



INSTITUTUL DE MATEMATICA  
AL ACADEMIEI ROMANE

PREPRINT SERIES OF THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY

---

ISSN 0250 3638

ON THE RATE OF RELATIVE  
VERONESE SUBMODULES

by

A.Aramova, Ș.Bărcănescu, J.Herzog

PREPRINT No.6/1994

---

ON THE RATE OF RELATIVE  
VERONESE SUBMODULES

by

A.Aramova <sup>\*)</sup>, Ş.Bărcănescu <sup>\*\*)</sup>, J.Herzog <sup>\*\*\*)</sup>

May, 1994

<sup>\*)</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Algebra Section,  
ul. Acad. G.Boncev, block 8, Sofia, Bulgaria.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Romanian Academy, Calea Griviței 21, Bucharest,  
Romania (P.O.Box 1-764, RO-70700 Bucharest).

<sup>\*\*\*)</sup> Universität Gesamthochschule Essen, FB 6 Mathematik, 45117 Essen, Germany.

## On the rate of relative Veronese submodules

Annetta Aramova, Serban Bărcănescu<sup>and</sup> Jürgen Herzog

### Introduction ) *abstract*

In this paper we study Veronese submodules of graded modules defined over a homogeneous  $k$ -algebra. Let  $k$  be a field. A graded ring  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  with  $R_0 = k$  is called homogeneous if  $R$  is finitely generated over  $k$  by its elements of degree 1, and for any integer  $d \geq 1$ , the subring  $R^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_{id}$  of  $R$  is called the  $d$ -th Veronese subring of  $R$ . Observe that  $R^{(d)}$  is again a homogeneous  $k$ -algebra with graduation  $(R^{(d)})_i = R_{id}$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

In their article [3] Bărcănescu and Manolache proved that all Veronese subrings of a polynomial ring are Koszul algebras, where for a homogeneous  $k$ -algebra  $R$  this means that the residue field  $k$  of  $R$  has a linear resolution, that is, has a free resolution as  $R$ -module whose maps are given by matrices of linear forms. This result was later generalized by Backelin [1]: For any homogeneous  $k$ -algebra  $R$  he introduced a numerical invariant, called the *rate of  $R$*  which measures how much  $R$  deviates from being Koszul ( $\text{rate } R \geq 1$ , with equality if and only if  $R$  is Koszul), and showed that

$$\text{rate } R^{(d)} \leq \lceil \text{rate } R / d \rceil.$$

Here  $\lceil a \rceil$  denote the upper integer part of a real number  $a$ , that is, the smallest integer  $\geq a$ .

This result implies in particular that the  $d$ -th Veronese subring is Koszul when  $d \geq \text{rate } R$ . In a recent paper [5] Eisenbud, Reeves and Totaro gave a bound  $c$  in terms of the regularity of the defining ideal of  $R$  such that  $R^{(d)}$  is Koszul for all  $d \geq c$ ; see Section 2 where we will use their result in a particular case.

The purpose of this paper is to extend these results partly to relative Veronese submodules. Let  $M$  be a graded  $R$ -module, and  $d > 0$  an integer. For  $j = 0, \dots, d-1$



we let  $M_j^{(d)}$  be the graded  $R^{(d)}$ -module with homogeneous components  $(M_j^{(d)})_i = M_{id+j}$ . Note that  $M$  as an  $R^{(d)}$ -module decomposes into the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{d-1} M_j^{(d)}$ . The modules  $M_j^{(d)}$  are called the *relative Veronese submodules* of  $M$ . One may ask whether for a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  there is an integer  $c$  such that for all  $d \geq c$  the  $d$ -th Veronese submodules of  $M$  all have a linear resolution, or equivalently,  $M$  as an  $R^{(d)}$ -module has a linear resolution. This is indeed the case, and as for the residue class field it can be quantitatively controlled.

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Tor}_i(k, M)$  is a finitely generated graded  $k$ -vector space, and we set

$$t_i(M) = \sup \{j : \text{Tor}_i(k, M)_j \neq 0\}.$$

Note that  $t_i(M)$  is the highest shift in the  $i$ -th position of the minimal free homogeneous resolution of  $M$ . We define

$$\text{rate}_R M = \sup_{i \geq 1} \{t_i(M)/i\}.$$

Recall that Backelin's rate which we henceforth denote by 'Rate' is defined as follows:

$$\text{Rate } R = \sup_{i \geq 2} \{(t_i(k) - 1)/(i - 1)\}.$$

A comparison with our rate shows that  $\text{Rate } R = \text{rate}_R \mathfrak{m}(1)$  where  $\mathfrak{m}(1)$  is the graded maximal ideal of  $R$ , shifted by one.

The main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be a homogeneous  $k$ -algebra. Then there exists a constant  $c$ , only depending on  $R$ , such that for all finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M$  with generators of degree 0, one has*

$$\text{rate}_{R^{(d)}} M \leq \lceil \text{rate}_R M / d \rceil \quad \text{for all } d \geq c.$$

Moreover, if  $R$  is the polynomial ring then  $c = 1$ .

Unfortunately we do not know whether  $c = 1$  for all homogeneous  $k$ -algebras, as we expect.

The theorem implies that the  $d$ -th Veronese submodules of any finitely generated graded  $R$ -module  $M$  (whose generators may have any degrees) all have linear resolutions for a large number  $d$ . Indeed, after a suitable shift of degrees, one may assume that all generators of  $M$  have positive degrees. Then for  $d_0$  large enough  $M$  as an  $R^{(d_0)}$ -module is generated in degree 0. As the rate of any finitely generated module is finite (see 1.2), we have that  $\text{rate}_{R^{(d_0)}} M$  is a finite number. Thus if we apply our main theorem to the  $R^{(d_0)}$ -module  $M$ , we find another integer  $d_1$  such that the  $(R^{(d_0)})^{(d_1)}$ -module  $M$  has a linear resolution. As  $(R^{(d_0)})^{(d_1)} = R^{(d_0 d_1)}$  we may choose  $d_0 d_1$  for  $d$ .

see 2.1. Their Hilbert series are easy to determine. Since for a graded module  $M$  with linear resolution, the Hilbert series  $H_M(t)$  and the Poincaré series  $P_M(t)$  of  $M$  are related by the equation

$$P_M(t) = H_M(-t)H_R(-t)^{-1},$$

1.2 allows us to compute the Poincaré series of the modules  $R_j^{(d)}$ ; see 2.2.

In the last section we relate Backelin's rate of  $R^{(d)}$  to the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the defining ideal of  $R$

## 1 The finiteness of the rate

Throughout this paper  $R$  is a homogeneous  $k$ -algebra, where  $k$  is an arbitrary field, and, unless otherwise stated all  $R$ -modules will be graded and finitely generated with all generators in degree 0.

In this section we will prove that the rate of any  $R$ -module is finite, following the simple arguments given by Avramov as quoted in Backelin's paper [1] where it is shown that Backelin's rate is finite.

Let  $M$  be a graded  $R$ -module. We set

$$P_M^R = \sum_{i,j} \dim \operatorname{Tor}_i(k, M)_j s^j t^i,$$

and call it the (bigraded) Poincaré series of  $M$ . Note that  $P_M^R$  is a formal power series in  $t$  with coefficients  $c_i(s) \in \mathbb{Z}[s]$ , and it is clear that

$$\operatorname{rate} M = \sup_{i \geq 1} \{\deg c_i(s)/i\}.$$

It is convenient to define the rate of an arbitrary power series  $P = \sum_i c_i(s)t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[s][[t]]$  in the same way, namely as  $\operatorname{rate} P = \sup_{i \geq 1} \{\deg c_i(s)/i\}$ .

We shall use the following

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $P = \sum_i a_i(s)t^i$ ,  $Q = \sum_i b_i(s)t^i$  be elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[s][[t]]$  with  $a_0(s), b_0(s) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then one has*

- (a)  $\operatorname{rate}(P + Q) \leq \max\{\operatorname{rate} P, \operatorname{rate} Q\}$ ;
- (b)  $\operatorname{rate}(PQ) \leq \max\{\operatorname{rate} P, \operatorname{rate} Q\}$ ;
- (c) *if  $P$  is invertible, then  $\operatorname{rate} P = \operatorname{rate} P^{-1}$ .*

**PROOF.** (a) is trivial.

(b) Let  $P = \sum_i a_i(s)t^i$ , and  $Q = \sum_i b_i(s)t^i$ , and assume that  $\operatorname{rate} P = c$  and  $\operatorname{rate} Q = d$ . The  $\deg a_i(s) \leq ic$  and  $\deg b_i(s) \leq id$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

Now  $PQ = \sum_i c_i(s)t^i$  with  $c_i(s) = \sum_{j+k=i} a_j(s)b_k(s)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \deg c_i(s) &\leq \max_{j+k=i} \{\deg a_j(s) + \deg b_k(s)\} \leq \max_{j+k=i} \{jc + kd\} \\ &\leq (j+k) \max\{c, d\} = i \max\{c, d\}. \end{aligned}$$



Now  $PQ = \sum_i c_i(s)t^i$  with  $c_i(s) = \sum_{j+k=i} a_j(s)b_k(s)$ . Therefore

$$\deg c_i(s) \leq \max_{j+k=i} \{\deg a_j(s) + \deg b_k(s)\} \leq \max_{j+k=i} \{jc + kd\} \\ \leq (j+k) \max\{c, d\} = i \max\{c, d\}.$$

So  $\text{rate } PQ \leq \max\{c, d\}$ .

(c) If  $P$  is invertible, then  $a_0(s) = \pm 1$ . We may assume that  $a_0(s) = 1$ , and write  $P = 1 - R$  with  $\deg_t R \geq 1$ , say  $R = \sum_{i \geq 1} c_i(s)t^i$ . Then  $P^{-1} = 1 + R + R^2 + \dots$ . Hence if  $P^{-1} = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i(s)t^i$ , then  $d_i(s)$  is the sum of all products

$$c_{i_1}(s) \cdots c_{i_k}(s), \quad k \geq 1, \quad \sum_j i_j = i, \quad i_j \geq 1.$$

Suppose  $\text{rate } P = c$ , then  $\deg c_{i_1}(s) \cdots c_{i_k}(s) \leq i_1 c + \dots + i_k c = ic$ . This implies  $\deg d_i(s) \leq ic$ , so that  $\text{rate } P^{-1} \leq \text{rate } P$ . Similarly  $\text{rate } P = \text{rate}(P^{-1})^{-1} \leq \text{rate } P^{-1}$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $S \rightarrow R$  be a surjective homomorphism of graded rings. Then for any graded  $R$ -module  $M$ ,*

$$\text{rate}_R M \leq \max\{\text{rate}_S M, \text{rate}_S R\}.$$

**PROOF.** The standard change of rings spectral sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^p(M, \text{Ext}_S^q(R, k)) \implies \text{Ext}_S^{p+q}(M, k)$$

respects the internal gradings of the Ext-groups, and thus provides the coefficient-wise inequality of formal power series

$$P_M^R \leq P_M^S(1 + t - tP_R^S)^{-1}.$$

Hence 1.1 implies that  $\text{rate}_R M \leq \max\{\text{rate}_S M, \text{rate}(1 + t - tP_R^S)\}$ . But

$$\text{rate}(1 + t - tP_R^S) = \sup_{i \geq 2} \{t_{i-1}^S(R)/i\} \leq \sup_{i \geq 2} \{t_{i-1}^S(R)/(i-1)\} = \text{rate}_S R,$$

as desired.  $\square$

The homogeneous  $k$ -algebra  $R$  has a presentation  $\varepsilon: S \rightarrow R$ , where  $S$  is a polynomial ring over  $k$ , and where  $\varepsilon$  is a surjective homomorphism of graded rings. Any  $S$ -module has finite projective dimension, and therefore the rate of any  $S$ -module is finite. Hence 1.2 implies

**Corollary 1.3.**  $\text{rate}_R M \leq \text{rate}_S M < \infty$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$ .

## 2 The relative Veronese submodules of a polynomial ring

The purpose of this section is to show that all relative Veronese submodules of the polynomial ring  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  have linear resolutions. In other words

**Theorem 2.1.** *For all integers  $d \geq 1$ ,  $\text{rate}_{S^{(d)}} S = 1$ .*

**PROOF.** We will show that each  $S_j^{(d)}$  has a linear  $S^{(d)}$ -resolution by suitably filtering these modules. The module  $S_j^{(d)}$  is generated by all monomials  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  of  $S$  which are of degree  $j$ . Let us assume they are ordered in the degrevlex term order, that is,  $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} < v = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$  if and only if the first non-vanishing component of the vector

$$(\deg u - \deg v, b_n - a_n, \dots, b_1 - a_1)$$

is negative.

Then for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  we set  $U_i = S^{(d)}u_1 + \cdots + S^{(d)}u_i$ , and thus we obtain a sequence of submodules

$$S_j^{(d)} = U_m \supset U_{m-1} \supset \cdots \supset U_1 \supset U_0 = 0$$

of  $S_j^{(d)}$ .

We claim that each of the successive quotients  $U_i/U_{i-1}$  has a linear  $S^{(d)}$ -resolution which in turn implies that  $S_j^{(d)}$  itself has a linear  $S^{(d)}$ -resolution.

Let us denote by  $J_i$  the colon ideal  $S^{(d)}u_1 + \cdots + S^{(d)}u_{i-1} :_{S^{(d)}} u_i$ . Then  $U_i/U_{i-1}$  is isomorphic to  $S^{(d)}/J_i$ . Observe that  $J_i$  is generated by a part of the degree 1 generators of the  $k$ -algebra  $S^{(d)}$ . Indeed, first note that if  $l$  is the smallest number such that  $x_l$  divides  $u_i$ , then  $L_i = Su_1 + \cdots + Su_{i-1} :_S u_i = Sx_{l+1} + \cdots + Sx_n$ . Secondly note that  $L_i \cap S^{(d)} = J_i$ , so that  $J_i$  is generated by all monomials of degree  $d$  which are divisible by one of the variables  $x_{l+1}, \dots, x_n$ .

Now we present  $S^{(d)}$  as the factor ring  $T_d/V_d(I)$ , where  $T_d = k[z_1, \dots, z_r]$  is a polynomial ring in  $r = \text{emb dim } S^{(d)}$  many variables. We may assume that the last variables  $z_l, \dots, z_r$  are mapped to the generators of  $J_i$ , and choose the degrevlex order on the monomials in the  $z_i$ . By [5, Theorem 2] we know that the ideal of initial forms of  $V_d(I)$  is generated by monomials of degree 2. Therefore all hypotheses of [4, Corollary 2.5] are satisfied, and it follows that  $S^{(d)}/J_i$  has a linear  $S^{(d)}$ -resolution, as we wanted to show.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *For the Poincaré series of the relative Veronese submodules of the polynomial ring  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  we have*

$$P_{S_j^{(d)}}(t) = \frac{\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i a_{id+j}^{(d)} t^i}{\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i a_{id}^{(d)} t^i}$$

with

$$a_r^{(d)} = \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \binom{n}{l} \binom{n+r-l d-1}{n-1}.$$



Here,  $a_r^{(d)} = 0$  for  $r > r(n-1)$ , so that  $P_{S_j^{(d)}}(t)$  is the quotient of two polynomials of degree at most  $n$ .

PROOF. Since the resolution of  $S_j^{(d)}$  is linear, its Poincaré series can be computed by means of Hilbert series, that is,  $P_{S_j^{(d)}}(t) = H_{S_j^{(d)}}(-t)H_{S^{(d)}}(-t)^{-1}$ . Since all the modules  $S_j^{(d)}$  are Cohen-Macaulay of maximal dimension, we get  $H_{S_j^{(d)}}(t) = H_{T_j^{(d)}}(t)/(1-t)^n$  with  $T_j^{(d)} = S_j^{(d)}/(x_1^d, \dots, x_n^d)S_j^{(d)}$  where  $T = S/(x_1^d, \dots, x_n^d)S$ . Therefore

$$P_{S_j^{(d)}}(t) = H_{T_j^{(d)}}(-t)/H_{T_0^{(d)}}(-t),$$

and it remains to compute  $H_{T_j^{(d)}}(t)$ .

The explicit formula for  $H_{T_0^{(d)}}(t)$  is already given in [2]. The general case is just as simple: We set  $b_r^{(d)} = \dim_k T_r$  for all  $r \geq 0$ . Then  $H_{T_j^{(d)}}(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_{id+j}^{(d)} t^i$ , and the asserted formula for the Poincaré series follows once we have shown that  $b_r^{(d)} = a_r^{(d)}$  for all  $r$ . But the Hilbert function of  $T$  (which gives us the  $b_r^{(d)}$ ) is easily computed from the Koszul complex

$$0 \longrightarrow S(-nd) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S(-2d)^{\binom{n}{2}} \longrightarrow S(-d)^n \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow 0.$$

associated with the regular sequence  $x_1^d, \dots, x_n^d$ , which yields a homogeneous free  $S$ -resolution of  $T$ . So the desired conclusion follows. Moreover, since  $T_r = 0$  for  $r > n(d-1)$ , we see that the polynomials  $H_{T_j^{(d)}}(-t)$  have degree at most  $n$ .  $\square$

### 3 Proof of the main theorem

Recall that we assert that for any finitely generated graded  $R$ -module  $M$  with generators in degree 0, one has

$$\text{rate}_{R^{(d)}} M \leq \lceil \text{rate}_R M / d \rceil \quad \text{for all } d \geq c,$$

where  $c$  is a constant only depending on  $R$ .

To see this we let  $F$  be a minimal graded free resolution of  $M$  as an  $R$ -module. Then the sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

is also an exact sequence of  $R^{(d)}$ -modules, yielding a convergent spectral sequence

$$\text{Tor}_i^{R^{(d)}}(k, F_j) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+j}^{R^{(d)}}(k, M).$$

This implies that  $\text{Tor}_l^{R^{(d)}}(k, M)$  is filtered by subquotients of the  $\text{Tor}_i^{R^{(d)}}(k, F_j)$ ,  $i+j=l$ . All is compatible with the internal gradings, so that we obtain a coefficient-wise inequality of power series rings

$$(1) \quad P_M^{R^{(d)}} \leq \sum_j P_{F_j}^{R^{(d)}} t^j.$$



Each  $F_j$  is a direct sum of modules  $R(-a)$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now  $R(-a)$  as an  $R^{(d)}$ -module equals  $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{d-1} R(-a)_j^{(d)}$ , and  $R(-a)_j^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R(-a)_{id+j} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_{id+j-a}$ .

Let  $i_j$  be the smallest integer such that  $i_j d \geq a - j$ , i.e.,  $i_j = \lceil \frac{a-j}{d} \rceil$ . Then  $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_{id+j-a} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_{(i-i_j)d+k_j}$ , where  $k_j = i_j d + j - a$ . Since  $0 \leq k_j \leq d-1$ , we now get

$$(2) \quad R(-a) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{d-1} R_{k_j}^{(d)}(-\lceil (a-j)/d \rceil).$$

Let us first assume that  $R$  is the polynomial ring. Then, by 2.1,  $R$  has a linear  $R^{(d)}$ -resolution. Therefore (2) yields

$$(3) \quad P_{F_j}^{R^{(d)}} = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i(s) t^i \quad \text{with} \quad \deg c_i(s) \leq \lceil t_j(M)/d \rceil + i.$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rate}(P_{F_j}^{R^{(d)}} t^j) &\leq \sup_{i \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{\lceil t_j(M)/d \rceil + i}{j+i} \right\} = \begin{cases} \lceil t_j(M)/d \rceil / j & \text{if } \lceil t_j(M)/d \rceil \geq j \\ 1 & \text{if } \lceil t_j(M)/d \rceil < j \end{cases} \\ &\leq \max\left\{1, \frac{\lceil t_j(M)/d \rceil}{j}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now inequality (1) implies

$$\text{rate}_{R^{(d)}} M \leq \max\left\{1, \sup_{i \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{\lceil t_i(M)/d \rceil}{i} \right\}\right\}.$$

Say,  $\sup_i \{t_i(M)/i\} = b$ , then  $t_i(M) \leq ib$ , and so  $\lceil t_i(M)/d \rceil \leq \lceil ib/d \rceil$ . Now since for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c > 0$ , and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  one has  $\frac{1}{i} \lceil ic \rceil \leq \lceil c \rceil$ , we get

$$\frac{\lceil t_i(M)/d \rceil}{i} \leq \frac{\lceil ib/d \rceil}{i} \leq \lceil b/d \rceil.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rate}_{R^{(d)}} M &\leq \max\left\{1, \sup_{i \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{\lceil t_i(M)/d \rceil}{i} \right\}\right\} \leq \max\left\{1, \left\lceil \frac{\sup_{i \geq 1} \{t_i(M)/i\}}{d} \right\rceil\right\} \\ &\leq \max\{1, \lceil \text{rate}_R M/d \rceil\} \leq \lceil \text{rate}_R M/d \rceil. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if  $R$  is an arbitrary homogeneous  $k$ -algebra, we do not necessarily have that  $R$  has a linear  $R^{(d)}$ -resolution which is needed for (3). But if  $R = S/I$  where  $S$  is a polynomial ring, then according to 1.2 and the first part of this proof we get

$$\text{rate}_{R^{(d)}} R \leq \text{rate}_{S^{(d)}} R \leq \lceil \text{rate}_S R/d \rceil.$$

So that for  $d \geq c = \text{rate}_S R$ ,  $R$  has a linear  $R^{(d)}$ -resolution. Thus, for these  $d$ 's, (3), and hence the rest of the proof is valid.

#### 4 Rate and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

Let  $R$  be a homogeneous  $k$ -algebra, and present it as  $R = S/I$  where  $S$  is a polynomial ring. In this section we want to relate the Backelin rate to the *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*  $\text{reg}(I)$  of  $I$  which is defined to be

$$\text{reg}(I) = \max_i \{t_i(I) - i\}.$$

We have the following result

**Theorem 4.1.** *For all integers  $d > 0$  one has*

$$\text{Rate } R^{(d)} \leq \lceil \text{reg}(I)/d \rceil.$$

Note that 4.1 is a sort of 'mixture' of the Backelin [1] and the Eisenbud-Reeves-Totaro [5] inequality.

**PROOF OF 4.1.** We first observe that  $t_i(I) = t_{i+1}(R)$ , so that  $t_{i+1}(R) \leq \text{reg}(I) + i$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rate}_S R &= \sup_{i \geq 1} \{t_i(R)/i\} \leq \sup_{i \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{(\text{reg}(I) - 1) + i}{i} \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{reg}(I) = 0, \\ \text{reg}(I) & \text{if } \text{reg}(I) > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\text{rate}_S R \leq \max\{1, \text{reg}(I)\}.$$

Combining this with 1.2 and the main theorem we get

$$(1) \quad \text{rate}_{R^{(d)}} M \leq \max\{\text{rate}_{S^{(d)}} M, \text{rate}_{S^{(d)}} R\} \leq \max\{\lceil \text{rate}_S M/d \rceil, \lceil \text{reg}(I)/d \rceil\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  denote the graded maximal ideal of  $R^{(d)}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{n}(1)$  is the  $(d-1)$ -th relative Veronese submodule  $\mathfrak{m}(1)_{d-1}^{(d)}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}(1)$ . Hence together with (1) we get

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Rate } R^{(d)} &= \text{rate}_{R^{(d)}} \mathfrak{n}(1) \leq \text{rate}_{R^{(d)}} \mathfrak{m}(1) \\ &\leq \max\{\lceil (\text{rate}_S \mathfrak{m}(1))/d \rceil, \lceil \text{reg}(I)/d \rceil\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

we get an exact sequence of vector spaces

$$\text{Tor}_{i+1}^S(k, k)_j \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_i^S(k, \mathfrak{m})_j \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_i^S(k, R)_j.$$

We have

$$\text{Tor}_i^S(k, R)_j = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j > t_i(R),$$



and

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^S(k, k)_j = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j > i + 1.$$

This implies  $t_i(m) \leq \max\{i + 1, t_i(R)\}$  and hence  $t_i(m(1)) \leq \max\{i, t_i(R) - 1\}$ .  
Therefore

$$\mathrm{rates}_S m(1) \leq \max\{1, \sup_{i \geq 1} \{t_i(R) - 1\}/i\} \leq \max\{1, \mathrm{rates}_S R\} \leq \max\{1, \mathrm{reg}(I)\}.$$

This together with (2) implies our assertion.  $\leftarrow$   $\square$

## References

1. J. Backelin, On the rates of growth of the homologies of Veronese subrings, in *Algebra, Algebraic Topology and Their Interactions*, ed. J.-E. Roos, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. 1183(1986), 79-100.
2. S. Barcanescu, *Reprezentari ale grupurilor finite*, Editura stiintifica si enciclopedia, Bucuresti 1988.
3. S. Barcanescu-Manolache, Betti-numbers of Segre-Veronese singularities, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* 26 (1982) 549-565.
4. W. Bruns - J. Herzog - U. Vetter, *Syzygies and walks*, preprint 1993.
5. D. Eisenbud - A. Reeves - B. Totaro, *Initial ideals, Veronese subrings, and rates*, preprint 1993.

~~Annetta ARAMOVA~~

Institute of Mathematics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Algebra Section  
ul. Acad. G. Boncev, block 8  
Sofia, Bulgaria

~~Serban BĂRCĂNESCU~~

Institute of Mathematics  
Romanian Academy  
Calea Grivitei 21  
Bucharest, Romania

~~Jurgen HERZOG~~

Universität -  
Gesamthochschule Essen  
FB 6 Mathematik  
45117 Essen, Germany