# GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS FOR A CLASS OF QUASILINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

by

M. TUCSNAK\*)

April 1990

<sup>\*)</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Bd. Pacii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania.

# GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS FOR A CLASS OF QUASILINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

### by Marius Tucsnak

Institute of Mathematics, Str. Academiei no.14, R-70109, Bucharest, Romania

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a separable Hilbert space X, a dense subspace D(A)CX and a linear selfadjoint operator A:D(A)->X which satisfies the condition:

$$(1.1) \qquad \langle Au, u \rangle \geq 0,$$

where <...> is the scalar product in X and ||.|| is corresponding norm.

\ We shall study the following initial value problem:

(1.2) 
$$\ddot{u}(t) + B(1(u(t))u(t) = 0,$$

$$(1.3) u(0) = u_0, \dot{u}(0) = u_1,$$

where  $1:D(A^{1/2})-R$  and B(1(u)):D(A)-X is a selfadjoint operator for each  $u\in D(A^{1/2})$ . This abstract framework is motivated by the study of the nonlinear mathematical models for the vibrations of a string or of a Timoshenko beam.

If in equation (1.2) we choose:

$$B(I(u)) = g(IIA^{1/2}uII^2)A,$$

we obtain the initial value problem:

(1.4) 
$$\ddot{u}(t) + g(||A^{1/2}u(t)||^2) Au = 0,$$
  
(1.5)  $u(0) = u_0, \dot{u}(0) = u_1,$ 

(1.5) 
$$u(0) = u_0, \dot{u}(0) = u_1,$$

which contains as a particular case the equations modelling the nonlinear vibrations of a string (cf.[4],[7] ch 7,[9]).

For  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and g > 0, a local existence and uniqueness result for the problem (1.4),(1.5) was given in [9], provided that  $\langle Au,u \rangle \geq c \| \|u\|\|^2$ ,  $u_0 \in D(A)$  and  $u_1 \in D(A^{1/2})$ . Global existence for (1.4), (1.5) was proved in [1],[8] for initial data much more regular.

The free vibrations of a nonlinear Timoshenko beam with the ends hinged and held a fixed distance apart were modeled in the appendix of [5] by the following initial and boundary value problem:

(1.6) 
$$\frac{\partial^{2W}}{\partial t^{2}}(x,t) = \operatorname{Ccd}-a+b \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} (y,t) \int_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2W}}{\partial x^{2}}(x,t) - \operatorname{cd}\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}(x,t),$$

for O<x<i, t≥0

(1.7) 
$$\frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial t^2}(x,t) = c \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial x^2}(x,t) - c^2 dY(x,t) + c^2 d \frac{\partial W}{\partial x}(x,t),$$

for 0<x<1, t≥0

(1.8) 
$$w(x,0) = w_0(x); \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(x,0) = w_1(x); \forall (x,0) = \psi_0(x); \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(x,0) = \psi_1(x);$$

(1.7) 
$$w(0,t)=w(1,t)=\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}(0,t)=\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}(1,t)=0,$$

where w represents the transverse deflection of the centerline of the beam and  $\psi$  is the rotary displacement of the cross section. The constants a, b, c, d are supposed to be strictly positive, with cd>a. For the physical meaning of these constants; see[4], [10].

Let us notice that the equations (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6)-(1.7) have at least two common proprieties:

they are consequences of a conservation law (see also relation (4.1)) and they act "nicely" on the eigenfunctions of a certain operator (this is the reason we introduce the assumptions (4.6)–(4.7)). This similarity lead us considering the problem (1.2), (1.3) which contains as particular cases the problems (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6)–(1.9). A local existence result for the problem (1.6)–(1.9) similar with the one given in [8] for (1.4), (1.5) was given in [10]. As far as we know no global existence result for (1.6)–(1.9) was obtained till now.

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we prove a local existence result for the problem (1.2), (1.3).

Section 3 contains some energetic estimates for the solutions of a linear evolution equation. Section 4 contains the global existence theorem and in section 5 the applications for the nonlinear string and Timoshenko beam models are given.

## 2. THE LOCAL EXISTENCE RESULT

In this section we shall use notations and assumptions from [3] in order to apply an existence and uniqueness result given there.

We begin by noticing that due to the assumption (1.1) and to the selfadjointness of the operator A we can define the fractional powers of A (cf.[6],ch.1). Let us make the notations:

(2.1) • 
$$H_y = D(A^{\frac{y}{2}}), \frac{y}{2}0, H_0 = X$$

Under these circumstances it is well known that the family of Hilbert spaces {H}\_{\gamma}  $\gamma \in [0,m]$ , with  $m \ge 2$  represents an interpolated scale of real, separable Hilbert spaces. (see [6], ch.1 and [10] for the background in interpolation theory). We denote by  $H_{-1}$  the dual space of  $H_{1}$  constructed by means of the inner product of  $H_{0}$  (cf.[6]) and by <.,.> the corresponding duality. To simplify our notations we set:

(2.2) 
$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcap d(H_{j+2}; H_j),$$

j = -1

equiped with the obvious operator norm, where by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z},\mathbf{Y})$  we denoted the space of bounded linear operators from  $\mathbf{Z}$  to  $\mathbf{Y}$ . We denote by  $|\cdot|\cdot|\cdot|_k$  the norm in  $\mathbf{H}_k$ .

We consider a family of linear operators  $B(\xi), \xi \ge 0$ , satisfying the following conditions:

- (B1)  $B \in W^{m,\infty}(EO,D], \mathcal{L}$ ) for each D>0
- (B2) For each  $\S \ge 0$  and  $k=0,\ldots,m-2$  the conditions  $v \in H_k$  and  $B(\S) \lor \in H_k$  imply that  $V \in H_{k+2}$ . Moreover there is a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that:

(B3) There is a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

for any v,z & H1, \$ e[0,D].

Let us consider now a functional  $l:D(A^{1/2}) \rightarrow R_{+}$ .

As a straightforward consequence of the **Theorem 4.1** from [3] we obtain the following result:

THEOREM 2.1 Assume that (B1) through (B3) hold and and that the functional 1 is locally bounded and m-1 times continously differentiable, with m>2. Then for sufficiently small T>0 the initial value problem (1.2),(1.3) has a unique solution:

$$u \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{m} c^{k}(0,T), H_{m-k},$$
 $k=0$ 
for each  $u_{0} \in H_{m}, u_{1} \in H_{m-1}.$ 

REMARK 2.1 Due to the special choice of the interpolated scale of Hilbert spaces and to the proprieties of B(l(u)), the higher order compatibility conditions necessary in [3] are satisfied in our situation without any other asumptions.

REMARK 2.2 In the following we shall suppose that the solution of (1.2), (1.3) defined on [0,T) is a maximal one.

#### 3. ENERGY ESTIMATES FOR A LINEAR EQUATION

In this section we shall make use of the fact that, due to the assumptions made on the operator A, the space X admits an orthonormal basis  $\{v\}_{k\geq 1}$  such that for each  $k=1,2,\ldots$ 

(3.1)  $Av_k = \lambda_k^2 v_k, \lambda_k > 0$ , provided that the imbedding  $D(A) \subset X$  is compact.

In order to establish the global existence for (1.2), (1.3) we shall need stronger assumptions on the initial data u<sub>0</sub>, u<sub>1</sub>. More precisely we shall consider them A-analytic, According to [1],[8], a vector  $v \in D(A^{1/2})$  is A-analytic if there exists constants  $K, \Delta$  such that:

 $A^{j}veD(A^{1/2})$ ,  $I\langle A^{j}v,v\rangle I^{2} \leq K\Delta^{j}j!$ , j=0,1,...

In [1] Arosio ans Spagnolo proved the following characterisation of A-analicity.

PROPOSITION 3.1 Assume that conditions (3.1) holds. Then a vector  $v=\sum_{k>1}y_kv_k\in H_1$  is A-analytic if and only if

there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that:  $|y_k|^2 \exp(2\delta \lambda_k) < \infty$ .

In this section we shall consider a family of linear operators C(t): D(A) - > X,  $t \in [0,T)$ , satisfying certain assumptions in connection with the bases  $(v_i)_{i \geq 1}$ . We suppose that the space X is decomposable in direct sum of orthogonal subspaces  $X_1, \ldots, X_p$  to which it corresponds a partition  $N_1, \ldots, N_p$  of the set of the natural numbers such that:

(3.3) 
$$(P_j \vee_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_2}$$
 is an orthonormal basis in  $X_j$ ,  $j=1$ ,  $p$ ;

(3.4) 
$$P_{j}(C(t)\vee_{i}) = c_{ij}(t)\lambda_{i}^{2}P_{j}\vee_{i}, i\in\mathbb{N}_{j}, j=1,p, t\in[0,T)$$

where P\_j is the orthogonal projector on X\_j,  $\mathcal{L}_{ij}$ : (0,  $\infty$ )->R are continously differentiable and  $c_{ij}$ (t)  $\geq$  C\_O > 0.

(3.5) 
$$P_{j}(C(t)v_{i}) = 0 \text{ if } i \not\in N_{j}, t \in [0,T).$$

Let us make the notations:

(3.6) 
$$e_k(u,t) = (1/2) \lambda_k^2 |y_k(t)|^2 + |y_k(t)|^2$$

where  $u(t) = \sum_{k \ge 1} y_k(t) v_k$ .

Consider the initial value problem:

(3.7) 
$$u(t)+C(t)u(t)=0$$

(3.8). 
$$u(0)=u_0, u(0)=u_1.$$

Concerning the solutions of (3.7),(3.8) the following lemma which is a slight variation of a result in [1] holds:

- LEMMA 3.1 Let u be a solution of (3.7) on EO,T) and suppose that :  $\{c_{i,j}(t) \mid < \ \text{M}, \ i \geq 1, \ j=1,p, \ t \in EO,T\}. \ \text{Then we have for each } k=1,2,\dots \ \text{ and } 0 \leq t < T$
- (3.9)  $e_k(u,t) \leq \mathcal{C}_k(u,0) M_1 \exp(\eta \lambda_k)$ , for any  $\eta>0$ , where  $M_1$  is a positive constant depending on T and  $\eta$ .

Proof:

Consider  $u(t) = \sum_{k \ge 1} y_k(t) v_k$ , the Fourier expansion of the

solution of (3.8).

If we take the projection of (3.7) on  $X_j$  and take into consideration (3.5) we get:

 $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_k} \mathbb{E}_{y_k}^{v_k}(t) \mathbb{P}_{j} \vee_k + y_k(t) \mathbb{P}_{j}(\mathbb{C}(t) \vee_k) = 0.$ 

From (3.3) and (3.4) it follows that:

(3.10)  $\mathring{y}_k(t) + \lambda_k^2 c_{kj}(t) y_k = 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_j$ .

From (3.10), following exactly the proof of Lemma 2 from [1] we obtain that (3.9) holds for all  $k \in N_j$ . As the sets  $(N_j)_{j=1,p}$  cover the set of natural numbers the conclusion of the lemma follows.

We can prove now that, in certain conditions, the solution of (3.8) will not blow up in finite time.

THEOREM 3.1 Let u be a solution of (3.7) on [0,T) such that u(0) and  $\dot{u}(0)$  are A-analytic. Then, if  $c_{kj}(t)$  is bounded on EO,T), u(t), u(t) and Au(t) admit a limit in  $H_0$ for  $t\rightarrow T$ . Moreover u(T) and u(T) are A-analytic vectors.

Proof: Since u(0) and u(0) are A-analytic, by Proposition 3.1 there exists a constant  $\delta = \delta(u_0, u_1) > 0$  such that:  $\sum_{k > 1} e_k(u, 0) \exp(2\delta \lambda_k) < +\infty \quad .$ 

Using the estimate (3.10) with  $\eta = \delta$  we have  $\sum_{k\geq 1} e_k(u,t) \exp(\delta \lambda_k) \leq M_2(T,\delta) \sum_{k\geq 1} e_k(u,0) \exp(2\delta \lambda_k),$ 

(3.12)  $\sum e_k(u,t) \exp(\delta \lambda_k) \le M_3$ , where  $M_3$  depends on T,  $u_0$ ,  $u_1$ .

 $\sup_{0 \le t \le T} ||\operatorname{IAC}(t) u(t)||^2 = \sup_{0 \le t \le T} ||\operatorname{Se}_k(u,t)|| < \infty ,$ 

and hence by equations (3.8)

T ∫ ||Aû(t)|| <∞.

This implies the existence of  $A\dot{u}(T)$ , hence of (Au)(T), u(T) and  $\dot{u}(T)$ . By the use (3.12) and of Proposition 3.1 We obtain that the vectors u(T) and  $\dot{u}(T)$  are A-analytic.

#### 4. THE GLOBAL EXISTENCE RESULT

In order to prove the global existence result we have to assume that (1.2) is the expression of a conservation law. More precisely we shall suppose that the following condition holds:

$$(4.1) < D1(u), h_1 > < B'(1(u)) & ,h_2 > < D1(u), h_2 > < B'(1(u)) & ,h_1 > ,h_1 > ,h_2 > < B'(1(u)) & ,h_2 > < B'(1(u)) & ,h_2 > ,h_$$

for any  $u_1,h_2 \in H_1$ , where by  $Dl(u):H_1->H_{-1}$  we denoted the Frechet differential of l.

According to the Kerner-Vainberg potentiality theorem (cf.[11], ch.2) we obtain that the nonlinear operator

$$F: H_1 - > H_{-1}, Fu = 8(1(u))u$$

is the gradient of the functional:

(4.2) 
$$F_{\sharp}H_1 \rightarrow R \; ; \; F(u) = \int_0^1 \langle B(1(tu))tu, u \rangle$$

In these conditions the following result holds:

PROPOSITION 4.1 Let u:[0,T)->X be a solution of (1.2),(1.3), and suppose that (4.1) and (B3) hold. Then there is a constant M>O such that:  $||\hat{u}(t)||_0^2 + ||u(t)||_1^2 \le M, \text{ for any $t\in [0,T)$.}$ 

PROOF If we take the inner product of (1.2) with u and integrate on [0,t] we obtain:

$$(4.4) \ (1/2) \ | \ | \ | \ | \ | \ |^2_{0} + F(u(t)) = (1/2) u_1^2 + F(u_0), \ t \in [0,T).$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain that:

(4.5) 
$$F(u) \ge C_3 ||u|||_1^2, C_3 > 0.$$

Relations (4.4), (4.5) imply (4.3). We shall also make the following assumptions, related with (3.3)-(3.5): (4.6)  $P_{j}(B(7)v_{i}) = b_{ij}(7) \lambda_{i}^{2} P_{j}v_{i}, i \in N_{j}, j=1, p,$ 

 $b_{ij}:(0,\infty)\to(C_0,\infty)$  continously differentiable

(4.7)  $P_{j}(B(\xi)v_{i}) = 0$ , if  $i \notin N_{j}$ ,  $\xi \ge 0$ .

We can state now the main result.

THEOREM 4.1 Assume that conditions (B1)-(B3), (3.3), (4.1), (4.6), (4.7) hold true. Then the problem (1.1), (1.2) has a solution u in  $C^2(CO,\infty)$ , X) for any choice of the A-analytic initial data  $u_0$  and  $u_1$ . Moreover the vectors u(t), u(t) and u(t) are A-analytic for each  $t \ge 0$ 

<u>PROOF</u> By Theorem 2.1 we know that the problem (1.2)-(1.3) has a maximal solution u in C([0,T),  $H_2$ ) o C<sup>1</sup>([0,T), $H_1$ ) o C<sup>2</sup>([0,T), $H_0$ ).

Let us make the notation:

 $C_{u}(t) = B(1(u(t))), O \le t < T.$ 

By the use of Proposition 4.1 and of the assumptions (4.6),(4.7) we see that  $C_u(t)$  satisfies the hypotesis of Lemma 3.1 so that u belongs to  $C^1([0,T],H_1)$ , Au to  $C^0([0,T],H_0)$ ,  $u(T^-)$  and  $u(T^-)$  are A-analytic. Using again Theorem 2.1 with initial instant T and initial data  $u(T^-)$  and  $u(T^-)$  we deduce that u may be extended to a solution u in  $C^1([0,T+\nabla],H_1)$  with Au in  $C([0,T+\nabla],H_0)$  for some C>0. This contradicts the maximality of u, so we obtain that  $T=+\infty$ . The vectors u(t) and u(t) are A-analytic for each  $t\geq 0$  by Theorem 3.1; u is in  $C([0,\infty),H_0)$  and u(t) is A-analytic because u is a solution of (4.6).

## 5. APPLICATIONS

A straighforward application of Theorems 2.1 and 4.1 can be obtained by choosing:  $B(1(u))=g(11A^{1/2}u(1^2)A,$ 

with g a  $\mathbb{C}^1$  function, g strictly positive. In this particular case from Theorem 2.1 we obtain the local result given in Theorem 1 from [9], already stated in the introduction. For initial data  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  A-analytic we obtain the global existence result proved in [8]. The equation modelling the free vibrations of a nonlinear string can be obtained from (1.4) by choosing:

$$X=L^{2}(0,1)$$
,  $D(A)=H_{0}^{1}(0,1)nH^{2}(0,1)$ ,  $Au=-\frac{d^{2}u}{dx^{2}}$ 

 $g(y)=a+by^2$ , a>0, b>0.

So we obtain the initial value problem:

$$\frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial t^{2}}(x,t) - E(a+b) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(y,t)^{2} dy = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0.$$

(5.2) 
$$\mu(0,x) = \mu_0(x)$$
,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0,x) = \mu_1(x)$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ .

(5.3) u(0,t)=u(1,t)=0.

A local existence result for the problem (5.1)-(5.3) was proved for the first time by Bernstein in [2] and then by Dickey in [4] by the use of Galerkin's method. As a consequence of Theorem 2.1 we obtain :

Let us consider now the linear operator:

$$A: H^{1}_{0}(0,1) \cap H^{2}(0,1) - > L^{2}(0,1), Au = -\frac{d^{2}u}{dx^{2}}$$

In this particular case the function  $v: [0,1] \rightarrow R$  is A-analytic if and only if (cf.[5])

(5.4) v is analytic in some neighbourhood of [0,1];

(5.5) 
$$\frac{d^{2k}}{dx^{2k}} = \frac{d^{2k}}{dx^{2k}} = 0, k=0,1,...$$

By applying Theorem 4.1 we obtain the following result:

PROPOSITION 5.2 Assume that  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  satisfy the conditions (5.4),(5.5). Then the initial and boundary value problem (5.1)-(5.3) has a unique solution:

 $u \in \mathbb{C}^2([0,\infty), L^2(0,1)) \cap \mathbb{C}^1([0,\infty), H_0^1(0,1)) \cap \mathbb{C}^0([0,\infty), H_0^1(0,1) \cap H^2(0,1)).$ 

The above result was proved for the first time in [2] and in its abstract version for the problem (1.4),(1.5) in [8],[1].

We shall consider now another choice for A and B(1(u)) in order to obtain existence results for the problem (1.6)-(1.9).

This choice is:  $X = L^{2}(0;1) \times L^{2}(0,1); \ (w_{1},y_{1}), (w_{2};y_{2}) \ 7_{X} = C \int_{W_{1}}^{W_{2}} w_{2} + \int_{X}^{Y_{1}} y_{2}$ 

 $D(A) = \{(w, \varphi) \in X \mid w \in H_0^1(0, 1) \cap H^2(0, 1), \psi \in H^2(0, 1), \frac{d\psi}{dx}(0) = \frac{d\psi}{dx}(1) = 0\};$ 

$$A(w, Y) = (-\frac{d^2w}{dx^2}, -\frac{d^2y}{dx^2});$$

$$1(w,\psi) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dw}{c-(y)} : 1^{2}dy;$$

$$-(5.6) \quad B(\xi) = \left(-(cd-\alpha+b\xi)\frac{d^{2}w}{dx^{2}} + cd\frac{d\psi}{dx}\right) - c\frac{d^{2}\psi}{dx^{2}} + c^{2}d\psi - c^{2}d\frac{dw}{dx}\right)$$

It is easy to check that assumptions (B1)-(B3) are satisfied so from Theorem 2.1 we get:

PROPOSITION 5.3 Assume that  $w_0 \in H_0^1(0,1) \cap H^2(0,1)$ ,  $w_1 \in H_0^1(0,1)$ ;

$$\forall 0 \in H^2(0,1), \ \forall 1 \in H^1(0,1), \frac{d\psi}{dx} = \frac{d\psi}{dx} = \frac{d\psi}{dx}$$

Then the initial and boundary value problem (1.6)-(1.9) has a unique solution  $(w, \psi): [0,T) \rightarrow L^2(0,1) \times L^2(0,1)$  for T small enough such that:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{we} \, \text{C(EO,T)} \, , \text{H}^2(0,1) \, \text{nH}^1_0(0,1) \, \text{nC}^1(\text{EO,T)} \, , \text{H}^1_0(0,1) \, \text{nC}^2(\text{EO,T)} \, , \text{L}^2(0,1) \, , \\ \\ \text{Ye} \, \text{C(EO,E)} \, , \text{H}^2(0,1) \, \text{nC}^1(\text{EO,T)} \, , \text{H}^1(0,1) \, ) \, \text{nC}^2(\text{EO,T)} \, , \text{L}^2(0,1) \, . \end{array}$ 

This result was obtained in [10] by using Galerkin's method.

Due to the independence of two components of the operator A we get that a vector  $(w,\psi)$  is A-analytic if and only if

(5.4) w, yare analytic in some neighbourhood of [0,1];

(5.8) 
$$\frac{d^{2k_W}}{dx^{2k}}$$
 (0) =  $\frac{d^{2k+1}\psi}{dx^{2k+1}}$  (0) =  $\frac{d^{2k+1}\psi}{dx^{2k+1}}$  (1) = 0, k=0,1,...

As a consequence of Theorem 4.1 we obtain:

PROPOSITION 5.4 Assume that  $w_0, w_1, \psi_0, \psi_1$  satisfy (5.7), (5.8). Then the initial and boundary problem (1.6)-(1.9) has a unique solution :

 $W \in C(EO, \infty)$ ,  $H_0^1(O, 1) \cap H^2(O, 1))) \cap C^1(EO, \infty)$ ,  $H_0^1(O, 1)) \cap C^2(EO, \infty)$ ,  $L^2(O, 1))$ .

 $\underline{PROOF}$ : We can choose the basis  $v_i$  in the following way:

$$v_{2i}(x) = (\sin i\pi x, 0),$$

$$v_{2i+1}(x) = (0,\cos i\pi x), i \ge 0.$$

It is obvious that  $(v_k)_{k\geq 0}$ , the spaces  $X_i=L^2(0,1)\times(0),X_i^2(0)\times L^2(0,1)$  and the family of operators  $B(\frac{3}{3})$  satisfy the assumptions  $(3.3)_+(3.5)$  so we can apply Theorem  $4.1^{com(5.6)}$ 

REMARK 5.1 The local solution for (1.6)-(1.9) obtained in Proposition 5.3 are much smoother with respect to t if the initial data are smoother. In fact if

(H<sub>9</sub>) 
$$\gamma \ge 0$$
=D(A<sup>3/2</sup>), with A given by 5.6, we have that: (w, $\gamma$ ) $\in \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C^k(EO,T)$ ,  $H_{m-k}$ ).

In order to study the dynamic buckling of the nonlinear Timoshenko beam Hirschhorn and Reiss in [4] didn't use directly (1.6)-(1.9), but the following initial and boundary value problem for the transverse deflection w(x,t):

$$(5.10) \frac{\partial^{4}w(x,t)}{\partial t^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}w}{\partial t^{2}} (x,t) - c(d+1) \frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial t^{2}} (x,t) + c^{2}d \frac{\partial^{2}w}{\partial t^{2}} (x,t) = 0$$

$$= -c^{2}d \frac{\partial^{4}w(x,t)}{\partial x^{4}} - c\mu(t) \frac{\partial^{4}w}{\partial x^{4}} (x,t) + c^{2}d\mu(t) \frac{\partial^{2}w}{\partial x^{2}} (x,t), \quad o < x < t, t \ge 0, -\frac{1}{2}$$

where 
$$\mu(t) = -a + b \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} (y, t))^{2} dy$$
.

$$(5.11) \frac{\partial^{1} w(x,0)}{\partial t^{i}} = w_{i}(x), i=0,1,2,3, 0 < x < 1.$$

(5.12) 
$$w(0,t) = w(1,t) = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}$$
  $\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2}$  (1,t) = 0,t>0.

We shall prove the following existence and uniqueness result for the problem (5.10)-(5.12).

<u>PROPOSITION</u> 5.5 Assume that  $w_i$ , i=0,4 satisfy (5.5). Then the problem (5.8)-(5.10) has a unique solution :

we 
$$\bigcap_{k=0}^{k} ([0,\infty), H_{m-k}),$$

where  $H_{\gamma} = D(A^{8/2})$  and A is defined by (5.6).

#### PROOF

#### i) Existence

Let  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi_1$  be two functions satisfying the conditions:

(5.13) 
$$w_2 = (cd + \gamma(0)) \frac{d^2w_0}{dx^2} - cd \frac{d}{dx}$$
;  $d^2w_0$  (5.14)  $w_3 = (cd + \gamma(0)) \frac{d^2w_0}{dx^2} - cd \frac{d}{dx} + \gamma(0) \frac{d^2w_0}{dx^2}$ .

The above relations imply that  $w_0$ ,  $w_1$ ,  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi_1$  satisfy conditions (5.8),(5.9). Consider the solution  $w,\psi$  of (1.6)-(1.9) with initial data  $w_0$ ,  $w_1$ ,  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi_1$ .

From Remark 4.1 we obtain that:

This means that all the derivates necessary to eliminate  $\psi$  from (1.2),(1.3) make sense so we obtain that w satisfies (5.10) and the last two boundary conditions from (5.12).

#### ii) Uniqueness

Let w be a solution of (5.10)-(5.12) having the regularity

WE 
$$\bigcap_{k=0}^{4} C^{k}([0, 1], H^{4-k}([0, 1]).$$

We define  $\psi$  (x,t) as the unique solution of the equation (1.7) with the initial and boundary conditions:

$$W(x,0)=W_0(x)$$
,  $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(x,0)=\psi_1(x)$ ,  $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}(0,t)=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}(1,t)=0$ ,

where  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi_1$  satisfy (5.13). It is easy to see that  $w_0$ ,  $w_1$ ,  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi_1$  satisfy (5.8),(5.9) and by the use of **Theorem 3.1** from [3] we obtain:

$$\psi \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{4} \mathbb{C}^{4-k}([0,\infty),H^{4-k}([0,1)).$$

Define G:[O,1]x[O,∞)->R by:

$$G(x,t) = \frac{3^{2}w}{3^{2}w}(x,t) - (\mu(t) + cd) \frac{3^{2}w}{3^{2}w}(x,t) + cd \frac{3^{2}w}{3^{2}w}(x,t).$$

Taking into account (5.10), (5.13) we obtain that G is a solution of the initial-boundary value problem:

$$\frac{\partial^{2}G}{\partial t^{2}}(x,t) - c \frac{\partial^{2}G}{\partial x^{2}}(x,t) + G(x,t) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2}G}{\partial t^{2}}(x,t) - \frac{\partial^{2}G}{\partial x^{2}}(x,t) + G(x,t) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2}G}{\partial t^{2}}(x,t) - \frac{\partial^{2}G}{\partial x^{2}}(x,t) + G(x,t) = 0$$

From the standard uniqueness result for linear hyperbolic equations we obtain that G=0, i.e. w, $\psi$  are solutions of (1.6) - (1.9). By the use of Proposition 5.3 the uniqueness result follows.

#### REFERENCES

- E1] A.Arosio S.Spagnolo, Global solution of the Cauchy problem for a non-linear hyperbolic equation, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Applications, vol VI(H. Brezis, J.L. Lions eds.), Pitman (1984),pp.1-26.
- [2] S.Bernstein, On a class of functional-partial differential equations, Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR, Ser.Math. 4(1940), pp.17-26.
- C.Dafermos W.Hrusa, Energy methods for quasilinear hyperbolic initial boundary value problems, Arch. for Rat.Mech.Anal., 87(1985), pp.267-292.
- [4] R. Dickey, Infinite systems of nonlinear oscilation equations related to the string, Froc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23(1969), pp.459-468.
- [5] M. Hirschhorn E. Reiss, Dynamic buckling of a nonlinear Timoshenko beam, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 37(1979), pp.290-305.
- [6] J.L. Lions-E. Magenes, Problemes aux limites non homogenes et applications, Dunod, 1968.
- [7] A.H. Nayfeh-D.T. Mook, Nonlinear oscilation, Wiley-Interscience, 1979.
- [8] S. Pohozaev, On a class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations, Mat. Sbornik 96(1975), pp.152-166.
- [9] P.H. Rivera Rodriguez, On local strong solutions of a nonlinear partial differential equation, Appl. Anal. 10(1980), pp.93-104.
- [10] H. Triebel, Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenshaften, 1978.
- [11] M. Tucsnak, On an initial and boundary value problem for the nonlinear Timoshenko beam, INCREST, Preprint Series in Mathematics No.38/1989.
- [12] M. Vainberg, The variational method in the study of nonlinear operators, G. I. T. L., Moscow (1956).