

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

AN EXCISION FREE CHERN CHARACTER FOR
P-SUMMABLE QUASIHOMOMORPHISMS
- preliminary version -

by

VICTOR NISTOR

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No.49/1990

AN EXCISION FREE CHERN CHARACTER FOR

P-SUMMABLE QUASIHOMOMORPHISMS

- preliminary version -

by

VICTOR NISTOR^{*})

August, 1990

^{*}) Mathematical Institute of Romanian Academy, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622, Bucharest,
Romania.

An excision free Chern character for p-summable quasihomomorphisms

- preliminary version -

by Victor Nistor

Introduction

In [Co 2, Co 3] Connes has defined the cyclic cohomology $HC^*(A)$ of an algebra A over \mathbb{C} and has defined a pairing

$$K_*(A) \otimes HC^*(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

and a Chern character

$$K^*(A) \rightarrow HC^*(A).$$

In [Co 4] Connes has raised the problem of defining a bivariant cyclic theory and a Chern character

$$KK(A, B) \rightarrow HC^*(A, B)$$

compatible with the product of Kasparov's bivariant K-theory.

Important steps have been made in this program. In [JK] Jones and Kassel have defined a bivariant cyclic theory enjoying all the formal properties of Kasparov's theory. In [Wa, Kas] Wang and Kassel have defined a Chern character with values in Jones-Kassel bivariant cyclic theory. However their definition is not completely satisfactory since it assumes certain excision properties (H-unitality [Wo]) which are usually not satisfied in practice. As observed by Wang one could eliminate this drawback by providing an explicit formula for the Chern character of an arbitrary p-summable quasihomomorphism à la Cuntz [Cu]; he also provides such a formula for $p = 1$.

In this paper we show that Wang's formula may be adjust^{ed} to provide such formulae by a sequence of homotopies suggested by the work of

Goodwillie [G]. We also give an explicit functorial form of the operator E_D which Goodwillie has shown to exist.

Thus for a p -summable quasihomomorphism (i.e. a pair $\varphi_0, \varphi_1: A \rightarrow B(H) \hat{\otimes} B$ such that $\varphi_0 - \varphi_1$ factors continuously as $A \rightarrow C_p \hat{\otimes} B \rightarrow B(H) \hat{\otimes} B$) we associate a sequence

$$Ch_0^{2n}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) \in HC^{2n}(A, B) \quad n \geq p-1$$

such that $S Ch_0^{2n}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) = Ch_0^{2n+2}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ where S is the periodicity operator of the bivariant cyclic theory [JK].

Suggested by the case of the Chern character on K_0 we consider smooth C^* -algebras. For such C^* -algebras A and B we define a "smooth" variant of Kasparov's groups $K_{\text{smooth}}(A, B)$ and we show that the above Chern character Ch_0^{2n} define after taking the limit a morphism

$$Ch_0 : K_{\text{smooth}}(A, B) \rightarrow PHC_0(A, B)$$

compatible with the Chern character on K_* and the action of KK on K -theory.

The same results hold true for smooth extensions, i.e. extensions of the form

$$0 \rightarrow C_p \hat{\otimes} B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

which have continuous linear cross sections. This may be proved along the same lines using Cuntz's description of the universal extension [Cu 2].

Our results may be viewed as generalization of some results of Quillen [Q] replacing 0-cocycles (i.e. traces) with arbitrary cocycles.

1.1 Let us recall first some basic facts about the Jones-Kassel bivarient cyclic theory [J.-K.]

A differential graded Λ -module (abbreviated dg- Λ -module) is a differential module (X_n, b) , $b: X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$, $b^2 = 0$ endowed with a degree 1 map $B: X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ satisfying $B^2 = 0$, $[B, b] = Bb + bB = 0$. Here Λ is $\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}\epsilon$, the algebra of dual numbers ($\epsilon^2 = 0$) and B corresponds to the multiplication by ϵ .

Let $B(\Lambda) = \mathbb{C}[u]$, the polynomial algebra on a degree 2 generator u . If X is a dg- Λ -module then $B(\Lambda) \otimes X$ is endowed with the differential

$$d(u^p \otimes x) = u^p \otimes bx + u^{p-1} \otimes Bx$$

and a degree -2 map $S: B(\Lambda) \otimes X \rightarrow B(\Lambda) \otimes X$

$$S(u^p \otimes x) = u^{p-1} \otimes x, \quad \text{if } p > 0, \quad 0 \text{ otherwise}.$$

If Y is an other dg- Λ -module then $\text{Hom}_S(B(\Lambda) \otimes X, B(\Lambda) \otimes Y)$ is the complex of morphisms $f: B(\Lambda) \otimes X \rightarrow B(\Lambda) \otimes Y$ commuting with S . A degree n such a morphism is realised by a sequence $(f_i)_{i \geq 0}$, $f_i: X \rightarrow Y$, f_i of degree $n + 2i$ such that

$$f(u^p \otimes x) = \sum_{i=0}^p u^{p-i} \otimes f_i(x)$$

formally

$$f = \sum_{i \geq 0} S^i \otimes f_i$$

The differential is $df = d \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d$. Here $|f|$ is the degree of f . If f is as above then $df = \sum_{i \geq 0} S^i \otimes g_i$ where $g_0 = [b, f_0]$, $g_i = [B, f_{i-1}] + [b, f_i]$ (the commutators are always graded commutators). Then $HC^n(X, Y) = H_{-n}(\text{Hom}_S(B(\Lambda) \otimes X, B(\Lambda) \otimes Y))$.

There exists a degree 2 morphism $S: HC^n(X, Y) \rightarrow HC^{n+2}(X, Y)$ coming from periodicity. If $[f] \in HC^n(X, Y)$ is represented by $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} S^i \otimes f_i$

then $S[f]$ is represented by $\sum_{i \geq 0} S^{i+1} \otimes f_i$. The composition of morphisms

defines a pairing $\circ: HC^n(X, Y) \otimes HC^m(Y, Z) \rightarrow HC^{n+m}(X, Z)$ the Yoneda product.

If $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} S^i \otimes f_i$ and $g = \sum_{i \geq 0} S^i \otimes g_i$ then $[g] \circ [f] = [h]$ for

$h = \sum_{i \geq 0} S^i \otimes h_i$, $h_k = f_0 g_k + f_1 g_{k-1} + \dots + f_k g_0$. Also

$$S[f] = S[id_X] \circ [f] = [f] \circ S[id_Y]$$

for any $[f] \in HC^n(X, Y)$. No confusion will arise if we shall write S instead of $S[id_X]$, $S[id_Y]$ so the previous identity becomes

$$S[f] = S \circ [f] = [f] \circ S$$

1.2. Let us also recall that the dg- Λ -module associated to a unital algebra A over \mathbb{C} is $B(A) = (C_n(A), b, B)$ where $C_n(A) = A \otimes (A/\mathbb{C})^{\otimes n}$ and $ba_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n + (-1)^n a_n a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}$

$$Ba_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{ni} 1 \otimes a_i \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i-1}$$

Note that we have normalised from the beginning.

We shall write $HC^n(A, X)$ instead of $HC^n(B(A), X)$,

1.3. Let $D:A \rightarrow A, D(1) = 0$, be an arbitrary function. Following Goodwillie [G] we define

$$e_D: C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(A)$$

$$e_D a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = a_0 D(a_1) \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n, \text{ and}$$

$$E_D: C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(A)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_D a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes Da_i \otimes \dots \otimes a_n + \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{nj} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} 1 \otimes a_j \otimes \dots \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes Da_i \otimes \dots \otimes a_{j-1} \end{aligned}$$

(D occurs only to the right of a_0 and exactly once in each term. This is an explicit form of a formula shown to exist by Goodwillie, loc. cit.)

$$L'_D: C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(A)$$

$$L'_D a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes Da_i \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$$

Also let $1:A \otimes A \rightarrow A, 1a \otimes b = D(ab) - aD(b) - D(a)b$

$$f_0: C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n-2}(A)$$

$$f_0 a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = a_0 1(a_1, a_2) \otimes a_3 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$$

$$f_1: C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(A)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k 1 \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes 1(a_k, a_{k+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes a_n + \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (-1)^{k+(n-1)j} 1 \otimes a_{j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes 1(a_k, a_{k+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes a_j \end{aligned}$$

We let $j_D = 1 \otimes e_D + S \otimes E_D$, $L_D = 1 \otimes L'_D$, $f = 1 \otimes f_0 + S \otimes f_1$

1.4. Lemma $dj_D = SL_D - f$.

If D is a derivation this is simply the check of [G, Theorem II.4.2]

Proof . We have to show that

- i) $[b, e_D] = -f_0$
- ii) $[B, e_D] + [b, E_D] = L'_D - f_1$
- iii) $[B, E_D] = 0$

iii) is obvious since we are working with the normalised dg- Λ -module and i) is an obvious computation.

For ii) let $D_i : C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(A)$ $D_i a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes D(a_i) \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$
 $d_k a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = (-1)^k a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k a_{k+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$, $k = 0, \dots, n-1$.

$$d_n a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = (-1)^n a_n a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}$$

$$t : A^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow A^{\otimes n+1}$$

$$t a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = (-1)^n a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$$

(Note that the above definitions differ to the usual ones ^{mainly} by signs).

$$b' = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k$$

of course $b = b' + d_n$

Finally, let $s : C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(A)$

$$s a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = 1 \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$$

Recall $[C_3, LQ]$ that

$$b's + sb' = 1$$

and $B = s \sum_{j=0}^n t^j$. Then

$$e_D = d_0 D_1$$

$$Be_D = s \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t^j d_0 d_1$$

$$e_D s = D_0$$

$$e_D B = \sum_{j=0}^n D_0 t^j$$

$$L_D = \sum_{i=0}^n D_i$$

$$E_D = s E'_D \text{ where}$$

$$E'_D = \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} t^j D_i$$

The relation $bs = 1 - t^{-1} - sb'$ shows that

$$bE_D = (1 - t^{-1} - sb')E'_D = L'_D - \sum_{j=0}^n t^j D'_j - sb'E'_D$$

Let us observe that $t^j D_j = D_0 t^j$ and hence $\sum_{j=0}^n t^j D_j = \sum_{j=0}^n D_0 t^j$.

We obtain that ii) reduces to $f_1 = s f'_1$ where

$$f'_1 = b'E'_D - E'_D b - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t^j d_0 d_1$$

we compute

$$b'E'_D = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} d_k t^j D_i$$

now

$$d_k t^j = \begin{cases} t^j d_{k+j} & k+j \leq n \\ t^{j-1} d_{k+j-n-1} & k+j \geq n+1 \end{cases}$$

Next we divide the sum in two parts, the first one contains the terms one contains with $k+j \leq n$ and the second the terms with $k+j \geq n+1$. We replace $k+j$ by k in

the first part and $k+j-n-1$ by k and $j-1$ by j in the second.

We obtain

$$b'E_D' = \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{j=2}^k \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} t^j d_k D_i + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^n \sum_{i=1}^j t^j d_k D_i$$

$$E_D' b = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} t^j D_i d_k$$

Let us observe that if we denote $(-1)^k a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes 1(a_k, a_{k+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$ by $l_k a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n$ we obtain

$$D_i d_k = \begin{cases} d_k D_i & 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ d_k(D_k + D_{k+1}) + l_k & i = k \\ d_k D_{i+1} & i \geq k+1 \end{cases}$$

Using these relations in the formula for $E_D' b$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_D' b &= \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{i=2}^j t^j d_0 D_i + \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{j=2}^k \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} t^j d_k D_i + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^n \sum_{i=1}^j t^j d_k D_i + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^n t^j l_k \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$f_1' = b'E_D' - E_D' b - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t^j d_0 D_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^n t^j l_k$$

The rest is obvious.

1.5. As in $[Wa, Wo]$, given an ideal $I \subset A$ we consider the filtration of $B(A) = F_0(A, I) \supset F_{-1}(A, I) \supset F_{-2}(A, I) \supset \dots$

where

$$F_{-n}(A, I)_k = \sum_{\nu_0 + \dots + \nu_k = -n} I^{\nu_0} \otimes I^{\nu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes I^{\nu_k} \quad (I^0 = A)$$

This filtration depends functorially on the pair (A, I) in the sense that any unital morphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\varphi(I) \subset J$ gives rise to a morphism $F_{-n}(A, I) \rightarrow F_{-n}(B, J)$ of dg- Λ -modules.

Of course $F_{-1}(A, I)$ is the kernel of $B(A) \rightarrow B(A/I)$.

From now on we shall no longer assume A to have a unit.

We shall use these remarks in the following specific situation.

Let $QA = A * A$ be the free product and qA the kernel of $A * A \rightarrow A$ $[Cu1]$.

Let $i_0, i_1 : A \rightarrow QA$ be the canonical embeddings and $qa = i_0(a) - i_1(a)$.

Recall $[Cu1]$ that these symbols satisfy

$$q(ab) = aq(b) + q(a)b - q(a)q(b)$$

(we have identified A with $i_0(A)$) and that QA and ΩA (the universal differential graded algebra of A $[A, Kan]$) are linearly isomorphic $[cc]$ via

$$a_0 q a_1 \dots q a_n \rightarrow a_0 da_1 \dots da_n, qa_1 \dots qa_n \rightarrow da_1 \dots da_n$$

From now on we shall identify QA with $\Omega A = A \oplus \bigoplus_{n>0} A^+ \otimes A^{\otimes n}$,

A^+ being the algebra with adjoint unit. We shall endow qA with the negative of the grading inherited from ΩA (i.e. $|qa| = -1$).

QA becomes isomorphic with ΩA for the following multiplication

$$\omega_1 \cdot \omega_2 = \begin{cases} \omega_1 \omega_2 & |\omega_1| \text{ even} \\ \omega_1 \omega_2 + \omega_1 d\omega_2 & |\omega_1| \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad [cc]$$

Note that QA (as well as qA and ΩA) is not unital, so we shall have to consider QA^+ , the algebra with a degree 0 adjoint unit. Let $D : QA^+ \rightarrow QA^+$, $D\omega = -|\omega|\omega$ for homogeneous ω . Then $l(a, b) = D(ab) - aD(b) - D(a)b = +adb$ if $|a|$ is odd, 0 otherwise, l is a degree -1 map.

1.6. i_0, i_1 extend to morphisms $A^+ \rightarrow QA^+$ denoted also i_0 and i_1 . The corresponding morphisms $B(i_k) : B(A^+) \rightarrow B(QA^+)$ have the property that $(B(i_0) - B(i_1))(B(A^+)) \in F_{-1}(QA^+, qA)$ and $B(i_0) = B(i_1)$ on $B(\mathbb{C}) \subset B(A^+)$ and hence $B(i_0) - B(i_1)$ defines an element

$$Ch_0^0(A) \in HC^0(B(A^+) / B(\mathbb{C}), F_{-1}(QA^+, qA))$$

According to lemma 1.4. we may define $S_n = S - n^{-1}dj_0 \in \text{Hom}_S(F_{-n}, F_{-n-1})$ ($F_{-k} = F_{-k}(QA^+, qA)$, $k \geq 1$) Let $i : F_{-n-1} \rightarrow F_{-n}$ be the inclusion

- 1.7. Lemma. a) $[S_n] \circ [i] = S$ in $HC^2(F_{-n}, F_{-n})$
 b) $[i] \circ [S_n] = S$ in $HC^2(F_{-n-1}, F_{-n-1})$
 c) If $x \in HC^2(F_{-n}, F_{-n-1})$ is an other element

satisfying either a) or b) then $Sx = S[S_n]$.

Proof a) and b) are consequences of lemma 1.4.

Let x satisfy $x[i] = S$ then $Sx = xS = x[i] \circ [S_n] = S[S_n]$.

1.8. Theorem. (Definition and existence of the Chern character of the universal quasihomomorphism)

There exists $Ch_0^{2n}(A) = Ch_D^{2n}(A, i_0, i_1)$

a) $Ch_0^{2n}(A) \in HC^{2n}(B(A^+) / B(\mathbb{C}), F_{-n-1}(QA^+, qA))$

b) $Ch_0^0(A)$ is as defined in 1.6.

c). $\text{Ch}_0^{2n}(A) \circ [i] = S \text{Ch}_0^{2n-2}(A)$, $n \geq 1$

d) Given a morphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ then

$$\text{Ch}_0^{2n}(A) \circ [\varphi''] = [\varphi'] \circ \text{Ch}_0^{2n}(B)$$

where $\varphi' : B(A^+) / B(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(B^+) / B(\mathbb{C})$ and $\varphi'' : F_{-n-1}(QA^+, qA) \rightarrow F_{-n-1}(QB^+, qB)$ are defined by φ .

-1.9. Theorem. (Uniqueness of the Chern character of the universal quasihomomorphism up to stabilisation)

If $\text{Ch}_0'^{2n}$ satisfies a), b) and c) then $S \text{Ch}_0'^{2n} = S \text{Ch}_0^{2n}(A)$.

Proof of 1.8.

Let $\text{Ch}_0^{2n}(A) = \text{Ch}_0^0(A) \circ [S_1] \circ \dots \circ [S_n]$ where $\text{Ch}_0^0(A)$ is as defined in 1.6.

c) follows from lemma 1.7, d) follows from the naturality of the definition of S_n .

Proof of 1.9.

We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 0$ it is part of the hypothesis.

Suppose now that $S \text{Ch}_0'^{2n-2}(A) \circ [i] = S \text{Ch}_0^{2n-2}(A)$ then $\text{Ch}_0'^{2n} \circ [i] = \text{Ch}_0^{2n}(A) \circ [i]$

Multiplying by S_n the right we get the conclusion.

1.10. The next step is the definition of the Chern character of a p -summable quasihomomorphism.

Let A, B be complete locally convex algebras.

Recall [Co2, Cu1, Wa] that a p -summable quasihomomorphism (φ_0, φ_1) is a pair of continuous morphisms $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 : A \rightarrow L(H) \hat{\otimes} B$ such that

$\varphi_0 - \varphi_1 : A \rightarrow C_p \hat{\otimes} B$ is well defined and continuous. We shall write

$(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) : A \rightarrow L(H) \hat{\otimes} B \triangleright C_p \hat{\otimes} B$. Given such a quasihomomorphism (φ_0, φ_1)

there are defined morphisms $QA \rightarrow L(H) \hat{\otimes} B$, $qA \rightarrow C_p \hat{\otimes} B$ [Cu1]. Following

[Wa] there exists for any $n \geq p - 1$ a morphism of $dg\text{-}A$ -modules

$$\text{tr} : F_{-n-1}(L(H) \hat{\otimes} B^+, C_p \hat{\otimes} B) \rightarrow B(B^+)/B(\mathbb{C})$$

defined by $\text{tr}(T_0 \otimes b_0, \dots, T_n \otimes b_n) = \text{tr}(T_0 \dots T_n) b_0 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n$.

(Here $L(H)$ is the \mathbb{C}^* -algebra of bounded operators in the Hilbert space H , $C_p \subset L(H)$ is the subspace of those $T \in B(H)$ such that $\text{tr}(T^* T)^{p/2} < \infty$ [Si] and $\hat{\otimes}$ is the projective tensor product [G2].)

Let $g_n = S_n \circ S_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ S_1 \circ (B(i_0) - B(i_1)) : B(A^+)/B(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow F_{-n-1}(QA^+, qA)$

$$\chi_n : F_{-n-1}(QA^+, qA) \rightarrow F_{-n-1}(L(H) \hat{\otimes} B, C_p \hat{\otimes} B)$$

1.11. Definition

$$\text{Ch}_0^{2n}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) = \text{Ch}_0^{2n}(A) \circ [\chi_n] \circ [\text{tr}] \in \text{HC}^{2n}(A, B), \quad n \geq p - 1$$

If one wishes one may consider the topological bivariant cyclic theory. It is defined as before but considering continuous morphisms [YK].

Then $\text{Ch}_0^{2n}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ is the class of $\text{tr} \circ \chi_n \circ g_n$.

Here $\text{HC}^*(A, B) = \text{HC}^*(B(A^+)/B(\mathbb{C}), B(B^+)/B(\mathbb{C}))$.

1.12. Proposition. Let φ_0, φ_1 be a p -summable quasihomomorphism as above then

$$a) \quad S \operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) = \operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n+2}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1), \quad n \geq p-1$$

b) Ch_0^{2n} depends functorially on A and B i.e.

$$\operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n}(\varphi_0 \circ \psi, \varphi_1 \circ \psi) = [B(\psi)] \circ \operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) \quad \psi: A' \rightarrow A$$

$$\operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n}((1 \otimes \psi') \circ \varphi_0, (1 \otimes \psi') \circ \varphi_1) = \operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) \circ B(\psi'), \quad \psi': B \rightarrow B'$$

ψ and ψ' being continuous.

Proof.

Let $i: F_{-n-2}(QA^+, qA) \rightarrow F_{-n-1}(QA^+, qA)$ be the inclusion, then

$$[\chi_{n+1}] \circ [\operatorname{tr}] = [i] \circ [\chi_n] \circ [\operatorname{tr}]$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n+2}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) &= \operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n+2}(A) \circ [\chi_{n+1}] \circ [\operatorname{tr}] = \operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n+2}(A) \circ [i] \circ [\chi_n] \circ [\operatorname{tr}] = \\ &= S \operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) \quad \text{by Theorem 1.8. c).} \end{aligned}$$

The functoriality is clear from definition.

1.13. Corollary. $(\operatorname{Ch}_0^{2n}(\varphi_0, \varphi_1))_{n \geq p-1}$ gives a well defined element

$$\operatorname{Ch}_0(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) \in \operatorname{PHC}^0(A, B) = \varinjlim (\operatorname{PHC}^{2n}(A, B), S).$$

2. The relation with the Chern character in K-theory

2.1. We want now to define something like $KK(A, B)$ if A, B are C^* -algebras as a natural domain of our Chern character. We stay very close to the definitions in $[Ka, Cu]$.

2.2. Definition. Let A be a C^* -algebra. We shall say following Connes that A is a smooth C^* -algebra if there is given a dense complete self adjoint locally convex algebra $A^\infty \subset A$ such that whenever $a \in M_n(A^{\infty+})$ and f is an analytic function in a neighborhood of $\sigma(a)$ then $f(a) \in M_n(A^{\infty+})$.

A^∞ will be called a smooth subalgebra of A .

If moreover $C_p \hat{\otimes} A^\infty$ is smooth in $K \otimes A$ then A^∞ is called absolutely smooth.

2.3. Example. If X is a smooth manifold then $C_c^\infty(X)$ is an absolutely smooth subalgebra of $C_0(X)$.

2.4. Let A, B be two non commutative manifolds with $A^\infty \subset A, B^\infty \subset B$ the associated smooth algebras.

Let H_B be the Hilbert space on B $[Ka]$, i.e. the completion of $B^{(N)}$ $N = 1, \dots, \infty$ for $\|(b_0, \dots, b_n, 0, \dots)\| = \|\sum_{k \geq 0} b_k^* b_k\|^{1/2}$.

We define $\mathcal{E}_p(A, B) = \{(\varphi_0, \varphi_1), \varphi_0, \varphi_1 \text{ are } * \text{-homomorphisms}$
 $A \rightarrow L(H_B) \text{ such that } \varphi_i|_{A^\infty}, i = 0, 1 \text{ factor continuously}$
 $A^\infty \rightarrow L(H) \hat{\otimes} B^\infty \rightarrow L(H_B) \text{ and } \varphi_0 - \varphi_1|_{A^\infty} \text{ factors continuously as}$
 $A^\infty \rightarrow C_p \otimes B^\infty \rightarrow L(H_B)\}$
 $\mathcal{D}_p(A, B) = \{(\varphi_0, \varphi_0) \in \mathcal{E}_p(A, B)\}$.

These are "smooth"-forms of the cycles of KK-theory in Cuntz's picture.

The pair (φ_0, φ_1) defines by restriction a p -summable quasihomomorphism

$$\varphi_0, \varphi_1: A \rightarrow B(H) \hat{\otimes} B^\infty \triangleright C_p \hat{\otimes} B^\infty.$$

We define addition as in case of KK -theory.

Homotopy is replaced by smooth homotopy : $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{E}_p(A, B)$ are called smoothly homotopic if there exists $x \in \mathcal{E}_p(A, C([0,1], B))$ which restricted at the end points gives x_0 , respectively x_1 . Here $C([0,1], B)$ is endowed with the smooth structure defined by $C^\infty([0,1], B^\infty)$.

2.5. We let, on $\mathcal{E}_{\text{smooth}}(A, B) = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} \mathcal{E}_p(A, B)$ the equivalence

relation \equiv generated by

1) addition of degenerate elements :

$$x_0 \equiv x_1 \text{ if } x_0 + y = x_1 \text{ for some } y \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{smooth}}(A, B) = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_p(A, B).$$

2) smooth homotopy : $x_0 \equiv x_1$ if x_0 is smoothly homotopic to x_1 .

$$\text{Let } K_{\text{smooth}}(A, B) = \mathcal{E}_{\text{smooth}}(A, B) / \equiv \dots$$

2.6. Observation a) Let $x = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ and $u \in L(H) \hat{\otimes} B^\infty$ be a unitary then $(\text{ad}_u \circ \varphi_0, \text{ad}_u \circ \varphi_1)$ represents the same element as x in $K_{\text{smooth}}(A, B)$.

b) If $U \in C + C_p \hat{\otimes} B^\infty$ then also $(\text{ad}_U \circ \varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ represents the same element as x .

$$\text{To see this one uses a smooth homotopy from } \begin{bmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix} \text{ to } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following Proposition is proved as in the case of KK -group.

2.7. Proposition. $K_{\text{smooth}}(A, B)$ is a group. The unit is the class of any degenerate element, the inverse of (φ_0, φ_1) is (φ_1, φ_0) .

K_{smooth} is covariant in the second variable and contravariant in the first variable for morphisms of smooth C^* -algebras.

2.8. Proposition. Ch_0 factors to a morphism

$$\text{Ch}_0: K_{\text{smooth}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{PHC}^0(A^\infty, B^\infty).$$

Proof. Ch_0 is clearly additive and vanishes on degenerate elements.

Suppose $x_0 = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ and $x_1 = (\varphi_0, \varphi_1)$ are smoothly homotopic via an element $x \in \mathcal{E}_p(A, C([0,1], B))$. Let $e_t: C([0,1], B) \rightarrow B$ be the evaluation at t , $x_i = e_{i*}(x)$. Then

$$\text{Ch}_0^{2n}(x_i) = \text{Ch}_0^{2n}(x) \circ [e_i] \quad n \geq p-1$$

Theorem 8.1,

Since $S[e_0] = S[e_1]$ [Kas, pag 54] we obtain from proposition 1.12 a) that $\text{Ch}_0^{2n}(x_0) = \text{Ch}_0^{2n}(x_1)$, $n \geq p$.

2.9. There exists an obvious morphism $K_{\text{smooth}}(A, B) \rightarrow KK(A, B)$ and hence, given $x = K_{\text{smooth}}(A, B)$ the Kasparov product defines a morphism

$$K_0(A) \xrightarrow{- \otimes x} K_0(B)$$

Recall [Co 1] that $K_0(A) \simeq K_0(A^\infty)$, $K_0(B) \simeq K_0(B^\infty)$.

Theorem Suppose A and B are smooth C^* -algebras and B^∞ is absolutely smooth, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(A^\infty) \simeq K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{- \otimes x} & K_0(B) \simeq K_0(B^\infty) \\ \downarrow \text{Ch}_0 & & \downarrow \text{Ch}_0 \\ \text{PHC}_0(A^\infty) & \xrightarrow{- \circ \text{Ch}_0(x)} & \text{PHC}_0(B^\infty) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Let $e \in A^\infty$ be a projection (a selfadjoint idempotent). Recall that $\text{Ch}(e)$ is defined as $\text{PHC}_0(\varphi)(1) \in \text{PHC}_0(A^\infty)$ where 1 stands for the generator of $\text{PHC}_0(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A^\infty$ is given by $1 \rightarrow e$ [Co 3, Kar2]. The partial Chern character $\text{Ch}_0^{2n} : K_0(A^\infty) \rightarrow \text{HC}_{2n}(A^\infty)$ is defined similarly and $\text{Ch}_0^{2l'} = S \text{Ch}_0^{2l+2}$.

Suppose x is represented by $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1) \in \mathcal{E}_p(A, B)$.

Then our diagram is the inverse limit of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(A^\infty) \simeq K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{-\otimes x} & K_0(B) \simeq K_0(B^\infty) \\ \downarrow \text{Ch}_0^{2l} & & \downarrow \text{Ch}_0^{2l-2n} \\ \text{HC}_{2l}(A^\infty) & \xrightarrow{-\text{Ch}_0^{2n}(x)} & \text{HC}_{2l-2n}(B^\infty) \end{array}$$

$l \geq n \geq p-1$. Assume we have fixed $\text{Ch}_0^{2l}([1]) \in \text{HC}_{2l}(\mathbb{C})$ to be a distinguished set of generators. Then from the definition of the Chern character on K_0 and the naturality of the Chern character for quasihomomorphisms we see that we may assume $A = \mathbb{C}$.

Claim. $K_{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{C}, B) \simeq K_0(B)$. Let us first conclude the proof using the claim. Let $x \in K_{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{C}, B)$, the claim shows that we may suppose that x is represented by (φ_0, φ_1) such that $\varphi_0(1), \varphi_1(1) \in M_N(B)$ for some large N . Then $[1] \otimes x = [\varphi_0(1)] - [\varphi_1(1)] \in K_0(B)$ and $\text{Ch}_0^{2l}([1]) \cdot \text{Ch}_0^{2n}(x) = S^n \text{Ch}_0^{2l}([1]) \circ \text{Ch}_0^{2n}(x) = S^n (\text{Ch}_0^{2l}([\varphi_0(1)]) - \text{Ch}_0^{2l}([\varphi_1(1)])) = \text{Ch}_0^{2l-2n}([1] \otimes x)$.

Proof of the claim. It is obvious that $K_{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{C}, B) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ is onto. In order to prove that it is into it is enough to prove that every element $x \in K_{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{C}, B)$ may be represented by a quasihomomorphism (φ_0, φ_1) such that $\varphi_0(1), \varphi_1(1) \in M_N(B^\infty)$ for some large N .

Let $e_0, e_1 \in L(H) \hat{\otimes} B^\infty$ be such that $e_0 - e_1 \in C_p \hat{\otimes} B^\infty$.

We shall use a trick of Atiyah and Singer [AS] to fill in some gap in $e_1 e_0$. $e_1 e_0$ viewed as an element of $L(e_0 H_B, e_1 H_B)$ is an essential isometry (an isometry modulo compact operators). This shows that there exists a finite n_0 and a linear operator $R_0 \in L(B^{n_0}, e_1 H_B)$ such that $e_1 e_0 \oplus R : e_0 H_B \oplus B^{n_0} \rightarrow e_1 H_B$ is onto. Similarly choose R_1 such that $(1-e_1)(1-e_0) \oplus R_1 : (1-e_0) H_B \oplus B^{n_1} \rightarrow (1-e_1) H_B$ is onto.

Let

$$V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 e_0 + (1-e_1)(1-e_0) & R_0 & R_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in L(H_B \oplus B^{n_0} \oplus B^{n_1})$$

It satisfies $V_0 V_0^* \geq c 1_{H_B}$ for some $c > 0$. Choosing some convenient small perturbation of R_0 and R_1 we may suppose that $V_0 \in 1 + C_p(H \oplus C^{n_0+n_1}) \otimes B^\infty$.

Let $\chi(0) = 0$, $\chi(z) = z^{-1/2}$, $z \neq 0$ be an analytic function defined in a small neighborhood of $\sigma(V_0 V_0^*)$. Then $V = \chi(V_0 V_0^*) V_0$ is a partial isometry.

Let

$$e'_k = \begin{bmatrix} e_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

. Then (e'_0, e'_1) represents the same element as

(e_0, e_1) in $K_{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{C}, B)$. (They differ by a degenerate element).

$$\text{Let } U = \begin{bmatrix} V_0 & 1 - V_0 V_0^* \\ 1 - V_0^* V_0 & V_0^* \end{bmatrix}$$

then $e_0'' = \bigvee e_0' \oplus \bigvee^*$ commutes with e_1 . Since also (e_0'', e_1') represents x by Observation 2.7 we have reduced the problem to the case when e_0 and e_1 commute. But then $[(e_0, e_1)] = [e_0(1 - e_1), e_1(1 - e_0)] + [e_0e_1, e_0e_1]$ and $e_0(1 - e_1), e_1(1 - e_0)$ are homotopic to projections in some matrix algebras.

2.10. We now treat the case of K_1 .

Let $[u] \in K_1(A^\infty)$, then, choosing a representative u we obtain a morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}] \rightarrow A^\infty$. Recall that $HC_p(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ for $p \geq 1$ [Bu, Co, LQ] and that $S : HC_p(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]) \rightarrow HC_{p-2}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}])$ is an isomorphism for $p \geq 3$. Let v be the generator of \mathbb{Z} ; v is invertible in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$. Then $[\text{Kar}, \text{Co}] \quad \text{Ch}^{2p-1}([v]) = S \text{Ch}^{2p+1}([v]) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ch}^{2p+1}([u]) = \text{Ch}^{2p+1}(\varphi_*[v]) = HC_{2p+1}(\varphi) \text{Ch}^{2p+1}([v])$.

Theorem. Suppose A and B are smooth C^* -algebras and B^∞ is absolutely smooth. Let $x \in K_{\text{smooth}}(A, B)$ then the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(A^\infty) \simeq K_1(A) & \xrightarrow{-\otimes x} & K_1(B) \simeq K_1(B^\infty) \\ \downarrow \text{Ch}_0 & & \downarrow \text{Ch}_0 \\ \text{PHC}_1(A^\infty) & \xrightarrow{-\circ \text{Ch}(x)} & \text{PHC}_1(B^\infty) \end{array}$$

Proof. We may by functoriality suppose that $A = C_0(\mathbb{R}), A^\infty = \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $x = [(\varphi_0, \varphi_1)]$ for a p -summable quasihomomorphism (φ_0, φ_1) . Also

let $u \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{R})^+$ generate $K_1(A)$ be such that $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$ is one-to-one.

Then $[u] \otimes x$ is represented by $\varphi_0(u) \varphi_1(u)^{-1}$ in $K_1(C_p \otimes B^\infty) \simeq K_1(B)$ since B^∞ is absolutely smooth. Observe that φ_0 and φ_1 are determined by $\varphi_0(u)$ and $\varphi_1(u)$ and we shall identify φ_i with $\varphi_i(u)$.

Then $(\varphi_0(u) \oplus \varphi_1(u)^{-1}, \varphi_1(u) \oplus \varphi_1(u)^{-1})$ also represents x and is homotopic to $(\varphi_0(u)\varphi_1(u)^{-1} \oplus 1, 1 \oplus 1)$. Using again homotopy and cutting out a degenerate element we may suppose that $\varphi_0(u) \in M_N(B^{\otimes +})$ for some large N and $\varphi_1(u) = 1$. Then the result follows from the functoriality of the Chern character on K_1 .

2.11. Remarck. The previous theorems where based on the algebraic properties of the Chern character (functoriality) and ^{on} two analytic facts :

$$K_{\text{smooth}}(\mathbb{C}, B) \simeq K_0(B) \quad \text{and}$$

$$K_{\text{smooth}}(C_0(\mathbb{R}), B) \simeq K_1(B)$$

which in turn depended on the fact that the pairs (φ_0, φ_1) of smooth morphisms $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 : A \rightarrow M_N(B)$ form a complete set of representatives for the above K_{smooth} -groups.

References

- [A] W.Arveson, The harmonic analysis of automorphism groups, Proceedings of the Am Math Soc , vol.38, part I.
- [AS] M.F. Atiyah and I.M.Singer, The index of elliptic operators III, Ann. of Math., 87(1968), 546-604.
- [Bu] D.Burghelea, The cyclic homology of group rings, Comment.Math. Helvetici 60(1985), 354-365.
- [Col] A.Connes, C^* -algebres et geometrie differentielle, C.R.Acad.Sci Paris, tome 290(1980).
- [Co2] A.Connes, The Chern character in K homology, Preprint IHES (1982).
- [Co3] A.Connes, De Rham homology and non commutative algebra, Preprint IHES(1983).
- [Co4] A.Connes, Cohomologie cyclique et foncteurs Ext^n , C.R.Acad Sci Paris, t.296, 953-958.
- [CC] A.Connes et J.Cuntz, Quasihomomorphismes, cohomologie cyclique et positivite, Comm.Math.Phys.114, 515-526 (1988).
- [Cu1] J.Cuntz, A new look at KK-theory, K-theory, vol 1 (1987).
- [Cu2] J.Cuntz, Universal extensions and cyclic cohomology, C.R.Acad Sci Paris, t.309, Serie I, p 5-8 (1989).
- [G] T.Goodwillie, Cyclic homology and the free loop space, Topology 24(1985), 187-215.
- [Gr] A.Crothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucleaires, Mem.Amer.Math.Soc., no.16(1955)
- [JK] J.Jones and C.Kassel, Bivariant cyclic theory, Preprint 1988(to appear in K-theory).
- [Ka] G.G.Kasparov , Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture, Invent. Math. 91, 147-201 (1988)
- [Kas] C.Kassel, Caractere de Chern bivariant, Max Planck Institut, Bonn, Preprint 1988.

- [Kar1] M.Karoubi, Connexions, courbure et classes caracteristiques et K-theorie algebrique, Canadian Math.Soc., Proceedings, vol II, part I(1982),p.19-27.
- [Kar2] M.Karoubi, Homologie cyclique et K-theorie, Asterisque 149, p 1-47 (1987).
- [LQ] J.-L.Loday, D.Quillen, Cyclic homology and the Lie algebra homology of matrices, Comment Math Helv 59, 565-591 (1984).
- [Q] D.Quillen, Cyclic cohomology and algebra extensions, K-Theory 3, 205-246,1989.
- [Si] B.Simon, Trace ideals and their applications, London Math.Soc.Lecture Notes 35, Cambridge Univ. Press 1979.
- [Wa] X.Wang, A bivariant Chern character II, preprint (december 1988).
- [Wo] M.Wodzicki, Excision in cyclic homology and in rational algebraic K-theory, Annals of Math.