



**INSTITUTUL DE MATEMATICA
AL ACADEMIEI ROMANE**

**PREPRINT SERIES OF THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY**

ISSN 0250 3638

**NAGY-FOIAS DIAGRAM FOR HILBERT MODULES
OVER THE POLYDISK ALGEBRA**

by

ELENA ALINA SUCIU

Preprint nr. 2/2001

BUCURESTI

**NAGY-FOIAS DIAGRAM FOR HILBERT MODULES
OVER THE POLYDISK ALGEBRA**

by

ELENA ALINA SUCIU*

February, 2001

* Polytechnical University of Bucharest, Chair Mathematics I, Calea Independentei 313, Bucharest, Romania.
E-mail: esuciu@miron.imar.ro

NAGY-FOIAȘ DIAGRAM FOR HILBERT MODULES OVER THE POLYDISK ALGEBRA *

ELENA ALINA SUCIU

To every Agler type analytic operator-valued function Θ on \mathbb{D}^N we associate a unique Nagy-Foiaș diagram. We show that the modeling morphism corresponding to this diagram coincides with Θ . This is a generalization to the case of the polydisk algebra of the Nagy-Foiaș model for contractions developed in the case of the disk algebra.

The Nagy-Foiaș diagram for a contractive Hilbert module M over a function algebra A describes in case it exists the geometry of the minimal spectral dilation of the module M , cf. [6]. There is a one-to-one correspondence between Nagy-Foiaș diagrams and a special class of A -module maps called modeling morphisms, cf. [6], [7]. This rather abstract description of Nagy-Foiaș diagrams can be expressed in terms of functions in special cases. When A is the disk algebra there is a way to attach to every purely contractive analytic function on \mathbb{D} a unique Nagy-Foiaș diagram for a Hilbert module generated by a contraction T . To this diagram corresponds a unique modeling morphism which turns out to be exactly the characteristic function of T , cf. [4]. We look for such a description in terms of functions in the case of the polydisk algebra. More precisely, we start with a function from the Agler-Schur class, cf. [1], i.e. an analytic function on \mathbb{D}^N which has a certain factorization and we associate to it a unique Nagy-Foiaș diagram, hence a unique modeling morphism. We show that this modeling morphism coincides with Θ in a certain sense. Our work is based on papers [1] and [3]. J. Agler used in [1] the factorization of Θ to construct N contractions A_1, \dots, A_N . They do not commute, but they generate N commuting contractions $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N$ which have a minimal spectral dilation, see [3]. Contractions $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N$ still depend on the factorization of Θ . The interesting thing is that the Nagy-Foiaș diagram they generate is unique. This diagram is constructed imposing a purity condition on Θ .

*Key words and phrases: Hilbert module, spectral dilation, Silov resolution, Nagy-Foiaș model. Math Subject Classification: Primary 47A20, Secondary 46E20.

1 Preliminaries.

Let M be a contractive Hilbert module over a function algebra A . Denote by M_* the adjoint Hilbert module of M with the multiplication given by \bar{f} for $f \in A$. We say that M admits Nagy-Foiaş diagram if we can construct the commutative diagram

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S_1 & \longrightarrow & S_0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S_1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & R_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & R_1 & = & R_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

where K is a minimal spectral dilation of M , S_0, R_0 are the minimal subspectral dilations of M and M_* adjacent to K , respectively K_* , and S_1, R_1 are the orthogonal complements of M in S_0 , respectively R_0 . Arrows in the above diagram are inclusions or orthogonal projections A -module maps. The necessary and sufficient condition for M to admit Nagy-Foiaş diagram is that $S_0 \vee R_0 = K$. In case A is the disk algebra, then Nagy-Foiaş diagram connects the minimal unitary and isometric dilations of T and T^* respectively, where T is the contraction that defines the multiplication on M .

To every Nagy-Foiaş diagram one can associate a special class of A -module maps, called modeling morphisms. Namely, we take $\Phi = P_{R_1}^K|_{S_1}$, where \widetilde{R}_1 is the minimal spectral extension of R_1 . In the case of the disk algebra Φ coincides with the characteristic function of the contraction T .

We want to construct the Nagy-Foiaş diagram and its corresponding modeling morphism for the case of the polydisk algebra. To do this we need some results, definitions and notations from [1] and [3] which we present below.

Consider the Agler-Schur class of analytic functions $\Theta(\lambda)$ on \mathbb{D}^N whose values are bounded operators from a Hilbert space E to a Hilbert space F , both separable, for which there exist Hilbert spaces H_i with $i = 1, \dots, N$ and analytic functions F_i defined on \mathbb{D}^N with values bounded operators from E to H_i such that

$$(1.2) \quad I_E - \Theta(\lambda)^* \Theta(z) = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - \bar{\lambda}_i z_i) F_i(\lambda)^* F_i(z), \quad \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D}^N.$$

Denote $H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N H_i$. For a N -tuple of operators T_1, \dots, T_N we shall use notation $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_N)$ and for $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mathbb{T}^N$ we denote $\xi \mathbf{T} = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i T_i$.

J. Agler showed in [1] that $\Theta(\lambda)$ can be factorized as (1.2) if and only if there exist N

unitary operators

$$G_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_i & B_i \\ C_i & D_i \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{ccc} H & & H \\ \oplus & \rightarrow & \oplus \\ E & & F \end{array}$$

such that $\xi \mathbf{G} = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i G_i$ is a unitary operator for any $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^N$. Moreover

$$\Theta(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{D} + \lambda \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{I}_H - \lambda \mathbf{A})^{-1} \lambda \mathbf{B}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}^N.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ denote $|t| = \sum_{i=1}^N t_i$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N := \{t \in \mathbb{Z}^N : |t| \geq 0\}$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N := \{t \in \mathbb{Z}^N : |t| = 0\}$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_-^N := \{t \in \mathbb{Z}^N : |t| \leq 0\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+^N := \{t \in \mathbb{Z}^N : t_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, N\}$. Consider $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and let σ be a permutation of the set

$$(1, \dots, \underbrace{1, 2}_{s_1}, \dots, \underbrace{2, \dots, N}_{s_2}, \dots, \underbrace{\dots, N}_{s_N})$$

Denote by $P_{|s|}$ the set of all this permutations. Then the number of elements of $P_{|s|}$ is

$$c_s := \frac{|s|!}{|s_1|! |s_2|! \dots |s_N|!}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N.$$

The symmetrized multipower of the N -tuple $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_N)$ is

$$\mathbf{A}^s := c_s^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in P_{|s|}} A_{\sigma(1)} \dots A_{\sigma(|s|)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N - \{0\}.$$

In this notations if one component s_i of s is equal to zero, then operator A_i does not appear in any terms of \mathbf{A}^s . In the case of a commutative N -tuple $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_N)$ we obtain the usual multipower of \mathbf{A} , namely $\mathbf{A}^s = A_1^{s_1} \dots A_N^{s_N}$. Also, we introduce the following notations:

$$(\mathbf{A} \# \mathbf{B})^s := c_s^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in P_{|s|}} A_{\sigma(1)} \dots A_{\sigma(|s|-1)} B_{\sigma(|s|)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N - \{0\},$$

$$(\mathbf{C} \& \mathbf{B})^s := c_s^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in P_{|s|}} C_{\sigma(1)} B_{\sigma(2)}, \quad |s| = 2, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N,$$

$$(\mathbf{C} \flat \mathbf{A} \# \mathbf{B})^s := c_s^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in P_{|s|}} C_{\sigma(1)} A_{\sigma(2)} \dots A_{\sigma(|s|-1)} B_{\sigma(|s|)}, \quad |s| \geq 3, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N.$$

Then, from [3] we know that $\Theta(\lambda)$ has the power expansion

$$(1.3) \quad \Theta(\lambda) = \lambda \mathbf{D} + \sum_{\substack{|s|=2 \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N}} \lambda^s (\mathbf{C} \& \mathbf{B})^s + \sum_{\substack{|s| \geq 3 \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N}} \lambda^s (\mathbf{C} \flat \mathbf{A} \# \mathbf{B})^s, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}^N.$$

We want to associate to $\Theta(\lambda)$ a contractive Hilbert module. For this consider Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H} = l^2(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N, \mathcal{H}), \quad \mathcal{E} = l^2(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N, E), \quad \mathcal{F} = l^2(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_-^N, F), \quad \mathcal{E}_\sim = l^2(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N, E), \quad \mathcal{F}_\sim = l^2(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N, F).$$

For an arbitrary Hilbert space H consider the space of square integrable functions $L^2(\mathbb{T}^N, H)$. Then the Fourier transform is

$$\Phi^H : l^2(\mathbb{Z}^N, H) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^N, H), \quad (\Phi^H y)(\xi) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^N} y(t) \xi^t, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^N.$$

Φ^H is a unitary and its restrictions to $l^2(\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N, H)$ takes values to the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^N, H)$ of functions with vanishing Fourier coefficients for multiindices t which are not in $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N$.

As in [3] we define operators

$$\mathcal{A}_i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{A}_i x(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j x(t + e_i - e_j).$$

$$\mathcal{B}_i : \mathcal{E}_\sim \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{B}_i v(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N B_j v(t + e_i - e_j).$$

$$\mathcal{C}_i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{C}_i x(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N C_j x(t + e_i - e_j).$$

$$\mathcal{D}_i : \mathcal{E}_\sim \rightarrow \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{D}_i v(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N D_j v(t + e_i - e_j),$$

for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_0^N$ and

$$e_i = (0, \dots, \underset{i}{1}, \dots, 0).$$

We show that $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N$ are contractions. Since

$$\xi \mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \mathbf{A} & \xi \mathbf{B} \\ \xi \mathbf{C} & \xi \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} H \\ \oplus \\ E \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} H \\ \oplus \\ F \end{array}$$

is a unitary operator for any $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^N$, it results that $\xi \mathbf{A} = P_H \xi \mathbf{G} | H$ is a contraction. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_i x\|^2 &= \|\Phi^H \mathcal{A}_i x\|^2 = \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \|\mathcal{A}_i x(t) \xi^t\|^2 = \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N A_j x(t + e_i - e_j) \xi^t \right\|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \left\| \xi_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j A_j x(t) \xi^t \right\|^2 = \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \|(\xi \mathbf{A}) x(t) \xi^t\|^2 \leq \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \|x(t) \xi^t\|^2 = \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that we have $\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j = \mathcal{A}_j\mathcal{A}_i$, for $i \neq j$. In [3] D. S. Kalyuzhnyi constructed a unitary dilation $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \dots, \mathcal{W}_N$ of the contractions $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N$ in the following way. Denote $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{E}$ and set $\mathcal{W}_i : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{W}_i(v, x, u) = (v_i, x_i, u_i)$ with

$$v_i(t) = \begin{cases} v(t + e_i), & \text{for } |t| \leq -1 \\ \mathcal{C}_i x(t) + \mathcal{D}_i u(t), & \text{for } |t| = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$x_i(t) = \mathcal{A}_i x(t) + \mathcal{B}_i u(t), \text{ for } |t| = 0,$$

$$u_i(t) = u(t + e_i), \text{ for } |t| \geq 0.$$

The adjoint of \mathcal{W}_i is $\mathcal{W}_i^* : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{W}_i^*(v, x, u) = (v_i, x_i, u_i)$ with

$$v_i(t) = v(t - e_i), \text{ for } |t| \leq 0,$$

$$x_i(t) = \mathcal{A}_i^* x(t) + \mathcal{C}_i^* v(t), \text{ for } |t| = 0,$$

$$u_i(t) = \begin{cases} u(t - e_i), & \text{for } |t| \geq 1 \\ \mathcal{B}_i^* x(t) + \mathcal{D}_i^* v(t), & \text{for } |t| = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{W}_i are unitary operators which pairwise commute, hence they generate a Π^N -spectral $A(\mathbb{D}^N)$ -module structure on \mathcal{K} . Since $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \dots, \mathcal{W}_N$ is a unitary dilation of $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N$ we conclude that contractions \mathcal{A}_i satisfy the von Neumann inequality, hence they generate a contractive Hilbert module structure on \mathcal{H} . Clearly, \mathcal{K} is the spectral dilation of \mathcal{H} .

2 The Nagy-Foiaş diagram.

In this section we construct the unique Nagy-Foiaş diagram generated by Θ .

Proposition 1 *If $\mathcal{F}_\sim = \bigvee_{i=1}^N \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\sim = \bigvee_{i=1}^N \mathcal{B}_i^* \mathcal{H}$, then $\mathcal{K} = \dots \oplus \mathcal{F}_\sim \oplus \mathcal{F}_\sim \oplus \mathcal{H}_\sim \oplus \mathcal{E}_\sim \oplus \mathcal{E}_\sim \dots$ is the minimal spectral dilation of \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. For conventional notation we give the proof for $N = 2$. Denote $\mathcal{K}_+ = \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. We show that $\overline{A \cdot_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{K}_+$. For the inclusion $\overline{A \cdot_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{H}} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_+$ it is sufficient to show that $z_1^m z_2^n(0, x, 0) \in \mathcal{K}_+$, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and any $x \in \mathcal{H}$. We have

$$z_1^m(0, x, 0) = \mathcal{W}_1^m(0, x, 0) = (v', x', 0)$$

with

$$v'(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & |t| \leq -m \\ \mathcal{C}_1 x(t), & |t| = -(m-1) \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \mathcal{A}_1 x(t), & |t| = -(m-2) \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \mathcal{A}_1^2 x(t), & |t| = -(m-3) \\ \dots & \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \mathcal{A}_1^{m-1} x(t), & |t| = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$x'(t) = \mathcal{A}_1^m x(t), \quad |t| = 0.$$

In the same way

$$z_1^m z_2^n(0, x, 0) = \mathcal{W}_1^m \mathcal{W}_2^n(0, x, 0) = \mathcal{W}_2^n \mathcal{W}_1^m(0, x, 0) = (v', x', 0)$$

with

$$v'(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & |t| \leq -(m-n-2) \\ \mathcal{C}_2 x(t), & |t| = -(m-n-1) \\ \mathcal{C}_2 \mathcal{A}_2 x(t), & |t| = -(m-n) \\ \dots \\ \mathcal{C}_2 \mathcal{A}_2^{n-1} x(t), & |t| = -m \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \mathcal{A}_2^n x(t), & |t| = -(m-1) \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_2^n x(t), & |t| = -(m-2) \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \mathcal{A}_1^2 \mathcal{A}_2^n x(t), & |t| = -(m-3) \\ \dots \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \mathcal{A}_1^{m-1} \mathcal{A}_2^n x(t), & |t| = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$x'(t) = \mathcal{A}_1^m \mathcal{A}_2^n x(t), \quad |t| = 0.$$

Hence, it is clear that $z_1^m z_2^n(0, x, 0) \in \mathcal{K}_+$. To prove the reverse inclusion, namely $\mathcal{K}_+ \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{W} \mathcal{H}}$, note that if we take $(v, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{K}_+$ with

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_1 x(t), & |t| = -(m-1) \\ 0, & |t| \neq -(m-1) \end{cases},$$

then we have

$$(v, 0, 0) = \mathcal{W}_1^m(0, x, 0) - \mathcal{W}_1^{m-1} \mathcal{A}_1(0, x, 0) = z_1^m \cdot_{\mathcal{K}}(0, x, 0) - z_1^{m-1} \cdot_{\mathcal{K} z_1} \cdot_{\mathcal{H}}(0, x, 0) \in \overline{\mathcal{A} \cdot_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{M}}.$$

Also, for $(v, 0, 0) \in \mathcal{K}_+$ with

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_2 x(t), & |t| = -(n-1) \\ 0, & |t| \neq -(n-1) \end{cases},$$

we have

$$(v, 0, 0) = \mathcal{W}_2^n(0, x, 0) - \mathcal{W}_2^{n-1} \mathcal{A}_2(0, x, 0) = z_2^n \cdot_{\mathcal{K}}(0, x, 0) - z_2^{n-1} \cdot_{\mathcal{K} z_2} \cdot_{\mathcal{H}}(0, x, 0) \in \overline{\mathcal{A} \cdot_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{M}}.$$

The minimality condition given in Proposition 1 can be also expressed in terms of Θ . To do this we need some notations.

Let $\Theta(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^N$. For $i = 1, \dots, N$ we define then $\Theta_i(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_{\sim}, \mathcal{F}_{\sim})$ by

$$\Theta_i(\lambda)u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N \Theta(0, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots, 0)u(t + e_i - e_j), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}, u \in \mathcal{E}_{\sim}, t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N.$$

Also, denote by $\Theta^\sharp(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(F, E)$ the function defined by $\Theta^\sharp(\lambda) = \Theta(\overline{\lambda})^*$.

Definition 1 We say that Θ is pure provided

$$\mathcal{F}_\sim = \bigvee_{i=1}^N \Theta_i(\lambda) \mathcal{E}_\sim,$$

$$E_\sim = \bigvee_{i=1}^N \Theta_i^\#(\lambda) \mathcal{F}_\sim.$$

This purity condition allows us to construct the Nagy-Foiaş diagram generated by Θ .

Theorem 1 If Θ is pure, then Hilbert module $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ admits a unique Nagy-Foiaş diagram.

Proof.

We show that the fact that Θ is pure implies that

$$\mathcal{F}_\sim = \bigvee_{i=1}^N C_i \mathcal{H}.$$

Suppose first that we have $v \in \mathcal{F}_\sim$ of the form $v = \sum_{i=1}^N \Theta_i(\lambda) u_i$, with $u_i \in \mathcal{E}_\sim$. In [1] is described the way to construct operators C_j using functions F_j which appear in the factorization (1.2) of Θ . More precisely, for $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N$ we have

$$C_j \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 F_1(\lambda) \\ \cdots \\ \lambda_N F_N(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} u_i(t) = \Theta(0, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots, 0) u_i(t), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

We denote

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 F_1(\lambda) \\ \cdots \\ \lambda_N F_N(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} u_i(t) = x_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \Theta_i(\lambda) u_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \Theta(0, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots, 0) u_i(t + e_i - e_j) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^N C_j x_i(t + e_i - e_j) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i x_i(t) \in \bigvee_{i=1}^N C_i \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way one proves that from the fact that $\mathcal{E}_\sim = \bigvee_{i=1}^N \mathcal{B}_i^* \mathcal{H}$. The purity condition imposed on Θ in Definition 1 it results then that \mathcal{K} is the minimal spectral extension of \mathcal{H} . To construct the Nagy-Foiaş diagram (1.1) associated to \mathcal{H} take $S_0 = \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, $S_1 = \mathcal{F}$, $R_0 = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{E}$, $R_1 = \mathcal{E}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{W}_i S_0 \subset S_0$, $\mathcal{W}_i S_1 \subset S_1$, $\mathcal{W}_i^* R_0 \subset R_0$, $\mathcal{W}_i^* R_1 \subset R_1$, for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Hence, Hilbert modules S_0 , S_1 , R_0 , R_1 are subspectral. Since we have $S_0 \vee R_0 = \mathcal{K}$ it results that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ admits Nagy-Foiaş diagram.

The minimality condition imposed on Θ in Definition 1 does not depend on the Agler factorization (1.2). Hence, the Nagy-Foiaş diagram generated by $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ is unique.

3 The modeling morphism.

For contractive Hilbert modules which are pure there is a one-to-one correspondence between Nagy-Foiaş diagrams and modeling morphisms, see [7]. In this section we prove that the contractive Hilbert module $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ is pure and we construct the modeling morphism corresponding to $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$. We indicate a way to identify this modeling morphism with Θ .

Proposition 2 *If Θ is minimal, then $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ is pure.*

Proof. First, we show that if Θ is minimal, then $\xi\mathbf{A}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^N$ is completely non-unitary. By this we mean that there is no proper subspace H in H_0 reducing $\xi\mathbf{A}$ for each $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^N$ such that $\xi\mathbf{A}|_{H_0}$ consists of unitary operators. Indeed, suppose that there exists $H_0 \subseteq H$ such that $\xi\mathbf{A}|_{H_0}$ is unitary for any $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^N$. Since operators $\xi\mathbf{G}^*$ are also unitaries for any $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^N$, it results that $\xi\mathbf{C}^*|_{H_0} = 0$, hence $\overline{\text{Im}}\xi\mathbf{C} \neq F$. Consider $f \in F$, $f \neq 0$ such that $f \perp \overline{\text{Im}}\xi\mathbf{C}$. Define $v \in \mathcal{F}_\sim$ by $v(t) = f$ for all $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N$. Then, for $x_i \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{C}_i x_i \rangle &= \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \langle v(t), \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{C}_i x_i(t) \rangle = \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \langle u(t), \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mathcal{C}_j x_i(t + e_i - e_j) \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \langle f, \sum_{j=1}^N \xi_j \mathcal{C}_j \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^{-1} x_i(t) \rangle = \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \langle f, \xi \mathbf{C} \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^{-1} x_i(t) \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, u is orthogonal on $\bigvee_{i=1}^N \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{H}$ which is a contradiction because we showed in Theorem 1 that if Θ is minimal, then $\bigvee_{i=1}^N \mathcal{C}_i \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_\sim$.

We show now that if $\xi\mathbf{A}$ is c.n.u., then the Hilbert module $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ generated by contractions $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N$ is pure. The canonical decomposition of \mathcal{H} is $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_s \oplus \mathcal{H}_p$ with \mathcal{H}_s the spectral part and \mathcal{H}_p the pure part of \mathcal{H} . We know from [5] that the characterization of the spectral part \mathcal{H}_s is

$$\mathcal{H}_s = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|\mathcal{A}^s \mathcal{A}^{*t} x\| = \|x\|, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\} = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|\mathcal{A}^{*s} \mathcal{A}^t x\| = \|x\|, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N\},$$

where $\mathcal{A}^s = \mathcal{A}_1^{s_1} \dots \mathcal{A}_N^{s_N}$, for $s = (s_1, \dots, s_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}_s \neq 0$. Denote $H_s = \overline{\{x(t) \mid t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N, x \in \mathcal{H}_s\}}$. We show that in this case $\xi\mathbf{A}|_{H_s}$ is unitary for any $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^N$, which contradicts the fact that $\xi\mathbf{A}$ is c.n.u.

Consider $x \in \mathcal{H}_s$ and take $s = t = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$. Then

$$(\mathcal{A}^s \mathcal{A}^{*t} x)(t) = \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_1^* x(t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N A_i A_j^* x(t + e_i - e_j).$$

Since $x \in \mathcal{H}_s$ we have $\|\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_1^* x\|^2 = \|x\|^2$, which implies that

$$\sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^N A_i A_j^* x(t + e_i - e_j) \xi^t \right\|^2 = \sum_{t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \|x(t) \xi^t\|^2,$$

hence

$$\|\xi \mathbf{A}(\xi \mathbf{A})^* x(t)\|^2 = \|x(t)\|^2, \quad t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N.$$

It results that

$$\xi \mathbf{A}(\xi \mathbf{A})^* |_{H_s} = I_{H_s}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^N.$$

In the same way one shows that

$$(\xi \mathbf{A})^* \xi \mathbf{A} |_{H_s} = I_{H_s}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^N.$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ is pure.

In the remaining of the section we denote by $\mathcal{H}^*(\Theta)$ the Hilbert module generated by $\mathcal{A}_1^*, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N^*$.

Theorem 2 *The modeling morphism associated to $\mathcal{H}^*(\Theta)$ coincides with Θ .*

Proof. We showed in Theorem 1 that $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ admits Nagy-Foiaş diagram. Then $\mathcal{H}^*(\Theta)$ also admits Nagy-Foiaş diagram with $S_0 = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, $S_1 = \mathcal{E}$, $R_0 = \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, $R_1 = \mathcal{F}$.

We identify the elements $e \in E$ with the constant functions $v \in E$ defined by

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} e, & \text{for } t = (0, \dots, 0) \\ 0, & \text{for } t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N - \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \end{cases}$$

and we denote them simply by e . Then, by $\mathcal{W}^{*s}E$ we denote $\{\mathcal{W}_1^{*s_1} \dots \mathcal{W}_N^{*s_N} e, s = (s_1, \dots, s_N) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N, e \in E\}$. In the same way one defines $\mathcal{W}^{*s}F$. We have then

$$S_1 = \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N} \mathcal{W}^{*s}E, \quad R_1 = \mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N} \mathcal{W}^{*s}F,$$

hence

$$\widetilde{R}_1 = \bigoplus_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}^N} \mathcal{W}^sE = \bigoplus_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}^N} \mathcal{W}^{*s}E$$

and

$$\widetilde{R}_1 \ominus R_1 = \bigoplus_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_-^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \mathcal{W}^sE = \bigoplus_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \mathcal{W}^{*s}E.$$

Let $P = P_{\widetilde{R}_1}^K |_{S_1}$ be the modeling morphism associated to the Nagy-Foiaş diagram corresponding to $\mathcal{H}(\Theta)$. Then, it is known from [4] that P takes value in $\widetilde{R}_1 \ominus R_1$, hence for $e \in E$ we have

$$Pe = \sum_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \mathcal{W}^{*s} f_s, \quad f_s \in F.$$

Since P is a projection we have

$$\sum_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \|f_s\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \mathcal{W}^{*s} f_s \right\|^2 = \|Pe\|^2 \leq \|e\|^2 < \infty.$$

We are lead then to define a sequence of contractions $(\Phi_s)_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N}$ from E to F setting

$$\Phi_s e = f_s, \quad s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N.$$

Consider then the function $\Phi(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ given by the formal power serie

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \sum_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \lambda^s \Phi_s, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}^N.$$

We show that $\Phi = \Theta$, i.e. Φ is a function from the Agler-Schur class.

First we show that

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi_s = P_F^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{W}^s | E, \quad s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P e, \mathcal{W}^{*s} f \rangle_{\widetilde{R}_1 \ominus R_1} &= \sum_{s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N} \langle \mathcal{W}^{*s} f_s, \mathcal{W}^{*s} f \rangle_{\widetilde{R}_1 \ominus R_1} = \\ &= \langle \mathcal{W}^{*s} f_s, \mathcal{W}^{*s} f \rangle_{\widetilde{R}_1 \ominus R_1} = \langle f_s, f \rangle_F = \langle \Phi_s e, f \rangle_F. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\langle \Phi_s e, f \rangle_F = \langle P e, \mathcal{W}^{*s} f \rangle_{\widetilde{R}_1 \ominus R_1} = \langle e, \mathcal{W}^{*s} f \rangle_{\widetilde{R}_1 \ominus R_1} = \langle \mathcal{W}^s e, f \rangle_{\widetilde{R}_1 \ominus R_1} = \langle P_F^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{W}^s e, f \rangle_F.$$

We show now that we have

$$\Phi_s = \Theta_s, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N,$$

where the coefficients Θ_s of the power expansion of Θ are given by (1.3).

For $e \in E$ the constant function we denote by $(0, 0, e)$ the element (v, x, u) from \mathcal{K} defined by $v(t) = 0$, $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_-^N$, $x(t) = 0$, $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N$ and

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} e, & \text{for } t = (0, \dots, 0) \\ 0, & \text{for } t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \end{cases}$$

Also denote $(v^{(s)}, x^{(s)}, u^{(s)}) = \mathcal{W}^s(0, 0, e)$. Then $u^{(s)}(t) = 0$, $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N$.

We compute now $x^{(s)}(t)$ for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N$, with $-s_i \leq t_i \leq |s| - s_i$. For $|s| = 1$ we have

$$x^{(s)}(t) = \mathbf{B}^{(s_1+t_1, \dots, s_N+t_N)} e = \mathbf{B}^{s+t} e.$$

We prove by induction on the components of s that for $|s| \geq 2$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad x^{(s)}(t) = (\mathbf{A} \# \mathbf{B})^{(s+t)} e.$$

Indeed,

$$x^{(s_1, \dots, s_{N+1})}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j x^{(s_1, \dots, s_N)}(t + e_N - e_j) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^N A_j x^{(s_1, \dots, s_N)}(t_1, \dots, t_j - 1, \dots, t_N + 1) = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j (\mathbf{A} \# \mathbf{B})^{(s_1+t_1, \dots, s_j+t_j-1, \dots, s_N+t_N+1)} = \\
&= (\mathbf{A} \# \mathbf{B})^{(s_1+t_1, \dots, s_j+t_j, \dots, s_N+t_N+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $x^{(s)}(t) = 0$ for other $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N$.

We compute now $v^{(s)}(t)$, for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_-^N$ with $-s_i \leq t_i \leq |s| - s_i$. For $|s| = 1$ we have

$$v^{(s)}(t) = \mathbf{D}^{(t+s)} e.$$

Also, for $|s| = 2$ we have

$$v^{(s)}(t) = (\mathbf{C} \& \mathbf{B})^{(t+s)} e.$$

We prove by induction that for $|s| \geq 3$ we have

$$v^{(s)}(t) = (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{A} \# \mathbf{B})^{(t+s)} e.$$

Indeed, using (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v^{(s_1, \dots, s_{N+1})}(t) &= \mathcal{C}_N x^{(s_1, \dots, s_N)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N C_j x^{(s_1, \dots, s_N)}(t + e_N - e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^N C_j x^{(s_1, \dots, s_N)}(t_1, \dots, t_j - 1, \dots, t_N + 1) = \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^N C_j (\mathbf{A} \# \mathbf{B})^{(t_1+s_1, \dots, t_j+s_j-1, \dots, t_N+s_N+1)} e = (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{A} \# \mathbf{B})^{(t_1+s_1, \dots, t_j+s_j, \dots, t_N+s_N+1)} e.
\end{aligned}$$

For $t = (0, \dots, 0)$ we obtain then $v^{(s)}(0, \dots, 0)$, with $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$. Using (3.1) we deduce that $v^{(s)}(0, \dots, 0) = P_F^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{W}^s(0, 0, e) = \Phi_s e$, hence

$$\Phi_s = \Theta_s, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N.$$

We show now that $P_F^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{W}^s E = 0$, for $s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - (\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N \cup \mathbb{Z}_+^N)$, which means that

$$\Theta_s = 0, \quad s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - (\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N \cup \mathbb{Z}_+^N).$$

We have $\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - (\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_0^N \cup \mathbb{Z}_+^N) = \cup_{i=1}^N \{(s_1, \dots, s_N) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N - \mathbb{Z}_+^N \mid s_i < 0\}$. Take $s \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N$ with $s_1 < 0$. Since \mathcal{W}_i commute we can suppose that $s_1, \dots, s_k \leq 0$ and $s_{k+1}, \dots, s_N \geq 0$. For $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N$ denote

$$u_{s_1, \dots, s_k}(t) = \begin{cases} e, & t = (-s_1, \dots, -s_k, 0, \dots, 0) \\ 0, & t \neq (-s_1, \dots, -s_k, 0, \dots, 0) \end{cases},$$

Then $\mathcal{W}_1^{s_1} \dots \mathcal{W}_k^{s_k}(0, 0, e) = (0, 0, u_{s_1, \dots, s_k})$. Since we have $s_1 + \dots + s_N > 0$, it results that $-s_1 - \dots - s_k < s_{k+1} + \dots + s_N$. Then, there exists $k < l \leq N$ and $0 \leq \mu < s_{l+1}$ such that $-s_1 - \dots - s_k + s_{k+1} + \dots + s_l + \mu = 0$. It is easy to see that at step $s_1 + \dots + s_l + \mu$, by applying $\mathcal{W}_{k+1}^{s_{k+1}} \dots \mathcal{W}_{l+1}^\mu$ we obtain $v = 0$, $x = 0$ and

$$u_0(t) = \begin{cases} e, & t_0 = (-s_1, \dots, -s_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_l, \mu, 0, \dots, 0) \\ 0, & t \neq t_0 \end{cases}$$

We showed before that if we have

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} e, & t = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ 0, & t \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0) \end{cases},$$

then for $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+$ we have $\mathcal{W}_1^{s_1} \cdots \mathcal{W}_N^{s_N}(0, 0, u) = (v^{(s)}, x^{(s)}, 0)$, with $v^{(s)}(t) = 0$ for $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_-^N - \{t : -s_i \leq t_i \leq |s| - s_i\}$. In the same way one can see that if we take

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} e, & t = t_0 \\ 0, & t \neq t_0 \end{cases},$$

then we have $v^{(s)}(t) = 0$, $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_-^N - \{t_{0i} - s_i \leq t_i \leq t_{0i} + |s| - s_i\}$. Hence, if we apply $\mathcal{W}_{l+1}^{s_{l+1}^{-\mu}} \cdots \mathcal{W}_N^{s_N}$ to $(0, 0, u_0)$ we obtain $(v^{(s)}, x^{(s)}, 0)$ with $v^{(s)}(t) = 0$ for $t \in \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}_+^N$ with the first component $t_1 \neq -s_1, \dots, -s_1 + |s|$. Since this are all strictly positive it results that $v^{(s)}(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ i.e., $P_F^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{W}^s e = 0$.

References

- [1] J. Agler, *On the representation of certain holomorphic functions defined on a polydisk*, Topics in Operator Theory: Ernst D. Hellinger Memorial Volume (L. de Branges, I. Gohberg and J. Rovnyak, eds.), Oper. Theory and Appl., vol.48, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, (1990), 47-66
- [2] R. G. Douglas and V. I. Paulsen, *Hilbert Modules over function algebras*, Longman Scientific and Technical, New York, 1989
- [3] D.S. Kalyuzhniy, *Multiparametric Passive Linear Stationary Dynamical Scattering Systems: Discrete Case*, J.Operator Theory, Vol. 43, Nr. 2, (2000), 427-460
- [4] B. Sz-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1970
- [5] I. Suciu, *Function algebras*, Editura Academiei, Nordhoff International Publishing, Bucureşti, Leyden, 1975
- [6] E.A. Suciu, *Nagy-Foiaş Diagram for Hilbert modules over a function algebra*, Acta. Sci. Math., 66, (2000), 315-328
- [7] E.A. Suciu, *Homological methods in operator theory : Hilbert modules over function algebras*, Monografii matematice, Universitatea de Vest din Timişoara, Timişoara, 1999

Polytechnical University of Bucharest
Chair Mathematics I
Calea Independenței 313, Bucharest
Romania
e-mail: esuciu@miron.imar.ro