

INSTITUTUL  
DE  
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL  
PENTRU CREATIE  
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

SUBORDINATIONS IN EXCESSIVE STRUCTURES.  
COMPRESSIONS IN H-CONES

by

N. BOBOC and Gh. BUCUR

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 12/1990

BUCURESTI

SUBORDINATIONS IN EXCESSIVE STRUCTURES.

COMPRESSIONS IN H-CONES

by

N. BOBOC<sup>\*)</sup> and Gh. BUCUR<sup>\*\*)</sup>

March, 1990

<sup>\*)</sup> Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei No. 14,  
70109 Bucharest, Romania.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> Department of Mathematics, INCREST, Bd. Pacii 220, 79622 Bucharest,  
Romania.

# SUBORDINATIONS IN EXCESSIVE STRUCTURES. COMPRESSIONS IN

## H-CONES

N. BOBOC and G. BUCUR

We give an analytic proof of the following result from the theory of Markov processes: If  $Y, Y'$  are two standard processes such that the hitting distributions of  $Y$  are dominated by the hitting distributions of  $Y'$  then  $Y'$  is obtained from a random time change in a subprocess of  $Y$  (see [14]).

If  $\mathcal{V} = (V_\alpha)_{\alpha > 0}$  is a proper resolvent on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{B})$   $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{V}$  is the set of all  $\mathcal{V}$ -excessive functions on  $X$  which are finite  $\mathcal{V}$ -a.s. and if  $P$  is a proper kernel on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  such that  $Pf \in \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{V}$  for any positive measurable function  $f$  with  $Pf < \infty$  then (see [5]) the convex cone

$$\{ s \in \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{V} \mid P s \in \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{V}, s \}$$

coincides with the set of all excessive functions with respect to a new resolvent  $\mathcal{V}^P$  whose initial kernel  $V_0^P$  is given by

$$V_0^P = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n V_0$$

The same result may be obtained if  $P$  is only a map

$$P: \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\mathcal{V}$$

which is additive,  $P s \in \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{V}$  if  $P s < \infty$   $\mathcal{V}$ -a.s.

$$s \leq t \Rightarrow P s \leq P t \quad \text{if } P t < \infty \quad \mathcal{V} \text{-a.s.}$$



$$S_n \uparrow S \Rightarrow P S_n \uparrow P S$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v$  is the set of all  $v$ -excessive functions on  $X$ .

In the first part of the present paper we develop a similar construction starting with an abstract H-cone  $S$  instead of  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_v$  and with a map (called compression operator on  $S$ )

$$P: D(P) \rightarrow S$$

where  $D(P)$  is a solid convex subcone of  $S$  and such that  $P$  is additive,

$$s \leq t \Rightarrow P s \leq_S P t$$

and

$$s_i \uparrow s \Rightarrow P s_i \uparrow P s.$$

Then we prove that the convex cone

$$S' := \{ s \in D(P) \mid P s \leq_S s \}$$

is also an H-cone such that

$$\begin{aligned} F \subset S' &\Rightarrow \bigwedge_S F \in S' \\ F \subset S' &\Rightarrow \bigwedge_S F \in S' \end{aligned}$$

and for any upper directed and dominated family  $F \subset S'$  we have

$$\bigvee_S F \in S'$$



Also if,  $B$  is a balayage on  $S$  then the map  $B': S' \rightarrow S'$  defined by

$$B's = \bigwedge \{ t \in S' \mid t \geq Bs \}$$

is a balayage on  $S'$  and we have

$$Bf \leq B'f \quad (\forall) f \in (S' - S')_+.$$

A sub H-cone  $S'$  of  $S$  for which this last relation is fulfilled is termed a compression of  $S$ . In the second part of this paper we give some supplementary conditions such that any compression  $S'$  of  $S$  may be obtained with the aid of a compression operator  $P$  on  $S$  as above.

More precisely we start with two standard H-cones of functions  $S$  and  $S'$  on a set  $X$  such that; a)  $S' \subset S$ ; b) the natural topology on  $X$  associated with  $S'$  is greater than the natural topology on  $X$  associated with  $S$  c) there exists a strictly positive potential on  $X$  with respect to  $S'$ . d) Any absorbant point of  $X$  with respect to  $S$  is also absorbent with respect to  $S'$ . We denote for any balayage  $B$  on  $S$ , by  $B'$  the corresponding balayage on  $S'$  (i.e.  $B's = \bigwedge \{ t \in S' \mid t \geq Bs \}$  for any  $s \in S'$ ).

In this frame we prove that there exists a compression operator  $P$  on  $S$  such that

$$S' = \{ s \in D(P) \mid P_s \leq_S s \}$$

If for any balayage  $B$  on  $S$  and any  $f \in (S' - S')_+$  we have

$$Bf \leq B'f$$

It is known that in the previous conditions we may construct two strong Ray processes  $Y$  and  $Y'$  on  $X$  such that  $S$  (resp.  $S'$ ) becomes the set (resp.  $S'$ ) of all excessive functions on  $X$  with respect to  $Y$  (resp.  $Y'$ ) (see [7]).

The condition

$$Bf \leq B'f \quad (\forall) \quad f \in (S' - S)_+$$

is equivalent with the fact that hitting distributions with respect to  $Y$  are dominated by the hitting distributions with respect to  $Y'$ . The relation

$$S' = \{ s \in D(P) \mid P_s \preceq_S s \}$$

is equivalent with the fact that  $Y$  is obtained from a subprocess of  $Y'$  using a random time change.

In this way we give an analytic treatment of a well known problem considered by Sur ([5], [6]), Shih ([14]) and others ([2], [3], [14], [17]).

We mention also a particular analytic approach ([9], [10], [11]) considered for the case when  $S$  and  $S'$  satisfy the supplementary condition of natural sheaf property (or equivalent when the processes  $Y$  and  $Y'$  are continuous).

Throughout this paper  $S$  will be a general H-cone ([4]). We use  $\leq$  for the natural order in  $S$  and  $\wedge, \vee$  for the lattice operations with respect to this order relation. Also we denote by  $\preceq_S$  (or more precisely  $\preceq_S$ ) the specific order relation in  $S$  and by  $\wedge, \vee$  the lattice operations with respect to this order relation. The order relations  $\leq, \preceq_S$  are extended naturally in the linear vector space  $S-S$  generated by  $S$ .

If  $f \in S-S$  we remember that  $Rf = R^S f$  means the reduite of  $f$  with respect to  $S$  i.e.



$$Rf = \bigwedge \{s \in S \mid s \geq f\},$$

and if  $f=s-t$ ,  $s, t \in S$  then we have

$$Rf \leq s.$$

# 1. Compression operators on an H-cone

Definition. A map  $P$  defined on a convex subcone  $D(P)$  of  $S$ , which is solid with respect to the natural order on  $S$  is called a compression operator in  $S$  if we have

- a)  $P(s_1+s_2) = Ps_1 + Ps_2 \quad (\forall) s_1, s_2 \in D(P)$
- b)  $s_1 \leq s_2 \Rightarrow Ps_1 \leq Ps_2 \quad (\forall) s_1, s_2 \in D(P)$
- c)  $(Ps_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow Ps$  for any  $s \in D(P)$  and an increasing sequence  $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $D(P)$  such that  $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow s$ .

Definition. Let  $P: D(P) \rightarrow S$  be a compression operator in  $S$ . An element  $s \in D(P)$  is termed P-excessive if  $Ps \leq s$ . The set of all P-excessive elements of  $D(P)$  will be denoted by  $S(P)$ .

Proposition 1.1. For any compression operator  $P$  in  $S$  the set  $S(P)$  is a convex subcone of  $S$  such that

- a)  $\bigwedge_S F \in S(P) \quad (\forall) F \subset S(P)$
- b)  $\bigvee_S F \in S(P)$  for any upper directed and dominated family  $F$  in  $S(P)$ .



Proof. a) If  $F \subset S(P)$  then  $F \subset D(P)$  and therefore  $\bigwedge_S F \in D(P)$ .

We have

$$P(\bigwedge_S F) \leq P s \leq s \quad (\forall) s \in F$$

and therefore

$$P(\bigwedge_S F) \leq \bigwedge_S F, \quad \bigwedge_S F \in S(P)$$

b) Suppose that  $F \subset S(P)$  is upper directed and dominated by  $s_0 \in S(P)$ . We have

$$\bigvee_S F \leq s_0, \quad \forall F \in D(P),$$

$$P(\bigvee_S F) = \bigvee_{s \in F} P s \leq \bigvee_{s \in F} s, \\ \bigvee_S F \in S(P) \quad \bigvee_{s \in F} s$$

Corollary 1.2. Let  $P$  be a compression operator in  $S$  and let  $\leq'$  be an order relation on  $S$  such that  $(S, \leq')$  is an H-cone and such that

$$s \leq' t \Rightarrow s \leq t, \quad s \leq t \Rightarrow s \leq' t,$$

for any  $s, t \in S$ . Then we have

$$a) \quad \bigwedge_{(S, \leq')} F \in S(P) \quad (\forall) \quad F \subset S(P)$$

b)  $\bigvee_{(S, \leq')} F \in S(P)$  for any upper directed and dominated family  $F$  in  $(S(P), \leq')$ .

Corollary 1.3. Let  $P$  be a compression operator in  $S$ . Then we have

- a)  $\bigcup F \in S(P)$  (v)  $F \subset S(P)$   
 b)  $\bigvee F \in S(P)$  for any upper directed and dominated family  $F$  in  $(S(P), \leq)$ .

Proposition 1.4. If for any  $f, g \in S-S$  we put

$$f \wedge g =: g - R(g - f), \quad f \vee g = f + R(g - f)$$

then we have

a)  $f \wedge g \leq f, f \wedge g \leq g,$

$$f \wedge g = \bigvee \{ h \in S-S / h \leq f, h \leq g \}$$

$$(f+h) \wedge (g+h) = (f \wedge g) + h \quad (\forall) \quad h \in S-S$$

$$u \in S-S, u \leq f, u \leq g \Rightarrow u \leq f \wedge g$$

b)  $f \vee g \geq f, f \vee g \geq g,$

$$f \vee g = \bigwedge \{ h \in S-S / h \geq f, h \geq g \}$$

$$(f+h) \vee (g+h) = (f \vee g) + h$$

$$u \in S-S, u \geq f, u \geq g \Rightarrow u \geq f \vee g.$$

c)  $f \wedge g + f \vee g = f + g$

d)  $f, g \in S \Rightarrow f \wedge g$  and  $f \vee g \in S.$

Proof. The assertion c) is obvious. The assertion d) follows from the definitions of  $f \wedge g$  and  $f \vee g$  using the fact that



$$f, g \in S \Rightarrow R(g-f) \not\leq g$$

a) Since  $R(g-f) \in S$  we have  $f \wedge g \not\leq g$ . Since  $g-f \leq R(g-f)$  it follows that  $f \wedge g \leq f$ .

Let now  $h \in S-S$  be such that  $h \leq f$ ,  $h \not\leq g$ .

We have  $g-h \in S$ ,  $g-h \geq g-f$  and therefore

$$g-h \geq R(g-f),$$

$$f \wedge g = g - R(g-f) \geq h.$$

The relation

$$(f+h) \wedge (g+h) = (f \wedge g) + h$$

follows just from the definition of the element  $(f+h) \wedge (g+h)$ .

Let now  $u \in S-S$  be such that  $u \not\leq f, u \not\leq g$  and let  $s, t \in S$  be such that

$$f = u + s, \quad g = u + t$$

We have

$$f \wedge g = (u+t) - R(t-s) \not\leq u.$$

b) The relations

$$f \vee g \geq g, \quad f \vee g \not\leq f$$

follows from the relations

$$R(g-f) \geq g-f, \quad R(g-f) \in S.$$



Let  $h \in S-S$  be such that  $h \succcurlyeq g$ ,  $h \not\prec f$ . We have

$$h-f \in S, \quad h-f \succcurlyeq g-f$$

and therefore

$$h-f \succcurlyeq R(g-f)$$

$$h \succcurlyeq f + R(g-f) = f \vee g$$

The relation

$$(h+f) \vee (h+g) = h + (f \vee g)$$

follows just from the definition of  $(h+f) \vee (h+g)$ .

Let now  $u \in S-S$  be such that

$$u \not\prec f, u \succcurlyeq g$$

and let  $s, t \in S$  be such that

$$u = f + s, \quad u = g + t.$$

We have  $g-f = s-t$ ,

$$R(g-f) = R(s-t) \prec s$$

and therefore

$$f \vee g = f + R(g-f) = (u-s) + R(s-t) \prec u.$$

Lemma 1.5. If  $P$  is a compression operator in  $S$  then any  $s \in S(P)$ ,  $t \in S$  such that

$$Ps \preceq t \leq s$$

we have  $t \in S(P)$ .

Proof. We have

$$Pt \preceq Ps \preceq t, \quad t \in S(P).$$

Lemma 1.6. If  $P$  is a compression operator in  $S$  then for any  $s, t \in S(P)$  we have

$$s \wedge (Ps + t - Pt) \in S(P).$$

Proof. Since  $Pt \preceq t$  we deduce, using Proposition 1.4, d), that the element

$$u := s \wedge (Ps + t - Pt)$$

belong to  $S$ . On the other hand since  $Ps \preceq s$  we get, using Proposition 1.4, a)

$$Ps \preceq u \leq s$$

The assertion follows now from the previous lemma

Theorem 1.7. If  $P$  is a compression operator in  $S$  then for any  $s, t \in S(P)$  we have

$$R^{S(P)}(s-t) = \bigvee s_n$$

where  $(s_n)_n$  is the sequence from  $D(P)$  inductively defined by

$$s_1 = (Ps - Pt) \bigvee (s-t), \quad s_{n+1} = Ps_n \bigvee s_n$$

Moreover, we have

$$s - R^{S(P)}(s-t) \in S(P).$$

Proof. Obviously we may suppose that  $t \leq s$ . With the above notations we show inductively that we have, for  $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &\in D(P), \quad s_n \preceq s, \\ Ps + s_n &\preceq s + Ps_n, \\ s_n &\in S. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if we put

$$s_0 = s - t$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 + t &= s, \quad s_0 \preceq s, \\ s_0 + Pt &\preceq s_0 + t = s. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Ps_0 := Ps - Pt \in S$  we deduce that

$$s_1 := Ps_0 + R(s_0 - Ps_0) \in S.$$



On the other hand we have, using Proposition 4,

$$Ps+s_1=Ps+Ps_0 \vee s_0=(Ps+Ps_0) \vee (Ps+s_0),$$

$$Ps+Ps_0 \preceq s+Ps_1, \quad Ps+s_0=Ps_0+Pt+s_0 \preceq Ps_1+s$$

Hence from Proposition 1.4, b) we get

$$Ps+s_1 \preceq Ps_1+s, \quad s_1 \preceq s.$$

We suppose now that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$s_n \preceq s, \quad Ps+s_n \preceq Ps_n+s$$

Obviously the element  $s_{n+1} := Ps_n \vee s_n$  belongs to  $S$ ,  $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$  and therefore

$$Ps+s_{n+1}=(Ps+Ps_n) \vee (Ps+s_n) \preceq s+Ps_{n+1}$$

On the other hand since  $s_n \preceq s$  we get  $Ps_n \preceq s$  and therefore, using again Proposition 1.4, b), we get

$$s_{n+1} \preceq s$$

Since the sequence  $(s_n)_n$  from  $D(P)$  is increasing and dominated by  $s \in D(P)$  we deduce that the element  $r := \bigvee_n s_n$  belongs to  $D(P)$  and we have

$$Pr = \bigvee_n Ps_n \preceq \bigvee_n s_{n+1}, \quad r \in S(P), \quad r \preceq s.$$

Now from the relations

$$Ps + s_n \leq s + Ps_n \quad (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}$$

we get

$$Ps + r \leq s + Pr$$

i.e.

$$P(s-r) \leq s-r, \quad s-r \in S(P).$$

Obviously  $r \geq s_0$  and therefore

$$r \geq R^{S(P)}(s-t)$$

Let now  $v \in S(P)$  be such that  $v \geq s_0 = s-t$ . By induction one can verify that

$$v \geq s_n \quad (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and therefore  $v \geq r$ . Hence

$$r = R^{S(P)}(s-t).$$

Theorem 1.8. Let  $P: D(P) \rightarrow S$  be a compression operator in  $S$ . Then  $S(P)$  is an H-cone with respect to the order relation on  $S(P)$  induced by the natural order of  $S$ .

Proof. The assertion follows from Proposition 1.1 and Theorem 1.7.

Corollary 1.9. Let  $P:D(P) \rightarrow S$  be a compression operator in  $S$ . Then  $S(P)$  is an H-cone with respect <sup>to</sup> any order relation on  $S(P)$  induced by an order relation  $\leq'$  on  $S$  for which

$$s \leq t \Rightarrow s \leq' t, \quad s \leq' t \Rightarrow s \leq t \quad (\forall) s, t \in S$$

and such that  $(S, \leq')$  is an H-cone.

Particularly  $S(P)$  is an H-cone with respect to the order relation on  $S(P)$  induced by the specific order on  $S$ .

Theorem 1.10. Let

$$P:D(P) \rightarrow S$$

$$Q:D(Q) \rightarrow S$$

be two compression operators in  $S$ . We denote by  $Q_p$  the map

$$Q_p:D(Q_p) \rightarrow S(P)$$

defined by

$$D(Q_p) = \left\{ s \in S(P) \cap D(Q) \mid Qs \in \bigcap_n D(P^n), \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n Qs \in D(P) \right\}$$

$$Q_p s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n Qs.$$



and by  $P+Q$  the map

$$P+Q: D(P) \cap D(Q) \longrightarrow S$$

defined by

$$(P + Q)s = Ps + Qs$$

Then  $P+Q$  is a compression operator in  $S$ ,  $Q_P$  is a compression operator in  $S(P)$  and we have

$$S(P)(Q_P) = S(P+Q)$$

Proof. For any  $s \in D(P) \cap D(Q)$  we have

$$(P+Q)s \preceq s \implies Ps \preceq s, \quad Qs \preceq s$$

and therefore

$$S(P+Q) \subset S(P) \cap S(Q).$$

Obviously  $P+Q$  is a compression operator in  $S$ .

If  $s \in D(Q_P)$  then  $Q_P s \in D(P)$ ,

$$Qs + P(Q_P s) = Q_P s,$$

$$P(Q_P s) \preceq Q_P s$$

and therefore  $Q_P s \in S(P)$ . On the other hand if  $s_1, s_2 \in D(Q_P)$ ,  $s_1 \leq s_2$  then we have

$$Q_p s_2 - Q_p s_1 = Q s_2 - Q s_1 + P(Q_p s_2 - Q_p s_1)$$

and since  $Q s_2 - Q s_1 \in S$  we get

$$Q_p s_2 - Q_p s_1 \in S$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P(Q_p s_2 - Q_p s_1) &\preceq Q_p s_2 - Q_p s_1 \\ Q_p s_2 - Q_p s_1 &\in S(P). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $Q_p$  is a compression operator in  $S(P)$ .

Let  $s \in S(P+Q)$ . We have

$$s \in D(P) \cap D(Q), \quad (P+Q)s \preceq s,$$

and therefore

$$P \wedge \preceq s, \quad Q \wedge \preceq s$$

We deduce inductively

$$\sum_{k=0}^n P^k Q s \preceq s$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q_p \wedge &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n Q \wedge \preceq s \\ Q_p s &\in D(P), \quad s \in D(Q_p), \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(1-P)Q_p s = Qs$$

and therefore

$$(1-P)(1-Q_p)s = (1-(P+Q))s \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s - Q_p s &\in S(P), \\ s &\in S(P)(Q_p). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if  $s \in S(P)(Q_p)$  then

$$s \in S(P), \quad s \in D(Q),$$

and from

$$0 \leq (1-P)(1-Q_p)s = (1-(P+Q))s$$

we get

$$s \in S(P+Q).$$

Lemma 1.11. Let  $P$  be a compression operator in  $S$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subset of  $S$  such that there exists  $s \in S(P)$  with the property  $f \leq s - Ps$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then the set

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) := \{t \in S(P) \mid f \leq t - Pt \quad (\forall) \quad f \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

has a smallest element  $t_{\mathcal{A}}$  and moreover we have



$$\bigwedge_{s \in S} \{t - Pt \mid t \in \mathcal{M}(A)\} = t_A - Pt_A$$

Proof. With the above notations we put

$$t_A := \bigwedge_s \{t \mid t \in \mathcal{M}(A)\}$$

Since for any  $f \in A$  and any  $t \in \mathcal{M}(A)$  we have

$$f \leq t - Pt \leq t - Pt_A,$$

we deduce that

$$f \leq t_A - Pt_A \quad (\forall) f \in A$$

and therefore

$$t_A \in \mathcal{M}(A).$$

If  $t \in \mathcal{M}(A)$  we put

$$v := (t - Pt) \wedge (t_A - Pt_A) + Pt_A$$

Obviously  $v \in S$  and moreover

$$Pt_A \vee v \leq t_A.$$

From Lemma 1.5. we deduce  $v \in S(P)$ . On the other hand for any  $f \in A$  we have

$$f \leq v - Pt_A \leq v - Pv.$$

Hence  $v \in \mathcal{M}(A)$  and therefore  $v = t_A$  i.e.

$$t_A - Pt_A = v - Pt_A \leq t - Pt \quad (\forall) \quad t \in \mathcal{M}(A)$$

$$t_A - Pt_A = \bigwedge_{S=S} \{t - Pt \mid t \in \mathcal{M}(A)\}$$

Theorem 1.12. Let  $P: D(P) \rightarrow S$  be a compression operator in  $S$ . Then for any  $u \in D(P)$  and any  $s \in S(P)$  there exists  $t \in S(P)$  such that

$$u \wedge (s - Ps) = t - Pt$$

Proof. We put

$$B := \{t \in S(P) \mid u \wedge (s - Ps) \leq t - Pt\}$$

From the previous lemma the element

$$t_0 := \bigwedge B$$

belongs to  $B$ . We want to show that

$$u \wedge (s - Ps) = t_0 - Pt_0$$

Since  $s \in B$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &\leq s, \\ u \wedge (s - Ps) &\leq t_0 - Pt_0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$s - Ps + Pt_0 \in D(P)$$

$$Pt_0 \leq (u + Pt_0) \wedge (s - Ps + Pt_0) \wedge t_0 \leq t_0$$

and therefore, using Lemma 1.5, we get

$$v := (u + Pt_0) \wedge (s - Ps + Pt_0) \wedge t_0 \in S(P).$$

From this relation we deduce

$$v \leq t_0,$$

$$u \wedge (s - Ps) \wedge (t_0 - Pt_0) = v - Pt_0 \leq v - Pv$$

$$u \wedge (s - Ps) = u \wedge (s - Ps) \wedge (t_0 - Pt_0) \leq v - Pv,$$

$$t_0 \leq v, \quad t_0 = v.$$

Hence

$$(u + Pt_0) \wedge (s - Ps + Pt_0) \geq t_0,$$

$$u \wedge (s - Ps) \geq t_0 - Pt_0,$$

$$u \wedge (s - Ps) = t_0 - Pt_0$$

Corollary 1.13. For any compression operator  $P$  in  $S$  the set

$$\{ s - Ps \mid s \in S(P) \}$$

is a solid convex subcone of  $S$ . Particularly, it is an  $H$ -cone with respect to the natural order induced by  $S$ .

Proposition 1.14. Let  $P$  be a compression operator in  $S$ . Then for any pseudo balayage  $B$  on  $S$  the map

$$B' : S(P) \rightarrow S(P)$$



defined by

$$B's = \bigwedge \{ t \in S(P) \mid t \geq B_s \}$$

is a pseudobalayage on  $S(P)$  and verifies the relations

$$BB's = B_s \quad (\forall) s \in S(P)$$

$$B's - B_s = P(B's) - BP(B's) \quad (\forall) s \in S(P)$$

Moreover if  $B$  is a balayage on  $S$  then  $B'$  is also a balayage on  $S(P)$ .

Proof. From the definition we have

$$B_s \leq B's \leq s$$

$$s \leq t \Rightarrow B's \leq B't,$$

$$B'(s+t) \leq B's + B't,$$

for any  $s, t \in S(P)$ . Since  $B$  is a pseudo balayage on  $S$  then we get, using the preceding relations,

$$BB's = B_s \quad B'B's = B's \quad (\forall) s \in S(P).$$

For any  $s, t \in S(P)$  let  $s', t' \in S(P)$  be such that

$$B'(s+t) = s' + t', \quad s' \leq B's, \quad t' \leq B't.$$

Since we have

$$B(s+t) = B(B'(s+t)) = B_s' + B_t' \leq BB's + BB't \leq B_s + B_t$$

we get  $Bs' = Bs$ ,  $Bt' = Bt$  and therefore

$$s' \geq B's, \quad t' \geq B't,$$

$$s' = B's, \quad t' = B't, \quad B'(s+t) = B's + B't.$$

Hence  $B'$  is a pseudobalayage on  $S(P)$ .

On the other hand, we have, for any  $s \in S(P)$ ,

$$B(s - Ps) \leq s - Ps$$

$$Ps + B(s - Ps) \in S,$$

$$Ps \leq Ps + B(s - Ps) \leq s$$

and therefore, from Lemma 4,

$$Ls := Ps + B(s - Ps) \in S(P).$$

Since, for any  $s \in S(P)$  we have  $Ls \geq Bs$  we deduce, from the definition of  $B'$ ,

$$B's \leq Ls \leq s$$

and therefore

$$LB's = B's,$$

or equivalently

$$B's - Bs = B's - BB's = PB's - BPB's.$$

Suppose now that  $B$  is a balayage on  $S$  and let  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  be an increasing family from  $S(P)$  with

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} s_i = s.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{i \in I} B's_i &\leq B's, \\ \bigvee_{i \in I} B's_i &\geq \bigvee_{i \in I} Bs_i = Bs \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, using the definition of  $B'$

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{i \in I} B's_i &\geq B's, \\ \bigvee_{i \in I} B's_i &= B's. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $B'$  is also a balayage on  $S(P)$ .

Corollary 1.15. Let  $P$  be a compression operator in  $S$ ,  $B$  be a pseudobalayage on  $S$  and let  $B'$  be the pseudo-balayage on  $S(P)$  associated with  $B$  as in the preceding proposition. Then we have

$$f \in (S(P) - S(P))_+ \Rightarrow B'f - Bf \in S_B.$$

Proof. We have

$$B'f \in (S(P) - S(P))_+, \quad P(B'f) \in S$$

and therefore

$$B'f - Bf = PB'f - BPB'f \in S_B.$$



## 2. Compression of an H-cone

Definition. Let  $S$  be an H-cone. A convex subcone  $S'$  of  $S$  is called an H-subcone if  $S'$  is an H-cone with respect to the order relation induced by the natural order of  $S$  and moreover

$$a) \bigwedge_{S'} F = \bigwedge_S F \text{ for any non-empty subset } F \text{ of } S'$$

$$b) \bigvee_{S'} F = \bigvee_S F \text{ for any non empty upper directed and dominated subset } F \text{ of } S'.$$

If the sequel we mark by  $\wedge, \vee$  the lattice operations with respect to the specific order  $\leq$  on  $S$  given by  $S$ .

Theorem 2.1. Let  $S$  be an H-cone and let  $S'$  be an H-subcone of  $S$ . Then for any psuedo-balayage  $B$  on  $S$  the map

$$B': S' \rightarrow S'$$

defined by

$$B's = \bigwedge \{ t \in S' \mid t \geq Bs \}$$

is a pseudobalayage on  $S'$ . In fact  $B'$  is the smallest pseudobalayage on  $S'$  such that

$$B's \geq Bs \quad (\forall) s \in S'$$

or equivalently

$$BB's = Bs \quad (\forall) s \in S'$$

Moreover if  $B$  is a balayage on  $S$  then  $B'$  is also a balayage on  $S'$ .

Proof. From the definition we have

$$s \geq B's \geq Bs, \quad BB's = Bs \quad (\forall) s \in S'$$

$$B'(B's) = B's \quad (\forall) s \in S'$$

$$B's_1 \leq B's_2 \quad (\forall) s_1, s_2 \in S', \quad s_1 \leq s_2$$

$$B'(s_1 + s_2) \leq B's_1 + B's_2 \quad (\forall) s_1, s_2 \in S'.$$

Since  $S'$  is an H-cone it follows that for any  $s_1, s_2 \in S'$  there exists  $u_1, u_2 \in S'$  with

$$u_1 + u_2 = B'(s_1 + s_2), \quad u_1 \leq B's_1, \quad u_2 \leq B's_2$$

From these relation we get

$$Bu_1 + Bu_2 = B(u_1 + u_2) = BB'(s_1 + s_2) = B(s_1 + s_2) = Bs_1 + Bs_2$$

$$Bu_1 \leq BB's_1 = Bs_1, \quad Bu_2 \leq BB's_2 = Bs_2$$

and therefore

$$u_i \geq Bu_i = Bs_i, \quad i=1, 2,$$

$$u_i \geq B's_i, \quad i=1, 2.$$

Hence

$$u_i = B's_i, \quad i=1, 2,$$

$$B'(s_1 + s_2) = B's_1 + B's_2.$$

Let now  $T$  be a pseudobalayage on  $S'$  such that

$$Ts \geq Bs \quad (\forall) s \in S'$$

Then, from the definition of  $B'$ , we get

$$Ts \geq B's, \quad (\forall) s \in S'.$$

Suppose now that  $B$  is a balayage on  $S$  and let  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  be an increasing and dominated family of  $S'$  and

$$s = \bigvee_{i \in I} s_i.$$

We have obviously

$$B's \geq \bigvee_{i \in I} B's_i.$$

Since

$$B's_i \geq Bs_i \quad (\forall) i \in I$$

we get

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} B's_i \geq \bigvee_{i \in I} Bs_i = Bs$$

and therefore

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} B's_i \geq B's.$$

Hence  $B'$  is a balayage on  $S'$ .

Remark. 1. Whenever we will use the pseudo-balayage  $B'$  we call it the pseudo-balayage on  $S'$  associated to the pseudo-balayage  $B$ .

2. If  $S$  is represented as an  $H$ -cone of functions on a set  $X$  and  $A$  is a subbasic subset of  $X$  with respect to  $S$  then  $A$  is also a subbasic subset of  $X$  with respect to  $S'$  and the balayage on  $S'$



associated with  $B^A$  (i.e.  $(B^A)'$ ) coincides with the balayage on  $S'$  given by

$$(B')^A s = \bigwedge \{ t \in S' \mid t \geq s \text{ on } A \}$$

3. Generally, if  $f \in (S' - S')_+$  and  $B_f$  is the balayage on  $S$  given by ( [4] )

$$s \in S \Rightarrow B_f s = \bigwedge \{ t \in S \mid t \geq s \wedge n f \quad (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

then the balayage on  $S'$  associated with  $B_f$  (i.e.  $B'_f$ ) coincides with the balayage on  $S'$  given by

$$s \in S' \Rightarrow B'_f s = \bigwedge \{ t \in S' \mid t \geq s \wedge n f \quad (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

Definition. An H-subcone  $S'$  of  $S$  is called a compression of  $S$  if for any balayage  $B$  on  $S$  the balayage  $B'$  on  $S'$  associated with  $B$  is such that

$$B' f \geq B f \quad (\forall) f \in (S' - S')_+$$

Remark. If  $P$  is a compression operator on  $S$  then the H-cone  $S(P)$  is a compression of  $S$ . Moreover for any pseudo-balayage  $B$  on  $S$  we have

$$B' s - B s = P B' s - B P B' s \quad (\forall) s \in S'$$

where  $B'$  is the pseudo-balayage on  $S'$  associated with  $B$  (see Proposition 1.14)

Proposition 2.2. Let  $S'$  be a compression of  $S$ . Then for any balayage  $B$  on  $S$  and any  $s \in S'$  there exists  $t \in S$  such that

$$s - B's = t - Bt$$

where  $B'$  is the balayage on  $S'$  associated with  $B$ .

Proof. Let  $S_B$  be the H-cone ([4]) of all elements of the form

$$t - Bt, \quad t \in S.$$

We remark that

$$s - B's = (s - Bs) - (B's - BB's)$$

i.e.  $s - B's$  is of the form

$$s - B's = u - v$$

where  $u, v \in S_B$ . To prove that  $u - v \in S_B$  is equivalent to show that

$$T(u - v) \leq u - v$$

for any balayage  $T$  on  $S_B$ . Let now  $T$  be a balayage on  $S_B$ . One can see that the map

$$s \mapsto T(s - Bs) + Bs$$

is a balayage  $B_1$  on  $S$ ,  $B_1 s \geq Bs$  ( $\forall$ )  $s \in S$ . We have

$$Tf = B_1 f \quad (\forall) f \in S_B$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T(s - B's) &= Tu - Tv = B_1 u - B_1 v = B_1 (u - v) = \\ &= B_1 (s - B's) \leq B'_1 (s - B's) = \\ &= B'_1 s - B's \leq s - B's. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.3. Let  $S'$  be a sub H-cone of  $S$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- For any  $f \in (S' - S')_+$  and any balayage  $B$  on  $S$  we have  $B'sf \geq Bf$ .
2. For any  $f \in (S' - S')_+$  and any balayage  $B$  on  $S$  we have  $B'sf - Bf \in S_B$ .
3. For  $f \in (S' - S')_+$ ,  $t \in S'$ ,  $f \leq t$  and for any balayage  $B$  on  $S$  we have

$$B'sf - Bf \leq t.$$

4. For any finite family  $(f)_{i \in I}$  in  $(S' - S')_+$  any family  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  of balayages on  $S$  and for any  $t \in S'$  with  $\sum_{i \in I} B'_i f_i \leq t$  we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} (B'_i f_i - B_i f_i) \leq t.$$

Proof. The assertions 2)  $\Rightarrow$  1), 4)  $\Rightarrow$  3) are obvious.

1)  $\Rightarrow$  2) Let  $f \in (S' - S')_+$  and let  $B$  be a balayage on  $S$ . For any balayage  $T$  on  $S_B$  there exists a balayage  $B_1$  on  $S$ ,  $B_1 \geq B$  such that

$$T(u - Bu) = B_1 u - Bu \quad (\forall) u \in S.$$

We have



$$\begin{aligned} T(B'f - Bf) &= T(B'f - BB'f) = B_1 B'f - BB'f \leq \\ &\leq B_1 B'f - Bf = B'f - Bf \end{aligned}$$

and therefore,  $T$  being arbitrary,

$$B'f - Bf \in S_B.$$

2)  $\Rightarrow$  3) From Proposition 2.2 it follows that there exists  $u \in S$  such that

$$t - B't = u - Bu.$$

On the other hand from 2) there exists  $v \in S$  such that

$$B'(t-f) - B(t-f) = v - Bv.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} t - (B'f - Bf) &= (t - B't) + (B'(t-f) - B(t-f)) + Bt = \\ &= (u+v) - B(u+v) + Bt \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(u+v) - B(u+v) \leq t - Bt$$

it follows that

$$u+v - B(u+v) + Bt \in S,$$

$$t - (B'f - Bf) \in S, \quad B'f - Bf \leq t$$

3)  $\Rightarrow$  1) Let  $f \in (S' - S')_+$ ,  $f = t - s$  where  $s, t \in S'$  and

$$s \leq t.$$

We have

$$B's \leq B't$$

and therefore, from 3), we get

$$B's - Bs = B'(B's) - B(B's) \leq B't$$

If  $u \in S$  the element of  $S$  such that

$$B's - Bs + u = B't$$

we deduce that

$$Bu = BB't = Bt$$

and therefore

$$B't - Bt = B's - Bs + u - Bu \geq B's - Bs,$$

$$B'f \geq Bf$$

3)  $\Rightarrow$  4) The assertion from 4) will be proved inductively following the cardinal of  $I$ . If card  $I = 1$  the assertion from 4) is just the assertion 3). Suppose that the assertion from 4) is true for any  $I$  with card  $I = n$  and let  $I$  be such that card  $I = n + 1$ .

For any  $i \in I$  we denote

$$u_i := t + \sum_{j \in I \setminus \{i\}} (B_j f_j - B_j^i f_j)$$

and we put

$$f := t + \sum_{i \in I} (B_i f_i - B_i^i f_i)$$

$$u := \bigwedge_{i \in I} u_i.$$

Obviously we have

$$f \leq u.$$

We want to show that  $f = R^S f$  or equivalently

$$\alpha R^S f \leq f \quad (\forall) \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and let us denote

$$g := f - f \wedge (\alpha R^S f) \neq 0.$$

We consider the balayage  $B$  on  $S$  defined by (see [4])

$$B_s = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R^S (g \wedge n g)$$

We have (see [8])

$$B(R^S f) = R^S f$$

On the other hand we have

$$B \geq B_i \quad (\forall) \quad i \in I$$



and therefore, since  $\sum_{i \in I} B'_i f_i \leq t$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} Bf &= B\left(\sum_{i \in I} B_i f_i\right) + B\left(t - \sum_{i \in I} B'_i f_i\right) = \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} B_i f_i + B\left(t - \sum_{i \in I} B'_i f_i\right) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} B_i f_i + B'\left(t - \sum_{i \in I} B'_i f_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I} B_i f_i + B't - \sum_{i \in I} B'_i f_i \leq f \\ Bf &\leq f. \end{aligned}$$

From the preceding considerations we get

$$\begin{aligned} R^S f &= B(R^S f) = \frac{1}{\alpha} B(\alpha R^S f) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} Bf \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} f \\ \alpha R^S f &\leq f \quad (\forall) \quad \alpha \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Definition. Let  $S'$  be a compression of  $S$ . For any balayage  $B$  on  $S$  we denote by  $P_B$  the map

$$P_B : S' \rightarrow S$$

defined by

$$P_B s = B's - (B's) \wedge_{\underline{S}} B_s = B's - B's \wedge B_s$$

where  $B'$  is the balayage on  $S'$  associated with  $B$ .

Proposition 2.4. The map  $P_B$  satisfies the following properties:

- 1)  $P_B(s+t) = P_B s + P_B t \quad (\forall) \quad s, t \in S'$
- 2)  $P_B s \leq P_B t \leq t \quad (\forall) \quad s, t \in S', \quad s \leq t$
- 3)  $P_B(B's) = P_B s \quad (\forall) \quad s \in S'$
- 4)  $\bigvee_{i \in I} P_B s_i = P_B\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} s_i\right)$

for any increasing and dominated family  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $S'$ .

Moreover for any compression operator  $P$  of  $S$  such that  $S(P) = S'$  we have

$$P_B f \preceq P(B'f) \quad (\forall) \quad f \in (S' - S')_+$$

Proof. Let  $s, t \in S'$ . We have

$$B's - Bs = P_B s - BP_B s$$

$$B't - Bt = P_B t - BP_B t$$

$$B'(s+t) - B(s+t) = P_B(s+t) - BP_B(s+t)$$

and therefore

$$(P_B s + P_B t) - B(P_B s + P_B t) = P_B(s+t) - BP_B(s+t).$$

Since

$$P_B s \wedge Bu = P_B t \wedge Bu = P_B(s+t) \wedge Bu = 0$$

for any  $u \in S$  we deduce

$$P_B s + P_B t = P_B(s+t).$$

The relation

$$s \in S' \Rightarrow P_B(B's) = P_B s$$

follows immediately from the definition of  $P_B$ .

Let now  $s, t \in S'$  be such that  $s \leq t$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_B s - B P_B s &= B' s - B s \\ P_B t - B P_B t &= B' t - B t \\ (P_B t - P_B s) - B(P_B t - P_B s) &= B'(t-s) - B(t-s). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S'$  is a compression of  $S$  then

$$B'(t-s) - B(t-s) \in S_B$$

and therefore there exists  $u \in S$  such that

$$u \wedge_S B u = 0$$

and

$$B'(t-s) - B(t-s) = u - B u.$$

Hence

$$P_B t - B P_B t = P_B s - B P_B s + u - B u,$$

Since

$$P_B t \wedge B v = P_B s \wedge B v = u \wedge B v = 0$$

for any  $v \in S$  we deduce

$$P_B t = P_B s + u,$$

$$P_B s \leq P_B t.$$



On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} t - P_B t &= t - B't + B't \wedge Bt = \\ &= (t + B't) \wedge (t + Bt) - B't. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the relation

$$P_B t \preceq t$$

is equivalently to show that

$$B't \preceq t + Bt.$$

This relation follows from the fact that  $S'$  is a compression of  $S$  using Theorem 2.3.

Let  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  be an increasing and dominated family of  $S'$ . We have

$$P_B s_i - B P_B s_i = B' s_i - B s_i$$

Since

$$i \leq j \Rightarrow P_B s_i \preceq P_B s_j$$

and since

$$P_B s_i + B s_i = B' s_i + B P_B s_i$$

we get

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} P_B s_i + B \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} s_i \right) = B' \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} s_i \right) + B \left( \bigvee_{i \in I} P_B s_i \right)$$

$$B'(\bigvee_{i \in I} \delta_i) - B(\bigvee_{i \in I} \delta_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} P_B \delta_i - B(\bigvee_{i \in I} P_B \delta_i).$$

On the other hand we have

$$(\bigvee_{i \in I} P_B \delta_i) \wedge Bv = 0 \quad (\forall) \quad v \in S$$

and therefore

$$P_B(\bigvee_{i \in I} \delta_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} P_B \delta_i.$$

Let now  $P$  be a compression operator of  $S$  such that  $S(P) = S'$  and let  $f \in (S' - S)_+$ . We have, using Proposition 1.15

$$P_B f - BP_B f = B'f - Bf = P(B'f) - BP(B'f).$$

Since

$$P_B f \wedge Bv = 0 \quad (\forall) \quad v \in S$$

we get

$$P_B f \leq P(B'f).$$

In the sequel we suppose that  $S'$  is a standard H-cone,  $S$  and  $S'$  are H-cones of functions on a set  $X$ ,  $S'$  is a compression of  $S$  and  $X$  is semisaturated with respect to  $S'$ . Moreover we suppose that any element of  $S$  is lower semicontinuous with respect to the fine topology generated by  $S'$ .

The specific order relation in  $S$  is denoted by  $\leq$  and we put  $\vee, \wedge$  for the lattice operations with respect to this order relation.



Definition. If  $B$  is a balayage on  $S$  and  $P_B$  is the map

$$P_B : S' \rightarrow S$$

defined by

$$P_B s = B's - B's \wedge B s$$

we denote for any  $x \in X$ , by  $P_{B,x}$  the  $H$ -measure (with respect to  $S'$ ) on  $X$  given by the relation

$$P_{B,x}(s) = P_B s(x), \quad s \in S'$$

For any positive Borel function  $f$  on  $X$  we denote by  $P_B f$  the function on  $X$  defined by

$$P_B f(x) = P_{B,x}(f).$$

Proposition 2.5. If  $B$  is a balayage on  $S$  and  $f$  is a positive Borel function on  $X$  dominated by an element of  $S'$  we have  $P_B f \in S$ . Moreover if  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  is a finite family of  $S'$  and if  $s \in S'$  are such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} s_i \leq s$$

then

$$\sum_{i \in I} P_{B_i} s_i \leq s$$

for any family,  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  of balayages on  $S$

Proof. For any positive bounded lower semicontinuous function  $g$  on  $X$  there exists an increasing sequence  $(g_n)_n$  from  $(S' - S')_+$  such that



$$g = \sup_n g_n$$

Using Proposition 2.4 we get

$$P_B g_n \in S$$

and therefore

$$P_B g = \sup_n P_B g_n \in S.$$

On the other hand if  $g_1, g_2$  are lower semicontinuous positive bounded functions on  $X$  such that  $g_1 \leq g_2$  we have

$$P_B g_1 \leq P_B g_2$$

Indeed, let  $(g_1^{(n)})_n, (g_2^{(n)})_n$  be two increasing sequences in  $(S^+ - S^+)_+$  with

$$\sup_n g_1^{(n)} = g_1, \quad \sup_n g_2^{(n)} = g_2$$

Obviously, we may suppose that

$$g_1^{(n)} \leq g_2^{(n)} \quad (\forall) \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using Proposition 2.4 it follows that

$$P_B g_1 = \bigvee_n P_B g_1^{(n)} \leq \bigvee_n P_B g_2^{(n)} = P_B g_2.$$

Let now  $f$  be a positive bounded Borel function on  $X$ . From the above considerations the family

$$(P_B g)_{g \in \mathcal{G}}$$

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the set of all bounded lower semicontinuous functions  $g$  on  $X$  such that  $g \geq f$ , is a family in  $S$ , which is specifically, lower directed and

$$\inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}} P_B g = P_B f$$

Hence

$$P_B f = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}} P_B g = \bigwedge_{g \in \mathcal{G}} P_B g \in S$$

Let  $f$  be a positive Borel function on  $X$  dominated by an element of  $S'$ . We have

$$P_B f = \bigvee_n P_B (\inf(f, n)) \in S.$$

Let now  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  be a finite family from  $S'$ , and let  $s \in S'$  be such that

$$\sum_{i \in I} s_i \leq s.$$

If we consider a family  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  of balayages on  $S$  we deduce, from Theorem 2.3,

$$\sum_{i \in I} (B_i s_i - B_i s_i) \leq s.$$

From the definition of  $P_{B_i}$  we deduce that the relation

$$\sum_{i \in I} P_{B_i} s_i \leq s$$

is equivalent with the following one



$$\sum_{i \in I} B_i' \delta_i \leq \delta + \sum_{i \in I} B_i' \delta_i \wedge B_i \delta_i.$$

On the other hand we have immediately

$$s + \sum_{i \in I} B_i' \delta_i \wedge B_i \delta_i = \bigwedge_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} (\delta + \sum_{j \in J} B_j' \delta_j + \sum_{j \in I \setminus J} B_j \delta_j)$$

From the preceding considerations we have for any  $J \in \mathcal{P}(I)$ ,

$$\sum_{j \in I \setminus J} B_j' \delta_j \leq \delta + \sum_{j \in I \setminus J} B_j \delta_j$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{i \in I} B_i' \delta_i \leq \delta + \sum_{j \in J} B_j' \delta_j + \sum_{j \in I \setminus J} B_j \delta_j$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} B_i' \delta_i &\leq \bigwedge_{J \in \mathcal{P}(I)} (\delta + \sum_{j \in J} B_j' \delta_j + \sum_{j \in I \setminus J} B_j \delta_j) = \\ &= s + \sum_{i \in I} B_i' \delta_i \wedge B_i \delta_i. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.6. Let  $f$  be a positive fine lower semicontinuous (with resp. to  $S'$ ) function on  $X$  which is dominated by an element of  $S'$ . If for any balayage  $B$  on  $S$  and any  $g \in (S' - S')_+$  such that  $g \leq f$  we have

$$P_B g \leq f$$

then  $f \in S'$ .

Proof. Let  $s, t \in S'$  be such that,  $s, t$  bounded and  $0 \leq s - t \leq f$ .

Obviously since  $P_B f \leq f$  then  $f \in S$ .

We want to show that



$$B'(s-t) \leq f.$$

Indeed we have

$$P_B(s-t) \leq P_B(f) \leq f$$

and therefore there <sup>exists</sup>  $u \in S$  such that

$$P_B(s-t) + u = f,$$

or equivalently

$$B'(s-t) + u = f + (B's \wedge Bs - B't \wedge Bt).$$

Since

$$BB'(s-t) = B(s-t)$$

$$B(B's \wedge Bs) = B's \wedge Bs,$$

$$B(B't \wedge Bt) = B't \wedge Bt$$

we get

$$B's \wedge Bs - B't \wedge Bt + Bf = B(s-t) + Bu$$

and therefore

$$f + B(s-t) + Bu = Bf + u + B'(s-t).$$

From this relation and from the obvious relations

$$Bu \leq u, \quad B(s-t) \leq Bf$$

we get

$$B'(s-t) \leq f.$$

We show now that

$$R^{S'}(s-t) \leq f$$

Indeed, for any  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , we have ( see [8] )

$$R(s-t) = B'_g(R^{S'}(s-t)) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} B'_g(s-t)$$

where

$$q = ((s-t) - \alpha R^{S'}(s-t))_+$$

and  $B'_g$  is the balayage on  $S$  given by

$$B'_g s = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R^S(s \wedge ng).$$

Since

$$B'_g(s-t) \leq f$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} R^{S'}(s-t) &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} f \quad (\forall) \alpha \in (0,1), \\ R^{S'}(s-t) &\leq f. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that  $f \in S'$  follows now from the preceding considerations using the fact that there exists an increasing family  $(g)_{i \in I}$



in  $(S'_b - S''_b)_+$  such that

$$\sup_{i \in I} g_i = f.$$

Definition. Let  $S$  and  $S'$  <sup>be</sup> as above. We denote by  $P$  the map from  $S'$  into  $S$  given by

$$P_s = \bigvee \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} P_{B_i} \delta_i; I \text{ finite}, (s_i)_{i \in I} \subset S', \sum_{i \in I} \delta_i \leq s, (B_i)_{i \in I} \subset B(S) \right\}$$

where  $B(S)$  is the set of all balayages on  $S$ .

Proposition 2.7. Let  $s \in S'$  and  $u$  <sup>be</sup> a fine lower semicontinuous positive function on  $X$  such that

$$P s \leq u \leq s.$$

Then  $u \in S'$

Proof. Let  $g \in (S' - S')_+$  be such that

$$g \leq u$$

We have  $g \leq s$ ,

$$P_B g \leq P_B s \leq P s \leq u$$

and therefore, using Theorem 2.6, it follows that  $u \in S'$ .

Theorem 2.8. We have

- 1)  $P s \in S'$  ( $\forall$ )  $s \in S'$
- 2)  $s, t \in S', s \leq t \Rightarrow P s \leq P t \leq t$
- 3)  $s, t \in S' \Rightarrow P(s+t) = P s + P t$



4)  $\bigvee_i P\delta_i = P(\bigvee_i \delta_i)$  for any increasing and dominated family  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  from  $S'$ .

5) for any  $s \in S'$  and any balayage  $B$  on  $S$  we have

$$B's - Bs = PB's - BPB's$$

6)  $P_s(a) = 0$  for any  $s \in S'$  and any absorbent point  $a$  with respect to  $S'$ .

Proof. The assertion 1) follows from Proposition 2.7. The assertion 2) follows immediately from the definition and from Proposition 2.4

3) If  $s, t \in S'$  then, from the definition, we have

$$P_s + P_t \leq P(s+t)$$

Let now  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  be a finite family from  $S'$  such that

$$\sum_i \delta_i \leq s + t$$

Using Riesz decomposition property there exist two families  $(s'_i)_{i \in I}, (s''_i)_{i \in I}$  on  $S'$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} s_i &= s'_i + s''_i \quad (\forall) i \in I, \\ \sum_i \delta'_i &\leq s, \quad \sum_i \delta''_i \leq t \end{aligned}$$

If  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  is a family of balayages on  $S$  we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} P_{B_i} \delta_i = \sum_{i \in I} P_{B_i} \delta'_i + \sum_{i \in I} P_{B_i} \delta''_i \leq P_s + P_t,$$

$$P(s+t) \quad P_s + P_t$$

4) Let  $(s_i)_{i \in I}$  <sup>be</sup> an increasing and dominated family from  $S'$ .

Obviously we have

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} P\delta_i \leq P(\bigvee_{i \in I} \delta_i)$$

Let now  $(t_j)_{j \in J}$  be a finite family from  $S'$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in J} t_j \leq s := \bigvee_{i \in I} \delta_i$$

From ([4], Proposition 2.2.3) for any  $j \in J$  there exists an increasing family  $(s_{i,j})_{i \in I}$  in  $S'$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in J} s_{i,j} \leq s_i \quad \bigvee_{i \in I} s_{i,j} = t_j \quad (\forall) j \in J$$

If  $(B_j)_{j \in J}$  is a family of balayages on  $S$  we have, using Proposition 2.4

$$\sum_j P_{B_j} t_j = \sum_{j \in J} (\bigvee_{i \in I} P_{B_j} \delta_{i,j}) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} P_{B_j} \delta_{i,j} \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} P\delta_i,$$

and therefore

$$P\delta \leq \bigvee_{i \in I} P\delta_i$$

5) Let  $s \in S'$ . We have

$$P_B \delta = P_{B \setminus B'} \delta \leq P_{B'} \delta$$

and therefore

$$B' \delta - B \delta = P_{B'} \delta - P_B \delta \leq P_{B'} \delta - P_{B \setminus B'} \delta$$

On the other hand we have

$$PB'\delta \leq B'\delta$$

and therefore

$$PB'\delta - BPB'\delta \leq B'\delta - B\delta$$

6) Let  $a \in X$  be an absorbent point with respect to  $S'$  and let  $B$  be a balayage on  $S$  and  $B'$  be the balayage on  $S'$  associated with  $B$ . Certainly  $a$  is also an absorbent point with respect to  $S$ . Obviously to prove that  $P\delta(a)=0$  ( $\forall$ )  $s \in S'$  it will be sufficient to show that  $P_B\delta(a)=0$  ( $\forall$ )  $s \in S'$ . Let now  $B$  be a balayage on  $S$ . If  $a$  does not belong to the base of  $B'$  then we have  $B'\delta(a)=0$  and therefore  $P_B\delta(a)=0$ . If  $a$  belongs to the base of  $B'$  then  $a$  belongs also to the base of  $B$ . If we denote by  $T_a$  (resp.  $T'_a$ ) the balayage on  $\{a\}$  in  $S$  (resp.  $S'$ ) then we have for any  $s \in S'$

$$s - T'_a\delta \in S' \quad \delta - T_a\delta \in S$$

and therefore

$$B'\delta = B'(\delta - T'_a\delta) + T'_a\delta$$

$$B\delta = B(\delta - T_a\delta) + T_a\delta$$

$$B'\delta \wedge B\delta \wedge B\delta \wedge T'_a\delta \wedge T_a\delta = T_a\delta$$

$$P_B\delta(a) = B'\delta(a) - (B'\delta \wedge B\delta)(a) = 0.$$

Definition. Suppose that  $S$  and  $S'$  are as above. For any  $x \in X$  we denote by  $P_x$  the H-measure (with respect to  $S'$ ) on  $X$  given by the relation

$$P_x\delta = P_\delta(x) \quad (\forall) \quad s \in S'$$



For any positive Borel function  $f$  on  $X$  we denote by  $Pf$  the function on  $X$  defined by

$$Pf(x) = p_x(f).$$

Proposition 2.9. The map

$$f \rightarrow Pf, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}$$

is a bounded kernel on  $X$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  for which  $Pf$  is dominated by an element of  $S$  we have

$$Pf \in S$$

Proof. If  $g$  is a positive bounded lower semicontinuous function on  $X$  then there exists an increasing sequence  $(q_n)_n$  from  $(S'-S')_+$  such that

$$g = \sup_n q_n$$

From Theorem 2.7 we have  $P(S') \subset S'$ ,  $Pq_n \in S \cap (S'-S')_+$  and therefore

$$Pg = \sup_n Pq_n \in S \cap \mathcal{F}$$

On the other hand if  $g_1, g_2$  are lower semicontinuous positive bounded functions on  $X$  such that  $g_1 \leq g_2$  we have

$$Pg_1 \leq Pg_2$$

Indeed, there exists two increasing sequence  $(q_1^{(n)})_n, (q_2^{(n)})_n$  from  $(S'-S')_+$  such that

$$g_1^{(n)} \leq g_2^{(n)} \quad (\forall) \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sup_n g_1^{(n)} = g_1, \quad \sup_n g_2^{(n)} = g_2$$

We have, using Theorem 2.8

$$Pg_1 = \bigvee_n Pg_1^{(n)} \leq \bigvee_n Pg_2^{(n)} = Pg_2$$

Let now  $f$  be a positive bounded Borel function on  $X$ . From the above considerations the family

$$(Pg)_{g \in \mathcal{G}}$$

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the set of all bounded lower semicontinuous function  $g$  on  $X$ ,  $g \geq f$ , is a family in  $S$  which is specifically lower directed and

$$Pf = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}} Pg = \inf_g Pg$$

Since  $S$  is a standard  $H$ -cone we have

$$\bigwedge_{g \in \mathcal{G}} Pg = \bigwedge_n Pg_n = \inf_n Pg_n$$

for a suitable decreasing sequence  $(g_n)_n$  and therefore

$$Pf \in S \cap \mathcal{F}$$

Hence  $P$  is a bounded kernel on  $X$  such that

$$Pf \in S$$

for any bounded function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is such that there exists  $s \in S$ , with  $Pf \leq s$  then we have

$$Pf = \sup_n P(f \wedge n), \quad P(f \wedge n) \leq s$$

and therefore  $Pf \in S$ .

Theorem 2.10. The set

$$\{ s - P\delta \mid \delta \in S' \}$$

is a solid part (with respect to the natural order) in  $S$ .

Proof. Let  $u \in S$  and  $s \in S'$ . We consider the element

$$v := u \wedge (\delta - P\delta).$$

We denote:

$$\delta' := \bigwedge \{ t \in S' \mid t - Pt \geq v \}$$

Obviously we have  $\delta' \leq \delta$  and

$$\delta' - P\delta' \geq v.$$

We have

$$\delta' \wedge (P\delta' + v) = P\delta' + v,$$

$$P\delta' \leq \delta' \wedge (P\delta' + v) \leq \delta'$$

and therefore, using Proposition 2.7 we get



$$w := P\delta' + v = \delta' \wedge (P\delta' + v) \in S', \quad w \leq \delta'.$$

On the other hand we have

$$w - Pw \geq w - Ps' = v$$

and therefore

$$w \geq s', \quad w = s'.$$

Hence

$$v = s' - Ps'.$$

Definition. We denote by  $S_f'$  the set of all finite elements of  $S'$  and for any  $s \in S_f'$  we put

$$Hs = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P^n s$$

Proposition 2.11. We have

- 1)  $H(s+t) = Hs + Ht \quad (\forall) s, t \in S_f'$
- 2)  $s \leq t \implies Hs \leq Ht \leq Pt \leq t \quad (\forall) s, t \in S_f'$
- 3)  $H^2 s = Hs \quad (\forall) s \in S_f'$
- 4)  $PHs = Hs \quad (\forall) s \in S_f'$

Proof. The assertions 1), 4) are obvious. The assertion 3) follows directly from 4). If  $s, t \in S_f'$ ,  $s \leq t$  we have

$$P^n s \leq P^n t \leq t \quad (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\bigwedge_n P^n_s = \bigwedge_n P^n_s \lesssim \bigwedge_n P^n_t = \bigwedge_n P^n_t \lesssim t.$$

From now on we suppose that  $S$  and  $S'$  are standard  $H$ -cones of functions on  $X$ ,  $S'$  is a compression of  $S$ , the natural topology on  $X$  with respect to  $S$  is smaller than the natural topology on  $X$  with respect to  $S'$  and that there exists a strictly positive potential on  $X$  with respect to  $S'$ .

Remark. If  $S$  and  $S'$  are as above then any element of  $S$  is lower semicontinuous with respect to the natural topology generated by  $S'$  and moreover  $X$  is semisaturated with respect to  $S'$  and  $S$ . Therefore we have fulfilled the previous hypotheses which allowed the construction of kernel  $P$ .

Proposition 2.12. There exists a balayage  $T$  on  $S'$  such that

$$Hs = Ts \quad (\forall) \quad s \in S'_0.$$

Moreover we have

$$s \leq t \Rightarrow Ts \leq Tt \leq t.$$

$S'_0$  is the set of all universally continuous elements of  $S'$

Proof. Since for any  $s \in S'_0$  we have

$$Hs \leq s$$

and using the fact that the natural topology on  $X$  associated with  $S$  is smaller than the natural topology on  $X$  associated with  $S'$  we deduce that  $Hs$  is continuous on  $X$  with respect to the natural topology associated with  $S'$ . From ([6], Theorem 2.1) it follows that  $Hs$  is nearly continuous.

For any  $x \in X$  the map

$$S'_0 \ni s \rightarrow T_x s = Hs(x) \in R_+$$

is additive and increasing. Therefore  $T_x^*$  is the restriction at  $S'_0$  of an H-measure on  $S'$ . For any  $s \in S'$  we put

$$Ts(x) = T_x s.$$

Since

$$s \in S'_0 \Rightarrow T_\lambda = Hs \preceq s$$

it follows that

$$s, t \in S'_0, s \leq t \Rightarrow Ts \preceq Tt \preceq t$$

and therefore

$$s, t \in S', s \leq t \Rightarrow Ts \preceq Tt \preceq t$$

We show that

$$THs = Hs \quad (\forall) \quad s \in S'_0.$$

Indeed, from the above considerations there exists a sequence  $(s_n)_n$  in  $S'_0$  such that

$$Hs = \sum_n s_n.$$

From  $H \circ T^2 = Hs$  we deduce



$$H\delta_n = \delta_n \quad (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and therefore

$$THs = \sum_n T\delta_n = \sum_n H\delta_n = \sum_n \delta_n = Hs.$$

We show now that  $T^2 = T$ . Indeed we have

$$s \in S'_0 \Rightarrow T(Ts) = T(Hs) = Hs = Ts.$$

Proposition 2.13. If  $T \neq 0$  then there exists an absorbent set  $A \neq \emptyset$  with respect to  $S$  such that any  $a \in A$  is an absorbent point with respect to  $S$  and such that

$$Ts = \bigwedge \{ t \in S' \mid t \geq s \text{ on } A \}$$

Proof. Let  $(p_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $S'_0$  such that for any  $s \in S'$  we have

$$s = \vee \{ p_n \mid p_n \leq s \}$$

We put

$$p = \sum \alpha_n p_n$$

where  $\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $p_n \leq \frac{1}{2^n \alpha_n}$  ( $\forall$ )  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and we denote

$$A := [Tp = p]$$

and for any  $x \in X$  let  $T_x$  be the measure on  $X$  defined by

$$T_x s = T s(x) \quad (\forall) s' \in S'.$$

Since  $T \neq 0$  and  $T^2 = T$  it follows that  $T_x$  is a measure carried by  $A$  and therefore  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $p - Tp \in S$  we deduce that  $A$  is absorbent with respect to  $S$ . On the other hand for any  $a, b \in A$ ,  $a \neq b$  there exists  $s, t \in S'$  such that  $s \leq t$ ,  $(t-s)(a) = 0$ ,  $(t-s)(b) > 0$ . Since  $T(t-s) \in S$  and  $B'(t-s) = t-s$  on  $A$  it follows that any point  $a \in A$  is absorbent with respect to  $S$ . Obviously we have

$$T_s = \bigwedge \{ t \in S' \mid t \geq s \text{ on } A \}.$$

Theorem 2.14. (Shih). Suppose that any absorbent point of  $X$  with respect to  $S$  is an absorbent point of  $X$  with respect to  $S'$ . Then the map

$$P: D(P) \longrightarrow S.$$

where

$$D(P) = \{ f \in S \mid (\exists) s \in S', f \leq s \}$$

is a compression operator on  $S$  and we have

$$S' = \{ s \in D(P) \mid P s \leq s \}$$

Proof. Using the hypothesis and Proposition 2.13 we have for any  $s \in S'$

$$T_s = \bigwedge \{ t \in S' \mid t \geq s \text{ on } A \}$$

where  $A$  is an absorbent set with respect to  $S$  such that any point of  $A$  is an absorbent point

with respect to  $S'$ . On the other hand we have

$$Ts = Hs = \bigwedge_n P^n s \quad (\forall) s \in S'_0.$$

From Theorem 2.8 we have

$$Ps = 0 \quad \text{on } A$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Ts &= 0 \quad \text{on } A \quad (\forall) s \in S'_0, \\ Ts &= 0 \quad (\forall) s \in S'_0, \\ \bigwedge_n P^n s &= 0 \quad (\forall) s \in S'_0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\bigwedge_n P^n f = 0$$

for any positive Borel function  $f$  on  $X$  dominated by an element  $s \in S'_0$ . We remark also that for any  $s \in S'_0$  the sequence  $(P^n s)_n$  is specifically decreasing in  $S$  and therefore we have

$$\bigwedge_n P^n s = \bigwedge P^n s = \inf_n P^n s.$$

Hence for any positive Borel function  $f$  on  $X$  dominated by an element  $s \in S'_0$  we have

$$\inf_n P^n f \leq \inf_n P^n s = 0.$$

From the previous considerations we deduce that for any  $s \in S'_0$  we have

$$s = \sum_n (s_n - P s_n)$$



where  $s_0 = s$ ,  $s_{n+1} = P s_n$  ( $\forall$ )  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From this fact and from Theorem 2.10 it follows that the set

$$\{ s - P s \mid s \in S' \}$$

is a solid and increasingly dense subcone of  $S$ .

Let now  $\mathcal{V} = (V_\alpha)_{\alpha > 0}$  be a submarkovian resolvent on  $X$  such that  $S'$  is a solid and increasingly dense subset of the cone  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{V}}$  of all  $\mathcal{V}$ -excessive functions on  $X$  which are finite  $\mathcal{V}$ -a.s. We may suppose also that the initial kernel  $V$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  is such that  $Vf$  is a bounded continuous function on  $X$  for any positive bounded Borel function  $f$  on  $X$ . We put

$$Wf = Vf - PVf \quad (\forall) f \in \mathcal{F}_b$$

From Theorem 1.8 we get

$$Wf \in S \quad (\forall) f \in \mathcal{F}_b$$

and  $W$  is a kernel on  $X$ . Let now  $s, t \in S'$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_b$ . We have

$$P s \leq s \wedge (P s + t - P t + P f) \leq s$$

and therefore from Proposition 2.7 we get

$$s \wedge (P s + t - P t + P f) \in S'$$

Now using a well known result of Mokobodzky (see [13]) we deduce that there exists a submarkovian resolvent  $\mathcal{W} = (W_\alpha)_{\alpha > 0}$  on  $X$  such that  $W$  is the initial kernel of  $\mathcal{W}$ .

From the above considerations it follows that  $S$  is a solid and increasingly dense subcone of the set  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{W}}$  of all  $\mathcal{W}$ -excessive

functions.

Let now  $\mathcal{F}_0$  be the set of all positive bounded Borel function  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $\forall f \in S'_0$ . From the first part of the proof we get

$$Vf = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n Wf$$

Since  $V$  and  $W$  are kernels and since  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is sufficiently large subset of  $\mathcal{F}_b$  we get

$$Vf = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n Wf \quad (v) \quad f \in \mathcal{F}_b$$

Hence for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_b$  we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n Wf \in S'$$

and therefore, using Hunt theorem, we deduce that we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n u \in S'$$

for any  $u \in S$  for which

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n u$$

is dominated by an element of  $S'$ .

Let now  $g \in D(P)^{(be)}$  such that

$$Pg \leq g.$$

If  $s \in S'_0$  we put

$$g_s = g \wedge s.$$

Obviously  $g_s \in D(P)$  and

$$Pg_s \leq g_s$$

Since  $g_s \leq s$  we have  $\inf_n P^n g_s = 0$  and therefore if we put

$$u_s = g_s - Pg_s$$

we get,  $u_s \in S$  and

$$g_s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P^n u_s.$$

From the previous remark it follows

$$g_s \in S', \quad g = \bigvee_{s \in S_0} g_s \in S'.$$

#### References

- [1] L. Beznea: Potential type subordinations. Preprint Series in Math. 37, 1989. INCREST București.
- [2] R.M. Blumenthal, R.K. Gettoor, H.P. Mc. Kean Jr. Markov processes with identical hitting distributions Illinois J. Math. 6,2 (1962), 402-420.
- [3] R.M. Blumenthal, R.K. Gettoor, H.P. Mc. Kean Jr. A suppliment to "Markov processes with identical hitting distributions" Illinois J. Math. 7,3 (1963), 540-542.
- [4] N. Boboc, Gh. Bucur, A. Cornea. Order and convexity in Potential Theory: H-cones. Lecture Notes in Math. 853. Springer Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg New-York 1981.
- [5] N. Boboc, Gh. Bucur: Lattice properties in the set of resolvents and subordinations in excessive structures (Preprint series



- in Math. No.5, 1990, INCREST București.
- 6 N.Boboc, Gh.Bucur: Potentials in standard H-cones of functions. Preprint Series in Math. No.6, 1988, 1-22 INCREST, București.
  - 7 N. Boboc, Gh.Bucur: Standard H-cones and Markov processes. Preprint series in Math. No.51, 1979 INCREST, București.
  - 8 N.Boboc, A.Cornea. Cônes convexes ordonnés H-cônes et adjoints de H-cônes C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 270, 1970, 596-599.
  - 9 A.Boukricha, W.Hansen. Characterization of perturbations of harmonic spaces Lecture Notes in Math.787, 1979, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer.
  - 10 W.Hansen. Perturbations of harmonic spaces Math.Ann.251, 1980, 111-122.
  - 11 H.P.Mc.Kean Jr., H.Tanaka. Additive functionals of the Brownian path. Mem.Coll. Sci.Univ.Kyoto, ser.A.33,3,1961,479-506.
  - 12 P.A.Meyer. Fonctionelles multiplicatives et additives de Markov. Ann Inst.Fourier Grenoble 12, 1962, 125-230.
  - 13 C.T.Shih. Markov processes whose hitting distributions are dominated by those of a given process. Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. 129, 1967, 157-179.
  - 14 G.Mokobodzky. Opérateur de subordination des résolvantes (Manuscript non publié).
  - 15 M.G.Sur. A class of Markov process whose exist probabilities are majorized by the exist probabilities of a Wiener process. Dokl.Akad.Nauk SSSR 147, 1962, 323-326.
  - 16 M.G.Sur. Markov processes for which exist probabilities are majorized by those of a given process. Teoria veroiat. i primeneniia 9, 2, 1966, 260-282.
  - 17 H. Tanaka. Note on additive functionals of the class (U) of Meyer of Markov processes. Mem.Fac.Sci.Kyushu Univ. Ser.A., 18, 2, 1964, 156-165.