

Universal occurrence of localization in continuum random Schrödinger Hamiltonians

François Germinet

Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France

Moeciu, QMATH 10, September 2007

Special thank to A. Klein and S. Warzel for their slides!

The continuous Anderson Hamiltonian

The Anderson Hamiltonian is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_\omega := -\Delta + V_\omega \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (1)$$

with

$$V_\omega(x) := \sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_\zeta u(x - \zeta), \quad (2)$$

where

- ▶ The single-site potential $u \geq 0$ is a bounded measurable function on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support, $u \geq c\chi_{\Lambda_\delta}$, $c, \delta > 0$, i.e. u uniformly bounded away from zero in a neighborhood of the origin.
- ▶ $\omega = \{\omega_\zeta\}_{\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a family of independent, identically distributed random variables with common probability distribution μ , such that
 - ▶ μ is non-degenerate with compact support $\subset [0, \infty[$.
 - ▶ $0 \in \text{supp } \mu$.

Without loss of generality we may just assume

$$\{0, 1\} \in \text{supp } \mu \subset [0, 1].$$

Basic properties

- ▶ H_ω is a random nonnegative self-adjoint operator.
- ▶ H_ω is \mathbb{Z}^d -ergodic: there exists an ergodic family $\{\tau_y; y \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ of measure preserving transformations on the underlying probability space (Ω, \mathbb{P}) such that

$$U(y)H_\omega U(y)^* = H_{\tau_y(\omega)} \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

where $(U(y)f)(x) = f(x - y)$. It follows that

- ▶ The spectrum is nonrandom:

$$\sigma(H_\omega) = [0, \infty[\quad \text{with probability one.}$$

- ▶ The pure point, absolutely continuous, and singular continuous components of $\sigma(H_\omega)$ are also nonrandom (i.e., equal to fixed sets) with probability one.

The continuous Poisson Hamiltonian

The Poisson Hamiltonian is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_\omega := -\Delta + V_\omega \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (3)$$

with

$$V_\omega(x) := \sum_{\zeta \in X(\omega)} u(x - \zeta), \quad (4)$$

where

- ▶ The single-site potential $u \geq 0$ is a bounded measurable function on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support, $u \geq c\chi_{\Lambda_\delta}$, $c, \delta > 0$.
- ▶ $\omega \rightarrow X(\omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Poisson process with density $\rho > 0$.

The family is \mathbb{R}^d -ergodic and $\sigma(H_\omega) = [0, \infty[$ a.s.

Related models and generalizations

One may replace $-\Delta$ by

- ▶ $-\Delta + V_{\text{per}}$ [Kirsch Stolz Stolmann '98] or $-\Delta + V_{\text{bg}}$
- ▶ $-\nabla \frac{1}{\rho_\omega} \nabla$ [Figotin, Klein '96]
- ▶ $(-i\nabla + A)^2$, $d = 2$, constant magnetic field, QHE [Combes Hislop'95, Wang '97, G. Klein'03] [G. Klein Schenker'07]
- ▶ $(-i\nabla + A_\omega)^2$ [Ghribi, Hislop, Klopp '07]

Other possibilities

- ▶ Replace $u \leq 0$ or u non sign definite [Klopp'95]
- ▶ Replace iid random variables ω_j by independant rv.
- ▶ Locate the impurities on a Delone set.
- ▶ Study the random displacement model [Klopp '93]
- ▶ Consider several interacting particles in a random potential [Chulaevski-Suhov '07, Kirsch '07]

Anderson Localization

Definition: The Anderson Hamiltonian H_ω exhibits **Anderson localization at the bottom of the spectrum** if there exist $E_0 > 0$ and $m > 0$, such that the following holds with probability one:

- ▶ H_ω has **pure point spectrum** in $[0, E_0]$.
- ▶ If ϕ is an **eigenfunction** of H_ω with eigenvalue $E \in [0, E_0]$,

$$\|\chi_x \phi\| \leq C_{\omega, \phi} e^{-m|x|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

(χ_x is the characteristic function of a cube of side one centered at x .)

- ▶ There exist $\tau > 1$ and $s \in]0, 1[$ such that for **all eigenfunctions** ψ, ϕ (possibly equal) with the **same eigenvalue** $E \in [0, E_0]$,

$$\|\chi_x \psi\| \|\chi_y \phi\| \leq C_x \|\psi\|_- \|\phi\|_- e^{|y|^\tau} e^{-|x-y|^s} \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \quad (5)$$

- ▶ The **eigenvalues** of H_ω in $[0, E_0]$ have **finite multiplicity**.

Dynamical Localization

Definition: The Anderson Hamiltonian H_ω exhibits **strong dynamical localization at the bottom of the spectrum** if there exist $E_0 > 0$ and $s > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \left| x \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} e^{-itH_\omega} \chi_{[0, E_0]}(H_\omega) \chi_0 \right\|_2^{\frac{2s}{p}} \right\} < \infty \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1$$

Existence of localization at the bottom of the spectrum: Poisson

The following theorem is a joint work:

- ▶ Germinet, Hislop and Klein [J. Europ. Math. Soc. '07]

Theorem

Let H_ω be the Poisson Hamiltonian on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with Poisson density $\rho > 0$. Then there exists $E(\rho) > 0$ such that H_ω exhibits Anderson localization as well as strong dynamical localization in $[0, E(\rho)]$.

Related results:

- ▶ Lifshitz tails [Donsker-Varadhan '75]
- ▶ Localization in dimension $d = 1$ [Stolz '95]
- ▶ Any d , $u \leq 0$ (then $\sigma(H_\omega) = \mathbb{R}$) [G. Hislop Klein, CRM '07]

Existence of localization at the bottom of the spectrum: Anderson

The following theorem is based on joint work in progress:

- ▶ Germinet and Klein
- ▶ Aizenman, G., Klein and Warzel [Preprint, available on Arxiv]

Theorem

Let H_ω be the Anderson Hamiltonian on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with single-site probability distribution μ , where

$$\{0, 1\} \in \text{supp } \mu \subset [0, 1],$$

but μ is otherwise arbitrary. Then H_ω exhibits Anderson localization as well as strong dynamical localization at the bottom of the spectrum.

μ continuous with some regularity

Localization at the bottom of the spectrum in the **multi-dimensional** case was known in the following cases:

- ▶ μ absolutely continuous with a bounded density.
 - ▶ Anderson localization: [Combes, Hislop 1994; Klopp 1995; Kirsch, Stollmann, Stolz 1998; Germinet, Klein 2001; Klopp 2002; Germinet, Klein 2003; Aizenman, Elgart, Naboko, Schenker, Stolz 2006, ...]
 - ▶ Dynamical localization: [Germinet, De Bièvre 1998; Damanik, Stollmann 2001; Germinet, Klein 2001; Aizenman, Elgart, Naboko, Schenker, Stolz 2006]
- ▶ μ Hölder continuous and some log-Hölder continuous: Improvements on the Wegner estimate [Stollmann 2000; Combes, Hislop, Klopp 2007] allow the extension of the proof of localization by a multiscale analysis as in [Germinet, Klein 2001].

The Bernoulli-Anderson Hamiltonian

The ω_ξ 's are Bernoulli random variables:

$$\mu(\{0\}) = \mu(\{1\}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

- ▶ Anderson localization: [Bourgain, Kenig 2005]
- ▶ Dynamical localization: [Germinet, Klein]

How to prove localization

Localization can be proved by a multiscale analysis if we have

- ▶ **A priori** finite volume estimates: we can see the signature of localization at large enough scales.
- ▶ A Wegner estimate: control of the size of the finite volume resolvents with sufficient probability.
 - ▶ μ regular: Wegner estimate known at all scales.
 - ▶ μ Bernoulli and general case: Wegner estimate proved in each scale in the multiscale analysis.

The Theorem is proved by a multiscale analysis as in [Bourgain-Kenig 2005], using **free sites**, a **quantitative unique continuation principle**, **classes of equivalence of configurations**, and a new **concentration bound for functions of i.i.d.r.v.'s**.

Finite volume operators and free sites

Given a box $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x)$ in \mathbb{R}^d , $Y \subset \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, and $t_Y = \{t_\zeta\}_{\zeta \in Y} \in [0, 1]^Y$, let

$$H_{\omega, (Y, t_Y), \Lambda} := -\Delta_\Lambda + V_{\omega, (Y, t_Y), \Lambda} \quad \text{on } L^2(\Lambda) \quad (6)$$

$$V_{\omega, (Y, t_Y), \Lambda} := \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda \cap (\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus Y)} \omega_\zeta u(x - \zeta) + \sum_{\zeta \in Y} t_\zeta u(x - \zeta) \quad (7)$$

$$R_{\omega, (Y, t_Y), \Lambda}(z) := (H_{\omega, (Y, t_Y), \Lambda} - z)^{-1} \quad (8)$$

where $\Delta_\Lambda :=$ Laplacian on Λ with Dirichlet boundary condition.

Definition: A box Λ_L is said to be (ω, Y, E, m) -good if for all $t_Y \in [0, 1]^Y$ we have

$$\|R_{\omega, (Y, t_Y), \Lambda}(E)\| \leq e^{L^{1-}} \quad (9)$$

$$\|\chi_x R_{\omega, (Y, t_Y), \Lambda}(E) \chi_y\| \leq e^{-m|x-y|} \quad \text{if } |x - y| \geq \frac{L}{10} \quad (10)$$

In this case Y consists of (ω, E) -free sites for the box Λ_L .

"A priori" finite volume estimates

Proposition: Let H_ω be the μ -Anderson Hamiltonian on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, fix $p > 0$. Take $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $S_\Lambda = \Lambda \cap q\mathbb{Z}^d$ for a box Λ . Then there exists a finite scale $\tilde{L}_{u,\mu,d,p,q}$ and a constant $C_{u,\mu,d,p,q} > 0$, such that for all scales $L \geq \tilde{L}_{u,\mu,d,p,q}$, setting

$$E_L = C_{u,\mu,d,p,q} (\log L)^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad m_L = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{E_L}, \quad (11)$$

we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Lambda_L \text{ is } (\omega, S_{\Lambda_L}, E, m_L)\text{-good}\} \geq 1 - L^{-pd} \quad (12)$$

for all energies $E \in [0, E_L]$. In fact, for all energies $E \in [0, E_0]$, scales $L \geq \tilde{L}_{u,\mu,d,p,q}$, and boxes Λ_L , we have

$$\|R_{\omega, t_{S_{\Lambda_L}}, \Lambda_L}(E)\| \leq E_L^{-1} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\|\chi_y R_{\omega, t_{S_{\Lambda_L}}, \Lambda_L}(E) \chi_{y'}\| \leq 2E_L^{-1} e^{-\sqrt{E_L}|y-y'|} \text{ for } y, y' \in \Lambda_L, |y-y'| \geq 4\sqrt{d} \quad (14)$$

for all $t_{S_{\Lambda_L}} \in [0, 1]^{S_{\Lambda_L}}$ with probability $\geq 1 - L^{-pd}$.

The multiscale analysis

Proposition

Fix an energy $E_0 > 0$. Pick

$$p = \frac{3}{8}d- , \quad \rho_1 = \frac{3}{4}- , \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 = 0+ ,$$

more precisely, pick $p, \rho_1, \rho_2 = \rho_1^{n_1}$ with $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{8}{11} < \frac{d}{d+p} < \rho_1 < \frac{3}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad p < d\left(\frac{\rho_1}{2} - \rho_2\right) \quad (15)$$

Let $E \in [0, E_0]$, and suppose L is (E, m_0) -localizing for all $L \in [L_0^{\rho_1 \rho_2}, L_0^{\rho_1}]$, where

$$m_0 \geq L_0^{-\tau_0} \quad \text{with} \quad \tau_0 = 0+ < \rho_2 \quad (16)$$

and L_0 is some sufficiently large scale.

Then L is $(E, \frac{m_0}{2})$ -localizing for all $L \geq L_0$.

Quantitative Unique Continuation Principle

Lemma (Bourgain-Kenig)

Assume $\Delta\varphi = V\varphi$ on $B(0, L) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $L \gg 1$, such that $\|\chi_0\varphi\| = 1$, $\|\chi_x\varphi\| \leq C$, $\|V\|_\infty \leq C$. Let $|x_0| = R > 1$.

Then

$$\|\chi_{x_0}\varphi\| \geq c e^{-cR^{\frac{4}{3}}(\log R)} \quad (17)$$

What is needed for the Wegner estimate

To obtain the Wegner estimate from the Bourgain-Kenig's quantitative UCP one needs to prove the following:

Consider a box $\Lambda = \Lambda_L$, let $\ell = L^\rho$ with $\rho = \frac{3}{4}-$ (so $L^{\frac{4}{3}\rho} = L^{1-}$). Let $S \subset \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $|S| = \ell^{d-}$, fix $\omega \in [0, 1]^{(\Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \setminus S}$, and set

$$H(t_S) := H_{\omega, t_S, \Lambda} \quad \text{for all } t_S \in [0, 1]^S. \quad (18)$$

Consider an energy E_0 , set $I = (E_0 - e^{-c_1 \ell}, E_0 + e^{-c_1 \ell})$. Let $E_\tau(t_S)$ be a continuous eigenvalue parametrization of $\sigma(H(t_S))$ such that $E_\tau(0) \in I$ (a finite family). Let $E(t_S) = E_{\tau_0}(t_S)$ for some τ_0 .

Suppose

$$e^{-c_3 \ell^{\frac{4}{3} \log \ell}} \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} E(t_S) \leq e^{-c_2 \ell} \quad \text{for all } j \in S \quad \text{if } E(t_S) \in I. \quad (19)$$

Let $\omega_S = \{\omega_j\}_{j \in S}$ be iid random variables with common probability distribution μ . Then for all large L

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ E(\omega_S) \in (E_0 - e^{-2c_3 \ell^{\frac{4}{3} \log \ell}}, E_0 + e^{-2c_3 \ell^{\frac{4}{3} \log \ell}}) \right\} \leq \frac{C}{\ell^{\frac{d}{2}-}} \quad (20)$$

The concentration bound

Theorem (AGKW)

Let F be a real-valued Borel function on \mathbb{R}^n such that for some $\alpha > 0$ we have

$$\alpha t \leq F(\mathbf{t} + t\mathbf{e}_j) - F(\mathbf{t}) \quad (21)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Given random a variable X with non-degenerate probability distribution μ , consider the random variable $Z = F(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$, where $\{X_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ are independent copies of X .

Then there exist constants Θ_μ and $s_\mu > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\{Z \in [r, r + s]\} \leq \frac{\Theta_\mu}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{for all } s < s_\mu. \quad (22)$$

Bernoulli decompositions

Let X be a real random variable with distribution μ .

Definition

A representation $X \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} Y(t) + \delta(t) \eta$, where

- ▶ η is a $\{0,1\}$ -Bernoulli rv with $p := \mathbb{P}(\eta = 1) \in (0,1)$,
- ▶ t an independent rv with the uniform distribution on $(0,1)$,
- ▶ $Y : (0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,
- ▶ $\delta : (0,1) \rightarrow [0,\infty)$,

is called a **Bernoulli decomposition** of X .

Theorem (AGKW)

Any non-degenerate rv has a Bernoulli decomposition. One may even choose $\inf \delta > 0$.

Application: I. Concentration inequalities

Let $\{\eta_j\}$ be independent copies of a $\{0,1\}$ -Bernoulli rv.

Classical Littlewood-Offord inequality:

Let $p = \frac{1}{2}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|a_j| > 1$. Then for any interval I of length at most one

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \eta_j \in I\right) \leq \frac{\text{const}}{\sqrt{N}}. \quad \text{Erdős '49}$$

Consequence of

Probabilistic Sperner/LYM inequalities:

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \{0,1\}^N$ be an **antichain**, i.e., any two $\eta, \eta' \in \mathcal{A}$ are not comparable in the sense of partial order on $\{0,1\}^N$.

Then $\mathbb{P}(\eta \in \mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{\text{const}}{\sigma_p \sqrt{N}}$, where $\sigma_p := \sqrt{p(1-p)}$.

New concentration inequality

Theorem

Let X_1, \dots, X_N independent rv's & pick $x_- < x_+$ and $p_{\pm} > 0$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_j \leq x_-\} \geq p_- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}\{X_j \geq x_+\} \geq p_+.$$

Let $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be monotone s.t. for some $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Phi(\mathbf{t} + v\mathbf{e}_j) - \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \geq \varepsilon$$

for all $v > x_+ - x_-$, $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Then

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\{\Phi(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in [u, u + \alpha]\} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{p_+} + \frac{1}{p_-}}.$$

Remark: For $\Phi(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N X_j$ such inequalities go back to Doebelin/Lévy '36, Erdős '49, Kolmogorov '58, Rogozin '61, Esseen '68, Kesten '69.

Application: II. Singularity of random matrices

Theorem (Bruneau, G. '07)

Let $M_N = (m_{ij})$ be a matrix, whose entries are independent rv's. Suppose there is $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ s.t.

$$\mathbb{P}(m_{ij} < x_{ij}^-) > p \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(m_{ij} > x_{ij}^+) > p$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and some $x_{ij}^- < x_{ij}^+$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(M_N \text{ is singular}) \leq \frac{\text{const}}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Based on results by Komlós '68.

Improves on a remark of Tao and Vu '06.

The continuous Delone Hamiltonian

Definition

Let $0 < r < R$ be given. A countable subset Q of \mathbb{R}^d is a (r, R) -Delone set iff

- ▶ $\text{Card}(Q \cap \Lambda_r) \leq 1$, for any Λ_r ;
- ▶ $\text{Card}(Q \cap \Lambda_R) \geq 1$, for any Λ_R .

We set $\mathcal{D}_{r,R}$ to be the set of all (r, R) -Delone sets.

The Delone Hamiltonian is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_Q := -\Delta + V_Q \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (23)$$

with

$$V_Q(x) := \sum_{\zeta \in Q} u(x - \zeta), \quad (24)$$

where

- ▶ The single-site potential $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni u \geq c\chi_{\Lambda_\delta}$, $c, \delta > 0$, is a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^d with compact support.
- ▶ Q is a (r, R) -Delone set.

Note that $\inf\{\sigma(H_Q), Q \in \mathcal{D}_{r,R}\} > 0$.

Existence of loc. at the bottom of the spectrum: Delone

The topology in $\mathcal{D}_{r,R}$ is generated by the set of neighborhoods:

$$N(Q, \varepsilon, L) = \{Q', \forall q \in Q \cap \Lambda_L, \text{dist}(q, Q' \cap \Lambda_L) \leq \varepsilon, \text{ and } \longleftrightarrow\}.$$

Theorem (G., Müller - in progress)

- ▶ Let Q be in $\mathcal{D}_{r,R}$. There exists (r,R) -Delone sets Q' arbitrarily close to Q such that $\inf \sigma(H_Q) = \inf \sigma(H_{Q'})$, and $H_{Q'}$ exhibits Anderson localization as well as strong dynamical localization at the bottom of its spectrum.
- ▶ There exists in $\mathcal{D}_{r,R}$ a dense union of G_δ , such that associated Delone Hamiltonians exhibit Anderson localization as well as strong dynamical localization at the bottom of their spectrum.

Related result:

- ▶ There is a dense G_δ of (r,R) -Delone sets in $\mathcal{D}_{r,R}$ such that the associated Delone Hamiltonian has a singular continuous component in its spectrum [Lenz-Stollmann '06]