

ON THE OPERATORIAL NEVANLINNA-PICK
PROBLEM

by

A. IONESCU*)

April 1990

*) Institute of Mathematics, Bd. Pacii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania.

ON THE OPERATORIAL NEVANLINNA-PICK PROBLEM

A. IONESCU

I. INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of this paper is to present a Schur-Nevanlinna type algorithm for the operatorial Nevanlinna-Pick problem tend to render explicit the Pick criterium as a natural continuation of the operatorial Schur algorithm.

The operatorial Nevanlinna-Pick problem can be solved with the Sarason-Nagy-Foias theorem ([13], [17]) and the classical criterium of Pick can be easily generalized in the operatorial setting ([5], [14]). On the other hand a description of the matricial case was considered in [4] and [8].

Let us now give a short description of the results obtained in this paper. In Section 2 we remind the classical scalar Schur-Nevanlinna algorithm and the method of Weyl circles ([11], [12], [16], [8]).

In Section 3 we consider the operatorial setting of the results presented in the previous section. We establish an operatorial Schur type algorithm which gives us the solutions of the Nevanlinna-Pick problem extending the results from [3], [4]. In the sequel we derive the method of Weyl circles describing more precisely the structure of the solutions.

Section 4 describes the Pick criterium in our operatorial setting reflecting the close connection between Pick matrices of the operatorial Nevanlinna-Pick problem and Toeplitz matrices in the operatorial Schur problem.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{f \in H_\infty(D) \mid \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$

The following interpolation problem for functions in \mathcal{B} it is known as the Nevanlinna-Pick problem (NPP). There is also another variant of this problem for analitic functions on D with $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$. Such problems of interpolations are of great interest in system theory as broad-band matching, cascade transformations, etc.

(NPP) Given any set of distinct points $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset D$ and $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq D$ let us consider the question of the existence of a class \mathcal{B} function f satisfying :

$$f(z_n) = w_n$$

The main result is the following Pick criterion (PC)

$$(PC) \text{ Let } P_n = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1 - \bar{z}_k z_l & \\ \hline & 1 - \bar{z}_k z_l \end{array} \right]_{k,l=1}^n$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of (NPP) is that all P_n ($n \geq 1$) are non negative definite.

We shall present in the sequel the Schur-Nevanlinna algorithm associated with the preceding (NPP).

Let $b_n(z) = \frac{\tilde{z}_n}{|z_n|} \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \bar{z}_n z}$, $n \geq 1$. We may assume all $z_n \neq 0$ ($n \geq 1$), otherwise this can be easily realised with a suitable Möbius transformation. We define:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= f(z) & g_1 &= f_1(z_1) \\ f_{n+1}(z) &= \frac{1}{b_n(z)} \cdot \frac{f_n(z) - g_n}{1 - \bar{g}_n f_n(z)} & \text{where } g_n &= f_n(z_n) \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to check that

the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ has the property that $|g_n| \leq 1$, ($n \geq 1$) and if there is some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|g_{n_0}| = 1$ then $g_n = 0$ for $n > n_0$. In this case we obtain a finite sequence $f_1 = f, f_2, \dots, f_{n_0} = g_{n_0}, f_{n_0+1} = 0$ and the Schur-Nevanlinna algorithm has a rational function as its unique solution ([11], [16]).

Now using (2.1) we have :

$$(2.2) \quad f(z) = \frac{b_n(z) \tilde{A}_n(z) f_{n+1}(z) + \tilde{B}_n(z)}{\tilde{b}_n(z) \tilde{B}_n(z) f_{n+1}(z) + \tilde{A}_n(z)}$$

Where $\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{B}_n, \tilde{A}_{n+1}, \tilde{B}_{n+1}$ are rational functions given by the following formulae:

$$\tilde{A}_1(z) = 1, \quad \tilde{B}_1(z) = \tilde{g}_1, \quad \tilde{A}_1(z) = 1, \quad \tilde{B}_1(z) = g_1$$

$$\tilde{A}_{n+1}(z) = A_n(z) + g_{n+1} b_n(z), \quad \tilde{B}_n(z)$$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{n+1}(z) &= \tilde{g}_{n+1} A_n(z) + b_n(z) \tilde{B}_n(z) \\ \tilde{A}_{n+1}(z) &= \tilde{B}_n(z) \tilde{A}_n(z) + \tilde{g}_{n+1} \tilde{B}_n(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{B}_{n+1}(z) = b_n(z) \tilde{g}_{n+1} \tilde{A}_n(z) + \tilde{B}_n(z)$$

Remark : 1. As a consequence of (2.1) we obtain :

$$w_{n+1} = \frac{b_n(z_{n+1}) \tilde{A}_n(z_{n+1}) g_{n+1} + \tilde{B}_n(z_{n+1})}{b_n(z_{n+1}) \tilde{B}_n(z_{n+1}) g_{n+1} + \tilde{A}_n(z_{n+1})}$$

2. We can use a matricial transcription of (2.3) :

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}_{n+1}(z) & \tilde{B}_{n+1}(z) \\ B_{n+1}(z) & \tilde{A}_{n+1}(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_n(z) g_{n+1} \\ g_{n+1} & b_n(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_n(z) & \tilde{B}_n(z) \\ B_n(z) & \tilde{A}_n(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

By taking determinants in both sides of (2.4) we also derive:

$$(2.5) \quad A_n(z) \tilde{A}_n(z) - B_n(z) \tilde{B}_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n b_{k-1}(z) (1 - |g_k|^2),$$

where $b_0(z) = 1$

Finally using (2.2) and (2.5) we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) - \frac{\tilde{B}_n(z)}{A_n(z)} &= \frac{b_n(z) [A_n(z) \tilde{A}_n(z) - B_n(z) \tilde{B}_n(z)] f_{n+1}(z)}{A_n(z) [b_n(z) B_n(z) f_{n+1}(z) + A_n(z)]} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n b_k(z) (1 - |g_k|^2) \frac{f_{n+1}(z)}{A_n(z) [b_n(z) B_n(z) f_{n+1}(z) + A_n(z)]} \end{aligned}$$

$A_n, B_n, \tilde{A}_n, \tilde{B}_n$ are uniquely determined by $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^n$ and f will be uniquely determined by its parameters when $\{\frac{B_n}{A_n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly to f on compact subsets of D . (this is the case when $\sum_{n \geq 1} (1 - |z_n|) = \infty$).

Let now $z \in D$ and define :

$$(2.6) \quad W_n(z) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = f(z), \text{ where } f \text{ runs through the set of partial solutions of (NPP) with initial dates } \{z_k, w_k\}_{k=1}^n \right\}.$$

By (2.2) and (2.6) we deduce :

$$W_n(z) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = \frac{b_n(z) \tilde{A}_n(z) f_{n+1}(z) + \tilde{B}_n(z)}{b_n(z) B_n(z) f_{n+1}(z) + A_n(z)}, f_{n+1} \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

A straight forward computation shows us that :

$$(2.7) \quad W_n(z) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - a_n(z)| \leq r_n(z) \right\} \text{ where :}$$

$$a_n(z) = \frac{\tilde{A}_n(z) \tilde{B}_n(z) - |b_n(z)|^2 \overline{B_n(z)} \overline{\tilde{A}_n(z)}}{|A_n(z)|^2 - |b_n(z)|^2 |B_n(z)|^2}$$

$$r_n(z) = \frac{b_n(z) [\tilde{A}_n(z) \tilde{A}_n(z) - B_n(z) \tilde{B}_n(z)]}{|A_n(z)|^2 - |b_n(z)|^2 |B_n(z)|^2}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n b_k(z) (1 - |g_k|^2)}{|A_n(z)|^2 - |b_n(z)|^2 |B_n(z)|^2}$$

Remark : 1. It is easy to see that $|A_n(z)|^2 - |b_n(z)|^2 |B_n(z)|^2 \neq 0$

2. Clearly $W_{n+1}(z) \subseteq W_n(z)$ and if we denote by

$\tilde{W}(z) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} W_n(z)$ (which is a nonvoid set) then any solution f of the (NPP) satisfies $f(z) \in \tilde{W}(z)$.

3. OPERATORIAL SCHUR-NEVANLINNA ALGORITHM AND THE METHOD OF WEYL CIRCLES

We shall consider in the sequel the operatorial variant of the algorithm described in the preceding section. Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ be Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ the set of analytical and contractive operatorial valued functions $\Theta : D \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$

(NPP) - operatorial case : given any set of distinct points $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset D$ and any set of contractions $\{w_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ let us consider the question of the existence of a class $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ function F satisfying : $F(z_n) = w_n, n \geq 1$

Using Sarason-Nagy-Foias theorem we easily obtain the same Pick type criterium for the existence of the solutions of the operatorial (NPP) (details in [15], [5], [14]).

Now let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ be a contraction and denote as usual:

$$(3.1) \quad D_T = (I - T^* T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \overline{D_T} \mathcal{H}_1$$

the defect operators and the defect spaces of T .

We define :

$$(3.2) \quad J_T(z) = \begin{bmatrix} T & zD_T^* \\ D_T & -zT^* \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_1 \\ \oplus \\ D_T^* \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_2 \\ \oplus \\ D_T \end{array}$$

Remark: 1. It is easy to see that J_T is inner from both sides ([17])

2. For $z=1$, $J_T(1) = J(T)$ is the elementary rotation of T ([6], [17]).

In the operatorial setting it is useful to replace Möbius transformations by the so called cascade transformations.

Let $S = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2)$ be a contraction and for an arbitrary contraction $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ we define the cascade transformation:

$$(3.3) \quad C_S(X) = A + BX(I - DX)^{-1}C$$

when ever the inverse of $(I - DX)$ exists. One immediately verifies

that $C_S(X)$ is a contraction. In a similar way we can define cascade transformations for operator valued functions.

We have the following result ([3]) :

THEOREM 1. For any $H \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ the equation $H = C_{J_T^k}(F)$ has a unique solution $F \in \mathcal{S}(\Delta_T, \Delta_{T^*})$ where $T = H(0)$.

Let us consider in the sequel :

$$(3.4) \quad J_T^k(z) = \begin{bmatrix} T & b_k(z)D_{T^*} \\ D_T & -b_k(z)T^* \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_1 \\ \oplus \\ \Delta_{T^*} \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_2 \\ \oplus \\ \Delta_T \end{array}$$

$$\text{where } b_k(z) = \frac{\bar{z}_k}{|z_k|} \frac{z_k - z}{1 - \bar{z}_k z}, \quad k \geq 0$$

and the following cascade transformation of $F \in \mathcal{S}(\Delta_T, \Delta_{T^*})$ defined by:

$$(3.5) \quad C_{J_T^k}(F)(z) = T \Big|_{\mathcal{D}_T} + b_k(z)D_{T^*} F(z) \left[I + b_k(z)T^* F(z) \right]^{-1} D_T \Big|_{\mathcal{D}_T}$$

Remark 1. Clearly $C_{J_T^k}(F)$ makes sense because for $|z| < 1$, $|b_k(z)| < 1$ and as T , $F(z)$ are contractions $I + b_k(z)T^* F(z)$

is invertible

2. Since a short computation shows us that :

$$I - C_{J_T^k}(F)(z)^* C_{J_T^k}(F)(w) = \\ = D_T \left[I + \bar{b}_k(z)T^* F(z) \right]^{-1} \left[I - b_k(z)b_k(w)F(z)^*F(w) \right] \left[I + b_k(w)T^* F(w) \right]^{-1} D_T \Big|_{\mathcal{D}_T}$$

we have : $C_{J_T^k}(F) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_T, \mathcal{D}_{T^*})$.

Let $G \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and let us define :

$$\frac{\bar{z}_0}{|z_0|} H(z) = G \left(\frac{z_0 - z}{1 - \bar{z}_0 z} \right) \quad \text{with } H \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$$

$$\text{Clearly } \frac{\bar{z}_0}{|z_0|} H(0) = G(z_0).$$

Denoting $T = G(z_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and $T^* = H(0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$

$$\text{we have } T^* = \frac{|z_0|}{z_0} T \text{ and as } I - T^* T^* = I - T^* T \text{ and } I - T^* T^* = I - TT^*$$

we have the following equalities between defect connections and cascade

$$D_{T'} = D_T, \quad D_{T''} = D_T, \quad D_{T'''} = D_T, \quad D_{T''''} = D_T.$$

Let us consider the equation $H = C_{J_{T'}}(F)$ and for $z = \frac{z_0 - Z}{1 - \bar{z}_0 Z}, z \in \mathbb{D}$

we have :

$$H\left(\frac{z_0 - Z}{1 - \bar{z}_0 Z}\right) = \frac{|z_0|}{\bar{z}_0} F\left(\frac{z_0 - Z}{1 - \bar{z}_0 Z}\right) \left[I + \frac{z_0 - Z}{1 - \bar{z}_0 Z} \frac{|z_0|}{\bar{z}_0} F\left(\frac{z_0 - Z}{1 - \bar{z}_0 Z}\right) \right]^{-1} D_T |_{\mathcal{D}_T}$$

Denoting $F_1(z) = F\left(\frac{z_0 - Z}{1 - \bar{z}_0 Z}\right)$ we obtain with Theorem 1 :

PROPOSITION 2. For any $G \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ the equation $G = C_{J_T}(F_1)$

has a unique solution $F_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_T, \mathcal{D}_T^*)$ where $T = G(z_0)$.

The main object used for the description of the Schur-Nevanlinna algorithm is the notion of Schur sequence. A sequence of contractions $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is called Schur sequence if $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and for $n \geq 2$, $\Gamma_n : \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_{n-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_{n-1}^*}$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ solution of the operatorial (NPP) with the initial dates $\{z_n, w_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. Looking forward to Proposition 2 we shall define an algorithm similar with the one in section 2 :

$$F_1(z) = F(z), \quad \Gamma_1 = F_1(z_1) = w_1$$

F_2 is defined by the equation :

$$F_1 = C_{J_{\Gamma_1}}(F_2), \quad \Gamma_2 = F_2(z_2) : \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_1^*} \text{ is a contraction}$$

$$F_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_1}, \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_1^*})$$

By induction we implicitly define F_{n+1} :

$$(3.6) \quad F_n = C_{J_{\Gamma_n}}(F_{n+1}), \quad \Gamma_{n+1} = F_{n+1}(z_{n+1}) : \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_n^*} \text{ is a contraction}$$

$$F_{n+1} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_n}, \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_n^*})$$

We readily deduce from (3.6) :

$$(3.7) \quad F = C_{J_{\Gamma_1}}(C_{J_{\Gamma_2}}(C_{J_{\Gamma_3}}(\dots(C_{J_{\Gamma_n}}(F_{n+1}))\dots)).$$

It is easy to check that for two contractions $S_i = \begin{bmatrix} A_i & B_i \\ C_i & D_i \end{bmatrix}$

we have the relations:

$$(3.8) \quad C_{S_1}(C_{S_2}(X)) = C_{S_1 * S_2}(X)$$

where $*$ is the Redheffer product defined by :

$$S_1 * S_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 + S_1 A_2 (I - D_1 A_2)^{-1} C_1 & B_1 A_2 (I - D_1 A_2)^{-1} D_1 B_2 + B_1 B_2 \\ C_2 (I - D_1 A_2)^{-1} C_1 & C_2 (I - D_1 A_2)^{-1} D_1 B_2 + D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

whenever the inverse of $(I - D_1 A_2)$ exists.

Then (3.7) and (3.8) give us :

$$(3.9) \quad F = C_{J_{\Gamma_1}^1 * J_{\Gamma_2}^2 * \dots * J_{\Gamma_n}^n} (F_{n+1}).$$

Considering the matricial functions :

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A_n & B_n \\ C_n & D_n \end{bmatrix} = J_{\Gamma_1}^1 * J_{\Gamma_2}^2 * \dots * J_{\Gamma_n}^n \quad \text{we have}$$

$$(3.11) \quad F = C_{\begin{bmatrix} A_n & B_n \\ C_n & D_n \end{bmatrix}} (F_{n+1}) \quad \text{where } A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n \text{ satisfy :}$$

$$A_1(z) = \Gamma_1, \quad B_1(z) = b_1(z)D_{\Gamma_1}, \quad C_1(z) = D_{\Gamma_1}, \quad D_1(z) = -b_1(z)\Gamma_1^*$$

$$A_{n+1}(z) = A_n(z) + B_n(z)\Gamma_{n+1}[I - D_n(z)\Gamma_{n+1}]^{-1}C_n(z)$$

$$B_{n+1}(z) = b_{n+1}(z)B_{n+1}\Gamma_{n+1}[I - D_n(z)\Gamma_{n+1}]^{-1}D_nD_{\Gamma_{n+1}} +$$

$$(3.12) \quad + b_{n+1}(z)B_n(z)D_{\Gamma_{n+1}}^*$$

$$C_{n+1}(z) = D_{\Gamma_{n+1}}[I - D_n(z)\Gamma_{n+1}]^{-1}C_n(z)$$

$$D_{n+1}(z) = b_{n+1}(z)D_{\Gamma_{n+1}}[I - D_n(z)\Gamma_{n+1}]^{-1}D_n(z)D_{\Gamma_{n+1}}^* - b_{n+1}(z)\Gamma_{n+1}^*$$

THEOREM 3. The collection of partial solutions of the operatorial (NPP) with initial dates $\{z_k, w_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is contained in the set of contractive operator valued functions given by the formulae :

$$F = C_{\begin{bmatrix} A_n(z) & B_n(z) \\ C_n(z) & D_n(z) \end{bmatrix}} (F_{n+1}) \quad \text{where } F_{n+1} \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta_{\Gamma_n}, \Delta_{\Gamma_n^*})$$

and where A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n are given by (3.6) (3.12) and :

$$w_k = C_{\begin{bmatrix} A_{k-1}(z_k) & B_{k-1}(z_k) \\ C_{k-1}(z_k) & D_{k-1}(z_k) \end{bmatrix}} (\Gamma_k), \quad k=1, 2, \dots, n$$

Remark: 1. $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ will be a so called Schur (-Nevanlinna) sequence.

2. Similar relations can be obtained in the operatorial (NPP) with infinite initial dates.

Obviously $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is uniquely determined by F .

For the converse :

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) - A_n(z) &= C \begin{bmatrix} A_{n-1}(z) & B_{n-1}(z) \\ C_{n-1}(z) & D_{n-1}(z) \end{bmatrix} (F_n)(z) = C \begin{bmatrix} A_{n-1}(z) & B_{n-1}(z) \\ C_{n-1}(z) & D_{n-1}(z) \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_n(z) = \\ &= B_{n-1}(z) \left\{ F_n(z) \left[I - D_{n-1}(z) F_n(z) \right]^{-1} - \Gamma_n \left[I - D_{n-1}(z) \Gamma_n \right]^{-1} \right\} C_{n-1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

But from (3.12) we obtain :

$$B_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n b_k(z) \widehat{B}_n(z) \quad \text{with } \widehat{B}_n(z) \in \mathcal{T}(D_{\Gamma_n}, \mathcal{H}_2)$$

It follows :

$$(3.13) \quad F(z) - A_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n b_k(z) \widehat{F}_n(z) \quad \text{where } \widehat{F}_n \text{ is a bounded operator valued function.}$$

As a consequence of (3.13) $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges uniformly to F on compact subsets of D - in the uniform norm- iff $\prod_{k=1}^n b_k(z)$ converges uniformly to 0 on compact subsets of D . This is the case iff $\sum_{n \geq 1} (1 - |z_n|) = \infty$.

In this case F is uniquely determined by $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ as $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ uniquely determines $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$.

We shall restrict our study to the nondegenerate case, when we have at least a solution of the operatorial (NPP), to present the method Weyl circles. For simplicity of notations we consider $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$.

Let $\mathbb{W}_n(z) = \{Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H}) \mid Z \in F(z) \text{ where } F \text{ runs through the collection of the solutions of the operatorial (NPP) with initial dates } \{z_k, w_k\}_{k=1}^n\}$

THEOREM 4. We have the following representation for the elements of $\mathcal{W}_n(z)$.

$$(3.14) \quad Z = \left[A_n(z) + B_n(z) D_n^{*-2} D_n^*(z) C_n(z) \right] + \\ + \left[B_n(z) D_n^{-2} D_n^*(z) B_n^*(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E_n X_n F_n \left[C_n^*(z) D_n^{-2} C_n(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $E_n, F_n, X_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ are properly defined and A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n are given by (3.12).

Proof : As a consequence of (3.11) we have :

$$\mathcal{W}_n(z) = \left\{ Z \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Y}) \mid Z = C \begin{bmatrix} A_n(z) & B_n(z) \\ C_n(z) & D_n(z) \end{bmatrix}(W)(z) \text{ where } W \in \mathcal{T}(D_{\mathcal{U}_n}, D_{\mathcal{V}_n}) \right\}$$

And so:

$$(3.15) \quad Z = A_n(z) + B_n(z) W(z) \left[I - D_n(z) W(z) \right]^{-1} C_n(z)$$

Let us consider the relation:

$$Y = W(z) \left[I - D(z) W(z) \right]^{-1} \\ Y \left[I - D(z) W(z) \right] = W(z) \\ Y = \left[I + Y D(z) \right] W(z) \quad \text{so :} \\ YY^* = \left[I + Y D(z) \right] W(z) W^*(z) \left[I + D^*(z) Y^* \right] \leq \left[I + Y D(z) \right] \left[I + D^*(z) Y^* \right] \\ Y \left[I - D(z) D^*(z) \right] Y^* - Y D(z) - D^*(z) Y^* - I \leq 0$$

With a short computation we obtain :

$$\left\{ Y \left[I - D(z) D^*(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - D^*(z) \left[I - D(z) D^*(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \left\{ Y \left[I - D(z) D^*(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - D^*(z) \left[I - D(z) D^*(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ \leq \left[I - D^*(z) D(z) \right]^{-1}.$$

We have the factorization:

$$Y \left[I - D(z) D^*(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - D^*(z) \left[I - D(z) D^*(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[I - D^*(z) D(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} X, \text{ with } X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$$

It results:

$$(3.16) \quad Y = D(z) D_n^{-2} + D_n^{-1} X D_n^{-1} D^*(z), \text{ so (3.15) becomes:}$$

$$Z = A_n(z) + B_n(z) D_n^*(z) D_n^{-2}(z) C_n(z) + \\ + B_n(z) D_n^{-1}(z) X_n D_n^{-1}(z) C_n(z) \quad \text{where } X_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$$

With another factorization we obtain :

$$Z = \left[A_n(z) + B_n(z) D_n(z) D_{D_n}(z)^{-2} C_n(z) \right] + \\ + \left[B_n(z) D_{D_n}(z)^{-2} B_n(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot E_n X_n F_n \left[C_n(z) D_{D_n}(z)^{-2} C_n(z) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $E_n, F_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$ \square

Remark: $W_n(z)$ is a closed sphere of "center"

$$A_n(z) + B_n(z) D_n(z) D_{D_n}(z)^{-2} C_n(z)$$

and right and left "radii" given by :

$$R_n^r(z) = C_n(z) D_{D_n}(z)^{-2} C_n(z)$$

$$R_n^l(z) = B_n(z) D_{D_n}(z)^{-2} B_n(z)$$

Clearly we have a unique solution when $R_n^r(z) = 0$ or

$$R_n^l(z) = 0$$

4. PICK CRITERIA

Considering the Carathéodory class $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{K})$ of analytic functions on D with positive real part and taking values in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$, let us define :

$$(4.1) \quad F(z) = (I - G(z))(I + G(z))^{-1} \quad \text{where } G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{K})$$

This reflects a one to one correspondence between functions in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$ with $F(0) = 0$ and the functions $G \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{K})$ with $G(0) = I$. Now let :

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Now let } P_n = \left[\frac{I - W_k^* W_1}{1 - \bar{z}_k z_1} \right]_k, l=0^n \quad \text{where } \{z_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset D$$

and $\{W_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$ contractions (we assume $z_0 = 0$, $W_0 = 0_{\mathbb{K}}$)

Let us suppose the inverse of $(I + W_k)$ exists for $k \geq 0$.

We consider then the correspondence, closely related with (4.1) given by :

$$Y_k = (I + W_k)^{-1} (I - W_k)$$

(4.3)

$$W_k = (I - Y_k)(I + Y_k)^{-1}$$

For the operatorial (NPP) with data $\{z_k, w_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ and the solutions F such that $F(z_k) = w_k$, $k \geq 0$ we clearly have

$$G(z_k) = Y_k.$$

$$\text{Let } Z_k = \prod_{l < k} (z_k - z_l)^{-1} \text{ and define } U_n = \left[(I + Y_k) Z_k z_k^1 \right]_{k, l=0}^n$$

With little algebra we obtain that:

$$M_n = \frac{1}{2} U_n^* P_n U_n \text{ is a hermitian Toeplitz matrice}$$

Let us assume that $M_n, n \geq 0$ are extended to an infinite Toeplitz form on \mathbb{Z} denoted by T . The positivity of T is equivalent with the positivity of M_n , $n \geq 0$. In this case we obtain that the positive Toeplitz kernel on \mathbb{Z} is a realization of the semispectral measure E on \mathbb{T} , the unit circle:

A semispectral measure on \mathbb{T} is a linear positive map

$$E : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ denote the set of continuous functions on \mathbb{T}

Then following (4.3) :

$$S_n = E(e_n), n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ where } e_n(e^{it}) = e^{int} \text{ and } S_{-n} = S_n^*$$

Let us suppose P_n , $n \geq 0$ are nonnegative defined and taking in consideration (4.1) we shall define $G_n \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ that satisfies the finite operatorial (NPP) with data $\{z_k, Y_k\}_{k=0}^n$ as follows:

First we consider the semispectral measure :

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} E_n : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \\ E_n(f) &= \frac{1}{2} E \left(|m_n|^{-2} f \right) \text{ where } m_n(e^{i\theta}) = \prod_{k=0}^n (e^{i\theta} - z_k) \end{aligned}$$

and the family of functions in :

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} g_z : \mathbb{T} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ g_z(e^{i\theta}) &= \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \end{aligned}$$

We define $G_n \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ by :

$$(4.6) \quad G_n(z) = E_n(g_z), z \in \mathbb{D}$$

A short computation shows us that :

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{G_n^*(z_k) + G_n(z_l)}{1 - \bar{z}_k z_l} = \frac{Y_k^* + Y_l}{1 - \bar{y}_k y_l}, \quad k, l = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Now it is clear that $G_n(z_k) = Y_k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

For the operatorial (NPP) with data $\{z_k, w_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ let us consider the sequence $\{G_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $G_n \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ such that

$G_n(z_k) = Y_k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and the related sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$

(F_n and G_n are related by (4.1)) with $F_n \in \mathfrak{F}(K)$ such that

$F_n(z_k) = w_k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. The sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ has a subsequence converging (weakly) to an operator valued function $F \in \mathfrak{F}(K)$ which clearly satisfies the (NPP) with data $\{z_n, w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

THEOREM 5. A necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of the operatorial (NPP) with data $\{z_n, w_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is that all P_n ($n \geq 0$) given by (4.2) are nonnegative defined.

Proof : sufficiency was already proved and necessity is a consequence of the Riesz-Herglotz theorem for functions in class $\mathcal{C}(K)$. □

In this way the operatorial Nevanlinna-Pick algorithm and the Pick criteria are intimately related with the operatorial Schur algorithm and the criteria for the solvability of the Schur problem.

REFERENCES

1. ADAMJAN,V.M.; AROV,D.S.; KREIN,M.G., Infinite Hankel matrices and generalized problems of Carathéodory-Féjér and I.Schur , Funkcional Anal.i Prilozhen. 2 (1968) 1-17.
2. ADAMJAN,V.M.; AROV,D.S.; KREIN,M.G., Infinite Hankel block matrices and related problems of extension, Izv.Akad.Nauk.Armjan. SSR Ser.Mat. 6 (1971),87-112.
3. CONSTANTINESCU,T., Operator Schur algorithm and associated functions, Math.Balkanica 2 (1988)
4. DELSARTE,Ph; GENIN,Y.; KAMP,Y., The Nevanlinna-Pick problem for matrix valued functions, SIAM J.Appl.Math.36 (1979) 47-61.
5. FEDCINA,F.P.,A criterium for the solvability of the Nevanlinna-Pick tangent problem,Mat.Issled. 7 (1972),213-227.
6. FOIAS,C.,Contractive intertwining dilations and waves in layered media. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Helsinki,1978,vol. 2, 605-613.
7. KAILATH,T., Linear systems, Prentice Hall 1980.
8. KOVALISHNIA,I.V., POTAPOV,V.P., An indefinite metric in the Nevanlinna-Pick problem, Akad.Nauk.Armjan.SSR Dokl. 59 (1974) 129-135.
9. KREIN,M.G.,NUDEL'MAN,A.A., The Markov Problem of Moments and Extremal Problems, Izd,Kauka, Moskow,1973.
10. KREIN,M.G.;REHTMAN,P.G.,On the problem of Nevanlinna and Pick, Trudi Odes'kogo Derz.Univ.Mat. 2 (1938) 63-68.
11. NEVANLINNA,R. Über beschränkte Functionen die in gegebenen Punkten vorgeschriebene Werte annehmen, Ann.Acad.Sci.Fenn. 13,1 (1919)
12. PICK,G., Über die Beschräukungen analytischer Functionen, welche durch vorgegebene Functions werte bewirkt sind, Math.Ann. 77 (1916) 7-23.
13. REDHEFFER,R.M.,Inequalities for a matrix Riccati equation,

14. ROSENBLUM,M.; ROVNYAK,J., Hardy classes and Operator Theory Oxford, University, Press, 1985.
15. SARASON,D., Generalized interpolation in H_∞ , Trans.Amer. Math.Soc., 127 (1967) , 179-203.
16. SCHUR,I., Über Potenzreinen die im Inneren des Einheits Kreises beschränkt sind I,II , J.Reine Angew.Math. 147 (1917), 205-232, 148(1918),151-163.
17. SZ.-NAGY,B.;FOIAS,C., Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space, Amsterdam - Budapest, 1970.
18. SZ.-NAGY,B.; FOIAS,C., On the structure of intertwining operators, Acta.Sci.Math. (Szeged),35 (1973) 225-254.
19. YOULA,D.C.; JABR,H.A.; BONGIORNO,J.J.; Modern Wiener - Hopf design of optimal controllers I,II, IEEE Trans.Aut.Control, AC-21 (1977) , 3-13; (1977) 319-338.