

Spectra of C^* algebras, \mathbb{R} -actions on \mathcal{O}_2 , and Extension

Eberhard Kirchberg

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

June 27, 2010

OT23, Timisoara, 29. 6. 2010

TOC

- Spectra of amenable C^* -algebras.
- Application: Exotic line-action on Cuntz algebras.
- NC-Selection and semi-split Extensions.
- Study of coherent l.q-compact spaces.

Conventions and Notations

- Spaces P, X, Y, \dots are (at least) T_0 and are second countable, algebras A, B, \dots are separable, ...
- ... except corona spaces $\beta(P) \setminus P$, multiplier algebras $\mathcal{M}(B)$, and ideals of corona algebras $Q(B) := \mathcal{M}(B)/B$, ...
- We use the natural isomorphisms
$$\mathcal{I}(A) \cong \mathbb{O}(\text{Prim}(A)) \cong \mathcal{F}(\text{Prim}(A))^{op}.$$
- $\mathbb{Q} := [0, 1]^\infty$ denotes the Hilbert cube (with its coordinate-wise order).
- A T_0 space X is called “**sober**” (or “point-complete”) if each prime closed subset F of X is the closure $\overline{\{x\}} = F$ of a singleton $\{x\}$.

Spectra of amenable algebras (1)

Characterization of $\text{Prim}(A)$ for amenable A
(H.Harnisch, E.K., M.Rørdam):

Theorem 1. *A sober space X is homeomorphic to a primitive ideal space of an amenable C^* -algebra A , if and only if,*

there is a Polish l.c. space P and a continuous map $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ such that

*$\pi^{-1}: \mathbb{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{O}(P)$ is injective (=: π is **pseudo-epimorphic**),*

and

*$(\bigcap_n \pi^{-1}(U_n))^\circ = \pi^{-1}((\bigcap_n U_n)^\circ)$ for each sequence $U_1, U_2, \dots \in \mathbb{O}(X)$ (=: π is **pseudo-open**).*

The algebra $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}$ is uniquely determined by X up to (unitarily homotopic) isomorphisms.

Spectra of amenable algebras (2)

Notice: A continuous epimorphism $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ is not necessarily *pseudo-open*.

We call a map $\Psi: \mathbb{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{O}(Y)$ “*lower semi-continuous*” if $(\bigcap_n \Psi(U_n))^\circ = \Psi((\bigcap_n U_n)^\circ)$ for each sequence $U_1, U_2, \dots \in \mathbb{O}(X)$.

(Thus, π is pseudo-open, if and only if, $\Psi := \pi^{-1}$ is lower semi-continuous.)

If one works with *closed sets*, then one has to replace intersections by unions and interiors by closures.

Exotic G -actions (1)

Theorem 2. [N.Ch. Phillips, E.K.] *Suppose that a locally compact amenable group G acts topologically free and minimal on $\text{Prim}(A)$ of some amenable C^* -algebra A , by $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\text{Prim}(A))$.*

Then there exists a continuous group-action $\beta: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$ on the C^ -algebra $B := A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}$ that implements α , and has crossed product $B \rtimes_{\beta} G \cong \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}$.*

Definition 3. [N.Ch. Phillips compactification]

Let $\Xi(P)$ denote the prime T_0 space $P \cup \{\infty\}$ with topology given by the system of open subsets

$$\mathcal{O}(\Xi(P)) = \{\emptyset, \Xi(P) \setminus C; C \subset P, \text{ compact in } P\}.$$

Exotic G -actions (2)

Theorem 4. *There exists an amenable C^* -algebra A with $\text{Prim}(A) \cong \Xi(P)$.*

If we apply the above theorems to $\Xi(G)$, we get:

Corollary 5. *Every non-compact amenable l.c. group G has a co-action $\hat{\beta}$ on $\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}$ such that $B := (\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{K}) \rtimes \hat{G}$ is prime and the (dual) action β of G on B is minimal and topologically free.*

If $G := \mathbb{R} = \hat{G}$, there is also an action of $\mathbb{R} = \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ on \mathcal{O}_2 itself with this property.

NC-Selection and Extensions (1)

Proposition 6. *If B is stable and $\Psi: \mathcal{I}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(A)$ is a lower semi-continuous action of $\text{Prim}(B)$ on A , then there exists a lower s.c. action $\mathcal{M}(\Psi): \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}(B)) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(A)$ of $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{M}(B))$ on A , that has the following properties (i)–(iii):*

(i) $\mathcal{M}(\Psi)$ is *monotone upper semi-continuous*. (*:= sup's of upward directed families of ideals will be respected*).

(ii) $\mathcal{M}(\Psi)(J_1) = \mathcal{M}(\Psi)(J_2)$ if $J_1 \cap \delta_\infty(\mathcal{M}(B)) = J_2 \cap \delta_\infty(\mathcal{M}(B))$.

(iii) $\mathcal{M}(\Psi)(\mathcal{M}(B, I)) = \Psi(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}(B)$.

The “extension” $\mathcal{M}(\Psi)$ of Ψ with (i)–(iii) is unique.

For strongly p.i. (not necessarily separable) B and exact A , there is a nuclear $*$ -morphism $h: A \rightarrow B$

with $\Psi(J) = h^{-1}(h(A) \cap J)$, if and only if, Ψ is lower s.c. and monotone upper s.c. It yields the following theorem.

NC-Selection and Extensions (2)

Theorem 7. [NC-selection] *Suppose that B is stable, $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$ contains a regular exact C^* -algebra $C \subset A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2$, and that $\Psi: \mathcal{I}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(A)$ is a lower s.c. action of $\text{Prim}(B)$ on A .*

Then there is a $$ -morphism $h: A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ such that $\delta_\infty \circ h$ is unitarily equivalent to h , $\Psi(J) = h^{-1}(h(A) \cap \mathcal{M}(B, J))$ and that*

$$[h]_J: A/\Psi(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B/J) \cong \mathcal{M}(B)/\mathcal{M}(B, J)$$

is weakly nuclear for all $J \in \mathcal{I}(B)$.

Here, a subalgebra $C \subset D$ is **regular** if C separates the ideals of D and $C \cap (I + J) = (C \cap I) + (C \cap J)$ for all $I, J \in \mathcal{I}(D)$.

Theorem 7 applies to necessary and sufficient criteria for (ideal-system-) equivariant semi-splitness of extensions.

NC-Selection and Extensions (3)

Let $\epsilon: B \rightarrow E$ a $*$ -monomorphism onto a closed ideal of E and $\pi: E \rightarrow A$ an epimorphism such that $\epsilon(B)$ is the kernel of π . We denote by $\gamma: A \rightarrow Q(B) = \mathcal{M}(B)/B$ the Busby invariant of the extension

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\epsilon} E \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we consider general “actions” $\psi_B: S \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(B)$, $\psi_E: S \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(E)$, and $\psi_A: S \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(A)$, of a set S on B , E and A . We require that the extension E is ψ -equivariant:

(a) $\epsilon(\psi_B(s)) = \epsilon(B) \cap \psi_E(s) = \epsilon(B)\psi_E(s)$, and

(b) $\psi_A(s) = \pi(\psi_E(s))$ for all $s \in S$.

i.e., $0 \rightarrow \psi_B(s) \rightarrow \psi_E(s) \rightarrow \psi_A(s) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for each $s \in S$.

NC-Selection and Extensions (4)

An action $\Phi: \mathcal{I}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(B)$ of $\text{Prim}(A)$ on B is **upper semi-continuous** if Ψ preserves sup of families in $\mathcal{I}(A)$, i.e., $\Psi(I + J) = \Psi(I) + \Psi(J)$ and Ψ is monotone upper semi-continuous.

Lemma 8. *The is a unique maximal upper semi-continuous map $\Phi: \mathcal{I}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(B)$ with the property that $\Phi(\psi_A(s)) \subset \psi_B(s)$ for all $s \in S$.*

Every upper semi-continuous action Φ has a lower semi-continuous adjoint map $\Psi: \mathcal{I}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(A)$ such that (Ψ, Φ) build a Galois connection, i.e., $\Psi(J) \supset I$ iff $J \supset \Phi(I)$. The rule is: The upper adjoint is lower semi-continuous (preserves inf).

Applications of Theorem 7 to the adjoint Ψ of Φ in Lemma 8 implies the following necessary and sufficient criterion (ii):

NC-Selection and Extensions (5)

Theorem 9. *Let $B, E, A, \epsilon, \pi, \gamma, \psi_Y: S \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(Y)$ (for $Y \in \{B, E, A\}$) be as above, and let $\Phi: \mathcal{I}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(B)$ the map given in Lemma 8.*

Suppose, in addition, that A is exact and that B is weakly injective (has the WEP of Lance).

Then the following properties (i) and (ii) of the extension are equivalent:

- (i) The extension has an S -equivariant c.p. splitting map, i.e., there is a c.p. map $V: A \rightarrow E$ with $\pi \circ V = \text{id}_A$ and $V(\psi_A(s)) \subset \psi_E(s)$ for all $s \in S$.*
- (ii) The Busby invariant $\gamma: A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B)$ is nuclear, and,*

$$\pi_B(\mathcal{M}(B, \Phi(J))) \supset \gamma(J) \quad \forall J \in \mathcal{I}(A)$$

Coherent l.q-compact spaces (1)

A subset C of X is **saturated** if $C = \text{Sat}(C)$, where $\text{Sat}(C)$ means the intersection of all $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ with $U \supset C$.

Definition 10. A sober T_0 space X is called “*coherent*” if the intersection $C_1 \cap C_2$ of two saturated quasi-compact subsets $C_1, C_2 \subset X$ is again quasi-compact.

Now we give some partial results concerning the open **Question:**

Is every (second-countable) *coherent* locally quasi-compact sober T_0 space X homeomorphic to the primitive ideal spaces $\text{Prim}(A)$ of some *amenable* C^* -algebra A ?

Coherent l.q-compact spaces (2)

Let X a locally quasi-compact sober T_0 space, and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the lattice of closed subsets $F \subset X$.

Definition 11. *The topological space $\mathcal{F}(X)_{\text{lsc}}$ is the set $\mathcal{F}(X)$ with the T_0 order topology that is generated by the complements*

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \setminus [\emptyset, F] = \{G \in \mathcal{F}(X); G \cap U \neq \emptyset\} =: \mu_U$$

of the intervals $[\emptyset, F]$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ (where $U = X \setminus F$).

*The **Fell-Vietoris topology** on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the topology, that is generated by the sets μ_U ($U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$) and the sets $\mu_C := \{G \in \mathcal{F}(X); G \cap C = \emptyset\}$ for all quasi-compact $C \subset X$. (The induced topology on $\mathcal{O}(X) \cong \mathcal{F}(X)^{op}$ is called **Larson topology**.)*

Coherent l.q-compact spaces (3)

The space $\mathcal{F}(X)_{\text{lsc}}$ is a *coherent* second countable locally quasi-compact sober T_0 space, and the space $\mathcal{F}(X)_H$ (with Fell-Vietoris topology of Def. 11) is a *compact Polish* space.

Definition 12. A map $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a *Dini function* if it is lower semi-continuous and $\sup f(\bigcap_n F_n) = \inf_n \{\sup f(F_n)\}$ for every decreasing sequence $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \dots$ of closed subsets of X .

There are several other definitions — e.g. by the generalized Dini Lemma — that are equivalent for all sober spaces.

For sober spaces X one has also that a function $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is Dini, if and only if, $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]_{\text{lsc}}$ is continuous and the restriction $f: X \setminus f^{-1}(0) \rightarrow (0, 1]_{\text{lsc}}$ is **proper**.

Coherent l.q-compact spaces (4)

The ordered Hilbert cube \mathbb{Q} is nothing else $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ for $Y := X_0 \uplus X_0 \uplus \dots$ where $X_0 := (0, 1]_{\text{lsc}}$. The Fell-Vietoris topology is just the ordinary Hausdorff topology on \mathbb{Q} .

If X is locally quasi-compact sober T_0 space, then a dense sequence g_1, g_2, \dots in the Dini functions g on X with $\sup g(X) = 1$ defines an order isomorphism $\iota: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ onto a max-closed subset $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathbb{Q} with $\iota(\emptyset) = 0$, $\iota(X) = 1$ (Construction of J.M.G. Fell in case of C^* -algebras):

$$\iota(F) := (\sup g_1(F), \sup g_2(F), \dots) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

The image $\iota(\mathcal{F}(X))$ is closed in \mathbb{Q} (with Hausdorff topology) and ι defines an isomorphism from $\mathcal{F}(X)$ onto $\iota(\mathcal{F}(X))$ with respect to both topologies on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and \mathbb{Q} .

Coherent l.q-compact spaces (5)

In this way, $X \cong \eta(X) \subset \overline{\eta(X)}^H \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathcal{F}(X) \subset \mathbb{Q}$, considered as Polish spaces, with $X \ni x \mapsto \eta(x) := \overline{\{x\}} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$.

Theorem 13. *Let X a second countable locally (quasi-)compact sober T_0 space. Following properties (i)-(v) of X are equivalent:*

- (i) X is coherent.
- (ii) The image $\eta(X) \cong X$ in $\mathcal{F}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is closed in $\mathcal{F}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ with respect to the Fell-Vietoris topology on $\mathcal{F}(X)$.
- (iii) The set $\mathcal{D}(X)$ of Dini functions on X is convex.
- (iv) $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is min-closed.
- (v) $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is multiplicatively closed.

Coherent l.q-compact spaces (6)

Lemma 14. (I) *Each closed subset $F \subset \mathbb{Q}_H$ is a coherent sober subspace F_{lsc} of \mathbb{Q}_{lsc} , and is the intersection of an decreasing sequence F_k of closed subspaces of \mathbb{Q}_H that are continuously order-isomorphic to spaces $G_k \times \mathbb{Q}$ with $G_k \subset [0, 1]^{n_k}$ a finite union of n_k -dimensional (small) cubes.*

(II) *If $F = \bigcap_k F_k$ for a sequence $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \dots$ of closed subsets in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_H)$, and if each $(F_k)_{\text{lsc}}$ is the primitive ideal space of an amenable C^* -algebra, then F_{lsc} is the primitive ideal space of an amenable C^* -algebra.*

Coherent l.q-compact spaces (7)

Corollary 15. *If there is a coherent sober l.c. space X that is not homeomorphic to the primitive ideal space of an amenable C^* -algebra, then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite union Y of (Hausdorff-closed) cubes in $[0, 1]^n$ such that Y with induced order-topology is not the primitive ideal space of any amenable C^* -algebra.*

Theorem 16. [O.B. Ioffe, E.K.] *If $G \subset [0, 1]^n$ is a finite union of cubes, then the space G_{lsc} has a finite decomposition series $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \dots \subset U_k$, by open subsets $U_\ell \subset G_{\text{lsc}}$ such that $U_{\ell+1} \setminus U_\ell$ is the primitive ideal space of an amenable C^* -algebra.*

It leads us to the following conjecture (open question).

Coherent l.q-compact spaces (8)

Conjecture 17. *Suppose that X is a locally quasi-compact sober space, and $U \subset X$ is open.*

X is homeomorphic to the primitive ideal space of an amenable C^ -algebra if U and $X \setminus U$ are homeomorphic to primitive ideal spaces of amenable C^* -algebras.*

A proof of this Conjecture would imply that sober l.q-c. spaces are primitive ideal spaces of amenable C^* -algebras — if they have decomposition series by open subsets $\{U_\alpha\}$ with coherent spaces $U_{\alpha+1} \setminus U_\alpha$.