ -sentence  $\Theta := (\exists \underline{x}) \text{ "}\underline{x} \in Y_{\text{reg}} \wedge \varphi(\underline{x})\text{"}$ , (set  $\underline{x}=\underline{y}$ , the generic point of  $Y$ ), and hence  $\Theta$  is true on  $K$  too, i.e.,  $Y_{\text{reg}} \cap \underline{D}_{\varphi}$  is non-empty, as required. ■

Definition 3.9. We say that the homogeneous cps lattice  $L$  has regularity property if the opposite inclusion of Lemma 3.8 holds for every irreducible  $K$ -variety  $Y$ , i.e., for each  $\varphi \in L(K[Y])$   $\underline{D}_{L,\varphi} \cap \overline{\text{Im}(\hat{\psi}_L)}$  is non-empty (equivalently  $\text{TVD}(K[Y]) \cup \{\varphi\}$  is consistent) whenever  $Y_{\text{reg}} \cap \underline{D}_{\varphi}$  is non-empty.

Lemma 3.10. Assume that  $L$  has regularity property,  $Y$  is an irreducible  $K$ -variety and  $U$  is an  $L$ -open subset of  $Y$  such that  $U \cap Y_{\text{reg}}$  is non-empty. Then  $U$  is Zariski dense in  $Y$ .

Proof. Let  $\underline{a} \in U \cap Y_{\text{reg}}$  and  $\varphi \in L(A)$ ,  $A=K[Y]$  be such that  $\underline{a} \in \underline{D}_{\varphi} \subset U$ . As  $L$  has regularity property and  $\underline{D}_{\varphi} \cap Y_{\text{reg}}$  is non-empty,  $\text{TVD}(A) \cup \{\varphi\}$  is consistent, and hence  $\underline{D}_{\varphi} \cap \underline{D}_f$  is non-empty for every  $0 \neq f \in A$ , i.e.,  $\underline{D}_{\varphi}$  (and hence  $U$ ) is Zariski-dense in  $Y$ . ■

In the rest of this section we apply the general theory

above to the particular case when the base field  $K$  is algebraically closed, or real closed, or  $p$ -adically closed, and  $L$  is the cps sublattice of  $\underline{F}$  generated by the classes modulo  $T$  of the  $\underline{L}$ -formulas  $\varphi_n(x_1) := (x_1 \neq 0) \wedge ((\exists x_2) x_1 = x_2^n)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

- Lemma 3.11. i) If  $K$  is algebraically closed then  $L = ZL$ .  
 ii) If  $K$  is real closed then  $L$  is the cps sublattice of  $\underline{F}$  generated by the class modulo  $T$  of the  $\underline{L}$ -formula  $\varphi_2$ . In particular,  $ZL \subset L$ .  
 iii) If  $K$  is  $p$ -adically closed then  $ZL \subset L$ .

- Proof. i) If  $K$  is algebraically closed then  $T \vdash \varphi_n(x_1)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (x_1 \neq 0)$  for  $n \geq 2$ .  
 ii) If  $K$  is real closed then  $T \vdash \varphi_n(x_1) \Leftrightarrow \varphi_2(x_1)$  if  $n$  is even, and  $T \vdash \varphi_n(x_1) \Leftrightarrow (x_1 \neq 0)$  if  $n$  is odd. We get also  $T \vdash (x_1 \neq 0) \Leftrightarrow (\varphi_2(x_1) \vee \varphi_2(-x_1))$ .  
 iii) If  $K$  is  $p$ -adically closed then  $K^X / K^{X_2}$  is finite and  
 $T \vdash (x_1 \neq 0) \Leftrightarrow \bigvee_{a \in K^X / K^{X_2}} \varphi_2(ax_1)$ . ■

Lemma 3.12. Let  $K, L$  be as above. Then  $L$  is homogeneous and  $\bar{L}$  is the whole Boolean algebra  $\underline{F}$ .

Proof. The homogeneity of  $L$  is immediate. For the convenience of the reader let us prove this in the case when  $K$  is real closed. Then each member of  $L$  is the class modulo  $T$  of some  $\underline{L}$ -formula  $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  of the form  $\psi(x) := \bigvee_{i=1}^l \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n_i} \varphi_2(f_{ij}(x))$ , with  $f_{ij} \in K[x]$ . Thus,  $T \vdash \psi(x, x_{m+1}) \Leftrightarrow \left[ \varphi_2(x_{m+1}) \wedge \bigvee_{i=1}^l \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n_i} \varphi_2(\tilde{f}_{ij}(x)) \right]$ ,



$$x_{m+1})) \vee \left[ \varphi_2(-x_{m+1}) \wedge \bigvee_{i=1}^{\ell} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{35} \varphi_2(\varepsilon_{ij} \tilde{f}_{ij}(x, x_{m+1})) \right] \}, \text{ where}$$

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}(x, x_{m+1}) = x_{m+1}^{\deg f_{ij}} f_{ij}\left(\frac{x_1}{x_{m+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_m}{x_{m+1}}\right),$$

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \deg f_{ij} \text{ is even,} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The last part of the statement is immediate by elimination of quantifiers, according to Theorems 1.1, 1.4 and 1.14. ■

Lemma 3.13. Let  $A$  be a  $K$ -algebra.

i) If  $K$  is algebraically closed then  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$  is the Zariski prime spectrum  $\text{Spec}(A)$ .

ii) If  $K$  is real closed then  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$  is the real spectrum  $\text{Specr}(A)$  of  $A$  consisting of the pairs  $(\underline{p}, P)$ , where  $\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $P$  is an order on  $k(\underline{p}) = Q(A/\underline{p})$ , with the Coste-Roy topology [13, 14] given by the base of open sets  $D(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \{(\underline{p}, P) : a_i \bmod \underline{p} \in P^X = P \setminus \{0\} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  with  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ . In particular, if  $A=F$  is a field then  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(F)$  is the Boolean space of orders of  $F$  with respect to the Harrison topology.

iii) If  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  is a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field of type  $(e, f)$  then  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$  consists of the pairs  $(\underline{p}, (P_n)_{n \geq 2})$ , where  $\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $P_n \subset k(\underline{p})$  such that  $(k(\underline{p}), P_n : n \geq 2)$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -field, with the topology given by the subbase of open sets  $D(f, m) := \{(\underline{p}, (P_n)_{n \geq 2}) : f \bmod \underline{p} \in P_m^X\}$ , for  $f \in A, m \geq 2$ . In particular, if  $A=F$  is a field then  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(F)$  is the Boolean space of all  $\underline{K}$ -field structures on  $F$ .

Proof. i) is trivial, while ii) and iii) follow by Theorems 1.4 and 1.14. ■

Set  $\text{Specp}(A) := \text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$  in the p-adic case and call it the p-adic spectrum of the K-algebra A.

Lemma 3.14. Let Y be a K-variety,  $A=K[Y]$ , and identify Y with a dense subset of  $\text{Spec}_{K,L}(A)$ .

i) If K is real closed then the L-topology on Y is the strong topology induced by the unique order of K.

ii) If  $\underline{K}=(K, v)$  is p-adically closed then the L-topology on Y is the topology induced by the valuation v of K.

Proof. i) is immediate.

ii) We may assume without loss of generality that Y is the affine space  $K^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the product topology on  $K^n$ , and  $V_\alpha = \{ \underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Y : v(a_i) > \alpha \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \}$ ,  $\alpha \in vK$ , be the fundamental system of open neighbourhoods of  $\underline{0}$ . On the other hand, let  $\underline{D}(f, m) = D(f, m) \cap Y = \{ \underline{a} \in Y : f(\underline{a}) \in K^{Xm} \}$ ,  $f \in K[X]$ ,  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $m \geq 2$ , be the subbase of the L-topology on Y. First let us show that the L-topology is finer as  $\mathcal{Z}$ , i.e.,  $\underline{a} + V_\alpha$  is L-open for  $\underline{a} \in Y$ ,  $\alpha \in vK$ . Let  $\pi \in K$  be such that  $v(\pi) = 1$ ,  $b \in K$  be such that  $v(b) = \alpha$ , and  $f_{i,p}(\underline{X}) = 1 + \frac{(X_i - a_i)^2}{\pi b^2}$  if  $p \neq 2$ , respectively  $f_{i,2}(\underline{X}) = 1 + \frac{(X_i - a_i)^3}{\pi^2 b^3}$  if  $p = 2$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $O_v = \{ c \in K : 1 + \pi c^2 \in K^{X2} \}$  if  $p \neq 2$ , respectively  $O_v = \{ c \in K : 1 + \pi c^3 \in K^{X3} \}$  if  $p = 2$ , it follows  $\underline{a} + V_\alpha \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \underline{D}(f_{i,p}, m_p)$ , where  $m_p = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p \neq 2 \\ 3 & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases}$ , i.e.,  $\underline{a} + V_\alpha$  is L-open. Conversely, let us show that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is finer as the L-topology. Let  $f \in K[X]$ ,  $m \geq 2$ . We have to show that  $\underline{D}(f, m)$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -open. For each  $b \in K^{Xm}$ , consider the  $\mathcal{Z}$ -open set  $U_b = \{ \underline{a} \in Y : v(f(\underline{a}) - b) > v(b) + 2v(m) \}$ . Since, by Newton's lemma,  $\frac{2v(m)+1}{1+m} \in K^{Xm}$ , it follows that  $\underline{D}(f, m) = \bigcup_{b \in K^{Xm}} U_b$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -open. ■



Theorem 3.15. If  $K, L$  are as above then  $L$  has regularity property.

Proof. Let  $Y$  be an irreducible  $K$ -variety,  $A = K[Y] = K[\underline{y}]$  and  $\psi \in L(A)$  be such that  $Y_{\text{reg}} \cap D_{\psi}$  is non-empty. We have to show that  $\text{TV}(A) \cup \{\psi\}$  is consistent. We distinguish three cases:

i)  $K$  is algebraically closed: As  $L = ZL$ , we may assume that  $\psi$  is the class modulo  $T_A$  of the  $\underline{L}_A$ -sentence  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^l f_i(x) \neq 0$ , with  $f_i \in A$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Since  $Y_{\text{reg}} \cap D_{\psi}$  is non-empty, we get  $f_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , and hence the required conclusion is immediate.

ii)  $K$  is real closed: By Lemma 3.11, we may assume that  $\psi$  is the class modulo  $T_A$  of the  $\underline{L}_A$ -sentence  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^l \varphi_2(f_i(y))$ , with  $f_i \in A$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $\underline{a} \in Y_{\text{reg}}$  such that  $f_i(\underline{a}) > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . The conclusion, i.e., there exists an order  $P$  on  $F = K(Y)$  such that  $f_i \in P^X$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , follows by Artin-Lang theorem [7] Theorem 1.3.

iii)  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  is  $p$ -adically closed: We may assume that  $\psi$  is the class modulo  $T_A$  of the  $\underline{L}_A$ -sentence  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^l \varphi_m(f_i(y))$  with  $m \geq 2$ ,  $f_i \in A$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Let  $\underline{a} \in Y_{\text{reg}} \cap D_{\psi} = Y_{\text{reg}} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^l D(f_i, m)$ . According to [18] Corollary A.3, the  $K$ -morphism  $A \rightarrow K: y \mapsto \underline{a}$  extends to a place  $Q$  of  $F = K(Y)$  over  $K$  such that  $FQ = K$ . Let  $v_Q$  be the valuation of  $F$  assigned to  $Q$  and  $w$  be the composite valuation  $v \circ v_Q$  of  $F$ . Then  $w$  extends  $v$ ,  $O_w = Q^{-1}(O_v)$ ,  $F_w \subseteq K_v$  and  $vK$  is a convex subgroup of  $wF$ . In particular,  $w(\pi) = 1$ , where  $\pi$  is an element of  $K$  such that  $v(\pi) = 1$ , and the ordered group  $vK$  is existentially complete in  $wF$ , by [36] Theorem 2.6. According to the Ax-Kochen-Ershov transfer principle [3], [16], [2], [20], [38], [41], [4], the Henselian va-

lued field  $(K, v)$  is existentially complete in the valued field  $(F, w)$ , i.e.,  $(F, w)$  is embeddable over  $(K, v)$  into some p-adically closed p-valued field  $(F', w')$  of the same type as  $(K, v)$ . In order to conclude that  $T \cup D(A) \cup \{\psi\}$  is consistent, it remains to show that  $Q^{-1}(K^{Xn}) \subset F'^{Xn}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $Q'$  be the place of  $F'$  over  $K$ , extending  $Q$ , whose valuation  $v_{Q'}$  is the composite map  $F'^X \xrightarrow{w'} w'F' \rightarrow w'F' / \langle vK \rangle$ , where  $\langle vK \rangle$  is the convex hull of  $vK$  in  $w'F'$ . Then  $K = FQ$  is identified with a subfield of the residue field  $F'Q'$ . Let  $a \in Q^{-1}(K^{Xn}) \subset Q'^{-1}((F'Q')^{Xn})$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $w'$  is Henselian and  $O_{w'} \subset O_{v_{Q'}}$  it follows that  $(F', v_{Q'})$  is Henselian. As the residue characteristic of  $(F', v_{Q'})$  is zero, we may apply Hensel's lemma to the polynomial  $X^n - a$  and conclude that  $a \in F'^{Xn}$ , as required. ■

Theorem 3.16. If  $K, L$  are as above then  $L$  has finiteness property.

Proof. Let  $Y$  be a  $K$ -variety, and  $U, V \in \mathcal{Y}_{Y, \underline{F}}$  be such that  $U$  is  $L$ -open in  $V$ . We have to show that  $U = V \cap \underline{D}$  for some  $\underline{D} \in \mathcal{Y}_{Y, L}$ .

We distinguish three cases:

- i)  $K$  is algebraically closed: Trivial, since  $L = ZL$  and thus  $\mathcal{Y}_{Y, L}$  is the whole set of Zariski open subsets of  $Y$ .
- ii)  $K$  is real closed: Then the statement above is nothing else than the fundamental finiteness theorem for open semi-algebraic sets, conjectured by Brumfiel [11] "Unproved Proposition" 8.1.2, and proved by various techniques in [10], [13], [15], [37].
- iii)  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  is p-adically closed: Let  $(\underline{L})_{\underline{K}}$  be the language  $\underline{L}_K$  augmented with the one-place relation symbols  $P_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $p \in \underline{CF}_K$ .



be the  $(\underline{L})_{\underline{K}}$  - theory obtained from the  $\underline{L}_K$ -theory  $T$  of  $p$ -adically closed field extensions of  $\underline{K}$  of the same type as  $\underline{K}$ , by adding the defining axioms  $P_n(x_1) \leftrightarrow (\exists x_2) x_1 = x_2^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . As  $\underline{L}$  is the whole Boolean algebra  $\underline{F}$ , the statement we have to prove is equivalent with the following one: given the  $\underline{L}_K$  - formulas  $\Psi_i(\underline{x})$ ,  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ ,  $i=1,2$ , if  $T \vdash \Psi_1 \rightarrow \Psi_2$  and  $[\Psi_1]$  is closed in  $[\Psi_2]$  with respect to the product topology on  $K^m$  induced by the valuation  $v$ , where  $[\Psi_i] := \{a \in K^m : K \models \Psi_i(a)\}$ ,  $i=1,2$ , then there exists a positive quantifier free  $(\underline{L})_{\underline{K}}$  - formula  $\Theta(\underline{x})$  such that  $pCF_K \vdash \Psi_1 \leftrightarrow (\Psi_2 \wedge \Theta)$ . In order to prove the latter statement, it suffices, according to van den Dries's Lyndon-Robinson-type lemma [37], to prove that the formulas  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2$  as above satisfy the following lifting property: given two models  $F, N$  of  $T$ , an intermediate ring between  $K$  and  $F$ , a  $K$ -morphism  $f: A \rightarrow N$  and a point  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in A^m$ , if  $f(A \cap F^n) \subset N^n$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $F \models \Psi_1(\underline{a})$ , then  $N \models \Psi_2(f(\underline{a})) \rightarrow \Psi_1(f(\underline{a}))$ .

First let us note that  $f(A \cap F^n) = f(A) \cap N^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , i.e.,  $B = (f(A), f(A \cap F^n) : n \geq 2)$  is a sub- $\underline{K}$ -domain of  $\underline{N} = (N, N^n : n \geq 2)$ . Indeed, let  $x \in A$  be such that  $f(x) \in N^{Xn}$ . As  $F^X = K^X F^{Xn}$ , there is  $y \in K^X$  such that  $xy \in F^{Xn}$ , and hence  $f(x)y = f(xy) \in N^{Xn}$ . Thus,  $y \in K^X \cap N^n = K^{Xn}$  and  $x \in A \cap F^n$ .

Let  $v_F, v_N$  be the  $p$ -valuations of  $F$ , respectively  $N$ , extending  $v$ , and let  $I = \text{Ker } f$ . For  $x, y \in A$ ,  $v_N(f(x)) \leq v_N(f(y))$  iff  $\text{or } x \in I \text{ and } y \in I$ . Indeed, if  $v_F(x) \leq v_F(y)$ , either  $v_F(x) \leq v_F(y)$  then  $x^2 + \pi y^2 \in A \cap F^2$  if  $p \neq 2$ , respectively  $x^3 + \pi y^3 \in A \cap F^3$  if  $p=2$ , where  $\pi$  is an element of  $K$  such that  $v(\pi)=1$ . Applying  $f$  we get  $f(x)^2 + \pi f(y)^2 \in N^2$  if  $p \neq 2$ , respectively  $f(x)^3 + \pi f(y)^3 \in N^3$ , i.e.,  $v_N(f(x)) \leq v_N(f(y))$ . Conversely, assume

$v_N(f(x)) \leq v_N(f(y))$  and  $v_F(x) > v_F(y)$ , i.e.,  $v_F(x) \geq v_F(\bar{f}(y))$ . Consequently,  $v_N(f(y)) \geq v_N(f(x)) \geq v_N(\bar{f}(y))$ , i.e.,  $x \in I$  and  $y \in I$ . Thus, the prime ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is convex with respect to the valuation  $v_F$ , i.e., given  $x \in I$ ,  $y \in A$ , if  $v_F(x) \leq v_F(y)$  then  $y \in I$ . As we shall show in Proposition 3.20, there exists a valuation  $w$  of  $F$  such that  $A \cup O_{v_F} \subset O_w$  and  $\bar{m}_w \cap A = I$ . Thus, the epi  $A \rightarrow f(A)$  induced by  $f$  is identified with the restriction of the canonic epi  $p: O_w \rightarrow F_w$ .

Let  $\bar{F}_w = (F_w, F_w^n: n \geq 2)$ . Let us show that  $\bar{B}$  is a sub- $\bar{K}$ -domain of  $\bar{F}_w$ , i.e.,  $p(O_w \cap F_w^n) = (O_w \cap F_w^n) \cap F_w^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , and  $\bar{F}_w$  is a model of  $pCF_{\bar{K}}$ , i.e.,  $F_w$  is a model of  $\bar{T}$ . The first requirement is obviously fulfilled since  $O_w$  is Henselian of residue characteristic zero, as  $O_w$  contains the Henselian valuation ring  $O_{v_F}$ . Moreover,  $v_F$  induces a Henselian valuation  $\bar{w}$  on  $F_w$  such that  $\bar{w}$  extends  $v$ ,  $(F_w)_{\bar{w}} \simeq F_{v_F} \simeq K_v$  and the value group  $\bar{w}F_w$  is identified with an intermediate convex subgroup between  $vK$  and  $v_F F$ . In particular  $\bar{w}(\bar{f}) = v(\bar{f})$  is the smallest positive element of  $\bar{w}F_w$  and  $\bar{w}F_w$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -group. Thus,  $(F_w, \bar{w})$  is a  $p$ -adically closed  $p$ -valued field extending  $\bar{K}$  of the same type as  $\bar{K}$ , i.e.,  $F_w$  is a model of  $\bar{T}$ , as required.

So  $\bar{B}$  is a common sub- $\bar{K}$ -domain of the models  $\bar{N}$  and  $\bar{F}_w$  of  $pCF_{\bar{K}}$ . According to Theorem 1.14,  $\bar{N}$  and  $\bar{F}_w$  are elementarily equivalent over  $\bar{B}$ . In particular, the sentence  $\Psi_2(f(\underline{a})) \rightarrow \Psi_1(f(\underline{a}))$  is true on  $N$  iff it is true on  $F_w$ , and hence we may assume from the beginning that  $A = O_w$ ,  $N = F_w$  and  $f$  is the canonic epi  $p: O_w \rightarrow F_w$ .

As  $(F, w)$  is Henselian of residue characteristic zero, we may identify  $F_w$  with an intermediate model of  $T$  between  $K$  and  $F$ ,



according to [2] Proposition 16. By assumption  $[\psi_1]$  is closed in  $[\psi_2]$  with respect to the topology on  $K^m$  induced by  $v$ . Since the property to be closed with respect to  $v$  is  $L_K$ -definable and  $F_w$  is an elementary extension of  $K$  it follows that  $[\psi_1]_{F_w}$  is closed in  $[\psi_2]_{F_w}$ , where  $[\psi_i]_{F_w} = \{b \in F_w^m : F_w \models \psi_i(b)\}$ ,  $i=1,2$ . On the other hand,  $\psi_1(a)$  is true on  $F$  by hypothesis. We have to show that  $F_w \models \psi_2(p(a)) \rightarrow \psi_1(p(a))$ . Assuming the contrary,  $p(a) \in [\psi_2]_{F_w}$  and there exists  $\alpha \in \bar{w}F_w = v_F(F_w^X)$  such that  $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, \dots, z_m) \notin [\psi_1]_{F_w}$  whenever  $\underline{z} \in F_w^m$  and  $\bar{w}(z_i - p(a_i)) > \alpha$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . As  $F$  is an elementary field extension of  $F_w$  and the  $p$ -valuations  $\bar{w}$  and  $v_F$  are  $L_K$ -definable, it follows that  $(F, v_F)$  is an elementary valued field extension of  $(F_w, \bar{w})$ . On the other hand,  $v_F(a_i - p(a_i)) > \alpha$  since  $w(a_i - p(a_i)) > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and  $\bar{w}F_w = v_F(F_w^X)$  is a convex subgroup of  $v_FF$ . Consequently,  $F \models \neg \psi_1(a)$ , contrary to the hypothesis.  $\blacksquare$

The rest of this section is devoted to the unproved statement used in the proof of the theorem above.

Definition 3.17. Given a valued field  $(F, v)$  and a subring  $A$  of  $F$ , an ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is called convex (with respect to the valuation  $v$ ) if for arbitrary  $a \in I$  and  $b \in A$ ,  $b \in I$  whenever  $v(a) \leq v(b)$ .

Using Definition 1.9, one gets easily:

Lemma 3.18. Let  $(F, v)$  be a valued field,  $A$  be a subring of  $F$  and  $I$  be a prime ideal of  $A$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for  $I$  to be convex is that  $(A/I, R)$  is a valued domain,

where  $R = \{(a \bmod I, b \bmod I) : a, b \in A, v(a) \leq v(b)\}$ .

Definition 3.19. Given  $(F, v)$  and  $A \subset F$ , the convex radical of the ideal  $I$  of  $A$  is the set  $\text{crad}(I) = \{a \in A : v(b) \leq nv(a) \text{ for some } b \in I, n \geq 1\}$ .

Obviously,  $\text{crad}(I)$  is a convex ideal, containing the nilradical of  $I$ , and  $\text{crad}(\text{crad}(I)) = \text{crad}(I)$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for  $I = \text{crad}(I)$  is that  $I$  is convex and  $I$  is a nilradical.  $\text{crad}(I) \neq A$  iff  $I \subsetneq \underline{m}_v$ . One checks easily that  $\text{crad}(I)$  is the intersection of all convex prime ideals of  $A$  containing  $I$ .

Proposition 3.20. (Place extension theorem for valuations). Let  $(F, v)$  be a valued field,  $A$  be a subring of  $F$  and  $I$  be a convex prime ideal of  $A$ . Then there exists a valuation ring  $O_w$  such that  $A \cup O_v \subset O_w$  and  $A \cap \underline{m}_w = I$ .

Proof. Let  $M$  be the set of all pairs  $(B, J)$ , where  $B$  is an intermediate ring between  $A$  and  $F$ ,  $J$  is a convex prime ideal of  $B$  and  $A \cap J = I$ , partially ordered by the relation  $(B, J) \leq (B', J')$  iff  $B \subset B'$  and  $B \cap J' = J$ . As  $(A, I) \in M$  and  $(M, \leq)$  is inductive, it follows by Zorn's lemma that  $M$  has a maximal element, so we may assume that  $M = \{(A, I)\}$ . Then obviously  $A$  is a local ring and  $I$  is its maximal ideal. Let us show that  $A$  is a valuation ring. Let  $x \in F \setminus A$ .  $B = A[x]$  and  $J = IB$ . Assuming that  $J \subsetneq \underline{m}_v$ ,  $\text{crad}(J) \neq B$ , and hence there exists a convex prime ideal  $\underline{p}$  of  $B$  such that  $J \subsetneq \underline{p}$ . Since  $I$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$ , it follows  $(A, I) \leq (B, \underline{p})$ , a contradiction.



Thus,  $\bigcap_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \neq 0$ , and hence  $v(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i) < 0$  for some  $a_i \in I$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . As  $I \subseteq m_v$ , one gets  $v(x) < 0$ . Assuming  $x^{-1} \notin A$ , we get similarly  $v(x) > 0$ , a contradiction. We conclude that  $A$  is a valuation ring. ■

#### 4. The Riemann space of a commutative ring

In the first part of this section we show that natural generalizations of the concept of Riemann space of a field can be given in the frame developed in Section 2.

Let  $\underline{L}_{val}$  be the language of valued domains, i.e., the language  $\underline{L}$  of rings augmented with a two-place relation symbol  $R$ . The valued fields  $(K, v)$  are particular  $\underline{L}_{val}$ -structures on which the relation  $R$  is interpreted as follows:  $aRb$  iff  $v(a) \leq v(b)$ .

Let  $T = \underline{ACVF}$  be the  $\underline{L}_{val}$ -theory of non-trivial valued algebraically closed fields,  $\underline{F}(T)$  be the Boolean algebra of  $\underline{L}_{val}$ -formulas up to equivalence modulo  $T$ , and  $ZL_{val}$  be the cps sublattice of  $\underline{F}(T)$  generated by the class modulo  $T$  of the  $\underline{L}_{val}$ -formula  $x_1 \neq 0 \wedge x_1 R x_2$ .

As  $T \vdash (x_1 \neq 0) \leftrightarrow (x_1 \neq 0 \wedge x_1 R x_1)$ , the Zariski lattice  $ZL$  is contained in  $ZL_{val}$ , and  $ZL_{val}$  is homogeneous. The following statement is a reformulation of a basic model-theoretic result due to Robinson [30].

Theorem 4.1.  $\underline{F}(T)$  is generated as Boolean algebra by  $ZL_{val}$ .

Proof. As  $T \vdash (x_1 = 0) \leftrightarrow (\neg (x_1 \neq 0))$ , the Boolean subalgebra  $B$  of  $\underline{F}(T)$  generated by  $ZL_{val}$  is the cps Boolean subalgebra generated by the class modulo  $T$  of the formula  $x_1 R x_2$ . The equality  $B = \underline{F}(T)$  is equivalent to the fact that the  $\underline{L}_{val}$ -theory  $T$  admits elimina-

tion of quantifiers, i.e.,  $T$  is the model completion of the universal theory  $T_v$  of valued domains [30], [25]. ■

Given a commutative ring  $A$  with 1, let  $D(A)_+$  be the positive  $\underline{L}$ -diagram of  $A$ ,  $(\underline{L}_{val})_A$  be the augmentation of  $\underline{L}_{val}$  with constants standing for elements of  $A$  and  $T_A$  be the  $(\underline{L}_{val})_A$ -theory  $T \cup D(A)_+$ . The models of  $T_A$  are the pairs  $((K, v), f)$  where  $(K, v)$  is a non-trivial valued algebraically closed field and  $f: A \rightarrow K$  is a non-null morphism. Let  $B(A)$  be the Boolean algebra of  $(\underline{L}_{val})_A$ -sentences up to equivalence modulo  $T_A$ , and  $ZL_{val}(A)$  be the sublattice of  $B(A)$  induced by  $ZL_{val}$ .  $ZL_{val}(A)$  is generated by the classes modulo  $T_A$  of the sentences  $a \neq 0 \wedge aRb$  for  $a, b \in A$ . Let  $R(A)$  be the spectral space assigned by Stone duality to the distributive lattice  $ZL_{val}(A)$ , and call it the Riemann space of  $A$ . The underlying set of  $R(A)$  is identified with the set of pairs  $(\underline{p}, v)$ , where  $\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $v$  is a valuation, may be the trivial one, on  $k(\underline{p}) = Q(A/\underline{p})$ , while the topology is given by the subbase of open sets  $D(a, b) = \{(\underline{p}, v) \in R(A) : a \notin \underline{p} \text{ and } v(b \bmod \underline{p}) \geq v(a \bmod \underline{p})\}$ , for  $a, b \in A$ . In particular, if  $A = K$  is a field then  $R(K)$  is the customary Riemann space of valuations of  $K$  ([40], Ch.6, §17), with the Zariski topology given by the subbase of open sets  $D(f) = \{v \in R(K) : v(f) \geq 0\}$ ,  $f \in K$ . Thus, we get a contravariant functor  $R$  from the category of commutative rings into the category of spectral spaces. Note also that the canonic projection  $R(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A) : (\underline{p}, v) \mapsto \underline{p}$  is a coherent epi, with a coherent canonic section  $i : \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow R(A)$ , the trivial valuation on  $k(\underline{p})$ .

On the other hand, we may consider the Boolean space  $BR(A)$  assigned by Stone duality to the Boolean algebra  $B(A)$ .

According to Theorem 4.1, its underlying set is identified with that of  $R(A)$ , while its topology is finer than that of  $R(A)$  and is given by basic clopen sets  $D(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n; c_1, \dots, c_m, d_1, \dots, d_m) = \{(\underline{p}, v) : v(a_i \bmod \underline{p}) \leq v(b_i \bmod \underline{p}), 1 \leq i \leq n, \text{ and } v(c_j \bmod \underline{p}) < v(d_j \bmod \underline{p}), 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ . In particular, if  $A = K$  is a field then the basic open sets of  $BR(K)$  have the form  $D(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_m) = \{v : v(f_i) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } v(g_j) > 0, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ . The canonic projection of  $BR(A)$  onto its Boolean space  $B\text{Spec}(A)$ , the cons-



tractible spectrum of  $A$ , is continuous, and its canonic section  $i$  is continuous too, identifying  $B \text{ Spec}(A)$  with a closed subspace of  $BR(A)$ .

Given a field  $K$  and a  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , we may consider the closed subspaces  $R(A/K)$ ,  $BR(A/K)$  of  $R(A)$ ,  $BR(A)$ , having as underlying set the set of pairs  $(p, v)$ , where  $p \in \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $v$  is a valuation of  $\underline{k(p)}$  lying over  $K$ . Clearly,  $R(A/K)$  is a spectral space, while  $BR(A/K)$  is a Boolean one.

Now we introduce real and  $p$ -adic versions for the Riemann space of a ring defined above. First let us consider the real case.

Definition 4.2. A valued-ordered domain is a triple  $(A, R, P)$  where  $(A, R)$  is a valued domain and  $(A, P)$  is an ordered domain satisfying the following compatibility condition:  $(a+b)b \in P$ , whenever  $b \in R$  but not  $a \in R$ , for  $a, b \in A$ . A valued-ordered field is a valued-ordered domain  $(F, R, P)$ , where  $F$  is a field. Identifying  $R$  with its corresponding valuation  $v$ , the compatibility condition above reads as follows:  $1 + \underline{m}_v \subset P$ , i.e., the valuation ring  $O_v$  is convex in  $F$  with respect to the order  $P$ .

Given a valued-ordered domain  $(A, R, P)$ , there exists a unique structure  $(F, v, P')$  of valued-ordered field on  $F = Q(A)$  such that  $R = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : v(a) \leq v(b)\}$  and  $P = A \cap P'$ . If  $(F, v, P)$  is a valued-ordered field then  $\bar{P} = \{a \bmod \underline{m}_v : a \in P \cap O_v\}$  is an order on the residue field  $F_v$ . Moreover, it is well known that the function  $P \mapsto \bar{P}$  maps the set of orders of  $F$  which are compatible with  $v$  onto the set of all orders of  $F_v$ .

Let  $L_{\text{val-ord}}$  be the language of valued-ordered domains and  $T_{\text{RCVOF}}$  be the  $L_{\text{val-ord}}$  - theory of non-trivial-valued-ordered real closed fields.

Theorem 4.3.  $T_{\text{RCVOF}}$  is complete and admits elimination of quantifiers. It is the model completion of the  $L_{\text{val-ord}}$ -theory of valued-ordered domains.

Proof. The models of  $T$  are identified with the Henselian non-trivial valued fields  $(K, v)$  for which the residue field  $K_v$  is real closed and the value group  $vK$  is divisible. According to Ax-Kochen-Ershov transfer principle for Henselian valued fields of residue characteristic zero [3], [16],  $T$  is complete and model complete, since the theories of real closed fields and of divisible Abelian ordered groups are so. It remains to show that every valued-ordered domain  $\underline{A}$  has an up to isomorphism unique minimal extension to a model of  $T$ . Obviously we may assume that  $\underline{A} = (K, v, P)$  is a valued-ordered field. First assume that  $v$  is non-trivial. Let  $\tilde{K}$  be the real closure of the ordered field  $(K, P)$ ; thus  $P = K \cap \tilde{K}^2$ . By Lang's place extension theorem for orders [11], 7.7.4, p.152,  $v$  extends to a valuation  $w$  of  $\tilde{K}$  such that  $1 + \frac{1}{w} \leq \tilde{K}^2$ , i.e.,  $(\tilde{K}, w, \tilde{K}^2)$  is a model of  $T$  extending  $\underline{A}$ . The unicity up to isomorphism is immediate since the real closures of the ordered field  $(K, P)$  are conjugate in the algebraic closure  $K^a$  of  $K$  and the valuations of  $K^a$  extending the valuation  $v$  of  $K$  are conjugate too.

Now assume that  $v$  is trivial and let  $K' = K(x)$  be a pure transcendental extension of  $K$ . There exists a unique pair  $(v', P')$



such that  $(K', v', P')$  is a valued-ordered field extension of  $(K, v, P)$ ,  $v'(x) > 0$  and  $x \in P'$ , given by  $O_{v'} = K[x]_{(x)}$  and  $P' \cap K[x] = \{x^n f(x) : n \geq 0, f \in K[x], f(0) \in P^X\} \setminus \{0\}$ . So it remains to apply to  $(K', v', P')$  the procedure above.  $\square$

Using the previous result, we may proceed as in the first part of the section to get two contravariant functors  $Rr$  and  $BRR$  defined on the category of commutative rings with values in the category of spectral spaces, respectively Boolean spaces. Given a commutative ring  $A$ , the underlying set of  $Rr(A)$  and  $BRR(A)$  is identified with the set of triples  $(\underline{p}, v, P)$ , where  $\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  such that  $(k(\underline{p}), v, P)$  is a valued-ordered field; the valuation  $v$  may be the trivial one. The topology on the spectral space  $Rr(A)$ , called the real Riemann space of  $A$ , is given by the subbase of open sets  $D(a, b) = \{(\underline{p}, v, P) : a \bmod \underline{p} \in P^X, v(a \bmod \underline{p}) \leq v(b \bmod \underline{p})\}$  for  $a, b \in A$ , while the topology on the Boolean space  $BRR(A)$  is generated by the clopen sets  $D(a, b)$  as above and the clopen sets  $D'(a, b) = \{(\underline{p}, v, P) : v(a \bmod \underline{p}) < v(b \bmod \underline{p})\}$ ,  $a, b \in A$ . Note that the canonic epis  $Rr(A) \rightarrow \text{Specr}(A)$ ,  $BRR(A) \rightarrow \text{BSpecr}(A)$ , their canonic sections and the canonic maps  $Rr(A) \rightarrow R(A)$ ,  $BRR(A) \rightarrow BR(A)$  are coherent. The constructible (semialgebraic) real spectrum  $\text{BSpecr}(A)$  is identified with a closed subspace of  $BRR(A)$ . In particular, if  $A = F$  is a field, the underlying set of  $Rr(F)$  and  $BRR(F)$  is the set of pairs  $(v, P)$  for which  $(F, v, P)$  is a valued ordered field. The topology of  $Rr(F)$  is given by the subbase of open sets  $[a > 0]$ ,  $[v(a) \geq 0]$ ,  $a \in F$ , while the topology of  $BRR(F)$  is given by the subbase above extended with the sets  $[v(a) > 0]$ ,  $a \in F$ . Given an ordered field  $K = (K, P)$  and a  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , we may consider the

spectral space  $\text{Rr}(\underline{A}/\underline{K})$  and the Boolean space  $\text{Brr}(\underline{A}/\underline{K})$ , having as underlying set, the set of triples  $(p, v, Q)$  in  $\text{Rr}(\underline{A})$  subject to  $\text{KCO}_v$  and  $\text{PCQ}$ .

Now let us consider the p-adic case. Let  $\underline{K}=(\underline{K}, v)$  be a p-adically closed p-valued field of type  $(e, f)$ . Let  $\bar{u} \in \underline{K}$  be such that  $v(\bar{u})=1$ .

Definition 4.4. A valued K-domain is a structure  $\underline{A}=(\underline{A}, R, P_n: n \geq 2)$ , such that  $(\underline{A}, R)$  is a valued domain,  $(\underline{A}, P_n: n \geq 2)$  is a K-domain (see Definition 1.11) and the following compatibility conditions are satisfied:

- i)  $a^2 + \bar{u}b^2 \in P_2 \Rightarrow aRb$  if  $p \neq 2$ , respectively  $a^3 + \bar{u}b^3 \in P_3 \Rightarrow aRb$  if  $p=2$ , for  $a, b \in \underline{A}$ ;
- ii)  $1Ra$  for each  $a \in \underline{K}$ .

A valued K-field is a valued K-domain  $\underline{F}=(\underline{F}, R, P_n: n \geq 2)$ , where  $\underline{F}$  is a field. Identifying  $R$  with its corresponding valuation  $w$ , the compatibility conditions above read as follows:

- i)  $\{a \in \underline{F}: 1 + \bar{u}a^2 \in P_2\} \subset O_w$  if  $p \neq 2$ , respectively  $\{a \in \underline{F}: 1 + \bar{u}a^3 \in P_3\} \subset O_w$  if  $p=2$ ; in other words,  $O_{v_F} \subset O_w$ , where  $v_F$  is the p-valuation of  $\underline{F}$ ;
- ii)  $\underline{K} \subset O_w$ .

There exists a canonic bijection between the K-domain structures on an integral domain and the K-field structures on its quotient field. The next lemma puts in evidence a lifting property for valued K-fields.

Lemma 4.5. Let  $\underline{F}$  be a field extension of  $\underline{K}$  and  $w$  be a valuation of  $\underline{F}/\underline{K}$ . The function  $(P_n)_{n \geq 2} \mapsto (\bar{P}_n)_{n \geq 2}$ , where  $\bar{P}_n = \{a \mod m_w: a \in P_n\}$ ,



$a \in O_w \cap P_n$ , maps the set of the  $\underline{K}$ -field structures on  $F$  which are compatible with  $w$  onto the set of  $\underline{K}$ -field structures on  $F_w$ .

Proof. One checks easily that the map above is well defined. Note also that for every  $(P_n)_{n \geq 2}$  as above,  $P_n \cap O_w^X$  is the preimage of  $\overline{P_n^X}$  through the canonic epi  $q: O_w^X \rightarrow F_w$ . It remains to prove the surjectivity. Let  $(Q_n)_{n \geq 2}$  be a  $\underline{K}$ -field structure on  $F_w$ . Let  $Q = \bigcap_{n \geq 2} Q_n^X$ ,  $Q_n^*$  be the preimage of  $Q_n^X$  through the epi  $q$ , and  $Q^* = \bigcap_{n \geq 2} Q_n^*$ . Denote by  $S$  the set of the  $\underline{K}$ -field structures  $\underline{P} = (P_n)_{n \geq 2}$  on  $F$  which are compatible with  $w$  and satisfy  $\overline{P_n} = Q_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , up to the equivalence relation:  $\underline{P} \sim \underline{P'}$  iff there exists an isomorphism of valued  $\underline{K}$ -fields  $(F, w, \underline{P}) \rightarrow (F, w, \underline{P'})$  inducing the identity on  $F_w$ . We have to show that  $S$  is non-empty. The set  $S$  can be described in cohomological terms as follows.

Denote by  $\hat{F}_w^X$  the Abelian profinite group  $\varprojlim_{n \geq 2} F_w^X / Q_n^X \cong \varprojlim_{n \geq 2} K^X / K^{Xn} \cong \varprojlim_{n \geq 2} O_w^X / Q_n^*$ , and let  $G$  be the group defined by the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow F_w^X / Q \rightarrow \hat{F}_w^X \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

The exact sequence above induces the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}(wF, G) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{Ext}(wF, F_w^X / Q) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(wF, F_w^X),$$

where  $\text{Hom}$  stands for group morphisms and  $\text{Ext} = \text{Ext}_2^1$ . As

$\hat{F}_w^X \cong \varprojlim_{n \geq 2} K^X / K^{Xn}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -complete and  $wF$  is torsion free, it follows

by [17] §39, 54 that  $\text{Ext}(wF, \hat{F}_w^X) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\lambda$  is onto. Denote by  $S'$  the preimage through  $\lambda$  of the class of the canonic exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow F_{w/Q}^X \xrightarrow{\sim} O_{w/Q}^X \rightarrow F_{Q'}^X \rightarrow wF \rightarrow 1.$$

We define a canonic bijection  $\mu: S \rightarrow S'$ , proving in particular that  $S$  is non-empty. If  $P$  is a representative of some  $s \in S$ , the isomorphisms  $K^X / K^{Xn} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{P_n}^X$ ,  $n \geq 2$  determine a canonic morphism  $F_{Q'}^X \rightarrow \varprojlim_{n \geq 2} F_{P_n}^X \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{F}_w^X$ , inducing the identity on  $F_w^X / Q$ .

The morphism above induces a morphism  $\mu(s): wF \rightarrow G$  which does not depend on the choice of the representative  $\underline{P}$  of  $s$ . By construction,  $\mu(s) \in S'$ . Conversely, given  $f \in S'$ , there exists  $h: F_{Q'}^X \rightarrow \hat{F}_w^X$  such that  $(F_{Q'}^X; h, w: F_{Q'}^X \rightarrow wF)$  is the pullback of the pair  $(\hat{F}_w^X \rightarrow G, f: wF \rightarrow G)$ . Let  $P_n = h^{-1}(\hat{F}_w^{Xn}) \cup \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . By the universality of the pullback, the family  $\underline{P} = (P_n)_{n \geq 2}$  is uniquely determined by  $f$  up to an automorphism of the valued field  $(F, w)$  inducing the identity on  $F_w$ . One checks easily that  $\underline{P}$  is a  $\underline{K}$ -field structure on  $F$  which is compatible with  $w$  and  $\bar{P}_n = Q_n$ . Thus we get a map  $\gamma: S' \rightarrow S$ . It follows immediately that  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  are inverse each to other. ■

Remark. If  $\text{Ext}(wF, F_w^X/Q) = 0$  then  $S \simeq \text{Hom}(wF, G)$ . In particular, if  $w$  is discrete then  $S \simeq G$ . In this case, the lifting of  $Q$  is unique up to isomorphism iff the canonic morphism  $F_w^X \rightarrow \hat{F}_w^X$  is onto.

Let  $\underline{L}_{\text{val}, K}$  be the language of valued  $\underline{K}$ -domains and  $T$  be the  $\underline{L}_{\text{val}, K}$ -theory of the valued  $\underline{K}$ -fields  $(F, w, P_n: n \geq 2)$  for which



$w$  is non-trivial and  $F$  is  $p$ -adically closed; in particular  $P_n = F^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . The  $p$ -adic analogue of Theorem 4.3 reads as follows.

Theorem 4.6.  $T$  is complete and admits elimination of quantifiers. It is the model completion of the  $\underline{L}_{\text{val}, \underline{K}}$ -theory of valued  $\underline{K}$ -domains.

Proof. The models of  $T$  are identified with the Henselian non-trivial valued fields  $(F, w)$  subject to  $K \subset O_w$ ,  $F_w$  is a  $p$ -adically closed field extension of  $K$  of type  $(e, f)$  and  $wF$  is divisible. The completeness and model completeness of  $T$  is a consequence of the Ax-Kochen-Ershov transfer principle for Henselian valued fields of residue characteristic zero, Theorem 1.14 and the completeness, model completeness of the theory of divisible Abelian ordered groups. In order to finish the proof, it suffices to show that each valued  $\underline{K}$ -field  $\underline{F} = (F, w, P_n: n \geq 2)$  extends to a model  $\underline{\tilde{F}} = (\tilde{F}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{P}_n: n \geq 2)$  of  $T$ , which is almost minimal in the following sense: for every model  $\underline{N}$  of  $T$  extending  $\underline{F}$  there exists an elementary extension  $\underline{N'}$  of  $\underline{N}$  such that  $\underline{\tilde{F}}$  is embeddable over  $\underline{F}$  into  $\underline{N'}$ .

First suppose that  $w$  is non-trivial and let  $\tilde{F}$  be the  $p$ -adic closure of the  $\underline{K}$ -field  $(F, P_n: n \geq 2)$ . By Theorem 1.15,  $\tilde{F}$  is unique up to an isomorphism. Applying Proposition 3.20 to the valued field  $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{v})$ , where  $\tilde{v}$  is the  $p$ -valuation of  $\tilde{F}$ , the subring  $O_w$  of  $\tilde{F}$  and the convex (with respect to  $\tilde{v}$ ) prime ideal  $\underline{m}_w$ , we may extend  $w$  to a valuation  $\tilde{w}$  of  $\tilde{F}$  such that  $O_{\tilde{v}} \subset O_{\tilde{w}}$ , getting the required model  $\underline{\tilde{F}}$  of  $T$ . In fact,  $\underline{\tilde{F}}$  is the up to isomorphism unique minimal extension of  $\underline{F}$  to a model of  $T$ .

Now assume that  $w$  is trivial, and let  $F' = F(x)$  be a pure transcendental extension of  $F$  of transcendency degree one. Let  $w'$  be the unique valuation of  $F'$  subject to  $F' \subset O_{w'}$ , and  $w'(x) > 0$ .  $O_{w'}$  is the localization of  $F[x]$  with respect to the maximal ideal  $x F[x]$ ,  $\frac{m}{w'} = x O_{w'}$  and  $F'_{w'} = F$ . Let  $P'_n = x^{-Z_n} \cdot P_n^X \cdot (1 + \frac{m}{w'}) \cup \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $\underline{F'} = (F', w', P'_n : n \geq 2)$  is a valued  $K$ -field extension of  $\underline{F}$ . Let  $\tilde{\underline{F}}$  be the minimal extension of  $\underline{F'}$  to a model of  $T$  constructed as above. In order to show that  $\tilde{\underline{F}}$  has the required property, it suffices to show that, given a non-trivial-valued  $K$ -field extension  $\underline{F''}$  of  $\underline{F}$ ,  $\underline{F'}$  is embeddable over  $\underline{F}$  into some elementary extension of  $\underline{F''}$ . Given  $\underline{F''}$  as above, there exists an  $\aleph_1$ -saturated elementary extension of it; take for instance an ultrapower with respect to a non-principal ultrafilter on the set of natural numbers. Thus we may assume from the beginning that  $\underline{F''} = (F'', w'', P''_n : n \geq 2)$  is  $\aleph_1$ -saturated. As  $w''$  is non-trivial and  $\underline{F''}$  is  $\aleph_1$ -saturated, there exists  $y \in \bigcap_{n \geq 2} F''^{X_n}$  such that  $w''(y) > 0$ . Since  $w$  is trivial,  $y$  is transcendental over  $F$ . The substitution  $x \mapsto y$  induces an  $F$ -embedding of  $\underline{F'}$  into  $\underline{F''}$ , as required. ■

Remark. According to Lemma 4.5, the obstruction to minimality in the trivial valuation case is given by the group morphism  $\Theta : F^X \rightarrow \hat{F}^X = \varprojlim_{n \geq 2} F^X / P_n^X$ . More precisely, the necessary and sufficient condition for the trivial-valued  $K$ -domain  $\underline{F}$  to have an up to isomorphism unique minimal extension to a model of  $T$  is that  $\Theta$  is onto.

Using Theorem 4.6, we get two contravariant functors  $R_p$  and  $BR_p$  defined on the category of  $K$ -algebras with values in the category of spectral, respectively Boolean spaces. Given a  $K$ -alge-



bra  $A$ , the underlying set of  $Rp(A)$  and  $BRp(A)$  is identified with the set of systems  $(\underline{p}, \underline{w}, \underline{P}_n: n \geq 2)$ , where  $\underline{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $(\underline{w}, \underline{P}_n: n \geq 2)$  is a valued  $\underline{K}$ -field structure on  $\underline{k}(\underline{p})$ . The topology on the spectral space  $Rp(A)$ , called the p-adic Riemann space of  $A$ , is given by the subbase of open sets  $D(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{m}) = \{(\underline{p}, \underline{w}, \underline{P}_n: n \geq 2): \underline{a} \bmod \underline{p} \in \underline{P}_m^X, \underline{w}(\underline{a} \bmod \underline{p}) \leq \underline{w}(\underline{b} \bmod \underline{p})\}$  for  $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in A, m \geq 2$ , while the topology of the Boolean space  $BRp(A)$  is generated by the clopen (as above and the clopen, sets  $D(\underline{a}, \underline{b}, \underline{m}) \setminus \text{sets } D'(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = \{(\underline{p}, \underline{w}, \underline{P}_n: n \geq 2): \underline{w}(\underline{a} \bmod \underline{p}) < \underline{w}(\underline{b} \bmod \underline{p})\}$ ,  $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in A$ . The canonic epis  $Rp(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ ,  $BRp(A) \rightarrow \text{BSpec } p(A)$ , their canonic sections and the canonic maps  $Rp(A) \rightarrow R(A)$ ,  $BRp(A) \rightarrow BR(A)$  are coherent, and  $\text{BSpec } p(A)$ , the constructible p-adic spectrum, is identified with a closed subspace of  $BRp(A)$ . If  $A=F$  is a field extension of  $K$ , the underlying set of  $Rp(F)$  and  $BRp(F)$  is the set of valued  $\underline{K}$ -fields with universe  $F$ . The topology on  $Rp(F)$  is given by the subbase of open sets  $[a \in \underline{P}_m^X]$ ,  $[w(a) \geq 0]$ ,  $m \geq 2, a \in F$ , while the topology on  $BRp(F)$  is given by the subbase above extended with the sets  $[w(a) > 0]$ ,  $a \in F$ .

The rest of this section is devoted to a density theorem on Riemann spaces which plays a basic role in the following sections.

Fix a base field  $K$ , assumed to be either algebraically closed, or real closed, or p-adically closed of type  $(e, f)$ . Given a  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , let us consider the Boolean space  $X(A)$  and its closed subspace  $X'(A)$ , where  $X(A) := BR(A/K)$ ,  $X'(A) := \text{BSpec}(A)$  if  $K$  is algebraically closed,  $BRr(A/K)$ ,  $\text{BSpec}(A)$  if  $K$  is real closed,  $BRp(A)$ ,  $\text{BSpec } p(A)$  if  $K$  is p-adically closed. Let  $Z(A)$  be the pre-image through the canonic map  $X(A) \rightarrow R(A/K)$  of the set of pairs  $(\underline{p}, \underline{w})$  for which  $\underline{w}$  is discrete with residue field  $\underline{k}(\underline{p})_{\underline{w}} = K$ .

Theorem 4.7. With the notations above, assume that for every  $p \in \underline{X'}(A)$ , the field  $k(p)$  is finitely generated over  $K$ . Then  $Z(A)$  is dense in the open subspace  $X(A) \setminus X'(A)$  of the Boolean space  $X(A)$ , i.e.,  $X(A)$  is the union of  $X'(A)$  and the closure of  $Z(A)$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $A=F$  is a finitely generated field extension of  $K$ . The present proof is inspired by the proof of the main theorem of [21]. We distinguish three cases:

Case 1:  $K$  is algebraically closed. We have to show that  $D \cap Z(F)$  is non-empty for every basic non-empty open set  $D = D(f_1, \dots, f_n; g_1, \dots, g_m) = \{w : w(f_i) > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n, w(g_j) > 0, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $g = g_1 \neq 0$ . Choose some  $w \in D$  and denote also by  $w$  some extension to a valuation of the algebraic closure  $\tilde{F}$  of  $F$ .  $w$  induces on  $K' = K(g)$  a discrete valuation with valuation ring  $K[\tilde{g}]_{(g)}$  and residue field  $K$ . Let  $\tilde{K}' \subset \tilde{F}$  be the algebraic closure of  $K'$  with the valuation induced by the fixed valuation  $w$  of  $\tilde{F}$ . Thus we get a diagram of valued field extensions of  $K$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F.\tilde{K}' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{F} \\ / & & / & & \\ K' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{K}' & & \end{array}$$

Write  $F.\tilde{K}' = \tilde{K}'(t_1, \dots, t_k, y)$ , where  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  are algebraically independent over  $K'$ , so  $\text{trdeg}(F/K) = k+1$ , and  $y$  is algebraic separable over  $\tilde{K}'(t)$ . Let  $H \in K'[T, Y]$  be irreducible, monic in  $Y$ ,



such that  $H(\underline{t}, \underline{y})=0$ . Then  $f_i = \frac{F_i(\underline{t}, \underline{y})}{G(\underline{t})}$ ,  $g_j = \frac{G_j(\underline{t}, \underline{y})}{G(\underline{t})}$  for some polynomials  $G, F_i, G_j$  with coefficients in  $\tilde{K}'$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq m$ .

As the extension  $\tilde{F}/\tilde{K}'$  of non-trivial valued algebraically closed fields is elementary, there exists  $(\underline{t}', \underline{y}') \in \tilde{K}'^{k+1}$  satisfying i)  $H(\underline{t}', \underline{y}')=0$ ,  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial Y}(\underline{t}', \underline{y}') \neq 0$ , and ii)  $w(F_i(\underline{t}', \underline{y}')) \geq w(G(\underline{t}'))$ ,  $G(\underline{t}') \neq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $w(G_j(\underline{t}', \underline{y}')) > w(G(\underline{t}'))$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq m$ .

Now let  $L/\tilde{K}'$  be a finite extension of  $\tilde{K}'$  such that  $(\underline{t}', \underline{y}') \in L^{k+1}$ ,  $F \in L(\underline{t}, \underline{y})$  and the coefficients of the polynomials  $H, G, F_i (1 \leq i \leq n), G_j (2 \leq j \leq m)$  belong to  $L$ . Obviously the induced valuation  $w|L$  is discrete and  $L_w = K$ . Let  $(\hat{L}, \hat{w})$  be the completion of the valued field  $(L, w|L)$ . As  $w|L$  is discrete,  $(\hat{L}, \hat{w})$  is Henselian. On the other hand,  $\hat{L}$  is of infinite transcendence degree over  $L$ , since the completion of the discrete valued field  $(K', w|K')$ , isomorphic to the valued field  $K((X))$  of formal power series in one indeterminate  $X$ , is embeddable in  $\hat{L}$ .

As the set  $S$  of the points  $(\underline{t}'', \underline{y}'') \in \tilde{L}^{k+1}$  satisfying the condition ii) above, with  $\hat{w}$  instead of  $w$  and  $(\underline{t}'', \underline{y}'')$  instead of  $(\underline{t}', \underline{y}')$ , is open with respect to the topology induced by  $\hat{w}$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $U \subset \hat{L}$  of 0 such that

$\prod_{i=1}^k (t_i' + U) \times (y' + U) \subset S$ . Applying the implicit function theorem [29]

Theorem 7.4 to the Henselian valued field  $(\hat{L}, \hat{w})$ , we get some open neighbourhoods  $V, V' \subset \hat{L}$  of 0 and a continuous map  $\lambda: \prod_{i=1}^k (t_i' + V) \rightarrow y' + V'$ , such that  $\lambda(\underline{t}'')$  is the unique root of  $H(\underline{t}'', Y) \in \hat{L}[Y]$  in  $y' + V'$  for each  $\underline{t}''$  in the domain of  $\lambda$ . In particular,  $\lambda(\underline{t}') = y'$ . Obviously, we may assume that  $V \cup V' \subset U$ . Thus, if  $\underline{t}'' \in \text{dom}(\lambda)$  then  $H(\underline{t}'', \lambda(\underline{t}'')) = 0$  and  $(\underline{t}'', \lambda(\underline{t}'')) \in S$ .

As  $\text{trdeg}(\hat{L}/L)$  is infinite, we may proceed as in [21] to

find  $\underline{t}'' \in \text{dom}(\lambda)$  such that  $\underline{t}_1'', \dots, \underline{t}_k''$  are algebraically independent over  $L$ . Let  $N$  be the algebraic closure of  $L(\underline{t}'')$  in  $\hat{L}$ . Then the substitution  $\underline{t} \mapsto \underline{t}'', y \mapsto \lambda(\underline{t}'')$  defines an  $L$ -embedding of the field  $L(\underline{t}, y)$  into  $N$ , inducing a  $K'$ -embedding  $\varphi: F \rightarrow N$ . It remains to observe that the valuation  $\varphi^{-1}(\hat{w}|N) \in D \cap Z(F)$ , as required.

Case 2:  $K$  is real closed. As the proof is quite similar, we point out only the specific facts. In this case, the basic open set  $D$  has the form  $\{(w, P): w(f_i) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n, w(g_j) > 0, 1 \leq j \leq m, r_k \in \mathbb{P}^X, 1 \leq k \leq l\}$  with  $m \geq 1, g = g_1 \neq 0$ . Choosing  $(w, P) \in D$  we take  $\tilde{F}$  to be the up to isomorphism unique minimal extension of  $(F, w, P)$  to a valued real closed field. The minimal extension of  $(K' = K(g), w|K', P \cap K')$  is identified with the algebraic closure of  $K'$  in  $\tilde{F}$ . With the notations from the case 1 suitably modified, we get, by model completeness of the theory of non-trivial-valued real closed fields, some  $(\underline{t}', y') \in \tilde{K}'^{k+1}$  such that i) and ii) above, completed with the specific condition  $G(\underline{t}') R_i(\underline{t}', y') \in \mathbb{P}^X, 1 \leq i \leq l$ , with  $r_i = \frac{R_i(\underline{t}, y)}{G(\underline{t})}$ , are satisfied. As above take  $L \subset \tilde{K}'$  to be a large enough finite extension of  $K'$ . As the fixed valuation  $w$  of  $\tilde{F}$  and the unique order of  $\tilde{F}$  induce the same topology on  $L$ , the respective completions of  $L$  are identified, and hence  $(\hat{L}, \hat{w}, \hat{P})$  is a Henselian discrete valued-ordered field extension of  $L$ , with  $\hat{L}_w = K$ . The last part of the proof is quite identical with that from the case 1.

Case 3:  $\underline{K} = (K, v)$  is  $p$ -adically closed. Now we may take a basic open set  $D$  of the form  $\{(w, P_n: n \geq 2): w(f_i) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n,$



$w(g_j) > 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $r_k \in P_S^X$ ,  $k < 1$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $s \geq 2$ ,  $g = g_1 \neq 0$ . Choosing  $(w, P_n: n \geq 2) \in D$ , we take  $\tilde{F}$  to be the up to isomorphism unique minimal extension of the non-trivial-valued  $\underline{K}$ -field  $(F, w, P_n: n \geq 2)$  to a valued  $p$ -adically closed  $\underline{K}$ -field, and we identify the minimal extension of the non-trivial-valued  $\underline{K}$ -field  $(K' = K(g), w|_{K'}, P_n \cap K': n \geq 2)$  with the algebraic closure  $\tilde{K}'$  of  $K'$  in  $\tilde{F}$ . The proof continues as in the case I. We have only to use the following facts:

- a) the model completeness of the theory of non-trivial-valued  $p$ -adically closed  $\underline{K}$ -fields, applied to the extension  $\tilde{F}/\tilde{K}'$ ;
- b) the  $p$ -valuation  $v$  of  $\tilde{F}$  and the valuation  $w$  of  $\tilde{F}$  induce the same topology on the convenient finite extension  $L \subset \tilde{K}'$  of  $K'$ , and thus, the completion  $\hat{L}$  of  $L$  with respect to  $v$  has a canonical structure of Henselian discrete-valued  $\underline{K}$ -field. ■

## 5. Some natural continuous maps on the Riemann space of a field

Given a totally ordered Abelian group  $\Gamma$ , one defines a map  $\Gamma \times \Gamma \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow R \cup \{\pm\infty\}: (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha : \beta$ , as follows: Let  $\Delta_\beta$  be the convex subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\beta \neq 0$ , and  $\Delta'_\beta$  be the maximal convex subgroup of  $\Gamma$  properly contained in  $\Delta_\beta$ , i.e.,  $\beta \notin \Delta'_\beta$ . Then the ordered group  $\Delta_\beta / \Delta'_\beta$  is embeddable in the ordered group  $R$  of reals. Fix a morphism of ordered groups  $\lambda: \Delta_\beta \rightarrow R$  with  $\text{Ker } \lambda = \Delta'_\beta$ . If  $\alpha \notin \Delta_\beta$ ,

$$\text{set } \alpha : \beta = \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if } \text{sign}(\alpha) = \text{sign}(\beta) \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $\alpha \in \Delta_\beta$ , set  $\alpha : \beta = \frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\lambda(\beta)} \in \mathbb{R}$ . As  $\lambda$  is unique, up to multiplication by a positive constant, the definition does not depend on the choice of  $\lambda$ . If  $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  is a morphism of ordered Abelian groups,  $\alpha \in \Gamma, \beta \in \Gamma \setminus \text{Ker } f$ , then  $\alpha : \beta = f(\alpha) : f(\beta)$ . If  $\alpha \in \Gamma, 0 \neq \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $\gamma \in \Delta_\beta \setminus \Delta'_\beta$ , then  $\alpha : \beta = (\alpha : \gamma) (\gamma : \beta)$ , with the usual convention:

$$(\pm\infty) \cdot r = \begin{cases} \pm\infty & \text{if } r > 0 \\ \mp\infty & \text{if } r < 0. \end{cases}$$

The next lemma is immediate.

Lemma 5.1. Let  $F/K$  be a field extension,  $v$  be a valuation of  $F/K$  and  $A$  be an intermediate ring between  $K$  and  $F$ , such that  $A$  is finitely generated over  $K$ . Define the map  $\alpha_v: F \rightarrow vF$  by  $\alpha_v(f) = \max(0, -v(f))$  for  $f \in F$ , and let  $\Gamma_{v,A}$  be the convex subgroup of  $vF$  generated by  $\alpha_v(A)$ . For a system  $\underline{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  of generators of  $A$  over  $K$ , let us put  $\beta_{v,\underline{g}} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_v(g_i)$ . Then  $\Gamma_{v,A}$  is the convex subgroup of  $vF$  generated by  $\beta_{v,\underline{g}}$ . Moreover, for each  $f \in A$ , there is a bound  $N_{f,\underline{g}} \in \mathbb{N}$ , independent on  $v$ , such that  $\alpha_v(f) \leq N_{f,\underline{g}} \beta_{v,\underline{g}}$ .

With the notations above, denote by  $R(F/K)_A$  the closed subspace of the Riemann space  $R(F/K)$  consisting of the valuations  $v$  for which  $A \not\subset 0_v$ . For a system  $\underline{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  of generators of the  $K$ -algebra  $A$  and some  $f \in A$ , define the map  $u_{\underline{g},f}: R(F/K)_A \rightarrow [0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$  according to the rule:  $u_{\underline{g},f}(v) = \alpha_v(f) : \beta_{v,\underline{g}}$ . One checks easily that the map  $u_{\underline{g},f}$  is continuous.

Now let  $\underline{f} = (f_j)_{j \in J}$  be an arbitrary family of elements of  $A$  such that  $\underline{f}$  generates the  $K$ -algebra  $A$ . In particular,  $\underline{f}$  may be the whole  $A$ . Then the family of continuous maps  $(u_{\underline{g},f_j})_{j \in J}$  induces a continuous map  $u_{\underline{g},\underline{f}}: R(F/K)_A \rightarrow [0, \infty)^J \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $0 = (0)_{j \in J}$ .



Denote by  $\underline{P}_J$  the "projectivized" version of the Hausdorff space  $[0, \infty)^J$  as defined in [26] I.3.  $\underline{P}_J$  is the quotient of the Hausdorff space  $[0, \infty)^J \setminus \{0\}$  by the closed equivalence relation identifying the points  $(t_j)_{j \in J}$  and  $(at_j)_{j \in J}$  for  $a > 0$ . If  $(t_j)_{j \in J}$  is an element of  $[0, \infty)^J \setminus \{0\}$ , the point of  $\underline{P}_J$  determined by  $(t_j)$  is denoted by  $[t_j]$  ("homogeneous coordinates"). As the canonic map  $[0, \infty)^J \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \underline{P}_J$  is open,  $\underline{P}_J$  is a Hausdorff space.

Taking the composite of  $u_{g,f}$  with the canonic map above we get a continuous map  $u_f: R(F/K)_A \rightarrow \underline{P}_J$  which does not depend on the choice of  $g$ . As the domain of  $u_f$  is quasi-compact, its image  $\text{Im}(u_f)$  is a compact subspace of  $\underline{P}_J$ .

Let us call a point of  $\underline{P}_J$  integral if it has the form  $[t_j]_{j \in J}$ , where  $t_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $j \in J$ . Denote by  $\underline{P}_J^{\text{int}}$  the set of integral points of  $\underline{P}_J$ . The next result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.7.

Proposition 5.2.  $\text{Im}(u_f) \cap \underline{P}_J^{\text{int}}$  is dense in  $\text{Im}(u_f)$ . If  $K$  is real closed, let  $R_r(F/K)_A$  be the preimage of  $R(F/K)_A$  through the continuous canonic map  $R_r(F/K) \rightarrow R(F/K)$ , and  $u_{f,r}: R_r(F/K)_A \rightarrow \underline{P}_J$  be the continuous map induced by  $u_f$ . Its image is a compact subspace of  $\underline{P}_J$ . Similarly, if  $K$  is a p-adically closed field, we may consider the preimage  $R_p(F)_A$  of  $R(F/K)_A$  through the continuous map  $R_p(F) \rightarrow R(F/K)$  and the corresponding continuous map  $u_{f,p}: R_p(F)_A \rightarrow \underline{P}_J$ . Using Theorem 4.7, we get real and p-adic versions of Proposition 5.2.

## 6. Compactification of affine varieties over locally compact fields: A non-standard approach

Given a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , a compactification of  $X$  is a pair  $(\hat{X}, \eta)$ , where  $\hat{X}$  is a compact Hausdorff space and  $\eta: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  is a continuous embedding mapping homeomorphically  $X$  onto an open dense subset of  $\hat{X}$ . The following general procedure of compactification is described in [26] I.3. Assume that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map and  $Y$  is a compact Hausdorff space. Let  $X^+$  denote the one-point compactification of  $X$ , in which  $X$  is identified with the complement of a point  $+$ . Consider the continuous embedding  $i: X \rightarrow X^+ \times Y: x \mapsto (x, f(x))$ , and let  $\hat{X}$  be the closure of  $i(X)$  in  $X^+ \times Y$ , and  $\eta$  be regarded as a map of  $X$  into  $\hat{X}$ . Set  $B = p^{-1}(+)$ , where  $p: \hat{X} \rightarrow X^+$  is the projection to the first factor. One gets  $\hat{X} = \eta(X) \cup B$ ,  $\eta(X)$  is open dense in  $\hat{X}$  and  $\eta$  maps  $X$  homeomorphically onto  $\eta(X)$ . The pair  $(\hat{X}, \eta)$  is called the compactification of  $X$  determined by  $f$ . The points of  $B$  are called the ideal points of the compactification. The projection  $q: \hat{X} \rightarrow Y$  maps  $B$  homeomorphically onto a closed subset of  $Y$ ; we may identify  $B$  with  $q(B)$ , and also  $X$  with  $\eta(X)$ . In the following we give a description of the set  $B$  of ideal points in terms of the non-standard Analysis. The basic notions of the non-standard Analysis can be found in [31], [32], [23].

Consider the higher order structure  $(X, Y, f)$  and let  $(X^*, Y^*, f^* = f)$  be an enough saturated enlargement of  $(X, Y, f)$ . For every  $x \in X$  (similarly for the points of  $Y$ ), we denote by  $\mu(x)$  the monad of  $x$  with respect to the topology of  $X$ , i.e.,  $\mu(x) = \bigcap D^*$ , where  $D$  ranges over all open neighbourhoods of  $x$ . More



generally, if  $x \in X^*$ , let  $\mu(x) = \bigcap D^*$ , where  $D$  ranges over the open subsets of  $X$  for which  $x \in D^*$ . As  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hausdorff spaces,  $\mu(x) \cap \mu(x')$  is empty for every pair of standard points  $x, x' \in X$  ( $\in Y$ ) such that  $x \neq x'$ . Since  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous,  $f(\mu(x)) \subset \mu(f(x))$  for each  $x \in X^*$ . A point  $x \in X^*$  is called near-standard if  $x \in \mu(a)$  for some  $a \in X$ . As  $X$  is Hausdorff, for every near-standard point  $x \in X^*$  there is a unique  $a \in X$  such that  $x \in \mu(a)$ ; call  $a$  the standard part of  $x$  and denote it by  $st(x)$ . Denote by  $nst(X^*)$  the set of all near-standard points of  $X^*$ . Thus we get canonic retracts  $st: nst(X^*) \rightarrow X$ ,  $st: nst(Y^*) \rightarrow Y$ , of the canonic embeddings  $X \rightarrow nst(X^*)$ ,  $Y \rightarrow nst(Y^*)$ . As  $Y$  is compact, we get  $nst(Y^*) = Y^*$ .

Lemma 6.1. The set  $B \subset Y$  of ideal points of the compactification determined by  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is the image of  $X^* \setminus nst(X^*)$  through the composite map  $X^* \xrightarrow{f} Y^* = nst(Y^*) \xrightarrow{st} Y$ .

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (X^* \times Y)^* = nst((X^* \times Y)^*) = (X^* \cup \{+\}) \times Y^* \\ \uparrow & & \swarrow st \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & X^* \times Y \end{array}$$

Let  $(x, y) \in X^* \times Y$  be such that  $(x, y) \notin i(X)$ , i.e., either  $x = +$ , or  $x \in X$  and  $y \neq f(x)$ . We have to show that the necessary and sufficient condition for  $(x, y)$  to belong to  $\widehat{X} = \overline{i(X)}$  is that  $x = +$  and  $y = st(f(z))$  for some  $z \in X^* \setminus nst(X^*)$ . Assuming  $x \in X$ , we get  $(x, y) \in \widehat{X}$  iff the intersection  $\mu((x, y)) \cap i(X)^*$  is non-empty. As  $\mu((x, y)) = \mu(x) \times \mu(y)$  and  $i(X)^* = \{(z, f(z)) : z \in X^*\}$ , it follows  $(x, y) \in \widehat{X} \Leftrightarrow$  there is  $z \in X^*$  such that  $z \in \mu(x)$  and  $f(z) \in \mu(y) \Leftrightarrow f(x) = y$ , contrary to the assumption

$(x, y) \notin i(X)$ . Assume  $x=+$ . Then the monad  $\mu(+)$  in  $(X^+)^* = X^* \cup \{+\}$  is  $(X^* \setminus \text{nst}(X^*)) \cup \{+\}$ , and hence  $(+, y) \in \hat{X} \Leftrightarrow$  there is  $z \in X^* \setminus \text{nst}(X^*)$  such that  $f(z) \in \mu(y)$ , i.e.,  $y = \text{st}(f(z))$ . ■

Now let us apply the procedure above to the following algebraic-geometric situation. Let  $L$  be a non-discrete locally compact field. Thus  $L$  is either  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , or a local field, i.e., a Cauchy complete valued field with a discrete valuation  $v$  (set  $vL = \mathbb{Z}$ ) and finite residue field  $L_v \cong \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $q = p^f$ ; if  $\text{char } L = 0$  then  $L$  is a finite extension of the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of  $p$ -adic numbers, while  $L \cong \mathbb{F}_q((T))$  if  $\text{char } L = p$ . Let  $|\cdot|: L \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the corresponding absolute value; if  $L$  is a local field then  $|x| = q^{-v(x)}$  for  $x \in L$ , and so  $v(x) = -\log_q(|x|)$ ; set also  $v(x) := -\log_e(|x|)$  in the case  $L = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $Y \subset L^n$  be an affine  $L$ -variety.  $Y$  is closed in the affine space  $L^n$  with respect to the topology induced from  $L$ . Thus  $Y$  has a canonic structure of Hausdorff locally compact space. Given a family  $\underline{f} = (f_j)_{j \in J}$  of regular functions in the coordinate  $L$ -algebra  $L[Y] = L[\underline{y}]$ , such that  $\underline{f}$  generates  $L[Y]$  over  $L$ , one defines as in [26] I.3, a continuous map  $\theta_{\underline{f}}: Y \rightarrow \underline{P}_{\underline{f}}$ , according to the rule:  $\theta_{\underline{f}}(\underline{a}) = [\log(|f_j(\underline{a})| + c)]_{j \in J}$ , where  $c > 1$  is a real constant, whose only role is to assure that the logarithms are well defined and strictly positive, so that  $\theta_{\underline{f}}$  is well-defined. According to [26] Proposition I.3.1, the closure  $\overline{\theta_{\underline{f}}(Y)}$  of  $\theta_{\underline{f}}(Y)$  in  $\underline{P}_{\underline{f}}$  is compact; it is also metrizable if  $J$  is countable. Thus we may consider the compactification  $\hat{Y}$  of  $Y$  determined by  $\theta_{\underline{f}}$  and apply Lemma 6.1 in order to describe in non-standard terms the set  $B(Y)$  of the ideal points of the compactification.

Thus we have to consider an enlargement  $L^*$  of  $L$ , assumed



to be  $\bigwedge_L$ -saturated. For instance, we may consider an ultra-power with respect to a non-principal ultrafilter on the set of natural numbers. Let  $L_{\text{fin}}^*$  be the subring of  $L^*$  consisting of the finite elements of  $L^*/L$  with respect to the canonic extension  $||: L^* \rightarrow R^*$  of the absolute value of  $L$ , i.e.,  $L_{\text{fin}}^* = \{x \in L^*: |x| < a \text{ for some } a \in R, a > 0\}$ . It is well known that  $L_{\text{fin}}^*$  is a Henselian valuation ring of  $L^*$ , whose maximal ideal  $L_{\text{inf}}^*$  consists of the infinitesimals of  $L^*/L$ , i.e.,  $L_{\text{inf}}^* = \{x \in L^*: |x| < a \text{ for every } a \in R, a > 0\}$  and whose residue field is identified with  $L$ . The corresponding valuation  $\dot{v}$  is the composite map  $(L^*)^X \xrightarrow{v} R^* \rightarrow \underline{R}$ , where  $\underline{R} = R^*/R_{\text{fin}}^* \simeq \underline{Z}^*/\underline{Z}$  is a divisible ordered group, and  $v$  is the canonic extension to  $L^*$  of the map  $v: L^X \rightarrow \underline{R}$ ; in the local field case, the extension of  $v$  to  $L^*$  is the composite valuation of the valuation  $v: L^X \rightarrow \underline{Z}$  and the valuation  $\dot{v}$ . The enlargement  $\mathcal{C}^*$  of the topology  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $L$  generates a topology in the usual sense on  $L^*$  which coincides with the topology induced by the valuation  $\dot{v}$ . Note that  $\text{nst}(L^*) = L_{\text{fin}}^* = O_{\dot{v}}^*$ ,  $\mu(0) = L_{\text{inf}}^* = m_{\dot{v}}^*$  and the map  $\text{st}: \text{nst}(L^*) \rightarrow L$  is the canonic epi  $O_{\dot{v}}^* \rightarrow L_{\dot{v}}^* = L$ .

Given the  $L$ -variety  $Y \subset L^n$ , the internal set  $Y^*$  is identified with the  $L^*$ -variety  $Y \otimes_L L^*$  and the internal ring  $L[Y]^*$  is  $*$ -generated by the coordinate  $L^*$ -algebra  $L^*[Y \otimes_L L^*] = L[Y] \otimes_L L^*$ . It follows easily that  $\text{nst}(Y^*) = \{a \in Y^*: L[a] \subset O_{\dot{v}}^*\}$  and the composite map  $Y^* \setminus \text{nst}(Y^*) \xrightarrow{\theta_f^*} \overline{\theta_f(Y)}^* = \text{nst}(\overline{\theta_f(Y)}^*) \xrightarrow{\text{st}} \overline{\theta_f(Y)}$   $\hookrightarrow P_{\underline{Y}}$  is nothing else than the map  $\underline{a} \mapsto \underline{u}_{\underline{f}(\underline{a})}(\dot{v})$  for  $\underline{a} \in Y^*$  such that  $L[\underline{a}] \not\subset O_{\dot{v}}^*$ , where  $\underline{u}_{\underline{f}(\underline{a})}: R(L^*/L)_{L[\underline{a}]} \rightarrow P_{\underline{Y}}$  is the continuous map assigned to the family  $\underline{f}(\underline{a}) = (f_j(\underline{a}))_{j \in J}$  of generators of  $L[\underline{a}]$ , as defined in Section 5. As a consequence of Lemma 6.1, we get the

required non-standard description of  $B(Y)$ .

Proposition 6.2.  $B(Y) = \bigcup_{\substack{f(a) \\ \underline{f(a)}}} \{ \underset{=}{v} : a \in Y^*, L[a] \not\equiv 0_v \}$ .

## 7. Compactification through valuations: The main results

Let us assume that the locally compact base field  $L$  is of characteristic zero,  $Y \subset L^n$  is an irreducible  $L$ -variety and  $\underline{f} = (f_j)_{j \in J}$  is a countable family of generators of the  $L$ -algebra of coordinates  $L[Y]$ . By Lemma 2.9, there exists a countable subfield  $K$  of  $L$  such that  $K$  is existentially complete in  $L$ ,  $Y$  is defined over  $K$ ,  $Y = Y(K) \otimes_K L$  and  $\underline{f}$  generates the  $K$ -algebra of coordinates  $K[Y(K)] = K[\underline{y}]$  of the irreducible  $K$ -variety  $Y(K) \subset K^n$ . According to Theorems 1.1, 1.4, 1.14, the condition upon  $K$  to be existentially complete in  $L$  is equivalent to the stronger one:  $L$  is an elementary extension of  $K$ , and also to the weaker one:  $K$  is algebraically closed in  $L$ . Thus  $K$  is algebraically closed, or real closed, or  $p$ -adically closed of a suitable type (e, f).

Consider the non-empty set  $Y_{\text{reg}}$  of regular points on  $Y$ , and let  $\overline{Y}_{\text{reg}}$  be the closure of  $Y_{\text{reg}}$  in  $Y$  with respect to the Hausdorff locally compact topology on  $Y$ . If  $L = \mathbb{C}$  then  $Y_{\text{reg}} = Y$ , according to [34] Ch.7. For  $L \neq \mathbb{C}$  it is possible to have  $Y_{\text{reg}} \neq Y$ . For a description of  $\overline{Y}_{\text{reg}}$  in the case  $L = \mathbb{R}$  or a local field of characteristic zero (more generally for real closed and  $p$ -adically closed fields) see [8] Theorem 1.10, and Lemma 3.8, Theorem 3.15 of Section 3. Note that  $\overline{Y}_{\text{reg}}$  is definable by a first order formula in the language of  $K$ . Now let  $C$  be a clopen subset of  $\overline{Y}_{\text{reg}}$ . If  $L = \mathbb{C}$  then  $C = Y$  since  $Y$  is connected [34] Ch.7, §2. If  $L = \mathbb{R}$  then the semi-algebraic set  $\overline{Y}_{\text{reg}}$  has finitely many connected components which



are semialgebraic too [14], and hence  $C$  is a union of such components; in particular  $C$  is semialgebraic definable over the countable real closed subfield  $K$  of  $R$ . If  $L$  is a local field then  $Y$  is totally disconnected, and hence there exists a lot of clopen subsets of  $\overline{Y}_{\text{reg}}$ . We shall assume only that  $C$  is definable in the language of fields with parameters from the field  $L$ . Of course, we may assume that the countable subfield  $K$  of  $L$  is large enough such that  $C$  is definable over  $K$ . Let  $\hat{C}$  be the compactification of  $C$  determined by the restriction to  $C$  of the continuous map  $\theta_f: Y \rightarrow P_Y$  defined in Section 6. According to Proposition 6.2, the compact space of the ideal points of the compactification  $\hat{C}$  admits the non-standard description  $B(C) = \{u_{\underline{f}(\underline{a})}(\underline{v}) : \underline{a} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, L[\underline{a}] \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{\underline{v}}\}$ . The main goal of this section is to give an alternative description of  $B(C)$  in valuation-theoretic terms.

Let  $A = K[Y(K)] = K[\underline{y}]$  and  $F = K(Y(K)) = K(\underline{y})$  be the coordinate  $K$ -algebra and the field of rational functions of the irreducible  $K$ -variety  $Y(K) \subset K^n$ .

First let us consider the complex case, and let  $u_{\underline{f}}: R(F/K)_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow P_Y$  be the continuous function defined in Section 5.

Theorem 7.1 (compare with [26] Theorem I.3.6).  $B(Y) = \text{Im}(u_{\underline{f}})$ .

Proof. Let us show that  $B(Y) \subset \text{Im}(u_{\underline{f}})$ . Let  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be such that  $\underline{C}[\underline{a}] \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{\underline{v}}$ . We have to find  $w \in R(F/K)_{\mathbb{A}}$  satisfying  $u_{\underline{f}}(w) = u_{\underline{f}(\underline{a})}(\underline{v})$ . By the definition given in Section 5,  $u_{\underline{f}(\underline{a})}(\underline{v}) = [\alpha_{\underline{v}, \underline{a}}^*(f_j(\underline{a})) : \beta_{\underline{v}, \underline{a}}^*]_{j \in J}$ , where  $\alpha_{\underline{v}, \underline{a}}^*(f_j(\underline{a})) = \max(0, -v^*(f_j(\underline{a})))$ ,

$\beta_{\underline{v}, \underline{a}} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_{\underline{v}}(a_i) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \dot{v}(a_i)$ . By continuity of the polynomial functions with respect to the valuation  $\dot{v}$ , there exists a family  $(\gamma_j)_{j \in J}$  of non-negative elements in  $\dot{v}C^* = \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\beta_{\underline{v}, \underline{a}} = \beta_{\underline{v}, \underline{b}}$  and  $\alpha_{\underline{v}}(f_j(\underline{a})) = \alpha_{\underline{v}}(f_j(\underline{b}))$  for every  $\underline{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in Y^*$  subject to  $\dot{v}(\underline{b} - \underline{a}) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \dot{v}(b_i - a_i) > \gamma_j$ . Consider the countable system of formulas in the language of valued fields with parameters in  $\underline{C}$ , in variables  $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ : " $\underline{z} \in Y_{\text{reg}}^*$ ,  $\dot{v}(\underline{z} - \underline{a}) > \gamma_j$  for  $j \in J$ ". Since  $\underline{Y}_{\text{reg}} = Y$  it follows that the system above is finitely satisfiable. On the other hand, the enlargement  $(\underline{C}^*, ||)$  of  $(\underline{C}, ||)$  is assumed to be  $\mathcal{K}_1$ -saturated, and hence the valued field  $(\underline{C}^*, \dot{v})$  is  $\mathcal{K}_1$ -saturated too. Consequently there exists  $\underline{b} \in Y_{\text{reg}}^*$  such that  $u_{\underline{f}}(\underline{b})(\dot{v}) = u_{\underline{f}}(\underline{a})(\dot{v})$ . As  $\underline{b} \in Y_{\text{reg}}^* = (Y(K) \otimes_K \underline{C}^*)_{\text{reg}}$ , it follows by [18] Corollary A.2 that there exists a valuation  $\dot{w}$  of  $F/K$  such that  $\underline{y} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\dot{w}} = \underline{b}$  and  $F_{\dot{w}} = K(\underline{b})$ . Denote by  $w$  the composite of the valuations  $\dot{w}$  and  $\dot{v}|_{K(\underline{b})}$ . Then  $w \in (F/K)_A$  and  $\dot{v}K(\underline{b})$  is identified with a convex subgroup of  $wF$ . Finally, we get  $u_{\underline{f}}(w) = u_{\underline{f}}(\underline{b})(\dot{v}) = u_{\underline{f}}(\underline{a})(\dot{v})$ , as required.

Conversely, let  $w \in (F/K)_A$ . We have to find some  $\underline{a} \in Y^*$  such that  $\underline{C}[\underline{a}] \not\subset \mathfrak{O}_{\dot{w}}$  and  $u_{\underline{f}}(\underline{a})(\dot{v}) = u_{\underline{f}}(w)$ . Since the theory of non-trivial valued algebraically closed fields admits elimination of quantifiers,  $(F, w)$  is a countable non-trivial valued field and  $(\underline{C}^*, \dot{v})$  is an  $\mathcal{K}_1$ -saturated non-trivial valued algebraically closed field with the common trivial valued subfield  $K$ , it follows that there exists a  $K$ -embedding  $\lambda: (F, w) \rightarrow (\underline{C}^*, \dot{v})$ . Let  $\underline{a} = \lambda(\underline{y})$ . Then  $\underline{a} \in Y_{\text{reg}}^*$ ,  $\underline{C}[\underline{a}] \not\subset \mathfrak{O}_{\dot{w}}$  as  $\underline{A} \not\subset \mathfrak{O}_w$  and  $u_{\underline{f}}(\underline{a})(\dot{v}) = u_{\underline{f}}(w)$  since  $wF$  is identified with an ordered subgroup of  $\dot{v}C^* = \mathbb{R}$ .

Next let us consider the real case, and let  $u_{\underline{f}} = \dots$



be the continuous map defined in Section 5. Given a clopen subset  $C$  of  $\overline{Y}_{\text{reg}}$ , it follows, by the finiteness theorem for open semialgebraic sets, that there exist some polynomial functions  $h_{ij} \in A = K[Y(K)]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l_i$ , such that  $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcap_{j=1}^{l_i} \{a \in \overline{Y}_{\text{reg}} : h_{ij}(a) > 0\}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{C}$  the clopen subset of  $\text{Rr}(F/K)_A$  given by  $\tilde{C} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcap_{j=1}^{l_i} \{(w, P) \in \text{Rr}(F/K)_A : h_{ij} \in P^{\times}\}$ .

Theorem 7.2 (compare with [26] Proposition I.4.2)

$$B(C) = u_{f,r}(\tilde{C}).$$

Proof. First let us show that  $B(C) \subset u_{f,r}(\tilde{C})$ . Let  $a \in C^*$  be such that  $R[a] \not\subset 0_v$ . We look for some  $(w, P) \in \tilde{C}$  such that  $u_{f,r}(w, P) = u_{f(a)}(v)$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 7.1, we find some  $b \in Y_{\text{reg}}^* \cap C^*$  such that  $u_{f(b)}(v) = u_{f(a)}(v)$ . Applying [18] Corollary A.2, we get a valuation  $w$  of  $F/K$  such that  $y \bmod m_w = b$  and  $F_w^e = K(b)$ . Let  $w$  be the composite of the valuations  $w$  and  $v|_{K(b)}$ . As  $F_w^e$  inherits from  $R^*$  a structure  $(v|_{K(b)}, K(b) \cap R^{*2})$  of valued-ordered field, there is at least one order  $P$  of  $F$  such that  $(P \cap 0_w) \bmod m_w = K(b) \cap R^{*2}$  and  $1 + m_w \in P$ . It follows  $(w, P) \in \tilde{C}$  and  $u_{f,r}(w, P) = u_{f(b)}(v) = u_{f(a)}(v)$ , as required.

Conversely, let  $(w, P) \in \tilde{C}$ . We look for some  $a \in C^*$  such that  $R[a] \not\subset 0_v$  and  $u_{f(a)}(v) = u_{f,r}(w, P)$ . Applying Theorem 4.3 to the  $\aleph_1$ -saturated non-trivial valued-ordered real closed field  $(R^*, v, R^{*2})$ , the countable non-trivial valued-ordered field  $(F, w, P)$  and their common trivial valued-ordered subfield  $K$ , we get a  $K$ -embedding  $\lambda: (F, w, P) \rightarrow (R^*, v, R^{*2})$ . Then

$\underline{a} = \underline{\lambda}(\underline{y}) \in \underline{Y}_{\text{reg}}^* \cap \underline{C}^*$ ,  $\underline{R}[\underline{a}] \not\subset \underline{O}_{\underline{v}}$  and  $\underline{u}_{\underline{f}, \underline{p}}(\underline{a})(\underline{v}) = \underline{u}_{\underline{f}, \underline{p}}(\underline{w}, \underline{P})$ .  $\square$

Finally, let us consider the p-adic case. Denote also by  $\underline{v}$  the restriction to  $\underline{K}$  of the discrete valuation  $v$  of  $\underline{L}$ . Thus  $\underline{K} = (\underline{K}, \underline{v})$  is p-adically closed of the same type as  $(\underline{L}, v)$ . Let  $\underline{u}_{\underline{f}, \underline{p}} : \underline{\text{Rp}}(\underline{F})_{\underline{A}} \rightarrow \underline{P}_{\underline{f}}$  be the continuous map defined in Section 5. Consider a clopen subset  $\underline{C}$  of  $\overline{\underline{Y}}_{\text{reg}}$  which is definable by a first order formula in the language of  $\underline{K}$ . According to the p-adic analogue of the finiteness theorem for open semialgebraic sets (see Theorem 3.16), there exist some polynomial functions  $h_{ij} \in \underline{A} = \underline{K}[\underline{Y}(\underline{K})]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l_i$ , and a natural number  $s \geq 2$  such that  $\underline{C} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcap_{j=1}^{l_i} \{ \underline{a} \in \overline{\underline{Y}}_{\text{reg}} : h_{ij}(\underline{a}) \in \underline{L}^{xs} \}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\underline{C}}$  the clopen subset of  $\underline{\text{Rp}}(\underline{F})_{\underline{A}}$  given by  $\tilde{\underline{C}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bigcap_{j=1}^{l_i} \{ (w, P_n : n \geq 2) \in \underline{\text{Rp}}(\underline{F})_{\underline{A}} : h_{ij} \in \underline{P}_s^x \}$ .

Theorem 7.3.  $B(\underline{C}) = \underline{u}_{\underline{f}, \underline{p}}(\tilde{\underline{C}})$ .

Proof. Let  $\underline{a} \in \underline{C}^*$  be such that  $\underline{L}[\underline{a}] \not\subset \underline{O}_{\underline{v}}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 7.1, we get  $\underline{b} \in \underline{Y}_{\text{reg}}^* \cap \underline{C}^*$  and a valuation  $\underline{w}$  of  $\underline{F}/\underline{K}$  such that  $\underline{u}_{\underline{f}}(\underline{b})(\underline{v}) = \underline{u}_{\underline{f}}(\underline{a})(\underline{v})$ ,  $\underline{y} \bmod \underline{m}_{\underline{w}} = \underline{b}$  and  $\underline{F}_{\underline{w}}^* = \underline{K}(\underline{b})$ . The residue field  $\underline{F}_{\underline{w}}^*$  inherits from  $\underline{L}^*$  a valued  $\underline{K}$ -field structure  $(\underline{v}|_{\underline{K}(\underline{b})}, \underline{K}(\underline{b}) \cap \underline{L}^{*n} : n \geq 2)$ . According to Lemma 4.5, this structure can be lifted to a valued  $\underline{K}$ -field structure  $(\underline{w}, \underline{P}_n : n \geq 2)$  on  $\underline{F}$  such that  $\underline{O}_{\underline{w}} = \{ \underline{a} \in \underline{O}_{\underline{v}} : \underline{a} \bmod \underline{m}_{\underline{w}} \in \underline{O}_{\underline{v}}^* \}$  and  $(\underline{P}_n \cap \underline{O}_{\underline{w}}) \bmod \underline{m}_{\underline{w}} = \underline{K}(\underline{b}) \cap \underline{L}^{*n}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Thus  $(\underline{w}, \underline{P}_n : n \geq 2) \in \tilde{\underline{C}}$  and  $\underline{u}_{\underline{f}, \underline{p}}(\underline{w}, \underline{P}_n : n \geq 2) = \underline{u}_{\underline{f}}(\underline{b})(\underline{v}) = \underline{u}_{\underline{f}}(\underline{a})(\underline{v})$ . Therefore  $B(\underline{C}) \subset \underline{u}_{\underline{f}, \underline{p}}(\tilde{\underline{C}})$ .

Conversely, let  $(\underline{w}, \underline{P}_n : n \geq 2) \in \tilde{\underline{C}}$ . Applying Theorem 4.6 to the  $\chi_1$ -saturated non-trivial-valued p-adically closed  $\underline{K}$ -field  $(\underline{L}^*, \underline{v}, \underline{L}^{*n} : n \geq 2)$  and the countable non-trivial-valued  $\underline{K}$ -field



$(F, w, P_n: n \geq 2)$ , we get an embedding  $\lambda: (F, w, P_n: n \geq 2) \rightarrow (L^*, v, L^{*n}: n \geq 2)$ . Then  $\underline{a} = \lambda(\underline{y}) \in Y_{\text{reg}}^* \cap C^*$ ,  $L[\underline{a}] \not\subseteq 0_v$  and  $\underline{u}_{F(\underline{a})}(\underline{v}) = \underline{u}_{F(\underline{a})}(\underline{v}) = \underline{u}_{F(\underline{a})}(\underline{v})$ . Thus,  $\underline{u}_{F, p}(\tilde{C}) \in B(C)$ . ■

We now drop the assumption that the  $L$ -variety  $Y$  is irreducible. The final result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.13, Theorem 4.7 and Theorems 7.1, 7.2, 7.3.

Corollary 7.4. Assume  $Y$  is an arbitrary  $L$ -variety.

a) ([26] Corollary I.3.8). If  $L = \mathbb{C}$  then  $B(Y) \cap P_J^{\text{int}}$  is dense in  $B(Y)$ .

b) ([26] Corollary I.4.5). If  $L = \mathbb{R}$  and  $C$  is a clopen subset of  $Y$ , i.e., a union of connected components of  $Y$ , then  $B(C) \cap P_J^{\text{int}}$  is dense in  $B(C)$ .

c) If  $L$  is a local field of characteristic zero and  $C$  is a first order definable clopen subset of  $Y$ , then  $B(C) \cap P_J^{\text{int}}$  is dense in  $B(C)$ .

### References

1. J.Ax, Solving diophantine problems modulo every prime, Annals of Math. (2nd series) 85(1967), 161-183.
2. J.Ax, A metamathematical approach to some problems in number theory, AMS Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 20(1971), 161-190.
3. J.Ax-S.Kochen, Diophantine problems over local fields, I, Amer. J. Math. 87(1965), 605-630; II, Amer. J. Math. 87(1965), 631-648; III, Decidable fields, Annals of Math. (2nd series) 83(1966), 437-456.
4. S.Basarab, A model-theoretic transfer theorem for Henselian valued fields, J. reine angew. Math. 311/312(1979), 1-30.
5. S. Basarab, Relative elimination of quantifiers for Henselian valued fields, Preprint Series in Math. 7(1986), INCREST Bucharest.
6. S.Basarab, An isomorphism theorem for algebraic extensions of valued fields, Preprint Series in Math. 8(1986), INCREST Bucharest.
7. E.Becker, Valuations and real places in the theory of formally real fields, in Proc. Conf. on "Géométrie Algébrique Réelle et Formes Quadratiques", Rennes 1981, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 959, Springer-Verlag, 1982, pp.1-40.
8. E. Becker, On the real spectrum of a ring and its application to semialgebraic geometry, Bulletin of Amer. Mat. Soc., 15:1(1986), 19-60.



9. J.L.Bell-A.B.Slomson, Models and ultraproducts: an introduction, North-Holland 1969.
10. J.Bochnak-G.Efroymsen, Real algebraic geometry and the 17 th Hilbert problem, Math.Ann., 251(1980), 213-241.
11. G.Brumfiel, Partially ordered rings and semi-algebraic geometry, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 37, Cambridge University Press, 1979.
12. C.C.Chang-H.J.Keisler, Model theory, North-Holland, 1973.
13. M.Coste-M.F.Coste-Roy, Topologies for real algebraic geometry, in "Topos theoretic methods in geometry", ed. A.Kock, Aarhus University, 1979.
14. M.Coste-M.F.Roy, La topologie du spectre réel, in "Ordered fields and real algebraic geometry", Contemporary Math. vol.8, Amer. Math.Soc., 1982, pp.27-59.
15. C.Delzell, A finiteness theorem for open semi-algebraic sets, with applications to Hilbert's 17 problem, in "Ordered fields and real algebraic geometry", Contemporary Math., vol.8, Amer. Math. Soc., 1982, pp.79-97.
16. Ju.Ershov, On the elementary theory of maximal valued fields (Russian), Algebra i Logika Seminar 4(1965), 31-70; idem II, 5(1966), 5-40; idem III, 6(1967), 31-38.
17. L.Fuchs, Infinite Abelian Groups, vol.I, Academic Press, 1970.
18. M.Jarden-P.Roquette, The Nullstellensatz over p-adically closed fields, J.Math.Soc.Japan, 32:3(1980), 425-460.
19. P.T.Johnstone, Stone Spaces, Cambridge University Press, 1982.

20. S.Kochen, The model theory of local fields, in Lecture Notes in Math., vol.499, Springer-Verlag, 1975, pp.384-425.
21. F.V.Kuhlmann-A.Prestel, On places of algebraic function fields, J. reine angew. Math.353(1984), 181-195.
22. S.Lang, Algebra, Addison-Wesley, 1965.
23. W.A.J.Luxemburg, A general theory of monads, in "Contributions to Non-standard Analysis", Ed.W.A.J.Luxemburg and A.Robinson, North-Holland, 1972, pp.18-86.
24. A.MacIntyre, On definable subsets of p-adic fields, J. Symbolic Logic 41(1976), 605-611.
25. A.Mac.Intyre-K.Mc.Kenna-L.van den Dries, Elimination of quantifiers in algebraic structures, to appear in Advances in Math.
26. J.W.Morgan-P.B.Shalen, Valuations, trees, and degenerations of hyperbolic structures, I, Annals of Math. (2nd series) 120(1984), 401-476.
27. D.Mumford, Algebraic Geometry I: Complex Projective Varieties, Grundlehren der Math.wiss.221, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
28. A.Prestel-P.Roquette, Formally p-adic Fields, Lecture Notes in Math. 1050, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
29. A.Prestel-M.Ziegler, Model theoretic methods in the theory of topological fields, J.reine angew. Math.299/300(1978), 318-341.
30. A.Robinson, Complete Theories, North-Holland, 1956.
31. A.Robinson, Non-standard Analysis, North-Holland, 1966.
32. A.Robinson, Nonstandard Arithmetic. Bulletin Amer Math



Soc. 73(1967), 818-843.

33. G.E.Sacks, Saturated Model Theory, W.A.Benjamin Co.,  
New York, 1972.
34. I.R.Šhafarevič, Foundations of Algebraic Geometry (Russian)  
Nauka, Moskow, 1972.
35. G.Stengle, A nullstellensatz and a positiv-stellensatz  
in semialgebraic geometry. Math. Ann. 207(1974), 87-97.
36. R.Transier, Verallgemeinerte formal p-adische Körper,  
Archiv der Math. 32(1979), 572-584.
37. L. van den Dries, Some applications of a model theoretic  
fact to (semi-) algebraic geometry, Proc. Koninklijke  
Nederlandse Akad. Wetenschappen, Series A, 85:4(1982),  
397-401.
38. V.Weispfenning, On the elementary theory of Hensel fields,  
Annals Math. Logic 10(1976), 59-93.
39. V.Weispfenning, Quantifier elimination and decision proce-  
dures for valued fields, in Logic Colloquium, Aachen 1983,  
Lecture Notes in Math. , Springer-Verlag, 198 ,  
pp.
40. O.Zariski-P.Samuel, Commutative Algebra, vol. II, Van  
Nostrand, 1960.
41. M.Ziegler, Die elementare Theorie der henselschen Körper,  
Dissertation, Köln, 1972.

Serban A. Basarab,  
Department of Mathematics,  
INCREST Bucharest,  
Bd. Păcii 220,  
79622 Bucharest, Romania.