

INSTITUTUL
DE
MATEMATICA

INSTITUTUL NATIONAL
PENTRU CREATIE
STIINTIFICA SI TEHNICA

ISSN 0250 3638

DILATIONS IN H-CONES

by

N. BOBOC and Gh. BUCUR

PREPRINT SERIES IN MATHEMATICS

No. 55/1990

BUCURESTI

DILATIONS IN H-CONES

by

N. BOBOC^{*)} and Gh. BUCUR^{**)}

August, 1990

^{*)} Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei No. 14,
70109 Bucharest, Romania.

^{**)} Institute of Mathematics, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest, Romania.

Dilations in H-cones

by N. Boboc and Gh. Bucur

In potential theory there are situations when starting with a cone of potentials we construct others which are bigger. For instance if S is a cone of potentials and B is a balayage (or only pseudobalayage) on S then the subset S_B of S given by $S_B :=$

$\{s - Bs / s \in \bar{S}\}$ is also a cone of potentials ([1]). If the base of B has his fine interior empty then we can say that S is a subcone of the cone of potentials generated by S_B .

Suppose now that $\mathcal{V} = (V_\alpha)_{\alpha > 0}$ is a resolvent of kernels on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) such that its initial kernel $V = V_0$ is bounded and let P be a bounded kernel on (X, \mathcal{B}) such that $V - PV$ is also a kernel on (X, \mathcal{B}) and such that, for any positive \mathcal{B} -measurable function f on X , we have

$$\inf(s, Ps + u - Pu + Pf) \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{V}}$$

for any $s, u \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}$. (Here $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathcal{V}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}$) is the cone of strongly \mathcal{V} -supermedian (resp. \mathcal{V} -excessive) functions on X). Mokobodzki shows ([2]) that the set \mathcal{E}_P of all functions on X of the forme $s - Ps$ where $s \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a solide subcone of the cone of all excessive functions with respect to the kernel $V - PV$. This assertion is proved when X is a compact space, \mathcal{V} is a Ray resolvent on X and P does not charge the set of branching points of \mathcal{V} . One can say that this generalize the above situation when P is a balayage. The kernel P is called subordination kernel with respect to \mathcal{V} .

In this paper we develop a general procedure as above in the frame of the theory of H-cones.

If S is an H-cone, a map $P : S \rightarrow S$ which is additive, increasing and contractive ($Ps \leq s, s \in S$) is called a pseudo-dilation if we have $s \wedge (Ps + t - Pt) \in S$ for all $s, t \in S$. A pseudo-dilation is termed localizable if $s \wedge (Ps + Pf) \in S$ for all $s \in S$

and $f \in (S - S)_+$. A pseudo-dilation is called dilation if we have

$$s - Ps \leq t - Pt \Rightarrow s \leq t$$

We remember that a map $B : S \rightarrow S$ is a pseudobalayage if it is additive, increasing, contractive and idempotent ($B^2s = Bs, (\forall) s \in S$).

It is proved : 1) any pseudo-balayage is a localizable pseudo-dilation ;

2) for any pseudodilation P on S the subset $S_p = \{s - Ps \mid s \in S\}$ is an H-cone ;

3) If P is a pseudo-dilation on S then there exists a pseudo-balayage B on S and a dilation Q on S_p uniquely determined such that

$$1 - P = (1 - Q)(1 - B).$$

We have also P localisable iff Q is localizable. 4) If P is a localizable pseudo-dilation on S and Q is a pseudo-dilation on S_p then the map

$$L := P + Q(1 - P)$$

is a pseudo-dilation on S and we have

$$(1 - L) = (1 - Q)(1 - P)$$

Moreover if Q is localizable then L is also localizable.

Definition Let S be an H-cone. A map

$$P : S \rightarrow S$$

is called pseudo-dilation on S if it is additive, increasing, contractive (i.e. $Ps \leq s$ for any $s \in S$) and

$$s, t \in S \Rightarrow s \wedge (Ps + t - Pt) \in S.$$

A pseudo-dilation P on S is called dilation if for any $s, t \in S$ we have

$$s - Ps \leq t - Pt \Rightarrow s \leq t$$

A pseudo-dilation P on S is called localizable if the following relation holds

$$f \in (S - S)_+ , s \in S \Rightarrow s \wedge (Ps + Pf) \in S .$$

Remark We remember that a map

$$B : S \rightarrow S$$

is called a pseudobalayaage if it is additive, increasing, contractive and idempotent (i.e. $B^2s = Bs$ for any $s \in S$).

Proposition 1 Let S be an H-cone and B be a pseudobalayaage on S . Then B is a localizable pseudodilation on S and the convex cone

$$S_B := \{s - Bs / s \in S\}$$

is an H-cone with respect to the natural order from $S - S$.

Proof. Since B is a pseudo-balayaage on S then, from ([1], Theorem 5.1.5) it follows that S_B is an H-cone. If $s, t \in S$ then we have

$$(s - Bs) \wedge (t - Bt) = u - Bu$$

for a suitable $u \in S$. Since

$$u - Bu \leq s - Bs$$

it follows, from ([1], Proposition 5.1.2) that

$$u - Bu + Bs \in S$$

or equivalently

$$s \wedge (Bs + t - Bt) \in S.$$

Hence B is a pseudo-dilation on S . The fact that B is localizable follows as in ([1], Theorem 5.1.6). Indeed if $s, t \in S$ are such that $s \leq t$ and if $u \in S$ then we have

$$v := (u + Bs) \wedge (Bu + Bt) \in S,$$

$$Bv = Bu + Bs$$

and therefore

$$u \wedge (Bu + B(t - s)) + Bs = v .$$

Since

$$v - Bv \leq u - Bu$$

we deduce, from ([1], Proposition 5.1.2),

$$v - Bv + Bu \in S,$$

or equivalently

$$u \wedge (Bu + B(t - s)) \in S.$$

Definition. Let P be a pseudodilation on an H -cone S . We denote by B_P the map

$$B_P : S \rightarrow S$$

defined by

$$B_P s = \bigvee \{ t \in S \mid t \leq s, Pt = t \}$$

The following proposition shows that B_P is a pseudobalayage on S . It is termed the pseudobalayage associated with P .

Proposition 2. For any pseudodilation P on S the map B_P is a pseudobalayage on S such that

$$B_P s = P(B_P s) \leq P s$$

and such that if B is a pseudo-balayage on S with

$$Bs \leq Ps \quad (\forall) s \in S$$

then we have

$$Bs \leq B_P s \quad (\forall) s \in S.$$

Moreover for any $s \in S$ we have

$$B_P s = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Omega_S} P^\alpha s$$

where Ω_S is the set of all ordinals α on S with $\text{card } \alpha \leq \text{card } S$ and where $(P^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Omega_S}$

is the family of maps $P^\alpha : S \rightarrow S$ defined inductively by $P^1 = P$ and if $\alpha > 1$,

$$P^\alpha s = P(\bigwedge \{P^\beta s \mid \beta \in \Omega_s, \beta < \alpha\}) .$$

Proof. From the definition of B_p it follows that B_p is increasing and contractive

Let $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and $t_1, t_2 \in S$ be such that

$$t_1 \leq s_1, t_2 \leq s_2, Pt_1 = t_1, Pt_2 = t_2 .$$

We have

$$P(t_1 + t_2) = t_1 + t_2$$

and therefore

$$t_1 + t_2 \leq B_p(s_1 + s_2) .$$

Since for any $s \in S$ and any $t', t'' \in S$ with

$$t' \leq s, t'' \leq s, Pt' = t', Pt'' = t''$$

we have

$$t' \vee t'' \leq t' + t'', t' \vee t'' \leq s$$

it follows that

$$P(t' \vee t'') = t' \vee t''$$

and therefore the set

$$\{t \in S \mid t \leq s, Pt = t\}$$

is upper directed. From the above considerations we get

$$B_p s_1 + B_p s_2 \leq B_p(s_1 + s_2) .$$

On the other hand if $t \in S$ is such that

$$t \leq s_1 + s_2, Pt = t$$

we deduce that there exist $t_1, t_2 \in S$, such that $t_1 + t_2 = t, t_1 \leq s_1, t_2 \leq s_2$.

Obviously we have $Pt_1 = t_1, Pt_2 = t_2$ and therefore

$$t \leq B_p s_1 + B_p s_2, B_p(s_1 + s_2) \leq B_p s_1 + B_p s_2 .$$

Let now $s \in S$. Since $P B_p s \leq B_p s$ and since

$$t \in S, Pt = t, t \leq s \rightarrow t \leq B_p s$$

we deduce

$$t \in S, Pt = t, t \leq s \Rightarrow t \leq P(B_p s)$$

and therefore

$$B_p s \leq P B_p s$$

Hence $P B_p s = B_p s$. From the definition of B_p we get

$$B_p^2 s \geq B_p s, B_p^2 s = B_p s$$

Let now B be a pseudo-balayage on S such that

$$Bs \leq Ps \quad (\forall) s \in S.$$

We deduce

$$B^2 s \leq PBs \leq Bs = B^2 s \quad (\forall) s \in S$$

and therefore

$$Bs = PBs \quad (\forall) s \in S.$$

From this fact and from the relation $Bs \leq s$ we get

$$Bs \leq B_p s \quad (\forall) s \in S.$$

It is easy to see that for any $\alpha \in \Omega_S$ the map P^α is additive, increasing and contractive and that

$$\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow P^\alpha s \geq P^\beta s, \quad (\forall) s \in S.$$

Hence the map

$$s \rightarrow Ts := \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Omega_S} P^\alpha s$$

is also additive, increasing and contractive.

Since

$$\text{card } \Omega_S > \text{card } S$$

it follows that for any $s \in S$ there exists $\alpha_0 \in \Omega_S$ such that

$$P^\alpha s = P^{\alpha_0} s \quad (\forall) \alpha \geq \alpha_0$$

and therefore

$$Ts \geq P(Ts) = P(P^{\alpha_0} s) = P^{\alpha_0+1} s \geq Ts, Ts = P Ts \dots$$

From this relation and from $Ts \leq s$ we get

$$Ts \leq B_p s$$

On the other hand we get inductively

$$B_p s \leq P_s^\alpha \quad (\forall) \quad \alpha \in \Omega_S$$

and therefore

$$B_p s \leq Ts.$$

Corollary 3. Let S be an H -cone and P be a pseudodilation on S . Then P will be a dilation iff any pseudo-balayage B on S with $PB = B$ (or equivalent $Bs \leq Ps \quad (\forall) \quad s \in S$) is equal zero.

Proof. Suppose that P is a dilation on S and let B be a pseudo-balayage on S such that $PB = B$. We have

$$Bs - PBs = 0 \leq 0 - P0.$$

and therefore $Bs \leq 0$. Conversely let $s, t \in S$ be such that

$$s - Ps \leq t - Pt.$$

We deduce, inductively

$$s - P^\alpha s \leq t - P^\alpha t \quad (\forall) \quad \alpha \in \Omega_S$$

and therefore

$$s - \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Omega_S} P^\alpha s \leq t - \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Omega_S} P^\alpha t.$$

Since B_p is a pseudo-balayage on S with

$$B_p s = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Omega_S} P^\alpha s, \quad P B_p = B_p.$$

we get $B_p s = 0, B_p t = 0$. Hence $s \leq t$.

Theorem 4 Let S be an H -cone and P be a pseudodilation on S . Then there exists a pseudo-balayage B' on S and a dilation P' on S_B , such that

$$1 - P = (1 - P')(1 - B')$$

The couple (B', P') with the above properties is uniquely determined, B' is the pseudo-balayage associated with P and

$$P'(s - B's) = Ps - B's \quad (\forall) s \in S.$$

Moreover if P is localizable then P' is also localizable.

Proof. Let B_0 be the pseudo-balayage associated with P and P_0 be the map defined on S_{B_0} by

$$P_0(s - B_0s) = Ps - B_0s.$$

Obviously we have

$$B_0s \geq B_0Ps \geq B_0P B_0s = B_0s,$$

$$B_0Ps = B_0s = P B_0s.$$

From the definition of P_0 it follows that P_0 is additive. Let now $s, t \in S$ such that

$$s - B_0s \leq t - B_0t$$

From ([1], Proposition 5.1.2) we deduce that

$$s' := s - B_0s + B_0t \in S, \quad s' \leq t$$

and therefore

$$Ps' \leq Pt, \quad Ps - P B_0s + P(B_0t) \leq Pt.$$

Since $P(B_0s) = B_0s$, $P(B_0t) = B_0t$ we get

$$Ps - B_0s + B_0t \leq Pt$$

$$P_0(s - B_0s) \leq P_0(t - B_0t).$$

Hence P_0 is increasing. On the other hand we have

$$P_0(s - B_0s) = Ps - B_0s \leq s - B_0s$$

and therefore P_0 is contractive.

Let now $s, t \in S$. We show now that

$$(s - B_0s) \wedge (P_0(s - B_0s) + (t - B_0t) - P_0(t - B_0t)) \in S_{B_0}.$$

Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (s - B_0s) \wedge (P_0(s - B_0s) + (t - B_0t) - P_0(t - B_0t)) = \\ & = (s - B_0s) \wedge (Ps - B_0s + t - Pt) = s \wedge (Ps + t - Pt) - B_0s. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$s' := s \wedge (Ps + t - Pt) \in S$$

and

$$Ps \leq s' \leq s$$

we get

$$B_0s' = B_0s$$

and therefore

$$(s - B_0s) \wedge (P_0(s - B_0s) + (t - B_0t) - P_0(t - B_0t)) = s' - B_0s'.$$

Hence P_0 is a pseudodilation on S_{B_0} . It is easy to see that we have, inductively,

$$P_0^\alpha(s - B_0s) = P^\alpha s - B_0s, \quad (\forall) s \in S, \quad \alpha \in \Omega_S$$

and therefore

$$\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Omega_S} P_0^\alpha(s - B_0s) = B_0s - B_0s = 0$$

Using Corollary 3 we deduce that P_0 is a dilation on S_{B_0} .

Let now B' be a pseudo-balayage on S and P' be a dilation on $S_{B'}$, such that

$$1 - P = (1 - P')(1 - B')$$

We remark that

$$P = B' + P'(1 - B')$$

and therefore

$$P B'_s = B'_s \quad (\forall) s \in S.$$

Hence

$$B'_s \leq B_0 s \quad (\forall) s \in S.$$

We denote by B'' the map

$$B'' : S_{B'} \rightarrow S_{B'}$$

defined by

$$B''(s - B'_s) = B_0(s - B'_s) = B_0 s - B' B_0 s.$$

It is easy to see that B'' is a pseudo-balayage on $S_{B'}$. We have

$$(1 - P)(B_0 s) = 0 \quad (\forall) s \in S,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - P')(B''(s - B'_s)) &= (1 - P')(1 - B')(B_0 s) = \\ &= (1 - P)(B_0 s) = 0 \quad (\forall) s \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Since P' is a dilation on $S_{B'}$, we deduce

$$B''(s - B'_s) = 0 \quad (\forall) s \in S,$$

$$B'' = 0.$$

Hence

$$B_0 s = B' B_0 s = B'_s \quad (\forall) s \in S,$$

$$B_0 = B'.$$

Suppose now that P is localizable and let $s, t \in S$ such that

$$s - B_0 s \leq t - B_0 t.$$

Replacing s and t by

$$s' := s - s \wedge B_0 s, \quad t' := t - t \wedge B_0 t$$

where \wedge is the infimum in S with respect to the specific order we get

$$s - B_0 s = s' - B_0 s' \leq t' - B_0 t',$$

$$s' \wedge B_0 s' = t' \wedge B_0 t' = 0$$

and therefore

$$s' \leq t', \quad B_0 s' \leq B_0 t'.$$

We have for any $u \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (u - B_0 u) \wedge (P_0(u - B_0 u) + P_0((t' - B_0 t') - (s' - B_0 s'))) = \\ & = (u + B_0(t' - s')) \wedge (P(u + B_0(t' - s')) + P(t' - B_0 t' - (s' - B_0 s'))) - B_0(u + t' - s'). \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_0(t' - s') \in S$ and using the fact that P is localizable it follows that the element

$$v := (u + B_0(t' - s')) \wedge (P(u + B_0(t' - s')) + P(t' - B_0 t' - (s' - B_0 s')))$$

belongs to S and moreover ,

$$B_0 v = B_0(u + t' - s').$$

We deduce that

$$(u - B_0 u) \wedge (P_0(u - B_0 u) + P_0((t - B_0 t) - (s - B_0 s))) = v - B_0 v \in S_{B_0}$$

and therefore P_0 is localizable dilation on S_{B_0} .

Since for any $s \in S$ we have

$$P_0((1 - B_0)s) = Ps - B_0 s$$

we get

$$(1 - P_0)(1 - B_0)s = s - B_0 s - (Ps - B_0 s) = s - Ps$$

Remark The following theorem shows that if P is a pseudodilation on a given H-cone S then the convex cone $S_P := \{s - Ps / s \in S\}$ is also an H-cone with respect to the natural order from $S - S$.

The preceding proposition represent a way to obtain S_P in two particular steps ; first we pass from S to S_{B_0} where B_0 is a pseudo-balayage (the pseudo-balayage associated with P) and then we pass from S_{B_0} to $S_P = (S_{B_0})_{P_0}$ where P_0 is at this time a dilation on S_{B_0} .

Lemma 5 . Let S be an H-cone, P be a pseudodilation on S and let M be a subset of $S - S$ which is dominated by an element of the form $s - Ps$ with $s \in S$. Then the set

$$\mathcal{A} := \{u \in S / f \leq u - Pu \quad (\forall) f \in M\}$$

has a smallest element u_0 . Moreover we have

$$u_0 - Pu_0 = \bigwedge \{u - Pu / u \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Proof. If we denote

$$u_0 := \bigwedge \mathcal{A}$$

we get

$$f + Pu_0 < f + Pu \leq u, (\forall) f \in M, u \in \mathcal{A}$$

and therefore

$$f \leq u_0 - Pu_0 \quad (\forall) f \in M,$$

$$u_0 \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let now $u \in \mathcal{A}$. If we put

$$u_1 := u_0 \wedge (Pu_0 + u - Pu)$$

we get $u_1 \in S$ and $u_1 \leq u_0$. On the other hand we have, for any $f \in M$,

$$f \leq u - Pu, f \leq u_0 - Pu_0,$$

$$f \leq (u_0 - Pu_0) \wedge (u - Pu) = u_1 - Pu_0 \leq u_1 - Pu_1$$

and therefore $u_1 \in A$, $u_1 \geq u_0$. Hence $u_1 = u_0$,

$$Pu_0 + (u - Pu) \geq u_0,$$

$$u - Pu \geq u_0 - Pu_0.$$

Corollary 6 For any $s \in S$ there exists an element $Ms \in S$ such that

$s - Ps = Ms - PMs$ and such that for any $t \in S$ for which $s - Ps \leq t - Pt$ we have $Ms \leq t$.

Moreover we have

$$Ms = \bigwedge \{t \in S / s - Ps \leq t - Pt\} = \bigwedge \{t \in S / s - Ps = t - Pt\}.$$

Proof If we put

$$Ms = \bigwedge \{t \in S / s - Ps \leq t - Pt\}$$

then we have, using Lemma 5,

$$Ms - PMs = \bigwedge \{t - Pt \mid t \in S, s - Ps \leq t - Pt\} = s - Ps$$

Hence Ms is the smallest element of the set

$$\{t \in S \mid s - Ps = t - Pt\}.$$

Theorem 7 Let S be an H-cone and P be a pseudodilation on S . Then the convex

cone

$$S_p := \{s - Ps \mid s \in S\}$$

is an H-cone with respect to the natural order in $S - S$ and

$$\bigwedge_{S_p} A = \bigwedge_{S-S} A \text{ for any } A \subset S_p,$$

$$\bigvee_{S_p} A = \bigvee_{S-S} A \text{ for any upper directed and dominated } A \subset S_p.$$

Proof. If $A \subset S_p$ we denote

$$M := \{f \in S - S \mid f \leq t \ (\forall) t \in A\}$$

and

$$A = \{u \in S \mid f \leq u - Pu \quad (\forall) f \in M\}.$$

Obviously

$$A \subset \{u - Pu \mid u \in A\}$$

and from Lemma 5 there exists $u_0 \in A$ such that

$$\bigwedge A = u_0, \quad u_0 - Pu_0 = \bigwedge \{u - Pu \mid u \in A\},$$

$$u_0 - Pu_0 = \bigvee_{S-S} M = \bigwedge_{S-S} A,$$

$$u_0 - Pu_0 = \bigwedge_{S_P} A.$$

Suppose now that $A = \{s_i - Ps_i \mid i \in I\}$ is upper directed and dominated in S_P .

We denote

$$B = \{u \in S \mid f \leq u - Pu, \quad (\forall) f \in A\}.$$

From Lemma 5 the smallest element u_0 of B is such that

$$u_0 - Pu_0 \leq u - Pu \quad (\forall) u \in B.$$

Using Corollary 6 we may suppose that $s_i = MS_i$ for any $i \in I$ and therefore we deduce

that the family $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ is upper directed and dominated by u for any $u \in B$. Hence $s_i \leq u_0$

for any $i \in I$. We have

$$s_i - Ps_i \leq u_0 - Pu_0 \quad (\forall) i \in I,$$

$$s_i - Ps_i + Pu_0 \in S, \quad s_i - Ps_i + Pu_0 \leq u_0, \quad (\forall) i \in I$$

and therefore the element

$$t := \bigvee_S \{s_i - Ps_i + Pu_0 \mid i \in I\} = Pu_0 + \bigvee_{S-S} \{s_i - Ps_i \mid i \in I\}$$

belongs to S and $t \leq u_0$. Since $s_i \leq t$ for any $i \in I$ we have $t \leq u_0$. On the other hand

$s_i - Ps_i \leq t - Pu_0 \leq t - Pt$ for any $i \in I$ and therefore $u_0 \leq t$, $u_0 = t$. From the above

considerations it follows

$$t - Pt = u_0 - Pu_0 = \bigvee_{S-S} \{s_i - Ps_i \mid i \in I\}.$$

It remains only to show that for any $f, g \in S_p$ we have

$$g - R^{S_p}(g-f) \in S_p.$$

From the preceding considerations we have $R^{S_p}(g-f) \in S_p$ and

$$g - f \leq R^{S_p}(g-f).$$

Let $u, v, w \in S$ be such that $g = u - Pu$, $f = v - Pv$ and $R^{S_p}(g-f) = w - Pw$.

From Lemma 6 we may suppose that

$$w = \bigwedge_S \{w' \in S \mid g - f \leq w' - Pw'\}.$$

We show that we have

$$(u - Pu) - (w - Pw) = R^{S_p}((u - Pu) - (w - Pw)) \in S_p.$$

Indeed we have, using again Lemma 6,

$$R^{S_p}((u - Pu) - (w - Pw)) = v' - Pv'$$

where

$$v' = \bigwedge_S \{s \in S \mid (u - Pu) - (w - Pw) \leq s - Ps\}$$

and

$$v' - Pv' \leq v - Pv.$$

Since

$$(u - Pu) - (w - Pw) \leq v' - Pv' \leq v - Pv$$

we deduce that the element

$$t := u - Pu + Pw + Pv'$$

belongs to S and $t \leq v' + w$.

Let

$$r := R^S(t - w).$$

We have $r \leq t$, $r \leq v'$. On the other hand, from

$$(u - Pu) - (w - Pw) + Pr \leq (u - Pu) - (w - Pw) + Pv' \leq r$$

we deduce

$$(u - Pu) - (w - Pw) \leq r - Pr$$

and therefore $r = v'$. Hence

$$v' = r \leq t$$

We put $v_1 := t - v'$. We have $v_1 \in S$, $v_1 \leq w$, $t \leq w + v$ and we deduce

$$(u - Pu) - (v - Pv) < (u - Pu) - (v' - Pv') =$$

$$t - v' - Pw = v_1 - Pw \leq v_1 - Pv_1$$

From the definition of w it follows that

$$v_1 = w, w = t - v'$$

and therefore

$$(u - Pu) - (w - Pw) = v' - Pv'.$$

Theorem 8 . Let P be a pseudodilation on S and $f \in (S - S)_+$. Then the following assertions are equivalent :

- a) $f \wedge u \in S_p$ for any $u \in S_p$
- b) $s \wedge (Ps + f) \in S$ for any $s \in S$
- c) $s \wedge (Ps + t - Pt + f) \in S$ for any $s, t \in S$

where \wedge means the infimum in $S - S$ *with* respect to the natural order .

Proof. a) \Rightarrow c) Let $s, t \in S$. From a) there exists $s' \in S$ such that

$$f \wedge (s - Ps) = s' - Ps' .$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (s - Ps) \wedge (t - Pt + f) &= (s - Ps) \wedge (t - Pt + f \wedge (s - Ps)) = \\ &= (s - Ps) \wedge (t - Pt + s' - Ps') \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (s - Ps) \wedge (t - Pt + f) &\in S_p \quad \text{and} \\ (s - Ps) \wedge (t - Pt + f) &\leq s - Ps \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (s - Ps) \wedge (t - Pt + f) + Ps &\in S, \\ s \wedge (Ps + t - Pt + f) &\in S . \end{aligned}$$

c) \Rightarrow b) is trivial

b) \Rightarrow a) . Let $s \in S$. We consider the set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ t \in S / f \wedge (s - Ps) \leq t - Pt \} .$$

From Lemma 5 it follows that \mathcal{A} possesses a smallest element t_0 and moreover

$$t_0 - Pt_0 = \wedge \{ t - Pt / t \in \mathcal{A} \} .$$

We show that

$$f \wedge (s - Ps) = t_0 - Pt_0 .$$

Obviously $t_0 \leq s$ and

$$f \wedge (s - Ps) \leq t_0 - Pt_0, \quad t_0 - Pt_0 \leq s - Ps .$$

Hence

$$f \wedge (s - Ps) = f \wedge (t_0 - Pt_0)$$

From the assertion b) it follows that

$$t_1 := t_0 \wedge (Pt_0 + f) \in S.$$

Since $t_1 \leq t_0$ and

$$f \wedge (s - Ps) = f \wedge (t_0 - Pt_0) = t_1 - Pt_0 \leq t_1 - Pt_1$$

we deduce, from the definition of \mathcal{A} , that

$$t_1 \in \mathcal{A}, \quad t_1 = t_0,$$

$$f \wedge (t_0 - Pt_0) = t_0 - Pt_0,$$

$$f \wedge (s - Ps) = t_0 - Pt_0.$$

Theorem 9. Let S be an H -cone and let P be a localizable pseudodilation on S .

Then for any pseudodilation Q on S_P the map

$$L := P + Q(1 - P)$$

is a pseudodilation on S and we have

$$1 - L = (1 - Q)(1 - P)$$

Moreover L will be a localizable pseudodilation if Q is a localizable pseudodilation on S_P .

Proof. We show that L is a pseudodilation on S . Let $s \in S$ and let $u \in S$ be such that

$$Q(s - Ps) = u - Pu \leq s - Ps.$$

Since P is a pseudodilation on S it follows that

$$u - Pu + Ps \in S, \quad u - Pu + Ps \leq s$$

and therefore

$$Ls = Q(s - Ps) + Ps = u - Pu + Ps \in S,$$

$$Ls \leq s.$$

We consider now $f \in (S - S)_+$. Since P is localizable it follows that

$$s \wedge (Ps + Pf) \in S, \quad (\forall) s \in S$$

or equivalently (see Theorem 8)

$$Pf \wedge (s - Ps) \in S_p, \quad (\forall) s \in S.$$

Now we show that

$$f \in (S - S)_+ \Rightarrow Lf \geq 0.$$

Let $s, t \in S$ be such that $f = s - t$. We have

$$t - Pt \leq s - Ps + Pf,$$

and therefore

$$t - Pt \leq s - Ps + Pf \wedge (t - Pt)$$

Since P is localizable we have $Pf \wedge (t - Pt) \in S_p$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t - Pt) &\leq Q(s - Ps) + Q(Pf \wedge (t - Pt)) \leq \\ &\leq Q(s - Ps) + Pf \wedge (t - Pt) \leq Q(s - Ps) + Pf. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$Pt + Q(t - Pt) \leq Q(s - Ps) + Ps$$

or equivalently

$$Lt \leq Ls, \quad Lf \geq 0$$

and therefore L is additive, increasing and contractive.

Let now $s, t \in S$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s \wedge (Ls + t - Lt) &= s \wedge (Ps + Q(1 - P)s + t - Pt - Q(1 - P)t) = \\ &= (s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + (t - Pt) - Q(t - Pt)) + Ps. \end{aligned}$$

Since Q is a pseudodilation on S_p it follows that there exists $u \in S$ such that

$$(s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + (t - Pt) - Q(t - Pt)) = u - Pu.$$

Hence

$$u - Pu \leq s - Ps$$

and therefore

$$u - Pu + Ps \in S,$$

$$s \wedge (Ls + t - Lt) = u - Pu + Ps \in S.$$

From the above consideration it follows that L is a pseudodilation on S .

Obviously we have

$$(1 - Q)(1 - P) = 1 - L.$$

Suppose now that Q is localizable and let $f = s_1 - s_2$, $s_1, s_2 \in S$, $s_1 \geq s_2$.

We remark that for any $s \in S$ and any $u \in S$ such that

$$u - Pu \geq s - Ps + s_2 - Ps_2$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf \wedge (u - Pu) + Q(f - Pf)) &= \\ = (s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf + Q(f - Pf)) &. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} (s - Ps + s_2 - Ps_2) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf \wedge (u - Pu) + Q(s_1 - Ps_1)) &\leq \\ \leq (s - Ps + s_2 - Ps_2) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf + Q(s_1 - Ps_1)) &\leq \\ \leq (s - Ps + s_2 - Ps_2) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf \wedge [(s - Ps) + s_2 - Ps_2] + Q(s_1 - Ps_1)) &\leq \\ \leq (s - Ps + s_2 - Ps_2) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf \wedge (u - Pu) + Q(s_1 - Ps_1)) & \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} ((s - Ps) + (s_2 - Ps_2)) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf \wedge (u - Pu) + Q(s_1 - Ps_1)) &= \\ = (s - Ps + s_2 - Ps_2) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf + Q(s_1 - Ps_1)) &, \\ (s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf \wedge (u - Pu) + Q(f - Pf)) &= \\ = (s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf + Q(f - Pf)) &. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand if $s \in S$ and $u \in S$ are such that

$$u - Pu \geq s - Ps + s_2 - Ps_2$$

then we have

$$f - Pf + Pf \wedge (u - Pu) \in (S_p - S_p)_+$$

Since P is localizable we get

$$g := Pf \wedge (u - Pu) \in S_p$$

and using the fact that Q is localizable we deduce

$$(s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + g - Qg + Q(f - Pf + g)) \in S_p .$$

From the above considerations it follows

$$(s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf \wedge (u - Pu) + Q(f - Pf)) \in S_p ,$$

$$(s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Pf + Q(f - Pf)) \in S_p$$

$$(s - Ps) \wedge (Q(s - Ps) + Lf) \in S_p$$

for any $s \in S$ and any $f \in (S - S)_+$. Hence L is localizable.

Bibliography

1. N. Boboc, Gh. Bucur, A. Cornea : Order and convexity in Potential theory : H-cones . Lecture notes in Math. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 853, 1981.
2. G. Mokobodzki : Operateur de subordination des résolventes (Manuscrit non publié ; exposé à Oberwolfach 1984).