

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

COCYCLIC COHOMOLOGY OF CROSSED
PRODUCTS BY ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

by

Victor NISTOR

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 43 / 1990

CYCLIC COHOMOLOGY OF CROSSED
PRODUCTS BY ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

by
Victor NISTOR^{*)}

April, 1990

^{*)} *The National Institute for Scientific and Technical Creation,
Department of Mathematics, Bd. Păcii 220 , 79622 Bucharest, ROMANIA.*

Introduction

The computation of K-theory groups of crossed product C^* -algebras is one of the central problems in operator algebras. Important steps have been made [3, 13, 19, 20] but the solution is not complete.

In [4] Connes has defined the cyclic cohomology groups $HC^*(A)$ of an algebra A over the complex numbers and a pairing $K_*(A) \otimes HC^*(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This pairing was successfully used by Connes and Moscovici to obtain important geometric applications.

The computation of cyclic cohomology groups of suitable "smooth" crossed product algebras has shown that they behave very much like the K-theory groups of the corresponding C^* -algebras. This is true for \mathbb{Z} [17, 19], \mathbb{R} [3, 7], groups having a special manifold as classifying space [13, 18].

It is the purpose of this paper to study the cyclic cohomology of crossed products by Lie groups, trying to recover results known to be true for their K-theory. An interesting feature is that there seems to be no γ -obstruction [13].

Let G be a Lie group acting smoothly on a locally convex algebra A . Then $C_c^\infty(G, A)$ becomes a locally convex algebra for the convolution product, denoted here $A \rtimes G$. This will be the crossed product we shall be concerned with. Note that as in [18] we have chosen the most restrictive behaviour at infinity, i.e. the vanishing in a neighborhood of infinity. This choice is justified by technical reasons: the computation of the cohomology of G with values in such modules is easier.

By a real algebraic group we shall mean the real form of a complex algebraic group. $C_{inv}^\infty(G)$ denotes the ring of smooth class functions on a Lie group G . Here is our main result.

Theorem Let G be a real algebraic group, K a maximal compact subgroup and $q = \dim G/K$. The periodic cyclic homology groups $\mathrm{PHC}_{*}(A \rtimes G)$ and $\mathrm{PHC}_{*+q}(A \rtimes K)$ are modules over $C_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$ such that

$$\mathrm{PHC}_{*}(A \rtimes G)_m \cong \mathrm{PHC}_{*+q}(A \rtimes K)_m$$

for any maximal ideal m of $C_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$.

The proof is based on the study of $L(G, G)$, a global form of the construction we have used in [18]. There we have shown that for G discrete the Connes' complex decomposes as a direct sum of subcomplexes indexed by the conjugacy classes of G . For Lie groups such a result is no longer true and is replaced by a $C_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$ -module structure on the Connes' complex. Instead of looking at a given conjugacy class we use localization at the corresponding maximal ideal. That is why localisation enters the statement of the theorem. Actually it also follows that $\mathrm{PHC}_{*}(A \rtimes G)$ and $\mathrm{PHC}_{*+q}(A \rtimes K)$ are isomorphic as vector spaces, however we do not obtain this canonically but simply by counting dimensions. Typically the situation is that of the modules of sections in two vector bundles over a compact space having the same dimension at each point.

$L(G, G)$ is a quasicyclic object, a class introduced in the first section. Another feature of it is that it has a smooth G -action such that $L(G, G) \otimes_G C_{*}(A \rtimes G) \cong C_{*}(A \rtimes G)$. Then we use a dual form of the van Est's complex to obtain a resolution of $A \rtimes G$ by quasicyclic objects. PHC_{*} -groups are available only for reduced quasicyclic objects (satisfying $T_{n+1}^{n+1} = 1$) which $L(G, G)$ is not. The Main Lemma contains the technique necessary to bridge this difficulty. It uses a sort of "dual Dirac element", different to the usual one [13] but G -invariant, not only K -invariant, however it can be defined only locally. The output of the Main Lemma is an exact sequence of reduced quasicyclic objects the extreme terms of which are the ones we are interested

- 5 -

$\begin{matrix} & d & \\ \hline & & \end{matrix}$

in (localisations of $(A \rtimes G)$ and $(A \rtimes K)$) and the middle terms have vanishing PHC_*^* -groups.

Here is briefly the contents of the sections. In the first section we introduce the notion of a quasicyclic object and we discuss their properties. It also contains the Main Lemma, the key point in proving the isomorphism of PHC_*^* -groups. The second and the third section contain the construction of the data needed for the use of the Main Lemma. The second section also contains a theorem for the cyclic cohomology of coverings: "If $G_1 \rightarrow G$ is a finite covering then

$$\text{HC}_*^*(A \rtimes G) \simeq \text{HC}_*^*(A \rtimes G_1)^H$$

where H is the covering group". The fourth section contains a Weyl theorem in cyclic cohomology: " $\text{PHC}_*^*(A \rtimes G) \simeq \text{PHC}_*^*(A \rtimes T)^W$ if G is a compact connected Lie group, $T \subset G$ a maximal torus and W the Weyl group". Needless to say, these two last theorems are generalisations of well-known results in the theory of compact group representation.

The third section also contains some applications to nonalgebraic groups. If G is the universal covering group of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$\text{PHC}_*^*(A \rtimes G) \simeq \text{PHC}_{*+3}^*(A)$$

The Appendix collects some results on Lie groups. The reader should keep in mind the algebraic case for which these results are mainly intended.

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor A. Buium for usefull discussions about algebraic groups.

1. Quasicyclic objects

In this section we introduce the class of quasicyclic objects, a class with properties close to those of cyclic objects and whose definition is inspired by [18]. We carefully study its properties and show how to exploit certain exact sequences of quasicyclic objects.

1.1. Let us recall the definition of a cyclic object in an abelian category M [5]. It is a simplicial object $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in M with an extra structure given by an action of Z_{n+1} on X_n . The face and degeneracy operators

$d_i : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$, $s_i : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ $i = 0, \dots, n$ satisfy the usual simplicial identities [15]:

$$(S_1) \quad d_i d_j = d_{j-1} d_i \quad i < j$$

$$(S_2) \quad s_i s_j = s_{j+1} s_i \quad i \leq j$$

$$(S_3) \quad d_i s_j = \begin{cases} s_{j-1} d_i & i < j \\ 1 & i = j \text{ and } i = j + 1 \\ s_j d_{i-1} & i > j + 1 \end{cases}$$

And if $\{t_{n+1} \in Z_{n+1}, n \geq 0\}$ is the standard system of generators we also have :

$$(C_1) \quad d_i t_{n+1} = \begin{cases} t_n d_{i-1} & i = 1, \dots, n \\ d_n & i = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(C_2) \quad s_i t_{n+1} = \begin{cases} t_{n+2} s_{i-1} & i = 1, \dots, n \\ t_{n+2}^2 s_n & i = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(C_3) \quad t_{n+1}^{n+1} = 1 \quad n \geq 0.$$

The main example is $\mathcal{A}^h = (\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ where \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra over \mathbb{C} and

$$d_i(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \begin{cases} a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n & i = 0, \dots, n-1 \\ a_n a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1} & i = n \end{cases}$$

$$s_i(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i \otimes 1 \otimes a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \quad i = 0, \dots, n$$

$$t_{n+1}(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = a_n \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}$$

If \mathcal{A} is a locally convex algebra we understand to replace \otimes by $\hat{\otimes}$ = the projective tensor product [10] as in [4].

1.2. We now define another class of objects containing objects like \mathcal{A}^h even if \mathcal{A} is nonunital, as well as the objects $\tilde{L}(A, G, x)$ defined in [18] (see also 1.3 iii).

Definition. A quasicyclic object in an abelian category M is a graded object $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $X_n \in \text{Ob}(M)$ together with morphisms $d_i: X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $T_{n+1}: X_n \rightarrow X_n$ satisfying (S_1) , (C_1) and

$$(Q) \quad d_j T_{n+1}^{n+1} = T_n^n d_j$$

1.3. Examples of quasicyclic objects .

i) Cyclic objects .

ii) \mathcal{A}^h for a not necessary unital algebra. ^{if} Actually we will be interested in the following situation. Let A be a complete locally convex algebra, $1 \in A$, G a Lie group acting smoothly on A , in the sense that a morphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is given such that α_g is continuous and unital for each $g \in G$ and the map $G \ni g \rightarrow \alpha_g(a) \in A$ is smooth for each $a \in A$. Then $A \rtimes G$, the smooth crossed

product of A with G is defined as $C_c^\infty(G, A) = \{ \varphi \in C_c^\infty(G, A), \text{supp } \varphi \text{ compact} \}$ with the convolution product

$$\varphi * \psi(g) = \int_G \varphi(h) \alpha_h(\psi(h^{-1}g)) dh$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in A \rtimes G$ and dh a fixed left Haar measure on G .

The explicit formulae in this case are $\mathcal{H}_n^h = C_c^\infty(G^{n+1}, A^{\otimes n+1})$, $\mathcal{H} = A \rtimes G$,

$$(d_j \varphi)(g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) = \int_G d_j^\circ(1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha_h \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)(\varphi(g_0, \dots, g_{j-1}, h, h^{-1}g_j, \dots, g_{n-1})) dh$$

for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$

$$(d_n \varphi)(g_0, \dots, g_{n-1}) = \int_G d_n^\circ(\alpha_h \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)(\varphi(h^{-1}g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, h)) dh$$

and

$$(T_{n+1} \varphi)(g_0, \dots, g_n) = t_{n+1}(\varphi(g_1, \dots, g_n, g_0)), \quad \varphi \in (A \rtimes G)_n^h.$$

In these formulae the left hand d_j is for $(A \rtimes G)^h$ while the right hand d_j is for A^h ; h appears on j^{th} position in the first formula.

iii) Let A and G be as in ii) and suppose that there are given

$f: G \rightarrow G_1$ a morphism of Lie groups and $U \subset G$ an open set.

Let $L_n(U, G_1) = C_c^\infty(U \rtimes G_1^{n+1}, A^{\otimes n+1})$ and define

$$(d_0 \varphi)(\gamma, \hat{g}_0, \dots, g_n) = \int_G d_0^\circ(1 \otimes \alpha_\gamma \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)(\varphi(\gamma, g_0, \dots, g_n)) dg_0$$

$$(T_{n+1} \varphi)(\gamma, g_0, \dots, g_n) = (1 \otimes \alpha_\gamma^{-1} \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1) \circ t_{n+1}(\varphi(\gamma, g_1, \dots, g_n, f(\gamma)g_0))$$

for $\gamma \in U$, $g_0, \dots, g_n \in G_1$, $\varphi \in L_n(U, G_1)$.

Also let $d_j = T_n^j d_0 T_{n+1}^{-j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Explicitly

$$(d_j \varphi)(\gamma, g_0, \dots, \hat{g}_j, \dots, g_n) = \int_G d_j^\circ(\varphi(\gamma, g_0, \dots, g_n)) dg_j.$$

Then $(L_n(U, G_1))_{n \geq 0}$ is a quasicyclic object.

We stress that examples ii) and iii) will be effectively used in computation.

1.4. Definition . A quasicyclic object $(X_n, n \geq 0, d_j, T_{n+1})$ will be called reduced if $T_{n+1}^{n+1} = 1$.

If $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is an arbitrary quasicyclic object then $(X_n / (1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}) X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(\ker(T_{n+1}^{n+1} : X_n \rightarrow X_n))_{n \geq 0}$ are examples of reduced quasicyclic objects.

Given a reduced quasicyclic object $X = (X_n, n \geq 0, d_j, T_{n+1})$ we can form the Connes' complex $C(X)$ as in the cyclic case [5, 14]. Let us recall its definition.

Let $\partial, \partial' : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ be defined by $\partial' = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j d_j, \partial = \partial' + (-1)^n d_n$.

Also let $\epsilon = 1 - (-1)^n T_{n+1}, N = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{nj} T_{n+1}^j$. The Connes' complex associated

with X is the total complex associated with the periodic bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \dots & & & \dots & & \\
 \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \\
 X_{n+1} & \xleftarrow{\epsilon} & X_{n+1} & \xleftarrow{N} & X_{n+1} & \xleftarrow{\epsilon} & \dots \\
 \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \\
 X_n & \xleftarrow{\epsilon} & X_n & \xleftarrow{N} & X_n & \xleftarrow{\epsilon} & \dots \\
 \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \\
 & \dots & & & \dots & & \\
 \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \\
 X_0 & \xleftarrow{\epsilon} & X_0 & \xleftarrow{N} & X_0 & \xleftarrow{\epsilon} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

Then $HC_*(X)$, the cyclic homology of X , is by definition, the homology of $C(X)$. The homology of the infinite twosided periodic version of this bicomplex defines $PHC_*(X)$, the periodic cyclic homology of X .

If $X = \mathcal{A}$ we shall write $HC_*(\mathcal{A})$ and $PHC_*(\mathcal{A})$ instead of $HC_*(\mathcal{A}^h)$ and $PHC_*(\mathcal{A}^h)$.

The above definitions are the same as in the cyclic case [5, 14].

1.5. We now briefly investigate the extension of Connes' exact sequence [4].

Let $X = (X_n, n \geq 0, d_j, T_{n+1})$ be a quasicyclic object. Denote by $\mathcal{E}(X)$ the bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial \downarrow & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & \downarrow -\partial' \\ X_{n+1} & & X_{n+1} \\ \partial \downarrow & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & \downarrow -\partial' \\ X_n & & X_n \\ \partial \downarrow & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & \downarrow -\partial' \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial \downarrow & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & \downarrow -\partial' \\ X_0 & & X_0 \end{array}$$

and by $HH_{\ast}(X)$ its homology. Here ∂ and ∂' are as in 1.4. and $\varepsilon = 1 - (-1)^n T_{n+1}$.

If X is a reduced quasicyclic object then, as for cyclic objects [4], there exists an exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{I} HC_n(X) \xrightarrow{S} HC_{n-2}(X) \xrightarrow{B} HH_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{I} HC_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{S} \dots$$

$HC_{\ast}(X)$, $PHC_{\ast}(X)$ and S are related by the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 (HC_{n+2k+1}(X), S) \rightarrow PHC_n(X) \rightarrow \varprojlim (HC_{n+2k}(X), S) \rightarrow 0$$

It shows that $PHC_{\ast}(X) = 0$ when ever there exists a natural number m such that $S^m : HC_{n+2m}(X) \rightarrow HC_n(X)$ is the 0 morphism for any $n \geq 0$.

1.6. The following vanishing criterion for S is basically proved in [18].

Proposition. Let $X = (X_n, n \geq 0, d_j, T_{n+1})$ be a quasicyclic object such that $1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}$ is injective. Then $S = 0$ on $HC_{\ast}(X_n / (1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1})X_n)$.

Proof. Consider the composition of

$$\mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(X_n / (1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1})X_n)_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow C(X_n / (1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1})X_n)_{n \geq 0}$$

The line filtration of the first and third bicomplex shows that the above map induces an isomorphism on homology. This shows that I is onto and hence S vanishes in the Connes' exact sequence of the reduced quasicyclic object $(X_n / (1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1})X_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

1.7. We are going now to introduce a sort of "dual Dirac element", an important device in establishing isomorphisms of $\text{PHC}_* \text{-groups}$.

Suppose the following data is given:

a) Two exact sequences of quasicyclic complete locally convex spaces

$$(E_1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(q)} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{X}^{(q-1)} \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{X}^{(0)} \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0$$

$$(E_2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{X}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \dots \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{X}^{(q)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

where Y is reduced.

b) A C^∞ -action of R , $\eta : R \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{X}^{(j)})$ for any $j = 0, \dots, q$ such that

$$\eta_1 = T_{n+1}^{n+1} \text{ and if } \nabla \text{ denotes the derivative of } \eta_t \text{ at } t = 0 \text{ then } \nabla = \delta\sigma + \sigma\delta$$

(we understand that $\delta(\mathcal{X}^{(0)}) = \sigma(\mathcal{X}^{(q)}) = 0$).

c) $1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}$ is injective on $\mathcal{X}_n^{(j)}$ for any $j = 0, \dots, q$ and any $n \geq 0$.

$$\text{Let } \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(0)} = \mathcal{X}^{(0)} / \nabla \mathcal{X}^{(0)}, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(j)} = \mathcal{X}^{(j)} / (\nabla \mathcal{X}^{(j)} + \sigma \mathcal{X}^{(j-1)}) \text{ for}$$

$j = 1, \dots, q-1$.

Main lemma. i) $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(j)}$ is a reduced quasicyclic object for any $j = 0, \dots, q-1$.

ii) The complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(q-1)} \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\delta} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(0)} \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0$$

is acyclic.

iii) $\text{PHC}_* (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(j)}) = 0$ for any $j = 0, \dots, q-1$.

iv) $\text{PHC}_* (Y) \simeq \text{PHC}_{*+q} (\mathcal{Z})$.

Proof. Since a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$ of reduced quasicyclic objects gives rise to the six term exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{PHC}_0(X') & \rightarrow & \text{PHC}_0(X) & \rightarrow & \text{PHC}_0(X'') \\
 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 \text{PHC}_1(X'') & \leftarrow & \text{PHC}_1(X) & \leftarrow & \text{PHC}_1(X')
 \end{array}$$

we immediately see that iv) is a consequence of i), ii) and iii). (PHC_* is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded theory).

The relation $1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1} = \int_0^1 \nabla \eta_t dt = \nabla \left(\int_0^1 \eta_t dt \right)$ shows that

$\text{Ran}(1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}) \subset \text{Ran} \nabla$ and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(j)}$ is reduced. We also obtain that ∇ is injective.

Let us observe that $\delta \nabla = \nabla \delta$. Since \mathcal{Y} is reduced and $\delta \sigma = \nabla - \sigma \delta$ we obtain that the complex in ii) is well defined. We now prove its exactness.

At \mathcal{Y} and $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{(0)}$: this follows immediately from the exactness of (E_1) .

At $\mathcal{X}^{(j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, q-1$, and \mathcal{Z} : observe first that $\delta \mathcal{X}^{(j+1)} \cap \sigma \mathcal{X}^{(j-1)} = 0$.

Indeed if $x \in \delta \mathcal{X}^{(j+1)} \cap \sigma \mathcal{X}^{(j-1)}$ then $\delta x = \sigma x = 0$ and hence $\nabla x = 0$. Since ∇ is injective we obtain $x = 0$. Let $v_j \in \mathcal{X}^{(j)}$ be such that $\delta v_j = \nabla v_{j-1} + \sigma v_{j-2}$ for some $v_{j-1} \in \mathcal{X}^{(j-1)}$ and $v_{j-2} \in \mathcal{X}^{(j-2)}$.

We obtain $\delta(v_j - \sigma v_{j-1}) = 0$ as follows from the above discussion.

Then $v_j = \sigma v_{j-1} + \delta v_{j+1}$ for some $v_{j+1} \in \mathcal{X}^{(j+1)}$. (The undefined terms are to be replaced by 0). So we have proved i) and ii).

For iii) let us note that $\mathcal{X}^{(j)} / \sigma \mathcal{X}^{(j-1)}$ is a quasicyclic object with R acting on it by quasicyclic endomorphisms. This follows since ∇ commutes with σ and with the structural morphisms of $\mathcal{X}^{(j)}$ (use $\nabla = \delta \sigma + \sigma \delta$).

We show that $1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}$ is injective on $\mathcal{X}^{(j)} / \sigma \mathcal{X}^{(j-1)}$ for $j = 0, \dots, q-1$.

Suppose that $(1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}) v_j = \sigma v_{j-1}$ for some $v_j \in \mathcal{X}^{(j)}$, $v_{j-1} \in \mathcal{X}^{(j-1)}$.

Then $\sigma(1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}) v_j = 0$ and hence $\sigma v_j = 0$ since $1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}$ is assumed to

be injective. The exactness of (E_2) shows that there exists $v'_{j-1} \in \mathcal{X}^{(j-1)}$ such that $v_j = \sigma v'_{j-1}$. The action of R on $\mathcal{X}^{(j)} / \sigma \mathcal{X}^{(j-1)}$ factors to an action of the compact group $\overline{T} = R/Z$ on $(\mathcal{X}_n^{(j)} / \sigma \mathcal{X}_n^{(j-1)}) / (1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1})(\mathcal{X}_n^{(j)} / \sigma \mathcal{X}_n^{(j-1)})$ whose set of fixed vectors is just $\mathcal{X}^{(j)}$. The proof is completed using proposition 1.6. and the fact that $F \rightarrow F^{\overline{T}}$ is an exact functor.

2. Preliminary computations

In this section we shall be concerned with specific properties of the quasicyclic objects $L(U, G_1)$ defined in 1.3. iii). We shall also establish the technical details needed for the proof of the exactness of (E_1) appearing in the Main Lemma.

2.1 Let $A, G, G_1, \alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ and $f : G \rightarrow G_1$ be as in 1.3. iii).

Also let $U \subset G$ be an Ad_G -invariant open set. Define $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(L(U, G_1))$ by

$$(\beta_g \varphi)(g_1, g_0, \dots, g_n) = \alpha_{g_1}^{n+1}(\varphi(g_1^{-1} g_1 f(g_1)^{-1} g_0, \dots, f(g_1)^{-1} g_n))$$

for any $g, g_1 \in G, g_0, \dots, g_n \in G_1$ and $\varphi \in L_n(U, G_1)$. β defines a smooth action of G .

In case $G = G_1, f = \text{id}$ and $U = G$ we define $p : L(G, G) \rightarrow (A \rtimes G)^n$ by

$$(p\varphi)(h_0, \dots, h_n) = \int_G \bar{\Psi}((\beta_g \varphi)(g_n, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n)) dg$$

if $g_0 = h_0, g_1 = h_0 h_1, \dots, g_n = h_0 h_1 \dots h_n, \bar{\Psi} = (\alpha_{g_n} \otimes \alpha_{g_0} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_{g_{n-1}})^{-1}$

and $\varphi \in L_n$.

Let C_Δ be the G -module having C as underlying space and the G -module structure given by $g \cdot \lambda = \Delta(g) \lambda$ for any $g \in G$ and any $\lambda \in C$. Here Δ is the modular function of G . Let $L = L(G, G)$.

Proposition. i) β_{g_1} and p are morphisms of quasicyclic objects.

ii) $p^* : (A \rtimes G)^{n*} \rightarrow L^{n*}$ establishes an isomorphism of $(A \rtimes G)^{n*}$ onto $\text{Hom}_G(C_\Delta, L^{n*})$. (The action of G on L is $\langle gf, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, g^{-1} \varphi \rangle$.)

Proof. i) is a tedious but straight forward computation which we shall omit.

Let $\Phi : L_n \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G, C_c^\infty(G^{n+1}, A^{\otimes n+1})) = C_c^\infty(G, (A \rtimes G)_n)$ be given by

$$\Phi(\varphi)(g^{-1}, h_0, \dots, h_n) = \bar{\Psi}((\beta_g \varphi)(g_n, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n))$$

(here Ψ , g_0, \dots, g_n have the same meaning as above). Φ is obviously an isomorphism which is also G -equivariant since

$$\Phi(\beta_g \varphi)(g^{-1}, h_0, \dots, h_n) = \Psi(\beta_{g_j}(\varphi(g_n, g_0, \dots, g_n))) = \tilde{\varphi}(g^{-1} g^{-1}, h_0, \dots, h_n)$$

The proof of the proposition will be accomplished once the following lemma is proved.

2.2. Let G be as above, X a smooth principal G -bundle and $B = G \backslash X$. Also let E be a complete locally convex space. Then $C_c^\omega(X, E)$ is a complete smooth G -module. Let $F = C_\Delta \otimes C_c^\omega(G, E)$.

Lemma. i) $\text{Hom}_G(C_\Delta, C_c^\omega(G, E)^*) \cong H^0(G, F^*) \cong C_c^\omega(B, E)^*$,
ii) $H^j(G, F^*) = 0$ for $j > 0$.

Here $H^j(G, F_0)$, $j \geq 0$ denote the cohomology groups of G with values in the continuous G -module F_0 . As in the case G discrete they represent the derived functors of $F_0 \rightarrow F_0^G (= H^0(G, F_0))$ [9].

Proof. It is proved in [9, Observation III .1.3.] that $H^0(G, C_c^\omega(G, E^*)) = E^*$ and $H^j(G, C_c^\omega(G, E^*)) = 0$ for $j > 0$. The formulae for the homotopies used in the proof extend by continuity to prove that $H^0(G, C_c^\omega(G, E)^*) = E^*$ and $H^j(G, C_c^\omega(G, E)^*) = 0$ for $j > 0$. The isomorphism $C_c^\omega(G, E) \cong C_\Delta \otimes C_c^\omega(G, E)$ as G -modules proves the theorem for $X = G$. Since $C_c^\omega(G \times B, E) \cong C_c^\omega(G, C_c^\omega(B, E))$ the case X trivial reduces to the case $X = G$. The general case follows by a partition of unity argument and the $C_c^\omega(B)$ -linearity of the homotopies for $X = G \times B$.

The isomorphism $\text{Hom}_G(C_\Delta, C_c^\omega(G, E)^*) \cong C_c^\omega(B, E)^*$ is the dual of $p_0 : C_c^\omega(X, E) \rightarrow C_c^\omega(B, E)$

$$(p_0 f)(x) = \int_G f(g^{-1} y) dg$$

where y is an arbitrary lifting of x .

2.3. Let $U \subset G$ be an Ad_G -invariant open set. Define $J: L(U, G_1) \rightarrow L(U, \{e\})$ by

$$J\varphi(\gamma) = \int_{G_1^{n+1}} \varphi(\gamma^i, g_0, \dots, g_n) dg_0 \dots dg_n$$

Lemma. J is a morphism of quasicyclic objects and $\text{HH}_n(J)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. The first part is obvious.

Let $F = \ker J$. It is enough to show that $\text{HH}_n(F) = 0$. Define $F^{(-1)} = 0$,

$$F^{(j)} = \left\{ \varphi \in F, \int_{G_1^{j+1}} \varphi(\gamma^i, g_0, \dots, g_n) dg_0, \dots, dg_j = 0 \text{ if } \varphi \in L_n(U, G_1) \right\}$$

Then $F^{(j)} \subset F^{(j+1)}$, $\bigcup F^{(j)} = F$.

Let us observe that $F^{(j)}$ is invariant for both ∂ and ∂' :

$$d_i F_n^{(j)} \subset F_{n-1}^{(j)} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n; d_i F_n^{(j)} \subset F_{n-1}^{(j-1)} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, j.$$

Choose $\chi \in C_c^\infty(G_1)$, $\int_{G_1} \chi(g) dg = 1$. We define

$$(s\varphi)(\gamma, g_0, \dots, g_{n+1}) = s_j(\varphi(\gamma^i, g_0, \dots, g_j, g_{j+2}, \dots, g_{n+1})) \chi(g_{j+1})$$

s_j being the degeneracy of A . Using $\partial = (-1)^{j+1}(d_{j+1} - d_{j+2} + \dots + (-1)^{n-j+1}d_n)$

on $F^{(j)} / F^{(j-1)}$ we obtain $\partial s + s\partial = (-1)^{j+1}$ on $F^{(j)} / F^{(j-1)}$ and similarly

$\partial' s + s\partial' = (-1)^{j+1}$ on $F^{(j)} / F^{(j-1)}$. This proves the lemma.

2.4. We now give a consequence of the lemma above.

Proposition. Let $K \subset G$ be a compact subgroup, then

$$\text{HC}_*(L(K, G)^K) \simeq \text{HC}_*(A \rtimes K)$$

Proof. The above lemma shows that J induces an isomorphism $HH_*^*(L(K, G)^K) \cong HH_*^*(L(K, \{e\})^K)$ since K is compact. The Connes' exact sequence shows that it also induces an isomorphism $HC_*^*(L(K, G)^K) \cong HC_*^*(L(K, \{e\})^K)$. Then we use the isomorphism $(A \rtimes K)^h \cong L(K, K)^K$ (see proposition 2.1. ii).

2.5. Let K be a compact Lie group. Consider $C^\infty(K)$ with the convolution product.

Corollary. [16] $HC_*^*(C^\infty(K)) \cong C^\infty(K)^K \otimes HC_*(C)$.

Proof. Use the above proposition and observe that $L(K, \{e\}) = C^\infty(K)$. Hence $L(K, \{e\})^K$ is the space of smooth class-functions on K .

2.5. Let E and E' be two locally convex spaces which are also continuous G -modules in the sense that there are given morphisms $G \rightarrow GL(E)$ and $G \rightarrow GL(E')$ such that $G \ni g \rightarrow g \xi \in E$ is continuous for each $\xi \in E$ (respectively $\xi \in E'$). Then $E \otimes_G E'$ will denote the quotient of $E \otimes E'$ by the closed subspace generated by $g^{-1} \xi \otimes \xi' - \xi \otimes g \xi'$.

If E is a complete smooth G -module and G is compact then $E \otimes_G C$ is isomorphic to E^G . For any G $(E \otimes_G C)^* \cong E^*G$.

2.6. We now give a complex whose homology might be called the G -homology of a given smooth G -module F . It is closely connected to van Est's theorem [8, 9].

Let $K \subset G$ be a maximal compact subgroup of G , $t = \text{Lie } K \subset \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$.

Define

$$\delta : (\wedge^j(\mathfrak{g}/t) \otimes E) \otimes_K C \rightarrow (\wedge^{j-1}(\mathfrak{g}/t) \otimes F) \otimes_K C$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\dot{x}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \dot{x}_j \otimes \xi) &= \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{i+1} \dot{x}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\dot{x}}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \dot{x}_j \otimes x_i(\xi) - \\ &- \sum_{i < k} (-1)^{i+k} \overbrace{[\dot{x}_i, \dot{x}_k]}^{\in C} \otimes \dot{x}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\dot{x}}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\dot{x}}_k \wedge \dots \wedge \dot{x}_j \otimes \xi. \end{aligned}$$

for $X_1, \dots, X_j \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\xi \in F$ and \dot{X} denoting the class of $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ in \mathfrak{g}/t .

We shall be interested only in the case $F = C_{\Delta} \otimes C_c^{\infty}(G, E)$ for E an arbitrary locally convex space.

Proposition. Let G be a finite component Lie group, K, E and F be as above. Let $q = \dim(G/K)$. Then the complex

$$0 \rightarrow (\wedge^q(\mathfrak{g}/t) \otimes F) \otimes_K C \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta} (\wedge^0(\mathfrak{g}/t) \otimes F) \otimes_K C \rightarrow F \otimes_G C \rightarrow 0$$

is well defined and acyclic.

$$(C_{\Delta} \otimes L(G, G)) \otimes_G C \simeq (A \rtimes G)^H.$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that it is well defined (this follows also from 3.7.). If we discard the augmentation $(\wedge^0(\mathfrak{g}/t) \otimes F) \otimes_K C = F \otimes_K C \rightarrow F \otimes_G C$ and pass to the dual complex we obtain the complex computing $H^j(G, F^*)$ [9]. Since $H^j(G, F^*) = 0$ for $j > 0$ and $H^0(G, F^*) = F^*G = (F \otimes_G C)^*$ by lemma 2.2 we obtain the result. The last statement follows from proposition 2.1.

2.7. Let $H \subset G$ be a central compact subgroup, H acting trivially on A ,

$\varphi: G \rightarrow G_1 = G/H$ the quotient morphism. There exists an action of H on $L(G, G)$ and $L(G, G_1)$ given by

$$(h\varphi)(\gamma, g_0, \dots, g_n) = \varphi(h\gamma, g_0, \dots, g_n)$$

commuting with the action of G defined in 2.1.

Let $J_0: L(G, G) \rightarrow L(G, G_1)$ be given by

$$J_0 \varphi(\dot{\gamma}_1, \dot{g}_0, \dots, \dot{g}_n) = \int_{H^{n+1}} \varphi(\gamma, h_0 g_0, \dots, h_n g_n) dh_0 \dots dh_n$$

(\dot{g}_i is the class of g_i in G_1).

Then J_0 is a morphism of quasicyclic objects commuting with the actions of G and H . Lemma 2.3. shows $HH_*(J_0)$ is an isomorphism. Since H is compact and central it is contained in all maximally compact subgroups of G .

This shows that the complex of lemma 2.6. for $L(G, G_1)$ is exact. A spectral sequence argument shows that

$$HH_{\star}^*(J_0 \otimes_G C) : HH_{\star}^*((C \otimes_{\Delta} L(G, G)) \otimes_G C) \rightarrow HH_{\star}^*((C \otimes_{\Delta} L(G, G_1)) \otimes_G C)$$

is an isomorphism and hence also

$$HC_{\star}^*(J_0 \otimes_G C) : HC_{\star}^*((C \otimes_{\Delta} L(G, G)) \otimes_G C) \rightarrow HC_{\star}^*((C \otimes_{\Delta} L(G, G_1)) \otimes_G C)$$

is an isomorphism. Since $((C \otimes_{\Delta} L(G, G)) \otimes_G C \cong (A \rtimes G)^H$ by 2.1. and 2.6. and $L(G, G_1)^H \cong L(G_1, G_1)$ we obtain

Theorem. Let $H \subset G$ be a compact central subgroup, $G_1 = G/H$, then

$$\begin{aligned} HC_{\star}^*(A \rtimes G_1) &\cong HC_{\star}^*(A \rtimes G)^H \quad \text{and} \\ HC_{\star}^*(A \rtimes G_1) &\cong HC_{\star}^*(A \rtimes G)^H. \end{aligned}$$

This theorem may be viewed as a generalisation of a well known theorem in the theory of compact group representation : "if G is compact then $C \otimes R(G_1) \cong (C \otimes R(G))^H$ " [1].

3. Reduction to the maximal compact subgroup

In this section we shall show that $\text{PHC}_{\star}(A \rtimes G)$ and $\text{PHC}_{\star+q}(A \rtimes K)$ are isomorphic when localised at suitable maximal ideals of $C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G) = \{f \in C^{\infty}(G), f(j_1 g j_1^{-1}) = f(g) \text{ for any } j_1, g \in G\}$. Here K is a maximal compact subgroup of G and $q = \dim G/K$. We shall accomplish this ^{by} using the Main Lemma, so most of this section will be concerned with obtaining the data needed to put us in position to use the Main Lemma.

3.1. Let $A, G, G_1, \varphi: G \rightarrow G_1$ and $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be as in 1.3. Also let $V \subset G$ be an Ad_G -invariant open set. Define a $C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$ -module structure on $L(V, G_1)$ by

$$(\varphi\psi)(j_1, g_0, \dots, g_n) = \varphi(j_1)\psi(j_1, g_0, \dots, g_n)$$

for any $\varphi \in C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G), \psi \in L_n(V, G_1)$. It is easy to see that d_j, T_{n+1} and

$\beta_{j_1}(j_1 \in G)$ are $C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$ -module endomorphisms.

We shall need the following

Lemma. Let $m_x = \{\varphi \in C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G), \varphi(x) = 0\}$. Then $m_x, x \in G$ exhaust the set of all closed maximal ideals of $C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$. If $I \subset C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$ is an ideal not contained in any m_x and $K_0 \subset G$ is an arbitrary compact subset then there exists $\varphi \in I$ such that $\varphi = 1$ on K_0 .

Proof. Let $I \subset C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$ be an ideal not contained in any m_x . Then for any $x \in G$ there exists $\varphi_x \in I$ such that $\varphi_x(x) \neq 0$. Replacing φ_x by $|\varphi_x|^2$ if necessary we may suppose that $\varphi_x \geq 0$. Let $K_0 \subset G$ be a compact subset. An easy argument shows that there exists $\varphi \in I$ such that $\varphi \geq 1$ on K_0 . Let $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, such that $g(t) = t^{-1}$ for $t > 1$. Then $\psi = (g \circ \varphi)\varphi$ belongs to I

and $\psi = 1$ on K_0 .

If I is also closed let $G = \bigcup K_n$, $n \geq 1$ with K_n compact for any $n \geq 1$. Choose $\varphi_n \in I$ such that $\varphi_n > 0$ on K_n . There exists $\lambda_n > 0$ such that $\sum \lambda_n \varphi_n$ is convergent in $C^\infty(G)$. Then $\sum \lambda_n \varphi_n$ belongs to I and is invertible in $C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(G)$.

3.2. Fix $x \in G$. In order to study the localisations at m_x we make some assumptions on x .

Let $G_x = \{g \in G, g \cdot x = x \cdot g\}$, $\mathfrak{g}_x = \text{Lie } G_x \subset \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. If U is an Ad_{G_x} -invariant neighborhood of 0 in \mathfrak{g}_x we let $G \times_{G_x} U = (G \times U) / G_x$ for the following action of G_x on $G \times U$: $(g_1, X)g = (g_1g, \text{Ad}_g^{-1}(X))$, $g_1 \in G$, $X \in U$ and $g \in G_x$. We define $c: G \times_{G_x} U \rightarrow G$ by $c(g, X) = g \cdot \exp(X)g^{-1}$. c is obviously well defined.

We shall assume for $x \in G$ fixed that there exists $U \subset \mathfrak{g}_x$ satisfying:

(A1) c is a diffeomorphism onto an open set $V \subset G$.

Let $R_0 = \{\psi \in C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(G), \text{supp } \psi \subset V\}$. $W = x \exp(U)$ is an open set in G_x provided (A1) is true. Let $R_1 = \{\varphi \in C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(G_x), \text{supp } \varphi \subset W\}$. Define $\Phi: R_0 \rightarrow R_1$, $\Phi(\psi)(g) = 0$ if $g \notin W$, $\Phi(\psi)(g) = \psi(g)$ if $g \in W$. We shall also assume

(A2) Φ is an isomorphism, and

(A3) There exist $\varphi, \varphi' \in R_1$ satisfying $\varphi(x) = 1$ and $\varphi\varphi' = \varphi$.

Conditions for x , U and G to satisfy (A1)-(A3) will be given in the Appendix.

3.3. Conventions. Let H be a Lie group and M be a $C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(H)$ -module. We shall denote by M_y the localization of M at m_y for $y \in H$.

If not otherwise stated we shall assume (A1)-(A3) for fixed $x \in G$ and $U \subset \mathfrak{g}_x$. V and W will always have the meaning of 3.2.

3.4. An immediate consequence of (A2) and (A3) is that $L(V, G)_x \rightarrow L(G, G)_x$ and $L(W, G_x)_x \rightarrow L(G_x, G_x)_x$ are isomorphisms for the $C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(G)$ (respectively $C_{\text{inv}}^\infty(G_x)$) -module structure. This justifies the study of $L(V, G)$ and $L(W, G_x)$.

Let us observe that $V \times G^{n+1}$ and $W \times G^{n+1}$ are G (respectively G_x) principal bundles for the action $j'(j_1, g_0, \dots, g_n) = (jj_1j^{-1}, jg_0, \dots, jg_n)$ where $g_0, \dots, g_n \in G$, $j \in G$, $j_1 \in V$ (respectively $j \in G_x$, $j_1 \in W$). Moreover due to (A1) the inclusion $W \times G^{n+1} \rightarrow V \times G^{n+1}$ factors to give a diffeomorphism $G_x \backslash W \times G^{n+1} \rightarrow G \backslash V \times G^{n+1}$. Lemma 2.2. then gives $(C_\Delta \otimes L(V, G)) \otimes_G C \simeq (C_{\Delta'} \otimes L(W, G)) \otimes_{G_x} C$, Δ' being the modular function of G_x . We obtain $(A \times G)_x \simeq ((C_\Delta \otimes L(G, G)) \otimes_G C)_x \simeq ((C_\Delta \otimes L(V, G)) \otimes_G C)_x \simeq ((C_{\Delta'} \otimes L(W, G)) \otimes_{G_x} C)_x$

3.5. Let $\sigma: G_x \backslash G \rightarrow G$ be a locally bounded borelian section for $G \rightarrow G_x \backslash G$ [21, 5.1.1].

Lemma. i) There exists a measure μ on $G_x \backslash G$ such that

$$\int_G h(g) dg = \int_{G_x \backslash G} h(j\sigma(t)) d\mu(t).$$

ii) If we let $E\varphi(j) = \int_{G_x \backslash G} \varphi(j\sigma(t)) d\mu(t)$ then we obtain a

continuous linear map $E: C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G_x)$ satisfying

$$\int_G \varphi(g) dg = \int_{G_x} E\varphi(j) dj$$

Proof. Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(G_x \backslash G)$. For any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(G_x)$ let $I_\psi(\varphi) =$

$$= \int_G (\varphi \times \psi) \circ f^{-1}(g) dg \text{ where } f(j, t) = j\sigma(t) \text{ defines a borelian isomorphism}$$

$G_x \times G_x \backslash G \rightarrow G$. I_ψ defines a continuous linear functional $C_c^\infty(G_x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which

is G_x invariant. Hence there exists $\mu: C_c(G_x \backslash G) \rightarrow C$ such that

$$I_{\psi}(\varphi) = \mu(\psi) \int_{G_x} \varphi(y) dy \text{ for } \psi \in C_c(G_x \backslash G) \text{ and } \varphi \in C_c(G_x). \mu \text{ is a}$$

continuous linear functional and hence there exists a measure $d\mu$ on $G_x \backslash G$ such that $\mu(\psi) = \int_{G_x \backslash G} \psi(t) d\mu(t)$. This proves i). ii) follows from the

definition of $d\mu$ and the locally boundedness of σ .

$$3.6. \text{ Let } (A \rtimes G)_V = (C_{\Delta} \otimes L(V, G)) \otimes_G C.$$

Define $E_0: L(W, G) \rightarrow L(W, G_x)$ by

$$E_0 \varphi(g, g_0, \dots, g_n) = \int_{(G_x \backslash G)^{n+1}} \varphi(g, g_0 \sigma(x_0), \dots, g_n \sigma(x_n)) d\mu(x_0) \dots d\mu(x_n)$$

Proposition. i) E_0 is a morphism of quasicyclic objects commuting with the action of G_x .

ii) If $x \in G$ satisfies (A1) then $HC((A \rtimes G)_V) \simeq HC((A \rtimes G_x)_W)$.

iii) If also (A2) and (A3) are satisfied then

$$HC_{\star}(A \rtimes G)_x \simeq HC_{\star}(A \rtimes G)_{xx}.$$

Proof. i) follows from the above lemma.

The above lemma and lemma 2.3. show that $HH_{\star}(E_0)$ is an isomorphism.

Then a standard reasoning (see the proof of theorem 2.7.) shows that

$$HC_{\star}((C_{\Delta} \otimes E_0) \otimes_{G_x} C) : HC_{\star}((C_{\Delta} \otimes L(W, G)) \otimes_{G_x} C) \rightarrow HC_{\star}((C_{\Delta} \otimes L(W, G_x)) \otimes_{G_x} C)$$

is also an isomorphism. Since $(C_{\Delta} \otimes L(V, G)) \otimes_G C \simeq (C_{\Delta} \otimes L(W, G)) \otimes_{G_x} C$ we obtain

ii). iii) follows from ii) and 3.4.

3.7. We are now continuing to define the data needed for the use of the Main Lemma.

A element y in a topological group is called a topologically torsion element if $\langle y \rangle$, the closed group generated by y , is compact. If $\langle y \rangle$ is not compact then we shall say that y is topologically torsion free. Let K_x be a maximal compact subgroup of G_x . Then x is topologically torsion precisely when $x \in K_x$.

Let $F = C_{\Delta'} \otimes L(W, G_x)$ if $x \in K_x$,

$F = C_{\Delta'} \otimes L(W, G_x) / (1-x)(C_{\Delta'} \otimes L(W, G_x))$ if $x \notin K_x$.

Also let $L = K_x$ if $x \in K_x$, otherwise let L be a maximal compact subgroup of $G_x / \langle x \rangle$. Define $t = \text{Lie } L$ and $\chi^{(j)} = (\wedge^j(g/t) \otimes F) \otimes_L C$.

The definitions of δ and σ as well as their properties are closely connected with the complex computing the g_x -homology of F .

Let $C_j = \wedge^j g_x \otimes F$ and $\delta_0: C_j \rightarrow C_{j-1}$ be defined by a formula similar to that of δ in 2.6. :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_j \otimes \xi) &= \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^{i+1} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \dots \wedge x_j \otimes x_i(\xi) - \\ &- \sum_{i < k} (-1)^{i+k} [x_i, x_k] \wedge x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_k \wedge \dots \wedge x_j \otimes \xi \end{aligned}$$

As it is well known (C_j, δ_0) computes $H_{\chi}^*(g_x, F)$ = the homology of the g_x -module F .

$\chi^{(j)}$ is isomorphic to C_j / C'_j , C'_j being the closed submodule of C_j generated by $t \wedge C_{j-1}$ and $(j-1)C_j$ for $y \in K_x \cup \langle x \rangle$. Observe that $t(C_j) \subset C'_j$.

Recall that $\delta_0(X \wedge \omega) = X(\omega) - X \wedge \delta_0(\omega)$ for $X \in g$ and $\omega \in C_{j-1}$, this equation and the G_x -invariance of δ_0 show that $\delta_0(C'_j) \subset C'_j$. δ , already

defined in 2.6, coincides with the quotient of δ_0 . (This also completes the proof of 2.6.)

Let $d_j: C_k \rightarrow C_k$, $d_j(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_k \otimes \lambda \otimes \xi) = X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_k \otimes \lambda \otimes d_j \xi$

if $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\lambda \in C_\Delta$, $\xi \in F$. C_k becomes a quasicyclic object if we similarly define T_{n+1} to act only on the factor F . C'_k is invariant for the structural morphisms and we shall endow $\mathcal{X}^{(j)} = C_j / C'_j$ with the quotient quasicyclic structure.

3.8. Let $Z \in C^\infty(W, \mathfrak{g}_X)$, $Z(x \exp(X)) = X$. We define $\sigma_0: C_k \rightarrow C_{k+1}$ by $\sigma_0(\omega) = Z \wedge \omega$.

Lemma. i) $\sigma_0(Y \wedge \omega) = -Y \wedge \sigma_0(\omega)$,

ii) $Y(\sigma_0(\omega)) = \sigma_0(Y(\omega))$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_X, \omega \in C_j$.

iii) $\sigma_0(C'_j) \subset C'_j$.

Proof. i) follows from the definition. Z is Ad_{G_X} -invariant and hence $Y(Z) = 0$.

Then $Y(\sigma_0(\omega)) = Y(Z \wedge \omega) = Y(Z) \wedge \omega + Z \wedge Y(\omega) = \sigma_0(Y(\omega))$. This proves ii).

The last part follows from i), ii) and the G_X -invariance of σ_0 .

We define $\sigma: \mathcal{X}^{(j)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(j+1)}$ as the quotient of σ_0 according to iii) of the lemma.

3.9. We now come to the definition of $\eta_t: R \rightarrow \text{GL}(L(W, G_X))$.

$$(\eta'_t \varphi)(x \exp(X), g_0, \dots, g_n) = (\beta_{\exp(tX)} \varphi)(x \exp(X), g_0, \dots, g_n)$$

for any $\varphi \in L_n(W, G_X)$, $t \in R$. Also let R act on C_j by

$$t \cdot (X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_k \otimes \lambda \otimes \xi) = X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_k \otimes \lambda \otimes \eta'_t(\xi)$$

for $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\lambda \in C_\Delta$ and $\varphi \in L(W, G_X)$. Let ∇_0 be the derivative of this action at 0.

Lemma. $\nabla_0 = \delta_0 \sigma_0 + \sigma_0 \delta_0$.

Proof. Let $\omega = \lambda \otimes \varphi \in C_0 = C_{\Delta'} \otimes L(W, G_X)$. Choose a basis Y_1, \dots, Y_m of g_X

and let y^1, \dots, y^m be the dual basis. Then $Z = \sum_{j=1}^m f^j Y_j$ if

$$f^j(x \exp(X)) = y^j(X).$$

Let $[Y_i, Y_j] = \sum_{k=1}^m C_{ij}^k Y_k$, then $Y_i(f^j) = -\sum_{k=1}^m C_{ik}^j f^k$. Using the

relation $d\Delta = -\text{tr} \circ \text{ad}$ we obtain for ω as above $\delta_0(\sigma_0(\omega)) =$

$$= \delta_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^m Y_i \otimes \lambda \otimes f^i\right) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{Y_i}) \lambda \otimes f^i \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda \otimes Y_i(f^i) \varphi +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda \otimes f^i Y_i(\varphi).$$

Now $\sum_{i=1}^m \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{Y_i}) \lambda \otimes f^i \varphi = \lambda \otimes \text{tr}(\text{ad}_Z) \varphi$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m Y_i(f^i) =$

$$= -\sum_{i,j=1}^m C_{ij}^i f^j = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_Z). \text{ Since } \nabla_0 \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^m f^i Y_i(\varphi) \text{ we obtain}$$

the statement of the lemma on C_0 .

Next we proceed by induction. Using lemma 3.8. we get :

$$(\delta_0 \sigma_0 + \sigma_0 \delta_0)(X \wedge \omega) = -\delta_0(X \wedge \sigma_0(\omega)) + \sigma_0(X(\omega) - X \wedge \sigma_0(\omega)) =$$

$$= -X(\sigma_0(\omega)) + X \wedge \delta_0 \sigma_0(\omega) + \sigma_0(X(\omega)) + X \wedge \overbrace{\sigma_0(\omega)}^{\delta_0(\omega)} = X \wedge \nabla_0(\omega) = \nabla_0(X \wedge \omega).$$

From this lemma or directly from the definition we obtain that C'_j is invariant for this action of R and hence, passing to quotients we obtain the desired action of R on $\mathcal{X}^{(j)}$, $\eta: R \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{X}^{(j)})$. It satisfies $\eta_1 = T_{n+1}^{n+1}$

since x acts trivially on F and $\nabla = \sigma\delta + \delta\sigma$ if ∇ is the derivative of γ_t at 0.

3.10. Let $\mathcal{Y} = (C_{\Delta'} \otimes L(W, G_X)) \otimes_{G_X} C = (A \ G_X)_W$. Also let

$\mathcal{X} = L(W \cap K_X, G_X \otimes_{K_X} C)$, if $x \in K_X$, $Z = L(W \cap M, G_X) \otimes_M C$ if $x \notin K_X$ and M is

the inverse image of L in G_X .

3.11. We have defined all objects needed for the use of the Main Lemma. We are now concentrating to prove that the conditions of the Main Lemma are satisfied. Let $q = \dim (G/L)$.

If G_x is a finite component Lie group the exactness of (E_1) was treated in section 2 (2.1., 2.2. and mainly 2.6.). For $x \notin K_x$ we also use the Serre-Hochschild spectral sequence for the normal subgroup $(x) \subset G_x$ [9].

Let Y_1, \dots, Y_m be a basis of \mathfrak{g} such that Y_{q+1}, \dots, Y_m is a basis of $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie } L$. Also let y^1, \dots, y^m be the dual basis and $f^j(x \exp(X)) = y^j(X)$. Then $(\bigwedge^j(\mathfrak{g}_x/\mathfrak{t}) \otimes F, \sigma_0)$ is the Koszul complex associated with the regular sequence (f^1, \dots, f^q) and the $C^\infty(W)$ -module F . The ideal I generated by (f^1, \dots, f^q) in $C(W)$ is the ideal of $K_x \cap W$ if $x \in K_x$, respectively the ideal of $M \cap W$ if $x \notin K_x$.

$\text{Tor}_j^{C^\infty(W)}(I, F) = 0$ for $j > 0$ and $\text{Tor}_0^{C^\infty(W)}(I, F) = I \otimes_{C^\infty(W)} F =$
 $= L(W \cap K_x, G_x)$ if $x \in K_x$, respectively $L(W \cap M, G_x)/(1-x)L(W \cap M, G_x)$ if $x \notin K_x$. (Note that we have omitted C_Δ since it does not affect the underlying locally convex space, it only changes the action of G_x . Since in the above formulae such an action does not exist or is not important, our procedure is justified.) The exactness of (E_2) follows from the exactness of $\bigotimes_L C$ (L is compact).

3.12 We are going now to study conditions for the injectivity of $1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}$; it may fail to be injective and this happens for example if G_x is compact or $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $x = e$. However it is injective for $G \times \mathbb{R}$ and any x .
Lemma. i) Suppose $x \in K_x$. If $(1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1})\varphi = 0$ for a certain $\varphi \in L_n(W, G_x)$ then $\varphi(\gamma, g_0, \dots, g_n) = 0$ for any topologically torsion free γ .

ii) If $G \simeq H \times R$ then $1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}$ is injective for any x .

Proof. The assumption of i) shows that $\varphi(\gamma, g_0, \dots, g_n) =$
 $= (\alpha_\gamma^{\otimes n+1})^{-m}(\varphi(\gamma^m g_0, \dots, \gamma^m g_n))$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since φ has compact support this may happen for topologically torsion free γ only if $\varphi(\gamma, g_0, \dots, g_n) = 0$. This proves i). ii) is proved similarly.

3.13. We are ready to draw some conclusions from the above discussion and the Main Lemma.

Let G be a finite component Lie group, $K \subset G$ a maximal compact subgroup. Also let $x \in G$ and $U \subset g_x$ satisfying $(A_1) - (A_3)$. V, W, K_x, L and M will have the same meaning as before. Let $q = \dim G/K$, $q' = \dim G_x/K_x$, K being chosen such that $K_x \subset K$.

Proposition. i) $\text{PHC}_*(A \rtimes R) \simeq \text{PHC}_{*+1}(A)$.

ii) $\text{PHC}_*(A \rtimes R)_0 \simeq \text{PHC}_*(A \rtimes R)$,

$\text{PHC}(A \rtimes R)_t = 0$ for any $t \in R$, $t \neq 0$.

iii) If $x \in K_x$, G_x is a finite component Lie group and $U' = c(K \times_{K_x}(t \cap U))$, then $\text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes G)_V) \simeq \text{PHC}_{*+q}((A \rtimes K)_{U'})$.

iv) If $x \notin K_x$, $W \cap K_x = \emptyset$ and $G_x \simeq H \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ where H is a finite component Lie group then

$$\text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes G)_V) = 0$$

(Here K_x is a maximal compact subgroup of H .)

Proof. $\text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes G)_V) \simeq \text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes G_x)_W)$ (1)

by proposition 3.6. . Suppose that $1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}$ is injective on each $\mathcal{X}^{(j)}$.

Then the Main Lemma shows that

$$\text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes G_x)_W) \simeq \text{PHC}_{*+q'}(\mathbb{Z}) \quad (2)$$

If $x \in K_x$ lemma 2.3. shows that

$$\text{PHC}_*(Z) \cong \text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes K_x)_{W \cap K_x}) \quad (3)$$

Using once again proposition 3.6. we obtain

$$\text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes K_x)_{W \cap K_x}) \cong \text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes K)_U)$$

For $G = R$ and $x = e$ we may take $U = R$ and we obtain i) and ii). The proof of i) for $(A \rtimes G) \rtimes R$ shows that $\text{PHC}_*(A \rtimes (G \rtimes R)_{U \rtimes R}) \cong \text{PHC}_{*+1}((A \rtimes G)_U)$. This shows that we may replace G by $G \rtimes R$. Since for $G \rtimes R$ $1 - T_{n+1}^{n+1}$ is injective the above discussion proves iii) since q and q' have the same parity.

iv) It is enough to prove that $\text{PHC}_*(A \rtimes G_x)_W = 0$.

Suppose first that $W \cap H = \emptyset$. Then the statement follows from the vanishing of the periodic cyclic homology of the inhomogeneous components of crossed products by Z^n [18]. If $W \subset H$ then we show that $\text{PHC}_*(A \rtimes G_x)_{WZ^n} = 0$.

Let us observe that $\text{PHC}_*(A \rtimes G_x)_{WZ^n} \cong \text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes Z^n) \rtimes H)_W$. Denote by L a maximal compact subgroup of $H/(x)$ and by M its preimage in H . L has the same homotopy type as $H/(x)$ and hence has a finite number of connected components. Let \mathfrak{z} be the center of $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie } M$. There exists a suitable power of x such that $x^n = \exp(X)$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{z}$. Then $R \ni s \rightarrow \exp(sX) \in M$ identifies R with a closed central subgroup of M such that M/R is compact. Then $M \cong M/R \times R$ and this isomorphism is unique if we require $M/R \rightarrow M/R \times R \cong M \rightarrow M/R$ to be identity (use $H^1(M/R, R) = H^2(M/R, R) = 0$). We identify M/R to the corresponding subgroup of M . We still have (1) and (2) for $q' = \dim H/M$.

However (3) has to be replaced with

$$\text{PHC}_*(Z) \cong \text{PHC}_*((A \rtimes M)_{M \cap W})$$

Since $M \cap W \subset M/R \times R$ we obtain iv) from ii).

Corollary [7] Let G be a connected nilpotent Lie group, $K \subset G$ a maximal compact subgroup then $\text{PHC}_{\star}(A \rtimes G) \rtimes \text{PHC}_{\star+q}(A \rtimes K)$.

Proof. K is a central subgroup. Then use i) and induction.

3.14. We are going now to prove the theorem in the introduction.

Proof of theorem

Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset C_{\text{inv}}^{\infty}(G)$ be a maximal ideal. If \mathfrak{m} is not closed then $L(G, G)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ by lemma 3.1. Suppose now $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_y$ for some $y \in G$. Let $y = xx_u$ be the Jordan decomposition of y : x is semisimple, x_u unipotent and $xx_u = x_u x$ [2]. Chose $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ an injective morphism of algebraic groups [2]. Then $\rho(x)$ and Ad_x are semisimple and hence x and $U = U_{\epsilon}(\rho)$ for small $\epsilon > 0$ satisfy $(A_1)-(A_3)$ (see the Appendix). Here $U_{\epsilon}(\rho) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_x, (d\rho(X)) \subset B(0, \epsilon)\}$. Moreover the function φ appearing in (A_3) satisfies $\varphi(y) = 1$ (5.3).

Let us observe that G_x , being an algebraic group, has a finite number of components.

If $x \in K_x$ the statement of the theorem follows localising 3.13. iii) at \mathfrak{m}_y .

If $x \notin K_x$ then $\sigma(\rho(x))$ is not contained in π and the same will be true of $c(y, X)$ for any $y \in G, X \in U_{\epsilon}(\rho)$ for small ϵ . Then since $\text{PHC}_{\star}(A \rtimes G)_y \simeq \text{PHC}_{\star}((A \rtimes G)_V)_y = 0$ and $\text{PHC}_{\star}(A \rtimes K)_y = 0$ the proof is completed.

3.15. We are going now to give some more examples. Their purpose is it to show how to use the techniques we have developed also for some nonalgebraic groups.

i) $G = \widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{R})}$ - the simply connected covering group of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Let x be a generator of the center, $U = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}), \det(X) < \pi^2\}$.

The proposition 3.13. iii) shows that $\text{PHC}_{\star}((A \rtimes G)_V) \simeq \text{PHC}_{\star+3}(A)$ if $V = \exp(U)$, and proposition 3.13. iv) show that $\text{PHC}_{\star}((A \rtimes G)_V) = 0$ if $V = x^n \exp(U)$ and $n \neq 0$. Since every orbit is contained in a set $x^n \exp(U)$ we obtain

$$\text{PHC}_{\star}(A \rtimes G) \simeq \text{PHC}_{\star+3}(A).$$

ii) Let $G = \widetilde{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})} \rtimes \mathbb{R}/N$ where $N = \{(x^n, n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, x being as above. Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\exp(X) = x$ then $K = \{\exp(s(X, -1)), s \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G . Let U be as above and let $V = \exp(U \times (-1/2, 1/2))$, $V' = \exp(U \times (0, 1))$. Using the same reasoning as before we obtain that $\text{PHC}_{\star}((A \rtimes G)_{x^n V}) = 0$ (respectively $\text{PHC}_{\star}((A \rtimes G)_{x^n V'}) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ and $\text{PHC}_{\star}((A \rtimes G)_V) \simeq \text{PHC}_{\star+3}((A \rtimes K)_I)$ where $I = \{\exp s(X, -1), s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}$ and $\text{PHC}_{\star}((A \rtimes G)_{V'}) \simeq \text{PHC}_{\star+3}((A \rtimes K)_{I'})$ where $I' = \{\exp s(X, -1), s \in (-1, 0)\}$. This shows the local isomorphism of $\text{PHC}_{\star}(A \rtimes G)$ and $\text{PHC}_{\star+3}(A \rtimes K)$.

4. More on the case G compact

In this section G will denote a connected compact ^{Lie} group, T a maximal torus of G and W the Weyl group of the pair (G, T) [1].

Let $L(G) = L(G, \{e\})^G$.

4.1. Restriction defines a map $L(G, \{e\}) \rightarrow L(T, \{e\})$ and hence also a map $r : L(G) \rightarrow L(T)$. Since $HC^*(L(G)) \simeq HC^*(A \rtimes G)$ (by lemma 2.3.) we obtain a morphism

$$r^* : PHC^*(A \rtimes T) \rightarrow PHC^*(A \rtimes G)$$

Our aim is to prove the following .

Theorem. r^* gives an isomorphism

$$PHC^*(A \rtimes G) \simeq PHC^*(A \rtimes T)^W$$

The proof will be splitted in steps.

4.2. Lemma. Suppose $\varphi : G_1 \rightarrow G$ is a finite covering of connected groups and the theorem is true for G_1 , then it is true also for G .

Proof. Let $H = \ker \varphi$, $T_1 = \varphi^{-1}(T)$, then $H \subset T_1$ and T_1 is a maximal torus of G_1 . Moreover the Weyl group of (G_1, T_1) naturally identifies with W . Recall (theorem 2.7.) that there exists a morphism $I : L(G_1, \{e\}) \rightarrow L(G, \{e\})$ defined by "integration along the fibers of $G_1 \rightarrow G$ ":

$$I\varphi(\dot{y}) = \sum_{h \in H} \varphi(yh)$$

which defines an isomorphism $PHC^*(A \rtimes G_1)^H \simeq PHC^*(A \rtimes G)$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(G_1) & \xrightarrow{r} & L(T_1) \\ \downarrow I & & \downarrow I \\ L(G) & \xrightarrow{r} & L(T) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Since the actions of W and H on $L(T_1)$ commute we obtain

$$\text{PHC}^*(A \rtimes G) \simeq \text{PHC}^*(A \rtimes G_1)^H \simeq (\text{PHC}^*(A \rtimes T_1)^W)^H \simeq (\text{PHC}^*(A \rtimes T_1)^H)^W \simeq \text{PHC}^*(A \rtimes T)^W$$

This lemma shows that we may suppose that $G \simeq H \times Z$ with H simply-connected semisimple and Z abelian. Since $A \rtimes G \simeq (A \rtimes Z) \rtimes H$ we may suppose that G itself is simply-connected and semisimple.

4.3. We define now a filtration invariant for r on $L(G)$ and $L(T)$.

Let $X_j \subset T$, $X_j = \{x \in T, \dim Z_G(x) \geq j\}$ (Here $Z_G(x)$ is the centralizer of x in G).

Let $L(G)^{(j)} = \{\varphi \in L(G), \text{all derivatives of } \varphi \text{ vanish on } X_j\}$ and define $L(T)^{(j)}$ similarly. It is immediate from the definition that $r(L(G)^{(j)}) \subset L(T)^{(j)W}$.

4.3. Lemma. $L(G)^{(j+1)} / L(G)^{(j)} \rightarrow (L(T)^{(j+1)} / L(T)^{(j)})^W$ defines an isomorphism for the PHC^* -groups.

Proof. Let T' be a torus contained in X_j but not in X_{j+1} . Consider the cyclic modules $A = A' / A''$, $B = B' / B''$ where

$$A' = \{\varphi \in L(T), \text{all derivatives of } \varphi \text{ vanish on } T' \cap X_{j+1}\},$$

$$A'' = \{\varphi \in L(T), \text{all derivatives of } \varphi \text{ vanish on } T'\},$$

$$B' = r^{-1}A' \text{ and } B'' = r^{-1}A''.$$

Then A and B are direct summands of the modules in the statement of the lemma. It is enough to show that

$$\text{PHC}^*(A)^W \rightarrow \text{PHC}^*(B)$$

is an isomorphism. Let $A^{(n)} = \{\varphi \in A, \text{the derivatives of } \varphi \text{ of order } < n \text{ vanish on } T'\}$, $B^{(n)} = r^{-1}(A^{(n)})$.

Let W_1 be the Weyl group of $H = Z_G(T')$. W_1 is the stabilizer of T' in W . It coincides with the stabilizer in W of any $x \in T' \setminus X_{j+1}$ [1]. Let $h = \text{Lie } H$ and $h' = [h, h]$. h' is the fiber of the normal bundle of $\text{Ad}_G(T' \setminus X_{j+1})$ at any point of $T' \setminus X_j$. (with respect to the metric defined by the Killing form). Then

$$A^{(n)} / A^{(n+1)} \simeq C_0^\infty(T' \setminus X_{j+1})^{W_2} \hat{\otimes} \text{Hom}(t'^{\otimes n+1}, A^h)$$

$$B^{(n)} / B^{(n+1)} \simeq C_0^\infty(T' \setminus X_{j+1})^{W_2} \hat{\otimes} \text{Hom}_{H'}(h'^{\otimes n+1}, A^h)$$

Here $C_0^\infty(T' \setminus X_{j+1})$ is the space of smooth functions on T' all of whose derivatives on X_{j+1} vanish. W_2 is the normalizer of T' in W . H' is the commutant of H , of course $h' = \text{Lie } H'$. $t' = t \cap h'$ is the Lie algebra of a maximal torus of H' , it is not equal to $\text{Lie } T' !$

The rest of the proof is contained in the following three lemmata.

4.5. Lemma. Let K be a connected compact Lie group acting on A . Suppose that this action commutes with the action of G then

$$\text{PHC}^*(L(G)) \simeq \text{PHC}^*(L(G)^{K_1})$$

is an isomorphism for any closed subgroup K_1 of K .

Proof. The statement follows from the homotopy invariance of the periodic cyclic cohomology [4].

4.6. Lemma. $\text{PHC}^*(A^{(n)} / A^{(n+1)})^{W_1} \rightarrow \text{PHC}^*(B^{(n)} / B^{(n+1)})$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. The above lemma shows that

$$C_0^\infty(T' \setminus X_{j+1})^{W_2} \hat{\otimes} (t'^{\otimes n+1})^{*W_1} \hat{\otimes} A^h \xrightarrow{W_1} (A^{(n)} / A^{(n+1)})^{W_1}$$

and

$$C_0^\infty(T' \setminus X_{j+1})^{W_2} \hat{\otimes} (h'^{\otimes n+1})^{*H'} \hat{\otimes} A^h \xrightarrow{H'} (B^{(n)} / B^{(n+1)})^{H'}$$

induce isomorphisms for the PHC^* -groups. Since $C[h']^{H'} \cong C[t']^{W_1} [12]$ the lemma is proved.

4.7. Lemma. $A \xrightarrow{*} \varinjlim (A / A^{(n)})^*$ and $B \xrightarrow{*} \varinjlim (B / B^{(n)})^*$.

Proof. Any distribution on a compact manifold has finite order.

5. Appendix

In this section we give some more manageable conditions on $x \in G$ and U ensuring $(A_1) - (A_3)$ to hold true.

Fix $x \in G$.

5.1. If $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a linear representation let $U_\varepsilon(\rho) = \{X \in G_x, \sigma(d\rho(x)) \subset B(0, \varepsilon)\}$. Here $B(0, \varepsilon) = \{z \mid |z| < \varepsilon\}$ and σ refers to the spectrum in $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

5.2 Suppose Ad_x is semisimple and $U \subset U_\varepsilon(\text{Ad})$ is Ad_{G_x} -invariant. Then for small $\varepsilon > 0$ $c: G_x^* U \rightarrow G$ is a local diffeomorphism.

Proof. We first identify $T_{\gamma} G$ with $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ by means of left translations and $T_x U$ with \mathfrak{g}_x for $x \in U$.

If $(\gamma, x) \in G_x^* U$ then $T_{(\gamma, x)}(G_x^* U) = \mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathfrak{g}_x / \{(Y, [XY]), Y \in \mathfrak{g}_x\}$.

We shall show that $dc_{(\gamma, x)}$ is injective, since $\dim T_{(\gamma, x)}(G_x^* U) = \dim T_{c(\gamma, x)} G$ we shall obtain that c is a local diffeomorphism.

Now c is G -equivariant so we may suppose that $\gamma = e$. We compute

$$dc_{(e, x)}(Y, X_0) = \text{Ad}_x^{-1} \exp(X)(Y) - Y + f(\text{ad}_x)(X_0)$$

where $f(t) = (1 - e^{-t}) t^{-1}$, $f(\text{ad}_X)$ is defined by analytic functional calculus and is the differential of \exp at X [11].

If $d_{c(e,X)}(Y, X_0) = 0$ then, for small ε , it follows that $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_X$ and

$$f(\text{ad}_X)(X_0) = (1 - \text{Ad}_X^{-1} \exp(X))(Y) = f(\text{ad}_X)(\text{ad}_X(Y))$$

and hence $X_0 = [XY]$ again for ε small enough.

5.3. We are looking now for conditions ensuring the other conditions on x .

Lemma. Suppose there exists $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ a complex representation with $d\rho$ injective, $\rho(x)$ semisimple and $U \subseteq U_\varepsilon(\rho)$. If U is Ad_G -invariant and $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough then c is injective and (A_2) is satisfied. If moreover $U = U_\varepsilon(g)$ then also (A_3) is satisfied.

Proof. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a disjoint union of open balls each centered at a point of $\sigma(\rho(x))$. We choose $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon < \pi$ so small that $\sigma(\rho(x \exp(X))) \subset \Omega$ for any $X \in U_\varepsilon(\rho)$. We split the proof into several steps.

Step 1. c is injective. Let $c(y, X) = c(e, X_0)$, then

$$(1) \quad \rho(y \times y^{-1}) \exp(\rho(y) d\rho(X) \rho(y)^{-1}) = \rho(x) \exp(d\rho(X_0)).$$

Let $\chi_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the unique locally constant function such that

$$\chi_0|_{\sigma(\rho(x))} = \text{id}_{\sigma(\rho(x))} \cdot \chi_0 \text{ being an analytic function}$$

$\chi_0(\rho(x) \exp(d\rho(X_0)))$ may be defined by analytic functional calculus and is equal to $\rho(x)$. Hence $\rho(y \times y^{-1}) = \rho(x)$ and $\exp(\rho(y) d\rho(X) \rho(y)^{-1}) = \exp(d\rho(X_0))$.

We obtain that $d\rho(\text{Ad}_y(X)) = d\rho(X_0)$ and hence $\text{Ad}_y(X) = X_0$ since $d\rho$ is injective. This shows that $y \times y^{-1} = x$ and hence $(y, X) = (e, X_0)$ in $G \times_{G_x} U$.

Step 2. $\mathcal{O}_{G_0}(x_0) = \{y x_0 y^{-1}, y \in G_0\}$ is closed in G_0 . Here we have

$$\text{denoted } G_0 = \rho(G), \quad x_0 = \rho(x).$$

$\mathcal{O}_{G_0}(x_0)$ is a submanifold of $GL(V)$. (We do not assume it to be closed).

$\mathcal{O}_{GL(V)}(x_0)$ is closed since it is equal to $\{y \in GL(V) \mid P(y) = 0\}$ if P is

the minimal polynomial of x_0 . Let $y \in \mathcal{O}_{GL(V)}(x_0) \cap G_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_y \mathcal{O}_{GL(V)}(x_0) &= (\text{Ad}_y^{-1} - 1)(\mathfrak{gl}(V)), \quad T_y \mathcal{O}_{GL(V)}(x_0) \cap T_y G_0 = (\text{Ad}_y^{-1} - 1)(\mathfrak{g}) = \\ &= T_y \mathcal{O}_{G_0}(y) \text{ since we may choose an } \text{Ad}_y\text{-invariant complement of } \mathfrak{g} \text{ in } \mathfrak{gl}(V). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{O}_{G_0}(y)$ is open in $\mathcal{O}_{GL(V)}(x_0) \cap G_0$. The latter being a union of such orbits it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{G_0}(x_0)$ is closed in $\mathcal{O}_{GL(V)}(x_0) \cap G_0$ and hence also in G_0 .

Step 3. $\mathcal{O}_G(x)$ is closed. ρ restricts to an obvious local diffeomorphism

$\mathcal{O}_G(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{G_0}(x_0)$. Since $\ker \rho$ is discrete $\mathcal{O}_G(x)$ is closed iff $\mathcal{O}_{G_0}(x_0)$

is closed.

Step 4. Suppose $L \subset U$ is a closed Ad_{G_x} -invariant subset of \mathfrak{g}_x . Then

$c(G \times_{G_x} L)$ is closed in G . We assume first that ρ is injective and identify

G with G_0 . Let $c(y_n, x_n) \rightarrow y \in G$. Then $X_0(c(y_n, x_n)) = y_n x_n^{-1} \rightarrow X_0(y) \in$

$\mathcal{O}_G(x)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_G(x)$ is closed we may write $y_n = y'_n y''_n$ with

$y''_n \in G_x$, y'_n convergent to say y' . Then $\exp(y''_n X_0 y''_n^{-1})$ converges to

$x^{-1} y'^{-1} y y'$ and hence also $y''_n X_0 y''_n^{-1}$ is convergent in \mathfrak{g}_x . Let

$X = \lim y''_n X_0 y''_n^{-1}$, then $X \in L$. This shows that $y = \lim c(y_n, x_n) =$

$= \lim c(y'_n, y''_n X_0 y''_n^{-1}) = c(y', X) \in c(G \times_{G_x} L)$.

For $\ker(\rho)$ discrete $\neq \{e\}$ observe that $c(G \times_{G_x} L)$ is closed in

$\rho^{-1}(\rho(c(G \times_{G_x} L)))$.

Step 5. (A_2) is satisfied.

Observe that $\bar{\Phi}$ is well defined and injective if (A_1) holds true (see 3.2).
 If $\psi \in R_1$ let $\varphi(\gamma \times \exp(X) \gamma^{-1}) = \psi(x \exp(X))$. φ is smooth on V , step 4 implies that φ is smooth also on $G \setminus V$. Since $\bar{\Phi}(\varphi) = \psi$, $\bar{\Phi}$ is also onto.

Step 6. If $U = U_\varepsilon(\varphi)$ then also (A_3) is satisfied.

Let $\varphi_0, \varphi'_0 \in C_c^\infty(C^1)$ where $1 = \dim V$ be such that $\varphi_0(0) = 1$, $\varphi'_0 \varphi_0 = \varphi_0$. Let $\varphi(x \exp(X)) = \varphi_0(\text{tr } \vartheta(X), \text{tr } \wedge^2 \vartheta(X), \dots, \text{tr } \wedge^1 \vartheta(X))$ and 0 else
 define φ' similarly. Then φ and φ' have the required properties provided φ'_0 has small support.

References

1. Adams, J.F., Lectures on Lie groups. New York, Amsterdam : Benjamin (1969).
2. Borel, A., Linear Algebraic Groups, New York, Amsterdam : Benjamin (1969).
3. Connes, A., An analogue of the Thom isomorphism for crossed products of a C^* -algebra by an action of \mathbb{R} . Adv.in Math., 39, 31-55(1981).
4. Connes, A., Non-commutative differential geometry, Parts I and II. Publ. I.H.E.S., 62, 257-360(1985).
5. Connes, A., Cohomology cyclique et foncteurs Ext^n . C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 296, 953-958(1983).
6. Connes, A., Moscovici, H., Cyclic cohomology, The Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups, Preprint IHES(1988).
7. Elliott, G., A., Natsume, T., Nest, R., Cyclic cohomology for one-parameter smooth crossed products. Acta Math., 160, 285-305(1988).
8. van Est, W., T., On algebraic cohomology concepts in Lie Groups. I, II Indag.Math., 17(1955).
9. Guichardet, A., Cohomologie des groupes topologiques et des algebres de Lie. Fernand Nathan, Paris(1980).
10. Grothendieck, A., Espaces vectoriels topologiques. Soc.Math.Sao Paolo, 1958.
11. Helgason, S., Differential geometry and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London (1978).
12. Humphreys, J., E., Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory. Springer-Verlag, New-York, Heidelberg, Berlin(1972).
13. Kasparov, G., G., Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture. Invent. Math. 91, 147-201(1988).

14. Loday, J.-L., Quillen, D., Cyclic homology and the Lie algebra homology of matrices. Comment. Math. Helvetici 59, 565-591 (1984).
15. MacLane, S., Homology. Berlin Gottingen Heidelberg, Springer (1963).
16. Natsume, T., Nest, R., The cyclic cohomology of compact Lie groups and the direct sum formula. Preprint
17. Nest, R., Cyclic cohomology of crossed products with \mathbb{Z} . J.Funct. Anal. 80, No. 2, 235-283 (1988).
18. Nistor, V., Group cohomology and the cyclic cohomology of crossed products, Invent. Math., 99, 411-424 (1990).
19. Pimsner, M., Voiculescu, D., Exact sequences for K-groups and Ext-groups for certain crossed products of C^* -algebras. J.Operator Theory 4, 93-118 (1980).
20. Pimsner, M., Voiculescu, D., K groups of reduced crossed products by free groups. J.Operator Theory 8, 131-156 (1982).
21. Warner, F., Harmonic Analysis on semisimple Lie groups. Springer(1972).