INSTITUTUL DE MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL PENTRU CREATIE STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

M.I.P. OF NEWTON'S METHOD FOR
GALERKIN TYPE DISCRETIZATIONS
by
Dumitru ADAM

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS
No.34/1988

Mod 24835

BUCURESTI

M.I.P. OF NEWTON'S METHOD FOR GALERKIN TYPE DISCRETIZATIONS

by

DUMITRU ADAM *)

July 1988

*) Department of Mathematics, The National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation, Bd.Păcii 220,79622
Bucharest, Romania.

M.I.P. OF NEWTON'S METHOD FOR GALERKIN

TYPE DISCRETIZATIONS

by

Dumitru ADAM*)

ABSTRACT. The mesh independent principle (M.I.P) for Newton's method in the Galerkin type discretizations is studied in the hypothesis of the convergence on the initial space and in the standard approximation property on subspaces. On the line of Algower, Bohmer, Potra and Rheinboldt, [2], and using the framework introduced in [1], we obtain that M.I.P. holds in the "energy-norm" induced by Gram matrix of basis.

Key words: Newton's method, Galerkin discretization, mesh independence.

AMS (MOS): 65F30, 65F35, 65N30

1. Introduction. Let the following nonlinear equation in the separable, real Hilbert space H:

(1.1)
$$F(u) = 0$$

where F: D=H→H, is Lipschitz continuous Fréchet differentiable on the open domain D:

(1.2)
$$\| F'(u) - F'(v) \| \le \gamma \| u - v \|, \quad u, v \in D$$

In the assumption that (1.1) has an unique solution $u^* \in D$, which is simple, i.e. there exists $F'(u^*)^{-1}$ and is bounded,

$$(1.3) || F'(u^*)^{-1} || := 8^*$$

and the ball $B^* := B^*(u^*,r^*) \subset D$, where

^{*)} Department of Mathematics, INCREST, Bd. Pacii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania.

the following like local convergence result due to Rheinboldt holds: the Newton's sequence, defined by:

(1.5)
$$u^{j+1} = u^j - F'(u^j)^{-1}F(u^j), \quad j = 0,1,...$$

converges for any $u^{O} \in B^{*}$ and the iterates verifies:

This result and a class of discretizations what are stable, Lipschitz uniform, bounded and consistent of order (>0), in the sense of [2], was used for proving the M.I.P.: for a prescribed error (<), same number of iterations are necessary for Newton's sequence (1.5) as well as for the corresponding Newton's sequences for the discretizations of (1.1), with starting points u_h^0 . The analysis presented in [2] is tipically for finite difference schemas, covering another type of discretizations. In this paper, using same line as in [2], and the "spectral" matrix representation on the real Euclidean space of Galerkin type discretizations, presented in [1], we obtain that M.I.P. holds in the "energy-norm" induced by Gramian. The example on Sobolev space u_h^0 , shows that our model is one natural.

Our finite dimension approximation subspaces $S_h \subset H$ are increasing with $h \to 0$ and the following approximation properties hold:

(1.7)
$$\inf_{v \in S_{h}} \|u - v\| := \|u - P_{h}u\| \le C_{o} \|u\| h^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad h < h_{o}$$

for any $u \in W \cap H$, where the norm on W majores the norm of H, and P_h is the orthogonal projection operator corresponding of S_h . We suppose that $W \cap H$ is sufficient of rich, for example, is dense in H.

(1.8)
$$F_h := P_h F P_h$$
, $F'_h(u) := P_h F'(u) P_h$, $u \in D$

and for $u_h := P_h u$, $v_h := P_h v \in D$, we have:

what ensures the existence of Fréchet derivative of F_h . Moreover, this is Lipschitz continuous with same constant Y by: if $\mathcal{G}_{g_h}, \mathcal{N}_g \in \mathcal{S}_g \cap D$

for any $\mathcal{J}_h \in S_h$. Hence, on $S_h \cap D$:

(1.9)
$$\|F_h'(\xi_h) - F_h'(\gamma_h)\| \leq \chi \|\xi_h - \gamma_h\|$$

Some evaluations are needed for our aim. Firstly, by the approximation subspaces choise, for h \Rightarrow 0, $\|F_h'(u^*) - F'(u^*)\| \rightarrow 0$. Then for every $\mathcal{E}_{h,u^*} > 0$, there exists h₁ such that, for h < h₁,

$$\| F_h(u^*) - F(u^*) \| < \varepsilon_{h,u^*}$$

choosing $\mathcal{E}_{h,u}$ * such that $\beta^* \mathcal{E}_{h,u}$ * $\stackrel{\checkmark}{=} 1/10$, we obtain by the following trick:

$$\mathrm{F}'_{h}(\mathrm{u}^{*}) = \mathrm{F}'(\mathrm{u}^{*})(\mathrm{I} - \mathrm{F}'(\mathrm{u}^{*})^{-1}(\mathrm{F}'(\mathrm{u}^{*}) - \mathrm{F}'_{h}(\mathrm{u}^{*})))$$

that

Now, if $u^* \in B^* \cap W$, by (1.7)

Hence, choosing h_2 such that for $h < h_2$, $C_0 \text{ for } u^* \text{ in } C_1 \text{ h}^{\alpha} \leq 1/10$, we obtain by same trick, that there exists $F_h'(u_h^*)^{-1}$, and,

REMARK 1. Let $h=\min_{0\leq i\leq 2}$ and $u^*\in B^*\cap W$. Then, there exists $F_h'(\xi_h)^{-1}$, for any $\xi_h\in S_h\cap B^*$, and

$$(1.12) || f_{g}^{\prime} (\xi_{g})^{-1} || \leq G := 4\beta^{*}$$

i.e. the discretization is stable in the sense of [2], locally.

Proof. Observing that,

and
$$C_2 V \Gamma^4 = 1.01^2 V \beta^4 2/3 V \beta^4 = 2 \times 1.01^2/3 < 1$$

there exists $F_h(\xi_h)^{-1}$, and (1.12) holds, by same trick.

Second, for $u \in B^* \cap W$, $u_h \in D$, using the following estimation due to Kantorowich ([4])

we obtain
$$\|P_g \mp (u) - \mp_g (u_g)\| \le \| \mp (u) - \mp (u_g)\|$$

and
$$\|F(u) - F(u_0)\| \le \delta \|u - u_0\|^2 + M \cdot \|u - u_0\|$$

where M is the constant of boundness of Frechet derivative. Hence,

In same way, for $u, v \in B^* \cap W$, $u_h, v_h \in D$,

REMARK 2. If $\|u\|\| < C$ for any $u \in B^* \cap W$, then ([2]) the discretization is consistent of order \emptyset , with (1.13) and (1.14). This isn't possible always, and we remark that in the proving of M.I.P. in [2] it is suffice that the consistence property to

hold only on the Newton sequence. So, we can define the special form of consistence in the following manner:

$$(1.15) \qquad \left\| P_{h} F(u^{j}) - F_{h}(u_{h}^{j}) \right\| \leq C_{4} h^{\alpha}$$

where C_4 and C_5 can depend only $u^0 \in \mathbb{B}^* \cap W$, the starting point in the Newton's sequence $\left\{ u^j, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \right\}$ defined by (1.5).

2. M.I.P. for h-approximations. In consens with [1], we separe the analysis of the approximations on subspaces, of their matrix representation on Euclidean real spaces. This permits to work for approximations in B^* and to transport the obtained estimations on real Euclidean spaces for Galerkin discretizations. On S_h we are encountered with the existence of the solution for the approximation of (1.1):

(2.1)
$$F_h(u_h) = 0$$

and with the convergence of the Newton's sequence. The hypothesis of the theorem of Rheinboldt enounced in section 1, and the approximation property (1.7) ensures this. We will name this as standard hypothesis (S.H.) in the following sections.

LEMMA 1. If (S.H.) hold, and $u^* \in B^* \cap W$ is the solution of (1.1) then there exists h such that for h < h, (2.1) has an unique solution $f \in B_h(u_h^*, h_h)$ and the Newton's sequence with the starting point $u_h^o := P_h u^o$ is convergent to it, quadratically, i.e. a similar relation as (1.5) holds.

Proof. Because $u^* \in B^* \cap W$, $\| u^* - u_h^* \| \le C_o \| \| u^* \| \| h^*$. Let h_4 such that for $h < h_4$, $C_o \| \| u^* \| \| h^* < r^*$, i.e. $u_h^* \in B^*$ and we redefine h of the remark 1, as $h_i \in M$. Then, there exists $F_h(u_h^*)^{-1}$, and $0 \le 1 \le 4$.

(2.2)
$$\|F_h^i(u_h^*)^{-1}\| < \nabla$$

By (1.13) we have that,

there exists $\xi_h^* \in B_h(u_h^*, n_h)$, that is the unique solution of (2.1) in this ball where

$$\pi_{k} := \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{k}}}{\alpha_{k}} \| F_{k}(u_{k}^{*})^{-1} F_{k}(u_{k}^{*}) \| \le$$

$$\leq \pi_{k} \cdot (6 + \alpha_{k}) \cdot (6 +$$

We wish to have $\xi_h^* \in B^*$; by

Hence, there exists h_6 such that for $h < h = \min_{1 \le i \le 6} \{h_i\}$, $\xi_h^* \in B^*$, and there exists $1 \le i \le 6$

Now, by Rheinboldt's theorem, the Newton's sequence, for (2.1):

(2.5)
$$\xi_{R}^{j+1} = \xi_{R}^{j} - \xi_{R}^{j} (\xi_{R}^{j})^{-1} + (\xi_{R}^{j})$$
, $j = 0, 12,...$

converges for any $\xi_h^0 \in B_h^*(\xi_h^*, k_h^*)$, where

(2.6)
$$n_e^* = 2/38 \, g_e^*$$

and the iterates verifies:

(2.7)
$$\|\mathbf{x}_{h}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{h}^{*}\| \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{h}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{h}^{*}\|^{2}}{2(1 - \beta_{h}^{*} \mathbf{x} \|\mathbf{x}_{h}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{h}^{*}\|)}$$

Our interest for M.I.P. is that the starting point in (2.5) be $u_h^o := P_h u^o$, i.e. we need that $u_h^o \in B_h^*$. We can reevaluate \mathfrak{A}_h^* ,

by same trick for \mathcal{P}_h^* and (1.12). By

with $\|u^{\circ} - u^*\| \leq qr^*$, $q < \frac{1}{4}$, if

then, there exist h_7 , such that for $h < h := \min_{1 \le i \le 7} \{h_i \}$, $u_h^o \in B_h^*(\S_h^*, n_h^*) := B_h^*$.

THEOREM 1. (M.I.P. for h-approximation). In the following assumptions:

(S.H.) hold, with u* e WOB*;

Starting point $u \in W \cap B_q^* := B(u^*,qr^*), q < \frac{1}{4}$;

Newton's sequence $\{u^j, j=1,2,...\} \subset W \cap B^*$, and is bounded in the norm of $W: \|u^j\| \leq C_g$, $C_g:=C(u^o)$, then there exists h such that for h < h, the M.I.P. in the sense of [2], holds, when the starting point of Newton's sequence of h-approximation (2.1) is $P_h u^o := u_h^o$; i.e.:

(2.9)
$$3 = P_{g} u^{3} + O(f^{\alpha})$$

(2.10)
$$F_{R}(\xi_{R}^{i}) = P_{R} \mp (u^{i}) + O(\xi^{\alpha})$$

(2.11)
$$J_{e}^{j} - J_{e}^{*} = P_{e}(u^{j} - u^{*}) + O(e^{*}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

and in the stronger version: for any & >0,

Proof. The last \hat{h} ensures that the Newton's sequence for h-discretizations with $h < \hat{h}$ converges to the unique solution ξ_h^* of (2.1), with starting point $P_h u^0$ what lies in B_h^* . Now, the estimations for

and (2.12) follows by the Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 of [2], via Lemma 2, see below, and Remark 2; in fact, this estimations make the object of [2].

LEMMA 2. In the hypotheses of the theorem 1, there exists h such that, for h < h, for every $u^0 \in W \cap B^*(u^*, qr^*)$, the h-approximations are Lipschitz uniform

stable

bounded

and consistent of order of

on the set of Newton iterates defined by u^{o} and (1.5), where C_{10} , C_{11} are constants what depend only u^{o} . Moreover, (2.15) holds for every $u \in D$, (2.13) holds for every $u_{h}, v_{h} \in D \cap S_{h}$, (2.14) holds for every $u_{h} \in S_{h} \cap B^{*}$.

Proof. Because P_h is orthogonal projection, (2.15) holds. (2.13) is (1.9) and (2.16), (2.17) are obtained by (1.13), (1.14) using the boundness of the Newton sequence in the norm of W. Now, by

there exists h_8 such that for $h < h := nuin \{h_i\}$ the Newton sequence $\{\{i, j\}\}$ lies in $1 \le i \le 8$. Hence (2.14) hold on this. Moreover, by

$$\| u_{R}^{j} - u^{*} \| \leq \| u_{R}^{j} - u_{R}^{*} \| + \| u_{R}^{*} - u^{*} \| \leq \| u^{j} - u^{*} \| + \| u_{R}^{*} - u^{*} \|$$

$$\leq \| u^{o} - u^{*} \| + \| u_{R}^{*} - u^{*} \|$$

$$\leq q r^{*} + C \| u^{*} \| f^{\alpha}$$

the projection of the Newton's sequence (1.5) lies in B^* . Then, (2.14) holds, for h < h.

3. M.I.P. for matrix representations. Let R^{n_h} be the Euclidean real space with same dimension as S_h , where S_h is spanned by the linear independent family $\{ \varphi_h^i ; i = 1, n_h \}$. There exists an unique representation for every $\{ \{ e_h^i ; i = 1, n_h \} \}$ with the entries the components of $\{ \{ e_h^i ; i = 1, n_h \} \}$ be the canonical basis of $\{ \{ e_h^i ; i = 1, n_h \} \}$ be the canonical basis of $\{ \{ e_h^i ; i = 1, n_h \} \}$ be the canonical basis of $\{ \{ e_h^i ; i = 1, n_h \} \}$ be the adjoint of this

$$(3.1) \qquad \langle J_h \xi_h, \widetilde{\gamma}_h \rangle_{R^{n_h}} := \langle J_h \xi_h, \widetilde{\gamma}_h \rangle_h = \langle \xi_h, J^h \widetilde{\gamma}_h \rangle$$

Then, the h-discretization of F is

$$(3.2) \qquad \widetilde{F}_h := J_h F_h J^h$$

and the h-discretization of the Fréchet derivative is

$$(3.3) \qquad \widetilde{F}_h'(\widetilde{\xi}_h) := J_h F_h'(\xi_h) J^h \; ,$$

where $\xi_h = J^h \xi_h$. We note that if A = [H], then the h-discretization A_h has the matrix representation in canonical basis the Galerkin matrix ([1]) because his entries

We identify the operators on R nh with their matrix representation in canonical basis.

By this observations, for $\xi_h \in S_h$, $F_h'(\widetilde{\xi}_h)$ represents the Galerkin matrix representation of the Fréchet derivative $F'(\xi_h) \in [H]$.

Now, let $G_h \in [R^{n_h}]$ be defined by

$$(3.4) \qquad \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{g}} := \mathsf{J}_{\mathsf{g}} \, \mathsf{J}^{\mathsf{R}}$$

what has the Gram matrix of $\{\psi_h^i\}$ as matrix representation, and let the Choleski factorization

$$(3.5) \qquad G_{R} = L_{R} L_{R}^{*}$$

where $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{h}}$ is a low-triangular matrix.

Defining $\mathring{J}^h \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^{n_h}, S_h)$ being the adjoint of $\mathring{J}_h \in \mathcal{J}(S_h, \mathbb{R}^{n_h})$,

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{J}_{g} := L_{g}^{-1} J_{g}$$

and the mapping $\Lambda_h \in \mathcal{I}([s_h], [R^nh])$ by

(3.7)
$$\Lambda_{g}(A_{g}) := \hat{J}_{g} A_{g} \hat{J}^{h} = L_{g}^{-1} \tilde{A}_{g} L_{g}^{*}$$

we have the following theorem of spectral matrix representation ([1]):

THEOREM 2. Λ_h is an isomorfism of operator algebras which preserves the spectrum, norm and condition number, i.e. for $A_h \in [S_h]$,

$$\sigma(\Lambda_{R}(A_{R})) = \sigma(A_{R})$$

$$\|\Lambda_{R}(A_{R})\|_{R} = \|A_{R}\|$$

$$\pi(\Lambda_{R}(A_{R})) = \pi(A_{R}) := \|A_{R}\| \cdot \|A_{R}^{-1}\|,$$

if A_h is invertible.

Proof. Schetching, we observe that $\hat{J}_h^{-1} = \hat{J}^h$. Then Λ_h is a similarity application. Because we have

(3.8)
$$\|\hat{J}_{R}\xi_{R}\|_{R} = \|\xi_{R}\|$$

the our affirmations can easy proved.

We use in the following this representation theorem to transfer our results of h-approximations on ${\bf R}^{\,n}{\bf h}_{\:\raisebox{1pt}{\text{\circle*{1.5}}}}$

Let $\xi_h := J^h \xi_h := J^h \xi_h \in S_h$, where $\xi_h = L_h^{-*} \xi_h \in R^{nh}$. By Λ_h , the matrix representation of the derivative Fréchet is

(3.9)
$$\hat{F}'_{k}(\hat{s}_{k}) := \Delta_{k}(\hat{F}'_{k}(\hat{s}_{k})) = \hat{L}'_{k}\hat{F}'_{k}(\hat{s}_{k})\hat{L}'_{k}$$

Then, the Newton's sequence corresponding of the h-approximation by $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_h$ is

(3.10)
$$\hat{\xi}_{R}^{j+1} = \hat{\xi}_{R}^{j} - \hat{\xi}_{R}^{j} (\hat{\xi}_{R}^{j})^{-1} \hat{\xi}_{R}^{j} (\hat{\xi}_{R}^{j})$$
, $\hat{\xi}_{R}^{0} = \hat{J}_{R}^{j} \hat{\xi}_{R}^{0}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, ...$

and the Newton's sequence for Galerkin discretization is

(3.11)
$$\tilde{\xi}_{R}^{j+1} = \tilde{\xi}_{I}^{j} - \tilde{F}_{R}^{j} (\tilde{\xi}_{R})^{-1} \tilde{F}_{R}^{j} (\tilde{\xi}_{R})$$
, $\tilde{\xi}_{R}^{n} = \tilde{J}_{R}^{n} \tilde{\xi}_{R}^{n}$, $j = 0,1/12,...$

which is that of the practical interest.

Now, because

$$\begin{split} & \| L_{R}^{-1} \left(\widehat{F}_{R} \left(\widetilde{S}_{R} + \widetilde{\eta}_{R} \right) - \widetilde{F}_{R} \left(\widetilde{S}_{R} \right) - \widetilde{F}_{R} \left(\widetilde{S}_{R} \right) \widetilde{\eta}_{R} \right) \|_{R} \\ & = \| \widehat{F}_{R} \left(\widehat{S}_{R} + \widetilde{\eta}_{R} \right) - \widehat{F}_{R} \left(\widehat{S}_{R} \right) - \widehat{F}_{R} \left(\widehat{S}_{R} \right) \widetilde{\eta}_{R} \|_{R} \\ & = \| F_{R} \left(\widetilde{S}_{R} + \widetilde{\eta}_{R} \right) - F_{R} \left(\widetilde{S}_{R} \right) - F_{R} \left(\widetilde{S}_{R} \right) \widetilde{\eta}_{R} \|_{R} \\ & = \| F_{R} \left(\widetilde{S}_{R} + \widetilde{\eta}_{R} \right) - F_{R} \left(\widetilde{S}_{R} \right) - F_{R} \left(\widetilde{S}_{R} \right) \widetilde{\eta}_{R} \|_{R} \end{split}$$

 $F'_h(\tilde{\zeta}_h)$ is derivative Frechet iff $F'_h(\tilde{\zeta}_h)$ is derivative Frechet, iff $F'_h(\tilde{\zeta}_h)$ is derivative Frechet, the our model is consistent and because by an above observation, the matrix representation of $F'_h(\tilde{\zeta}_h)$ is the Galerkin matrix of $F'(\tilde{\zeta}_h)$, this model is natural.

Defining the following "energy"-inner product induced by the Gram matrix

(3.11)
$$\langle \tilde{\xi}_{R}, \tilde{\eta}_{R} \rangle_{G_{R}} := \langle G_{R} \tilde{\xi}_{R}, \tilde{\eta}_{R} \rangle_{R}$$

we give the following result:

THEOREM 3. (M.I.P. for Galerkin discretizations). In the hypotheses of theorem 1, with same h, M.I.P. holds for matrix representation of h-approximation, h < h, in the norm induced by Gramian. In the stronger formulation, for any E > 0,

$$(3.12) \quad | \text{ min } \{j \}_{0} \} \| \vec{u} - \vec{u}^{*} \| \angle \mathcal{E} \} - \text{ min } \{j \}_{0} \} \| \vec{3}_{k}^{j} - \vec{3}_{k}^{*} \| G_{k}^{k} \angle \mathcal{E} \} | \leq 1$$

Proof. By theorem 2, the Newton's method for h-approximation of (1.1) with starting point $P_h u^o := {0 \atop h}$ converges for $h < h_o$ and M.I.P. holds. Now by theorem 3, all estimations on S_h are automatically transferred with same constants on R^h for (3.10); hence

$$(3.13) \quad \|\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{k}^{j} - \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{k}^{*}\|_{k} = \|\mathbf{S}_{k}^{j} - \mathbf{S}_{k}^{*}\|$$

and (3.12) holds in Euclidean norm for $\{\{\}_h^i\}$.

Now, because

$$(3.14) \quad \|\hat{3}_{R}^{j} - \hat{3}_{R}^{*}\|_{R} = \|L_{R}^{*}(\tilde{3}_{R}^{j} - \tilde{3}_{R}^{*})\|_{R} = \|\tilde{3}_{R}^{j} - \tilde{3}_{R}^{*}\|_{G_{R}}$$

the Newton's method for Galerkin discretization converge to $\frac{1}{2}$ and M.I.P. holds in the G_h -norm.

4. An example on H^1_o . We wish to apply our model for P.D.E. equations. Let the following problem

(4.1)
$$f(u) := \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^2} - f(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}) = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{N} := (0, 1)$$

$$u(0) = u(1) = 0$$

whose variational formulation is in $H := H_0^1(\Omega)$, the Sobolev space equipped with the inner product involving only first derivative.

Assume that f is such that (4.1) verifies the Rheimboldt's hypotheses and u* is the unique solution for it. Then the variational formulation as well as the operator equation defined by this on $H^1_o(\mathcal{D})$, has the same solution $u^* \in H^2 \cap H^1_o$. Moreover, we assume that f is such that this operator equation, (1.1), verifies the Rheimboldt's hypotheses, eventually with modified constants. The following remark, that isn't

complicted to prove, is the support of our suppositions, and ensures that the Newton's sequence for (4.1) is same for variational formulation as well as for operator equation on H_0^1 .

REMARK 3. In the stated hypothesis of initial problem, holds in $\mathcal{H}^{1}_{o}(\Omega)$ the "commutativiy" between the Newton's process and the variational formulation: both ordering of their conducts at same equations in $\mathcal{H}^{1}_{o}(\Omega)$.

For $u^{O} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$, $u^{O}(0) = u^{O}(1) = 0$, the Newton's iterates of (4.1) are the unique solutions of the linear problems:

(4.2)
$$f'(u^{j})u^{j+1} = g(u^{j}) := f'(u^{j})u^{j} - f(u^{j})$$

 $u^{j+1}(0) = u^{j+1}(1) = 0$ $j = 0, 52,...$

Then, they are the unique solutions of the variational formulations associated to (4.2), and $u^{j+1} \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$.

Let
$$W = H_{(VL)}^{2} \cap H_{0}^{1}(D)$$
. We have,

 $\| u^{j} \|_{2}^{2} = \| u^{j} \|_{H^{2}(D)}^{2} = \| u^{j} \|_{H^{1}(D)}^{2} + \| \frac{2u^{j}}{9x^{2}} \|_{L^{2}(D)}^{2}$
 $\leq C \| u^{j} \|_{H^{2}(D)}^{2} + (\| f \|_{L^{2}(D)}^{2}) + \| f(u^{j}) \|_{L^{2}(D)}^{2})^{2}$

Hence, if $\|f(u)\|_{2(\mathfrak{A})} < C$ in the ball of convergence of Newton's method for \mathfrak{F} , and because \mathfrak{F} is so, then the suplimentary hypothesis of theorem 1 are satisfied, for every $u^{\circ} \in W \cap B^*(u^*, qr^*)$ with the constant C_g independent of u° .

Now, let S_h be sppanned by the linear piecewise family of functions corresponding to the uniform discretization of the domain \mathcal{D}_{\cdot} , of the mesh h(n+1)=1, $\phi_j(ih)=\mathcal{C}_{ij}$, i,j=1,...,n. For this polinomial basis of functions, the approximation property verifies ([3]):

$$G_{R} = \frac{1}{R} \begin{bmatrix} 2-1 \\ -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

3

with $\lambda_{\min}(G_h) = 2(1 - \cos \pi h)/h = h\pi^2 \theta_h^2$, where $\theta_h \to 1$, $h \to 0$. Notting that the norm on \mathbb{R}^n is weighted by $h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for the equivalence with L^2 -norm, we have:

$$\begin{split} \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_{6}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} &:= \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_{6}\|_{6} \\ & \leq (\beta / \lambda_{min}(6_{9}))^{1/2} \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_{6}\|_{6_{9}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi \theta_{6}} \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_{6}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega_{2})} \leq \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_{6}\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega_{2})} \end{split}$$

for h sufficiently small. Then, the M.I.P holds in the \mathbb{R}^n -norm, for Galerkin discretization, because:

We remark that this model can easily be extended to nonlinear equations with the linear part an elliptic P.D.E operator on multidimensional domain.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ADAM, "Mesh independence for Galerkin approach using the Choleski factors of the Gram matrix as preconditioners", Preprint Series in Mathematics, INCREST, no. 48/1986 to appear in Int. J. Computer Math..
- [2] E.L. ALLGOWER, K. BOHMER, F.A. POTRA, W.C. RHEINBOLDT, "A mech-independence principle for operator equations and their discretizations", SIAM J. Numer. Anal. Vol. 23, No.1/1986.
- [3] P.G. CIARLET, The finite element method for elliptic problem, North-Holland Publ. Co. '78.
- [4] L.V. KANTOROVICH, G.P. AKILOV, "Functional analysis", Nauka, Moskva 1977, (in Russian).