

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

MULTI-ANALYTIC OPERATORS AND SOME
FACTORIZATION THEOREMS

by

Gelu POPESCU

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 21/1988

BUCURESTI

led 24822

MULTI-ANALYTIC OPERATORS AND SOME
FACTORIZATION THEOREMS

by

Gelu POPESCU*

March 1988

**) Department of Mathematics, The National Institute for
Scientific and Technical Creation, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622
Bucharest, Romania.*

MULTI-ANALYTIC OPERATORS AND SOME FACTORIZATION
THEOREMS

Gelu POPESCU

Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of noncommuting operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that the matrix $[T_1, T_2, \dots]$ is a contraction. An extension of the Sz.-Nagy-Foias commutant lifting theorem [10, 4, 6, 7] shows that there is a close connection between the commutant of \mathcal{T} and the commutant of a sequence $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots\}$ of orthogonal shifts on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \supset \mathcal{K}$ such that the operator matrix $[S_1, S_2, \dots]$ is nonunitary (see Section 2). The operators belonging to the commutant of \mathcal{T} will be called multi-analytic (or \mathcal{T} -analytic) operators.

The main aim of this paper is to provide some factorization theorems and to apply them to the study of \mathcal{T} -analytic operators and of the lattice of the invariant subspaces of \mathcal{T} , i.e.

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H} : S_n \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \text{ for any } n=1, 2, \dots\}$.

First, we present a universal model for sequences \mathcal{T} of noncommuting operators in terms of orthogonal shifts \mathcal{S} (see also [9, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]) and, in connection with this, we point out the role of the lattice \mathcal{L} in the study of the invariant subspaces of \mathcal{T} .

Section 3 is devoted to an extension of the abstract Beurling factorization problem [8, p.8] and to the application

of this fact for proving a version of the Beurling-Lax theorem [11,7,8] for \mathcal{Y} . In other words, we shall show when an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ admits a factorization of type $T=AA^*$ for some \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and we shall give, as a consequence, a characterization of the elements of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{Y}$.

The last section deals with the following extension of the abstract Szego factorization problem: when an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ has a factorization of type $T=A^*A$ for some \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$. For this, it is easy to see that a necessary condition is that

$$\begin{aligned} (Y) \quad & S_n^* T S_n = T \quad \text{for any } n=1,2,\dots \\ & S_n^* T S_m = 0 \quad \text{for any } n \neq m; n,m=1,2,\dots \end{aligned}$$

Such an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying (Y) will be called \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz operator. We extend the abstract Szego factorization [8, p. 50] for obtaining a similar result for nonnegative \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz operators. By applying this theorem, we obtain an \mathcal{Y} -inner-outer factorization of \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators and a factorization for the nonnegative invertible \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz operator [8, p. 53].

In Section 2 we establish some results concerning the structure of the \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz operators and, in particular, of \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators. As in the classical case, we shall associate to each \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz operator a "symbol" which is an operator in our setting.

Let us remark that at this stage of our research the connections between the \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz operators and their "symbols" is far from being well understood.

Concerning \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators, in a subsequent paper we shall give a parametrization of the set of all contractive

\mathcal{Y} -analytic operators on a Hilbert space, in terms of the choice sequences [2].

I take this opportunity to thank Professor Gr. Arsene for the useful discussions on the subject of this paper.

1. UNIVERSAL MODEL

Throughout this paper Λ stands for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) or the set $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $F(n, \Lambda)$ be the set of all functions from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ to Λ and

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F(n, \Lambda), \quad \text{where } F(0, \Lambda) = \{0\}.$$

A sequence $\mathcal{Y} = \{S_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of unilateral shifts on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with orthogonal final spaces is called a Λ -orthogonal shift if the operator matrix $[S_1, S_2, \dots]$ is nonunitary, i.e.

$\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{H} \ominus \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} \mathcal{H} \right) \neq \{0\}$. This definition is essentially the same as

that from [6]. The dimension of \mathcal{L} is called the multiplicity of the Λ -orthogonal shift. One can show that a Λ -orthogonal shift is determined up to unitary equivalence by its multiplicity.

For our purpose we need an operator version of the Wold decomposition [11, 8] for sequences of isometries with orthogonal final spaces [4, 6].

THEOREM 1.1. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a sequence of isometries on a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , with orthogonal final spaces. Then:

- (i) $P_0 := I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}^*$ is the projection of \mathcal{K} on $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{K} \ominus \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_{\lambda} \mathcal{K} \right)$;

(ii) $\sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} V_f V_f^* \rightarrow P$ (strongly) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where P is a projection;

(iii) $P\mathcal{K} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\bigoplus_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} V_f \mathcal{K} \right)$;

(iv) $\sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} V_f P_0 V_f^* \rightarrow Q = I_{\mathcal{K}} - P$ (strongly) as $k \rightarrow \infty$;

(v) $Q\mathcal{K} = \left\{ k \in \mathcal{K}; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|V_f^* k\|^2 = 0 \right\}$;

(vi) $P\mathcal{K}$ and $Q\mathcal{K}$ reduce each V_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$);

(vii) $(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}^*)|_{P\mathcal{K}} = 0$;

(viii) $\{V_{\lambda}|_{Q\mathcal{K}}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a Λ -orthogonal shift;

(ix) $I_{\mathcal{K}} = P + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} V_f P_0 V_f^*$, $\mathcal{K} = P\mathcal{K} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} V_f P_0 \mathcal{K} \right)$, where for any

$f \in F(n, \Lambda)$, V_f stands for the product $V_{f(1)} V_{f(2)} \cdots V_{f(n)}$.

This version can be proved directly or can be deduced from [6, Theorem 1.3]. We omit the proof.

COROLLARY 1.2. A sequence $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of isometries on \mathcal{K} with orthogonal final spaces is a Λ -orthogonal shift if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|V_f^* k\|^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Specializing the Wold decomposition to the case of a Λ -orthogonal shift, we obtain:

COROLLARY 1.3. If $\mathcal{S} = \{S_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a Λ -orthogonal shift on \mathcal{K}

and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} \mathcal{K})$, then $\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f \mathcal{L}$ and each $k \in \mathcal{K}$ has a unique representation $k = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f l_f$, $l_f \in \mathcal{L}$. Moreover $\|k\|^2 = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|l_f\|^2$ and $l_f = P_0 S_f^* k$ ($f \in \mathcal{F}$), where $P_0 := I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}^*$ is the projection of \mathcal{K} on \mathcal{L} . Now one can easily prove the following characterization of the subspaces \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{K} which reduce each S_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$), that is $\mathcal{M} \in (\text{Lat } \mathcal{S}) \cap (\text{Lat } \mathcal{S}^*)$.

COROLLARY 1.4. A subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{K} reduces each S_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) if and only if

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f \mathcal{M}_0,$$

where \mathcal{M}_0 is a subspace of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} \mathcal{K})$.

Let us note that the Λ -orthogonal shifts $\mathcal{S} = \{S_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ can be thought as universal models for sequences $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of noncommuting operators.

This fact has been proved in [6,7]. However we next give another proof generalizing the results from [9,3].

THEOREM 1.5. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a sequence of operators on \mathcal{H} such that $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_{\lambda}^* T_{\lambda} \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}(n, \Lambda)} \|T_f h\|^2 = 0$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a Λ -orthogonal shift on a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} such that

$$\dim(\mathcal{K} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} \mathcal{K})) \geq \dim((I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_{\lambda}^* T_{\lambda}) \mathcal{H})$$

Then there exists an invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of each S_{λ}^* ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) such \mathcal{T} is unitarily equivalent to $\{S_{\lambda}^*|_{\mathcal{M}}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ i.e., there exists a unitary operator U such that

$$UT_{\lambda} = (S_{\lambda}^*|_{\mathcal{M}})U \quad \text{for each } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{K} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} \mathcal{K})$ and $D = (I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_{\lambda}^* T_{\lambda})^{1/2}$. Since $\dim \overline{D\mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{L}$ we can define an isometry W from $\overline{D\mathcal{H}}$ to \mathcal{L} , and an operator U from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} by

$$Uh = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \tilde{S}_f W D T_f h, \quad (h \in \mathcal{H})$$

where \tilde{S}_f stands for the product $S_{f(n)} S_{f(n-1)} \cdots S_{f(1)}$ when $f \in F(n, \Lambda)$. By Corollary 1.3, for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Uh\|^2 &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|D T_f h\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} \langle T_f^* (I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_{\lambda}^* T_{\lambda}) T_f h, h \rangle = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|h\|^2 - \sum_{f \in F(n+1, \Lambda)} \|T_f h\|^2) = \|h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus U is an unitary operator from \mathcal{H} onto $\mathcal{M} := U\mathcal{H}$. For any $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have

$$S_{\lambda}^* U h = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_{\lambda}^* \tilde{S}_f W D T_f h = U T_{\lambda} h.$$

It follows that \mathcal{M} is invariant for each S_{λ}^* ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) and \mathcal{T} is unitarily equivalent to $\{S_{\lambda}^*|_{\mathcal{M}}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 1.6. \mathcal{T} has a non-trivial invariant subspace if and only if $\mathcal{T}^* = \{S_{\lambda}^*\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ has an invariant subspace \mathcal{N} such that $\{0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{N} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$.

REMARK 1.7. If $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ does not satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem, then $\{c_{\lambda} T_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ will satisfy the hypotheses for any scalars c_{λ} , $0 < |c_{\lambda}| \leq 1$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c_\lambda|^2 T_\lambda^* T_\lambda \leq r I_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{for some } r \in (0,1) .$$

In this case it is necessary to choose a Λ -orthogonal shift \mathcal{J} whose multiplicity is $\dim \mathcal{H}$ and as in the above theorem we find

$$U T_\lambda = (c_\lambda^{-1} S_\lambda^*|_{\mathcal{M}}) U \quad \text{for any } \lambda \in \Lambda$$

2. \mathcal{J} -TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

The commutant of a sequence $\mathcal{T} = \{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of operators on \mathcal{H} is the set

$$C(\mathcal{T}) = \{X \in B(\mathcal{H}) : XT_\lambda = T_\lambda X \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

The lifting theorem [10, 4, 6, 7] characterizes $C(\mathcal{T})$ when \mathcal{T} is represented as in the universal model of Section 1. Let us recall it.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a Λ -orthogonal shift on a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{H} be an invariant subspace for each S_λ^* ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) and let

$$T_\lambda = S_\lambda^*|_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda) .$$

If $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies

$$XT_\lambda = T_\lambda X \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Lambda ,$$

then there exists $Y \in B(\mathcal{K})$ with properties:

- (i) $Y\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $X = Y|_{\mathcal{H}}$;
- (ii) $YS_\lambda^* = S_\lambda^*Y$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$;
- (iii) $\|Y\| = \|X\|$.

As we see, it is important to study the commutant of a Λ -orthogonal shift $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and even a larger class of operators, that of the \mathcal{S} -Toeplitz operators, which appear in connection with an extension of the abstract Szegő factorization problem (see the introduction). For this, we need the following definitions.

An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is called

- (i) \mathcal{S} -Toeplitz if $S_\lambda^* T S_\lambda = T$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $S_\lambda^* T S_\mu = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$; $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$;
- (ii) \mathcal{S} -analytic if $T S_\lambda = S_\lambda T$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$,
- (iii) \mathcal{S} -inner if T is \mathcal{S} -analytic and partially isometric,
- (iv) \mathcal{S} -outer if T is \mathcal{S} -analytic and $\overline{T\mathcal{H}}$ reduces each S_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$),
- (v) \mathcal{S} -constant if T and T^* are \mathcal{S} -analytic.

In genral, examples of \mathcal{S} -Toeplitz operators are easily constructed from \mathcal{S} -analytic operators. If $T_1, T_2 \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are \mathcal{S} -analytic, then the operators $T_1, T_2^*, T_2^* T_1$ are \mathcal{S} -Toeplitz.

Now, we give a theorem on \mathcal{S} -inner operators. We omit the proof which is an easy extension of [8, §1.7, Theorems A, B, C].

THEOREM 2.2. Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be \mathcal{S} -inner and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda \mathcal{H} \right)$.

Then

- (i) The initial space of T reduces each S_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$),
- (ii) The final space of T reduces each S_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) if and only if T is \mathcal{S} -constant,
- (iii) T is \mathcal{S} -constant if and only if has the form

$$T_h = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f T_0 1_f \quad (h = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f 1_f, \quad 1_f \in \mathcal{L})$$

for some partial isometry $T_0 \in B(\mathcal{L})$.

In what follows, to each $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ we associate a matrix of operators in $B(\mathcal{L})$: $T \sim [A_{f,g}]_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}}$, where

$$A_{f,g} = P_o S_f^* T S_g P_o|_{\mathcal{L}} \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{F})$$

and P_o is the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} on $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda \mathcal{H})$.

For any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $h = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{F}} S_q l_q$ (see Corollary 1.3) we have

$Th = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f l'_f$, where $l'_f = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{F}} A_{f,q} l_q$. Indeed, by Corollary 1.3 it follows that

$l'_f = P_o S_f^* Th = P_o S_f^* T \sum_{q \in \mathcal{F}} S_q l_q = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{F}} A_{f,q} l_q$ ($f \in \mathcal{F}$) where the sums

are strongly convergent.

It is easy to see that the correspondence $T \sim [A_{f,g}]_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}}$ is linear and that $T^* \sim [B_{f,g}]_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}}$ where $B_{f,g} = A_{g,f}^*$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. If $T_1 \sim [A_{f,g}]_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}}$ and $T_2 \sim [B_{f,g}]_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}}$ then $T_1 T_2 \sim [C_{f,g}]_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}}$ where $C_{f,g} = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{F}} A_{f,q} B_{q,g}$ ($f, g \in \mathcal{F}$) with convergence of the sums in the strong operator topology.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 we have $I_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{F}} S_q P_o S_q^*$. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$

$$C_{f,g} = P_o S_f^* T_1 T_2 S_g P_o|_{\mathcal{L}} = P_o S_f^* T_1 \left(\sum_{q \in \mathcal{F}} S_q P_o S_q^* \right) T_2 S_g P_o|_{\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{F}} A_{f,q} B_{q,g}$$

with convergence in the strong operator topology.

In the sequel we shall need the following notation. When $f \in F(n, \Lambda)$, $g \in F(m, \Lambda)$, $f \supset g$ means that $n \geq m$ and $g = f|_{\{1, 2, \dots, m\}}$. In this case $f \setminus g$ stands for the function $h: \{1, 2, \dots, n-m\} \rightarrow \Lambda$ given by

$$h(1)=f(m+1), h(2)=f(m+2), \dots, h(n-m)=f(n) \quad \text{if } n>m$$

and

$$h=0 \quad \text{if } n=m.$$

THEOREM 2.4. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz if and only if its matrix is of the form $[A_{f,g}]_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}}$ where for $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{f,g} &= A_{f \setminus g}; & \text{if } f \supset g \\ &= \tilde{A}_{g \setminus f}; & \text{if } g \supset f \\ &= 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

where $A_q \in B(\mathcal{L})$ ($q \in \mathcal{F}$), $\tilde{A}_q \in B(\mathcal{L})$ ($q \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$).

In this case

$$(2.2) \quad A_q = P_0 S_q^* T P_0|_{\mathcal{L}} \quad (q \in \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A}_q = P_0 T S_q P_0|_{\mathcal{L}} \quad (q \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Proof. If T is \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz with matrix $[A_{f,g}]_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{f,g} &= P_0 S_f^* T S_g P_0|_{\mathcal{L}} = P_0 S_{f \setminus g}^* T P_0|_{\mathcal{L}} & \text{if } f \supset g \\ &= P_0 T S_{g \setminus f} P_0|_{\mathcal{L}} & \text{if } g \supset f \\ &= 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the matrix of T is given by (2.1) where the entries A_q ($q \in \mathcal{F}$); \tilde{A}_q ($q \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$) are defined by (2.2).

Conversely, if the matrix of $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ has the form (2.1), for some $A_q \in B(\mathcal{L})$ ($q \in \mathcal{F}$), $\tilde{A}_q \in B(\mathcal{L})$ ($q \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$) then $T, S_\lambda^* T S_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) have the same matrix and for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \mu, S_\lambda^* T S_\mu = 0$. Hence T is \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz.

COROLLARY 2.5. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is \mathcal{F} -analytic if and only if its matrix is of the form $[A_{f,g}]_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}}$ where for $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad A_{f,g} &= A_{f \setminus g} \quad \text{if } f \supset g \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned} \quad (A_q \in B(\mathcal{L}), \quad q \in \mathcal{F})$$

In this case for any $q \in \mathcal{F}$, $A_q = P_0 S_q^* T P_0|_{\mathcal{L}}$. Moreover, T is \mathcal{F} -constant if and only if $A_q = 0$ for all $q \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$ i.e. the matrix of T has the form

$$\text{diag} \{A_0, A_0, \dots\}.$$

In what follows we need the following notations and definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) &= \left(\bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}^*} S_f \mathcal{L} \right) \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}^*} S_f^* \mathcal{L} \right), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}; \\ l_-^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) &= \left(\bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}^*} S_f \mathcal{L} \right) \oplus 0 \oplus 0; \\ l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) &= 0 \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}^*} S_f^* \mathcal{L} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We identify \mathcal{H} with $l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ and $l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ with $l_-^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \oplus l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let us define $U_\lambda \in B(l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} U_\lambda(l_-) &= (S_\lambda^-)^* l_- , \quad \text{for } l_- \in l_-^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}); \\ U_\lambda(l_+) &= S_\lambda^+ l_+ , \quad \text{for } l_+ \in l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{S_\lambda^-\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ (resp. $\{S_\lambda^+\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$) is the Λ -orthogonal shift acting on $l_-^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathcal{L}$ (resp. $l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$).

Obviously U_λ is a coupling of S_λ^- and S_λ^+ (see [1]), that is $U_\lambda|_{l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})} = S_\lambda^+$ and $U_\lambda^*|_{l_-^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathcal{L}} = S_\lambda^-$. We also remark that if Λ has

a single element then we find again the bilateral shift on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{L})$.

Now, to each \mathcal{J} -Toeplitz operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ we associate an operator $\theta : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ defined with respect to decomposition (2.4) by the operator matrix

$$\theta = \begin{bmatrix} [\tilde{A}_q]_{q \in \mathcal{F}^*} \\ [A_q]_{q \in \mathcal{F}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where \tilde{A}_q, A_q are defined by (2.2). Thus θ is uniquely determined by T and we write $T = T_\theta$. Note that for $l \in \mathcal{L}$

$$\theta l = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}^*} U_f^* \tilde{A}_f l + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} U_f A_f l$$

THEOREM 2.6. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $T_\theta \in B(l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$ is \mathcal{J} -Toeplitz,
- (ii) There exists $A_\theta \in B(l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$ uniquely determined with the properties:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1. A_\theta|_{\mathcal{L}} = \theta \\ (2.5) \quad & 2. A_\theta U_\lambda|_{l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})} = U_\lambda A_\theta|_{l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})}; \\ & A_\theta U_\lambda^*|_{l_-^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathcal{L}} = U_\lambda^* A_\theta|_{l_-^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathcal{L}} \text{ for any } \lambda \in \Lambda. \\ & 3. T_\theta = P_+ A_\theta|_{l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})}, \text{ where } P_+ \text{ is the orthogonal} \\ & \text{projection of } l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \text{ on } l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}). \end{aligned}$$

In this case

$$(2.6) \quad A_\theta \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}^*} U_f^* l'_f + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} U_f l_f \right) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}^*} U_f^* \theta l'_f + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} U_f \theta l_f \quad (l'_f, l_f \in \mathcal{L})$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad T_{\theta} \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} U_f l_f \right) = P_+ \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} U_f \theta l_f \right) .$$

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) An operator $A_{\theta} \in B(l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$ which satisfies (2.5) is uniquely determined by $A_{\theta}|_{\mathcal{L}} = \theta$. This follows because for every $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$, $l \in \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$(2.8) \quad A_{\theta} U_f l = U_f \theta l, \quad A_{\theta} U_f^* l = U_f^* \theta l$$

and

$$l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) = \left(\bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}^*} U_f^* \mathcal{L} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} U_f \mathcal{L} \right) .$$

Taking into account the matrix form of T_{θ} (see Thm. 2.4) and the definitions of U_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) and θ , it is easy to see that for any $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, $l, l' \in \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\langle P_+ A_{\theta} U_g l, U_f l' \rangle = \langle T_{\theta} U_g l, U_f l' \rangle .$$

Since $l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} U_f \mathcal{L}$ it follows that

$$P_+ A_{\theta}|_{l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})} = T_{\theta} .$$

Conversely, if $A_{\theta} \in B(l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$ is such that (2.5) holds and $\theta = A_{\theta}|_{\mathcal{L}}$, then the operator $T_{\theta} = P_+ A_{\theta}|_{l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})}$ is \mathcal{F} -Toeplitz.

Indeed, for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, $h, k \in l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_{\mu}^* T_{\theta} S_{\lambda} h, k \rangle &= \langle A_{\theta} h, U_{\lambda}^* U_{\mu} k \rangle = \langle P_+ A_{\theta} h, k \rangle; & \text{if } \lambda = \mu \\ &= 0 & ; \text{ if } \lambda \neq \mu . \end{aligned}$$

The last statement of the theorem is immediately. The proof is complete.

In general if θ is a bounded operator from \mathcal{L} to $l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ (i.e. $\theta \in B(\mathcal{L}, l^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$), then A_θ defined by (2.8) is an unbounded operator. Note that $T_\theta \in B(l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$ is \mathcal{Y} -analytic if and only if $\theta \in B(\mathcal{L}, l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$. In this case we have

$$(2.9) \quad T_\theta \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f l_f \right) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f \theta l_f \quad (l_f \in \mathcal{L})$$

THEOREM 2.7. Let $T_\theta \in B(l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$ be an \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator. In order that T_θ be:

- (i) \mathcal{Y} -inner,
- (ii) \mathcal{Y} -outer,
- (iii) \mathcal{Y} -constant,

it is necessary and sufficient that the following conditions hold, respectively

- (i) θ is a partial isometry with $\theta \mathcal{L}$ an wandering subspace for \mathcal{Y} , i.e. $S_f \theta \mathcal{L} \perp S_g \theta \mathcal{L}$ for any $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$, $f \neq g$.
- (ii) $\bigvee_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f \theta \mathcal{L} = l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}_0)$ for some subspace $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$
- (iii) $\theta \in B(\mathcal{L})$.

We omit the proof of this theorem which is easily to deduce from the results up to now.

The following theorem gives explicit forms for the commutants of all \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators.

THEOREM 2.8. An operator $X \in B(l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$ commutes with all \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators if and only if its matrix has the form (2.3), where each entry is a scalar multiple of the identity on \mathcal{L} .

Proof. If $X \in B(l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}))$ commutes with all \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators on $l_+^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ then X is \mathcal{Y} -analytic. Its matrix is given by (2.3) and must commute in particular with $\text{diag}(Y, Y, \dots)$ for every $Y \in B(\mathcal{L})$. Therefore the entries of (2.3) commute with all operators in $B(\mathcal{L})$. It follows that each entry of (2.3) is a scalar multiple of the identity on \mathcal{L} . Conversely is straightforward.

At the end of this Section we give a concrete realization of a Λ -orthogonal shift \mathcal{Y} and we provide explicit forms for the \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators. For sake of simplicity we only consider the case when $\Lambda = \{1, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \{S_1, S_2\}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ be the Fock space defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{H}),$$

where $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{H})$ is the Hardy space of all analytic functions in the unit polydisc \mathbb{D}^n with values in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . To be more precise $f_n(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ belongs to $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{H})$ if f_n has a power series expansion of the form

$$f_n(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0} f_n(i_1, \dots, i_n) \lambda_1^{i_1} \lambda_2^{i_2} \dots \lambda_n^{i_n}$$

and

$$\|f_n\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathcal{H})}^2 = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0} \|f_n(i_1, \dots, i_n)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$, $f = f_1(\lambda_1) \oplus f_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \oplus f_3(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \oplus \dots$ we define

$$S_1 f = \lambda_1 f_1(\lambda_1) \oplus \lambda_1 f_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \oplus \lambda_1 f_3(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \oplus \dots$$

$$S_2 f = 0 \oplus f_1(\lambda_2) \oplus f_2(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) \oplus f_3(\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4) \oplus \dots$$

It is easy to see that S_1, S_2 are orthogonal shifts on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and $[S_1, S_2]$ is nonunitary. Moreover $\mathcal{H} = (I - S_1 S_1^* - S_2 S_2^*) \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and the multiplicity of $\mathcal{Y} = \{S_1, S_2\}$ is equal to $\dim \mathcal{H}$.

Let us consider the scalar case when $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$. If $T \in B(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}))$ is an \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator then one can easily show that

$$(2.10) \quad T f = T_{[\varphi]} f = [\varphi] f \quad \text{for any } f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C})$$

where $\varphi = \varphi_1(\lambda_1) \oplus \varphi_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \oplus \varphi_3(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \oplus \dots$

stands for $T(1)$ and $[\varphi]$ stands for the matrix of functions

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1(\lambda_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \varphi_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) & \varphi_1(\lambda_2) & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \varphi_3(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) & \varphi_2(\lambda_2, \lambda_3) & \varphi_1(\lambda_3) & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that if $T_{[\varphi]}, T_{[\eta]}$ are \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators then

$$T_{[\varphi]} + T_{[\eta]} = T_{[\varphi] + [\eta]}$$

$$T_{\lambda[\varphi]} = \lambda T_{[\varphi]} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C})$$

$$T_{[\varphi]} T_{[\eta]} = T_{[\varphi][\eta]}$$

Now if $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $[\varphi] f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C})$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C})$ and the

operator $T_{[\varphi]}$ defined by (2.10) is bounded then $T_{[\varphi]}$ is an \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C})$.

Example 2.9. Let $\varphi = \varphi_1 \oplus \varphi_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \varphi_m \oplus 0 \oplus \dots$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_k \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^k)$ for any $k=1,2,\dots,m$. Then $T_{[\varphi]}$ is an \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C})$.

If $\dim \mathcal{H} = n$, we can consider $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^n$. The form of the \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ can be easily deduce from the scalar case using the tensor product.

3. BEURLING-TYPE FACTORIZATIONS AND LAT \mathcal{Y}

Throughout section $\mathcal{Y} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a Λ -orthogonal shift on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and we keep the definitions from the beginning of Section 2. For $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ we denote

$$D = T - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda T S_\lambda^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda \mathcal{H} \right).$$

The following theorem is a version of [8, Theorem 1.9] in our setting.

THEOREM 3.1. If $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $T = AA^*$ for some \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$;
- (ii) $D = W^*W$ for some operator W from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{L} ;
- (iii) $D \geq 0$ and $\dim \overline{D\mathcal{H}} \leq \dim \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). If (i) holds, then $D = AP_0 A^* = W^*W$, where $P_0 = I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda S_\lambda^*$ and $W = P_0 A^*$ is an operator from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{L} .

med 24872

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). If (ii) holds, then $D=W^*W \geq 0$. Let $W=VQ$ the polar decomposition of W . Therefore $Q=(W^*W)^{1/2}$ and V is a partial isometry from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{L} , with initial space $\overline{Q\mathcal{H}}$. It is easy to see that $D\mathcal{H} \subset \overline{Q\mathcal{H}}$. Since V acts isometrically on $\overline{Q\mathcal{H}}$ it follows that $\dim \overline{D\mathcal{H}} \leq \dim \mathcal{L}$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). If (iii) holds, then $\dim \overline{D^{1/2}\mathcal{H}} \leq \dim \mathcal{L}$. Therefore there exists an isometry V from $\overline{D^{1/2}\mathcal{H}}$ to \mathcal{L} . Setting $W=VD^{1/2}$ we find that $W^*W=D$, that is $T-\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} T S_{\lambda}^* = W^*W$. An easy computation shows that

$$T - \sum_{f \in F(n+1, \Lambda)} S_f T S_f^* = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} S_f W^* W S_f^* \quad \text{for any } n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad \langle Th_1, h_2 \rangle &= \sum_{f \in F(n+1, \Lambda)} \langle S_f T S_f^* h_1, h_2 \rangle = \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} S_f W^* h_1, \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} S_f W^* h_2 \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Define $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting $A^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} S_f W^* S_f^*$ (strongly).

It is easy to see that $AS_{\lambda} = S_{\lambda}A$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.1) we obtain

$$\langle Th_1, h_2 \rangle = \langle A^* h_1, A^* h_2 \rangle \quad \text{for any } h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore $T=AA^*$ where A is \mathcal{Y} -analytic. The proof is complete.

In the sequel, by the support of an \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ we understand the smallest reducing subspace $\text{supp}(T) \subset \mathcal{H}$ for each S_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) containing $\overline{T^* \mathcal{H}}$. Taking into account Corollary

1.3 one can easily show that

$$\text{supp } (T) = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f \overline{P_0 T^* \mathcal{H}},$$

where P_0 is the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{L} .

THEOREM 3.2. If $T_1, T_2 \in B(\mathcal{H})$ are \mathcal{Y} -analytic, then

$$(3.2) \quad T_1 T_1^* = T_2 T_2^*$$

if and only if $T_2 = T_1 C$, where C is an \mathcal{Y} -constant inner operator with initial space $\text{supp } (T_2)$ and final space $\text{supp } (T_1)$.

Moreover, in this case C is unique and $T_1 = T_2 C^*$.

Proof. Setting $P_0 = I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}^*$, by (3.2) we get $\|P_0 T_1^* h\| = \|P_0 T_2^* h\|$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$, which implies that there exists a unique partial isometry $C_0 \in B(\mathcal{L})$ with initial space $\overline{P_0 T_2^* \mathcal{H}}$ and final space $\overline{P_0 T_1^* \mathcal{H}}$. We extend C_0 to an \mathcal{Y} -constant inner operator C on \mathcal{H} (see Theorem 2.2) with initial space $\text{supp } (T_2)$ and final space $\text{supp } (T_1)$. Now it is easy to see that $T_2 = T_1 C$. Moreover C is unique since C^* is unique determined on $T_1^* \mathcal{H}$. The fact that $T_1 = T_2 C^*$ is immediately.

We apply the results up to now for proving a version of the Beurling-Lax theorem [11, 7, 8] for a Λ -orthogonal shift $\mathcal{Y} = \{S_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ on \mathcal{H} .

THEOREM 3.3. A subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{H} is invariant for each S_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) if and only if

$$\mathcal{M} = M\mathcal{H}$$

for some \mathcal{Y} -inner operator $M \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, this representation is essentially unique.

Proof. An implication is obviously.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an invariant subspace for each S_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) and let $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the projection of \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{M} . Then, $P := P_{\mathcal{M}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda P_{\mathcal{M}} S_\lambda^*$ is the projection of \mathcal{H} on $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda \mathcal{M})$.

Let us show that $\dim P\mathcal{H} \leq \dim \mathcal{L}$, where as usual $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} \ominus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda \mathcal{H})$. The case when \mathcal{L} is infinite dimensional is clearly. If $\dim \mathcal{L}$ is finite and $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{L} , then $\{S_f e_i : i \in I, f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim P\mathcal{H} &= \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \langle P S_f e_i, S_f e_i \rangle = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{f \in F(k, \Lambda)} \langle (P_{\mathcal{M}} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda P_{\mathcal{M}} S_\lambda^*) S_f e_i, S_f e_i \rangle = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \langle P_{\mathcal{M}} S_f e_i, S_f e_i \rangle \leq \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|S_f e_i\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} \|e_i\|^2 = \dim \mathcal{L} \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1 it follows that $P_{\mathcal{M}} = MM^*$ for some \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator $M \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, M is \mathcal{Y} -inner since $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a projection. Thus $\mathcal{M} = P_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{H} = M\mathcal{H}$. The uniqueness follows by Theorem 3.2.

4. SZEGO-TYPE FACTORIZATIONS AND \mathcal{Y} -INNER-OUTER FACTORIZATIONS FOR \mathcal{Y} -ANALYTIC OPERATORS

Let us consider $\mathcal{Y} = \{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ a Λ -orthogonal shift on \mathcal{H} ,

$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{\lambda} \mathcal{H} \right)$ and let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a nonnegative \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz operator. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we define the Lowdenslager's isometry $S_{T, \lambda}$ on $\mathcal{H}_T := \overline{T^{1/2} \mathcal{H}}$ by setting $S_{T, \lambda}(T^{1/2}h) = T^{1/2}S_{\lambda}h$, ($h \in \mathcal{H}$). It is easy to see that $\mathcal{Y}_T := \{S_{T, \lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a sequence of isometries with orthogonal final spaces.

After these preliminaries we can state the following theorem which is a version of [8, Theorem 3.4] in our setting.

THEOREM 4.1. If $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a nonnegative \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz operator then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $T = A^*A$ for some \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$;
- (ii) \mathcal{Y}_T is a Λ -orthogonal shift on \mathcal{H}_T ;
- (iii) There is a dense subset \mathcal{L}' of \mathcal{L} such that for any $l' \in \mathcal{L}'$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup \left\{ \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} |\langle T l', S_f h \rangle|^2; h \in \mathcal{H}, \|T^{1/2} h\| = 1 \right\} \right) = 0.$$

In this case there is an \mathcal{Y} -outer operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $T = A^*A$ and $A_0 := P_0 A P_0|_{\mathcal{L}} \geq 0$, where P_0 is the projection of \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{L} .

Proof. (i) \implies (iii). If (i) holds and $l \in \mathcal{L}$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|T^{1/2} h\| = 1$ then
$$\sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} |\langle T l, S_f h \rangle|^2 = \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} |\langle S_f^* A l, A h \rangle|^2 \leq \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|S_f^* A l\|^2$$
 for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since \mathcal{Y} is a Λ -orthogonal shift, by Corollary 1.2, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|S_f^* A l\|^2 = 0$ and hence

(iii) holds.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). If (iii) holds then for every $l' \in \mathcal{L}'$ and $n=0,1,2,\dots$

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|S_{T,f}^* T^{1/2} l'\|^2 = \\ = \sup \left\{ \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} |\langle T l', S_f h \rangle|^2; h \in \mathcal{H}_T, \|T^{1/2} h\| = 1 \right\},$$

where $S_{T,f}$ stands for the product $S_{T,f(1)} S_{T,f(2)} \dots S_{T,f(n)}$.

Indeed, we have $\sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} |\langle T l', S_f h \rangle|^2 =$
 $= \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} |\langle S_{T,f}^* T^{1/2} l', T^{1/2} h \rangle|^2$ and since the set $\{T^{1/2} h: \|T^{1/2} h\| = 1\}$
 is dense in the unit sphere of \mathcal{H}_T , (4.1) follows. Therefore (iii)
 implies

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|S_{T,f}^* T^{1/2} l'\|^2 = 0 \quad (l' \in \mathcal{L}')$$

On the other hand for $l' \in \mathcal{L}'$ and $g \in F(m, \Lambda)$, $m=1,2,\dots$, we
 have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|S_{T,f}^* T^{1/2} S_g l'\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g \in F(n-m, \Lambda)} \|S_{T,g}^* T^{1/2} l'\|^2 \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} 0.$$

An approximation argument shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|S_{T,f}^* k\|^2 = 0 \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathcal{H}_T.$$

Now (ii) follows from Corollary 1.2.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that (ii) holds. By the definition of $S_{T,\lambda}$
 $(\lambda \in \Lambda)$ we infer that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$(4.3) \quad T^{1/2} S_{T,\lambda}^* h = S_{\lambda}^* T^{1/2} h \quad \text{for any } h \in \mathcal{H}_T.$$

Hence, the operator $X = T^{1/2} \big|_{\mathcal{L}_T}$ maps $\mathcal{L}_T := \mathcal{H}_T \ominus \left(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{T,\lambda} \mathcal{H}_T \right)$ into \mathcal{L} .

As in [8, Thm. 3.4] the polar decomposition of X^* gives $X^* = W^* Q$, where $Q = (XX^*)^{1/2} \in B(\mathcal{L})$ and W mapping \mathcal{L}_T into \mathcal{L} is an isometry. We extend W to an isometry V from \mathcal{H}_T to \mathcal{H} such that

$$(4.4) \quad V S_{T,\lambda} = S_{\lambda} V \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda)$$

as follows. Since \mathcal{I}_T is Λ -orthogonal shift on \mathcal{H}_T , by Corollary 1.3, each $k \in \mathcal{H}_T$ has a unique representation

$$k = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_{T,f} k_f, \quad (k_f \in \mathcal{L}_T)$$

We now set

$$V k = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f W k_f.$$

Let $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be defined by

$$A h = V T^{1/2} h, \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Taking into account (4.3), (4.4) it is easy to verify that $T = A^* A$ and $A S_{\lambda} = S_{\lambda} A$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, i.e. (ii) holds.

Finally, let us show that A is \mathcal{I} -outer and $A_0 := P_0 A P_0|_{\mathcal{L}} \geq 0$.

Setting $\mathcal{M} = W \mathcal{L}_T$ we find $\overline{A \mathcal{H}} = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f \mathcal{M}$ and by Corollary 1.4 it

follows that $\overline{A \mathcal{H}}$ reduces each S_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) i.e. A is \mathcal{I} -outer.

If $l \in \mathcal{L}$, then $A_0 l = P_0 V T^{1/2} l = W P_{\mathcal{L}_T} T^{1/2} l = W X^* l = Q l$, where $P_{\mathcal{L}_T}$ is the projection of \mathcal{H}_T on \mathcal{L}_T .

Therefore $A_0 = Q \geq 0$ and the proof is complete.

We are now ready to use the above theorem for obtaining \mathcal{Y} -inner-outer factorizations for \mathcal{Y} -analytic operators.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be an \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator. Then

$$T = BA$$

where $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is \mathcal{Y} -outer and $B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is \mathcal{Y} -inner with initial space $\overline{A\mathcal{H}}$.

Moreover, there is a factorization of this type so that the diagonal entry A_0 in the matrix of A satisfies $A_0 \geq 0$. In this case the factors A and B are unique.

Proof. Applying Theorem 4.1 to the operator $X = T^*T$ we get an \mathcal{Y} -outer operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad T^*T = A^*A$$

and $A_0 = P_0 A P_0|_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0$.

By (4.5) there is a unique partial isometry $B \in B(\mathcal{H})$ with initial space $\overline{A\mathcal{H}}$ such that $T = BA$. Let us show that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $S_\lambda B = B S_\lambda$.

Obviously $S_\lambda B$ and $B S_\lambda$ coincide on $A\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, since A is \mathcal{Y} -outer, $\overline{A\mathcal{H}}$ is a reducing subspace for each S_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$), which together with $B|_{(C\mathcal{H})^\perp} = 0$ implies that $S_\lambda B$ and $B S_\lambda$ are zero on $(A\mathcal{H})^\perp$. Therefore B is \mathcal{Y} -inner.

For uniqueness let $T = B_1 A = B_2 C$ be with the required properties of theorem. Then, $A^*A = C^*C$ where A, C are \mathcal{Y} -outer and $A_0 \geq 0$, $C_0 \geq 0$. Similar arguments as above show that there is an \mathcal{Y} -constant inner operator B with initial space $\overline{C\mathcal{H}}$ and final space $\overline{A\mathcal{H}}$ such that $A = BC$. By Proposition 2.3 we infer that $A_0 = B_0 C_0$.

whence $A_O^2 \leq C_O^2$. Interchanging the roles of A and C we obtain $A_O^2 = C_O^2$ and hence $A_O = C_O$.

Since $A_O = B_O C_O$, B_O coincides with the identity operator on $\overline{C_O \mathcal{L}}$. On the other hand $\overline{C_O \mathcal{L}} = \overline{P_O C \mathcal{L}} = \overline{P_O C \mathcal{H}}$ and since C is \mathcal{Y} -outer, $\overline{C \mathcal{H}}$ reduces each S_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$).

Now by Corollary 1.4 one easily show that

$$\overline{C \mathcal{H}} = \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f(\overline{C_O \mathcal{L}}).$$

Thus, each $h \in \overline{C \mathcal{H}}$ has the form $h = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f l_f$, where $l_f \in \overline{C_O \mathcal{L}}$. By Theorem 2.2 we have

$$Bh = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f B_O l_f = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} S_f l_f = h, \quad (h \in \overline{C \mathcal{H}})$$

Since $A = BC$ it follows that $A = C$.

The fact that $B_1 = B_2$ is immediately. The proof is complete.

Finally, we adapt [8, Theorem 3.7] to our setting.

THEOREM 4.3. If $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a nonnegative \mathcal{Y} -Toeplitz operator such that $T \geq rI_{\mathcal{H}}$ for some $r > 0$, then $T = A^*A$ for some \mathcal{Y} -analytic operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Since T is invertible, $\mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{H}$ and for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$S_{T, \lambda} = T^{1/2} S_\lambda T^{-1/2}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|S_{T, \lambda}^* h\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{f \in F(n, \Lambda)} \|T^{-1/2} S_f^* T^{1/2} h\|^2 = 0$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

By Corollary 1.2, $\mathcal{Y}_T = \{S_{T,\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a Λ -orthogonal shift on \mathcal{H} . Applying Theorem 4.1 the result follows.

REFERENCES

1. R. AROCENA, A Theorem of Naimark, Linear Systems, and Scattering Operators, J. Funct. Anal. 69 (1986), 281-288.
2. ZOIA CEAUSESCU; C. FOIAS, On intertwining dilations. V, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 40 (1978), 9-32.
3. C. FOIAS, A remark on the universal model for contractions of G.C. Rota, Comm. Acad. R.P. Romane, 13 (1963), 349-352.
4. A.E. FRAZHO, Complements to Models for Noncommuting Operators, J. Funct. Anal. 59 (1984), 445-461.
5. G. POPESCU, Models for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), to appear.
6. G. POPESCU, Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, INCREST preprint, no. 1/1987.
7. G. POPESCU, Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, INCREST preprint, no.10/1987.
8. M. ROSENBLUM; J. ROVNYAK, "Hardy Classes and Operator Theory", Oxford University Press-New York, Clarendon Press-Oxford, 1985.
9. G.C. ROTA, On models for linear operators, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 13 (1960), 469-472.
10. B.Sz.-NAGY; C. FOIAS, Dilation des commutants, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser A 266 (1968), 201-212.

11. B.Sz.-NAGY; C. FOIAS, "Harmonic Analysis on Operators on Hilbert space", North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

Department of Mathematics,
I N C R E S T
Bd. Pacii 220, 79622 Bucharest
Romania.