

ON SIMPLE GERMS WITH NON-ISOLATED
SINGULARITIES

by

A. ZAHARIA*)

April 1990

*) Department of Mathematics, INCREST, Bd. Pacii 220, 79622 Bucharest,
Romania.

by A. Zaharia

§1. Introduction .

Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_n$ denote the local ring of germs of analytic functions $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and \mathfrak{m} its maximal ideal. For an analytic germ $f \in \mathcal{O}$ we denote by J_f its Jacobi ideal, namely $J_f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n} \right)$. For an ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ we consider as in [8], [9]:

- the primitive ideal $\int I$, defined by $\int I = \{ f \in \mathcal{O} \mid (f) + J_f \subseteq I \}$; we have $I^2 \subseteq \int I \subseteq I$;

- the group \mathcal{D}_I of local analytic isomorphisms $h: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $h^*(I) = I$; it is a subgroup of the group of all germs of local analytic isomorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$.

\mathcal{D}_I acts on $\int I$ and we shall consider the \mathcal{R}_I (right-equivalence) relation on $\int I$.

In the next section we prove the following

Theorem 1. Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a radical ideal defining a germ of a quasihomogeneous complete intersection in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with isolated singularity. Suppose that there exist \mathcal{R}_I -simple germs in $\int I$. Then in some coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ we have either

- a) there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $I = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$, or
- b) there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and a quasihomogeneous isolated singularity $g = g(z_1, \dots, z_k) \in \mathcal{O}_k$ such that $I = (g, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)$.

A. Némethi has proved a similar result in [7] for the case when $I = (f^s)$ where $s \geq 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}$ is an isolated singularity. When $n=3$, D. Siersma has considered a similar problem for the inner modality (see [12]).

In the last section we derive the list of \mathcal{R}_I -simple germs for $I = (z_1, z_2)$.

§2. Proof of Theorem 1 .

We recall from [8], [9] that for an ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ and for $f \in \int I$, the

tangent space at f to the \mathcal{R}_I -orbit of f is defined by

$$T_I(f) = \left\{ \eta(f) \mid \eta = \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \text{ with } \eta(I) \subseteq I \text{ and } \eta_j \in \mathfrak{m} \text{ for } j=1, \dots, n \right\}$$

and the I -codimension of f is

$$c_I(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\int I}{T_I(f)}.$$

Let f_1, \dots, f_p be a minimal set of quasihomogeneous generators of I . Let q be the dimension of the \mathbb{C} -vector space $\frac{I + \mathfrak{m}^2}{\mathfrak{m}^2}$. If $q=p$, we have a) with $k=q=p$.

Suppose that $q < p$. Using a linear change of coordinates, we can assume, without altering the quasihomogeneity of f_1, \dots, f_p , that $f_j(z) = z_j + \text{higher monomials not containing } z_j$, for $j=1, \dots, q$ (we assume that the weights of the coordinates are positive). Thus, we can consider, by subtracting suitable multiples of f_1, \dots, f_q , if necessary, that f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p are quasihomogeneous polynomials, not depending on z_1, \dots, z_q . It follows that, in a suitable system z of coordinates, the ideal I is generated by $f_1 = z_1, \dots, f_q = z_q, f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p$, where $f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ are quasihomogeneous polynomials depending only on z_{q+1}, \dots, z_n .

Since there exist \mathcal{R}_I -simple germs in $\int I$, we can find $f \in \int I$ such that $c_I(f) = 0$. (The \mathcal{R}_I -simple germs are defined similarly with the simple isolated singularities; see for example [2] or [4].) From [8], [9], we have $\int I = I^2$ and we can write $f = \sum_{i,j=1}^p g_{ij} f_i f_j$, with $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$. Let r be the rank of the matrix $(g_{ij}(0))_{i,j=1,q}$. Then r is also the rank of the Hessian matrix evaluated in 0, $\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}(0) \right)_{i,j=1,n}$. As in the proof of Morse

Lemma (see for example [6]) we can obtain a system \tilde{z} of coordinates, with $\tilde{z}_j = z_j$ for $j > q$, such that I is generated by $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_q = \tilde{z}_q, \tilde{f}_{q+1} = f_{q+1}, \dots, \tilde{f}_p = f_p$ and such that

$$(1) \quad f = \tilde{z}_1^2 + \dots + \tilde{z}_r^2 + \sum_{i,j=r+1}^p \tilde{g}_{ij} \tilde{f}_i \tilde{f}_j,$$

with $\tilde{g}_{ij} = \tilde{g}_{ji}$ and with $\tilde{g}_{ij} \tilde{f}_i \tilde{f}_j \in \mathfrak{m}^3$. It is easy to see that for any $i, j \geq r+1$, there exists $h_{ij} = h_{ij}(\tilde{z}_{r+1}, \dots, \tilde{z}_n)$ with $h_{ij} \tilde{f}_i \tilde{f}_j \in \mathfrak{m}^3$ and such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$, we have that f is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent to $\tilde{z}_1^2 + \dots + \tilde{z}_r^2 + \sum_{i,j=r+1}^p h_{ij} \tilde{f}_i \tilde{f}_j +$

$+\sum_{i,j=r+1}^p \varphi_{ij} f_i f_j$, for some $\varphi_{ij} \in (\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_r)^k$. Since $c_I(f) < \infty$, f is \mathbb{I} -finitely determined (see [8], [9]). Hence we can assume that in (1) the germs \tilde{g}_{ij} do not depend on $\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_r$.

We shall write in the sequel z for \tilde{z} , f_j for \tilde{f}_j and g_{ij} for \tilde{g}_{ij} . Since $c_I(f)=0$, we must have $T_I(f) = \int \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}^2$; we prove that this equality implies that $r=q=p-1$.

Let $\Theta_I = \left\{ \eta = \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \mid \eta(\mathbb{I}) \subseteq \mathbb{I} \right\}$ be the \mathcal{O} -module of logarithmic vector fields for \mathbb{I} . Since $\mathbb{I} = (f_1, \dots, f_p)$ is a reduced quasihomogeneous complete intersection in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with isolated singularity, the \mathcal{O} -module Θ_I is generated by the following vector fields (see for example [3]):

- (A) $f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, where $i=1, \dots, p$ and $j=1, \dots, n$;
 (B) the "trivial vector fields"

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i_1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i_{p+1}}} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_{i_1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_{i_{p+1}}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial z_{i_1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_p}{\partial z_{i_{p+1}}} \end{vmatrix}$$

for all $(p+1)$ -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_{p+1}) satisfying $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{p+1} \leq n$;

- (C) the Euler vector field $E = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, where w_1, \dots, w_n are the weights of the coordinates.

It is clear that $T_I(f) = \Theta_I(f)$.

We recall that $f_j = z_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$ and $f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ do not depend on z_1, \dots, z_q . Also we recall that $f = z_1^2 + \dots + z_r^2 + \sum_{i,j=r+1}^p g_{ij} f_i f_j$ with $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ not depending on z_1, \dots, z_r and with $g_{ij} f_i f_j \in \mathfrak{m}^3$.

Suppose first that $r < q$. Then a moment thought will convince us that for any $\eta \in \Theta_I$, if we consider the expansion of $\eta(f)$ in a power series, then the coefficient of z_q^2 is zero. Hence $z_q^2 \notin T_I(f) = \mathbb{I}^2$, a contradiction. It follows that $r=q$.

We look now for f_{q+1}^2, \dots, f_p^2 . It is easy to see that if $\eta \in \Theta_I$ is one of the generators from (A) or (B), then $\eta(f)$ belongs to the ideal

$$L = m \cdot (f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p)^2 + (z_1, \dots, z_q) \cdot (f_{q+1}, \dots, f_p) + (z_1, \dots, z_q)^2.$$

On the other hand, for any germ $g \in m$ we have also $(gE)(f) \in L$. Thus

$\Theta_I(f) = L + \mathbb{C} \cdot E(f)$. If $p - q \geq 2$ we have the uniqueness of the weights w_{q+1}, \dots, w_n (see for example [4]), hence f_{q+1}^2, \dots, f_p^2 can not belong simultaneously to $\Theta_I(f)$, in contradiction with the equality $I^2 = \Theta_I(f)$. It follows that $q+1=p$. The theorem is proved.

§3. The simple germs for $I = (z_1, z_2)$.

D. Siersma has found the \mathcal{R}_I -simple germs when $I = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ in [10] and for $I = (z_1 z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ in [12]. For the case when $I = (z_1) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$, the list of \mathcal{R}_I -simple germs follows from the work of V. I. Arnold [1] (see for example [13]).

In the sequel we derive the list of \mathcal{R}_I -simple germs for $I = (z_1, z_2)$. We shall suppose that $n \geq 4$ and we shall consider only germs $f \in I^2$ with $j^2 f = 0$. (The simple germs $f \in I^2$ with $j^2 f \neq 0$ are suspensions of those in [13].)

We use the following classical lemma:

Lemma. Let $f_t = f + t \cdot \phi \in I^2$ be a family of germs, with $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

a) If $\phi \in \mathcal{Z}(f_t)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, f_t is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent with f_0 .

b) If $\phi \notin \mathcal{Z}(f_t)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, f_t is not \mathcal{R}_I -simple.

If we denote the coordinates z_3, \dots, z_n by u_1, \dots, u_{n-2} and the Milnor number of an isolated singularity g by $\mu(g)$, we have the following:

Theorem 2. Let $I = (z_1, z_2) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ and $f \in I^2$ with $j^2 f = 0$. Then f is

\mathcal{R}_I -simple if and only if f is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent to a germ in the following table.

	Normal form of f	$c_I(f)$	Conditions
I_n	$u_1 z_1^2 + u_2 z_2^2 + u_3 z_1 z_2$	3	$n \geq 5$
I_4	$u_1 z_1^2 + u_2 z_2^2$	3	$n=4$
II	$u_1 z_1^2 + u_2 z_2^2 + z_1 z_2 \cdot g(u_3, \dots, u_{n-2})$	$n-2 + \mu(g)$	$n \geq 5$; $g \in A-D-E$
III	$u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^2 (z_2 + u_2^k + u_3^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2)$	$k+n-2$	$n \geq 4$; $k \geq 2$
	$u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^2 (z_2 + u_2 u_3^k + u_4^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2)$	$k+n-2$	$n \geq 4$; $k \geq 3$
	$u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^2 (z_2 + u_2^2 + u_3^3 + u_4^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2)$	$n+2$	$n \geq 4$
IV	$u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^2 (z_2^k + u_2^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2)$	$k+n-1$	$n \geq 4$; $k \geq 2$
Va	$u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^2 (z_2^2 + u_2^3 + u_3^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2)$	$n+3$	$n \geq 4$
Vb	$u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_3 z_1^2 + z_2^2 (z_2^2 + u_2^3 + u_3^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2)$	$n+4$	$n \geq 4$
VI	$u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^2 (z_2 u_2^k + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2)$	$k+n-1$	$n \geq 4$; $k \geq 3$
VI^3	$u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_3 z_1^2 + z_2^2 (z_2 u_2^3 + u_2^2 + u_3^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2)$	$n+4$	$n \geq 4$

Proof . If $f \in I^2$ has $j^2 f = 0$, then $j^3 f = u_1 Q_1(z_1, z_2) + \dots + u_{n-2} Q_{n-2}(z_1, z_2) + C(z_1, z_2)$, where Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-2} are quadrics and C is a cubic in z_1, z_2 . We suppose that $c_I(f) < \infty$. Hence f is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent to a jet $j^k f$ for sufficiently large k (see [9]) .

Let V be the \mathbb{C} -vector space generated by Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-2} in the vector space of quadrics in z_1, z_2 .

If $\dim V = 3$ then $n \geq 5$ and we can find in \mathcal{D}_I a linear isomorphism of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $j^3 f = u_1 z_1^2 + u_2 z_2^2 + u_3 z_1 z_2$. It follows by [8] , [9] that f is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent with $j^3 f$ (f is a $D(1,1)$ -type germ) and f is \mathcal{R}_I -simple .

If $\dim V \leq 1$ then f is not \mathcal{R}_I -simple . Namely , any neighbourhood of f contains a germ which is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent to a germ $\tilde{f} = u_1 z_1 z_2 + z_1^3 + z_2^3 + z_1^2 (u_2^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2) + z_2^2 \cdot \varphi(u_2, \dots, u_{n-2})$ where $\varphi \in \mathfrak{m}^2$. It is easy to see that for any φ , \tilde{f} is not \mathcal{R}_I -simple .

If $\dim V = 2$ then , using the classification of pencils of quadrics in z_1, z_2 , we can find in \mathcal{D}_I some linear isomorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $j^3 f$ is one of

the following cubics :

$$u_1 z_1^2 + u_2 z_2^2 ; u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 \quad \text{or} \quad u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^3 .$$

When $j^3 f = u_1 z_1^2 + u_2 z_2^2$ it follows , directly or using the technique of global transversal from [5] , that f is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent to $u_1 z_1^2 + u_2 z_2^2 + z_1 z_2 g(u_3, \dots, u_{n-2})$. Now it is easy to see , for $n \geq 5$, that f is \mathcal{R}_I -simple if and only if g is a simple isolated singularity (g is an A-D-E singularity ; see [2] , or [4] for the normal forms) .

If $j^3 f = u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^3$, then f is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent to $u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^2 (z_2 + g(u_2, \dots, u_{n-2}))$ with $g \in \mathfrak{m}^2$. It is easy to see that f is \mathcal{R}_I -simple if and only if g is a simple boundary singularity in the sense of Arnold , the boundary being $u_2 = 0$ (see [1]) .

The most difficult case is when $j^3 f = u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2$. In this situation f is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent to $u_1 z_1 z_2 + u_2 z_1^2 + z_2^2 h(z_2, u_2, \dots, u_{n-2})$ with $h \in \mathfrak{m}^2$. If h is a simple boundary singularity with respect to $z_2 = 0$, we change the coordinates such that h becomes the normal form of a B-C-F singularity . Then f is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent to $u_1 z_1 z_2 + \varphi(u_2, \dots, u_{n-2}) z_1^2 + z_2^2 h$, with $\varphi \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$, and we obtain the germs in the table by using the lemma and looking at $j^1 \varphi$.

If h is not a simple boundary singularity then f can be deformed to a germ which is \mathcal{R}_I -equivalent to $u_1 z_1 z_2 + \varphi(u_2, \dots, u_{n-2}) z_1^2 + z_2^2 h(z_2, u_2, \dots, u_{n-2})$ where $\varphi \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ and h is one of the following unimodal boundary singularities (see [2]):

$$F_{1,0}: z_2^3 + a z_2 u_2^2 + u_2^3 + u_3^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2 , \quad 4a^3 + 27 \neq 0$$

$$K_{4,2}: z_2^2 + a z_2 u_2^2 + u_2^4 + u_3^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2 , \quad a^2 \neq 4$$

$$\text{or } L_6: z_2 u_2 + a z_2 u_3 + u_2^2 u_3 + u_3^3 + u_4^2 + \dots + u_{n-2}^2 .$$

Using the lemma with $\mathcal{L}(f)$ replaced by $\mathcal{L}(f) + (u_2, \dots, u_{n-2})^3 (z_1)^2$ we obtain that f is not \mathcal{R}_I -simple .

References

- 1 V. I. Arnold , Critical points of functions on a manifold with boundary , the simple Lie groups B_k , C_k and F_4 and singularities of evolutes , Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk 33:5 (1978) , p. 91-105 .
- 2 V. I. Arnold , S. M. Gusein-Zade , A. N. Varchenko , Singularities of Differentiable Maps I , Monograph Math. 82 (Birkhäuser , Boston-Basel-

Stuttgart , 1985) .

- 3 J. W. Bruce , R. M. Roberts , Critical points of functions on analytic varieties , Topology 27:1 (1988) , p. 57-90 .
- 4 A. Dimca , Topics on real and complex singularities , Vieweg Verlag Braunschweig (1987) .
- 5 A. Dimca , C. G. Gibson , Classification of equidimensional contact unimodular map germs , Mathematica Scandinavica 56:1 (1985) , p. 15-28 .
- 6 J. Milnor , Morse Ann. of Math. Studies 51, Theory , Princeton University Press (1963) .
- 7 A. Némethi , The Milnor fibre and the zeta function of the singularities of type $f=P(h,g)$, to appear in Compositio Math.
- 8 G. R. Pellikaan , Hypersurfaces singularities and resolutions of Jacobi modules , Thesis , Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht , 1985 .
- 9 G. R. Pellikaan , Finite determinacy of functions with non-isolated singularities , Proc. London Math. Soc. 57:3 (1988) , p. 357-382 .
- 10 D. Siersma , Isolated line singularities , Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics Volume 40 (1983) , Part 2 , p. 485-496 .
- 11 D. Siersma , Hypersurfaces with singular locus a plane curve and transversal type A_1 , Singularities Banach Center Publications , Volume 20 , PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers Warsaw (1988) .
- 12 D. Siersma , Quasihomogeneous singularities with transversal type A_1 , Preprint 452 , Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht (1987) .
- 13 A. Zaharia , Sur une classe de singularités non-isolées , to appear in Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.

Alexandru Zaharia , Department of Mathematics , INCREST , Bd. Păcii 220 ,
79622 Bucharest , ROMANIA