Spectral regularity with respect to dilations for a class of pseudodifferential operators.

Horia D. Cornean, Radu Purice

November 5, 2024

1 Introduction

In [2] we considered the regularity of the spectrum of a class of ΨDO with respect to a family of slowly varying dilation-type perturbations, related to a problem discussed in [4]. In this note we present some results that may be obtained when one eliminates the 'slow variation' hypothesis.

We shall use the multi-index conventions of [5]. Let:

$$\nu_{n,m}(a) := \max_{|\alpha| \leq n} \max_{|\beta| \leq m} \sup_{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a|, \quad \forall (n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \tag{1.1}$$

and $S_{0,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ [5] the set of smooth functions satisfying

$$\nu_{n,m}(a) < \infty, \quad \forall (n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.2}$$

We shall consider some real Hörmander symbol $a(x,\xi)$ of class $S_{0,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

We shall denote by $(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ the scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (considered antilinear in the first variable), with the quadratic norm denoted simply by $\|\cdot\|$ and we shall use the notation $\langle \cdot,\cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{V})}$: $\mathscr{S}'(\mathcal{V}) \times \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathbb{C}$ for the canonical bilinear duality map for tempered distributions on the real finite dimensional Euclidean space $\mathcal{V}$.

Following [5] we define the Weyl quantization of the symbol $a \in S_{0,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ as the operator:

$$\left(\mathfrak{Op}^w(a)\varphi\right)(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\eta \, e^{i<\eta,x-y>} a\big((x+y)/2,\eta\big) \varphi(y), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.3}$$

Due to the Calderon-Vaillancourt Theorem (see [1] and §XIII.1 in [7]) this operator is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the following bound on the operator norm:

$$\left\|\mathfrak{Op}^w(a)\varphi\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C \, \nu_{3d+4,3d+4}(a). \tag{1.4}$$

We shall use the same notation for its extension to the entire Hilbert space. Let $\mathfrak{K}[a] \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ be the distribution kernel of $\mathfrak{Op}^w(a)$ (see [6]); it may be computed by the following formula:

$$\mathfrak{K}[a] := (2\pi)^{-d/2}\big((\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^-)a\big) \circ \Upsilon \tag{1.5}$$

where $\Upsilon : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \ni (x,y) \mapsto \big((x+y)/2, x-y\big) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bijection with Jacobian -1 and:

$$\left(\mathcal{F}^-\varphi\right)(v) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\xi \, e^{i<\xi,v>} \varphi(\xi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \ \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1.6}$$

is the inverse Fourier transform. We also define the distribution $\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}[a] := \mathfrak{K}[a] \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. With a slight abuse, we can write the following explicit formula:

$$\mathfrak{K}[a](z+v/2, z-v/2) \equiv \widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}[a](z,v) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\eta \, e^{i<\eta,v>} a(z,\eta). \tag{1.7}$$

Proposition 1.1. *(see [5]) The tempered distribution $\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}[a] \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ is in fact a smooth (with respect to the weak topology) distribution valued function $\mathbb{R}^d \ni z \mapsto \widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}[a](z, \cdot) \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}_v^d)$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the distribution $\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}[a](z, \cdot) \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}_v^d)$ has singular support contained in $\{v = 0\}$ (possibly void) and rapid decay together with all its derivatives, in the complement of $v = 0$.*

$L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$**-boundedness criterion.** Given a distribution kernel $\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}[a]$ as in the above Proposition 1.1 and using the operator-norm estimation in the Calderon-Vaillancourt Theorem for its associated Hörmander symbol $a = (\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F})\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}[a] \in S_{0,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, our main criterion for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$-boundedness of the associated linear operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ will be the boundedness of the seminorms:

$$\nu_{n,m}\big((\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F})\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}\big), \quad \min(n,m) \geq 3d + 4. \tag{1.8}$$

Notation 1.2. We shall use the following notations:

- $< v > := \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$, for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathfrak{s}_p(v) := < v >^p$ for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$.

- τ_z for the translation with $-z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ on any space of functions or distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

- $C_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ defined as the space of smooth $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued functions with bounded derivatives of all strictly positive orders.

The Problem. Let $F \in C_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\delta| \leq 1$. To any real-valued symbol $a \in S_{0,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ we associate the perturbed symbols:

$$a[F]_\delta(x, \xi) := a\big(x + \delta F(x), \xi\big).$$

We are interested in the variation of the spectrum $\sigma\big(\mathfrak{Op}^w(a[F]_\delta)\big) \subset \mathbb{R}$, as a set, when δ goes to 0.

Remark 1.3. We evidently have the inequalities:

$$\nu_{n,m}(a[F]_\delta) \leq C_n(\delta, F)\nu_{n,m}(a), \quad \forall (n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N},$$

with $C_n(\delta, F)$ depending on the sup-norm of the derivatives of F up to order $n - 1$, uniformly in $\delta \in (0, 1]$.

We shall use the short-hand notations (for $|\delta| \leq 1$):

$$K_\delta := \mathfrak{Op}^w\big(a[F]_\delta\big) \in \mathbb{B}\big(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\big), \quad \mathfrak{K}_\delta := \widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}\big[a[F]_\delta\big] \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d); \tag{1.9}$$
$$\mathcal{E}_+(\delta) := \sup \sigma(K_\delta). \tag{1.10}$$

The Hausdorff distance: $\mathbf{d_H}(A, B) := \max \Big\{ \sup_{t \in A} \mathsf{dist}(t, B), \sup_{t \in B} \mathsf{dist}(t, A) \Big\}$ for A, B subsets of $\mathbb{C}$.

2   The main results

Theorem 2.1. *There exists $C(a, F) > 0$ such that for $|\delta| \leq 1$ we have the estimation:*

$$\mathbf{d_H}\big(\sigma(K_\delta), \sigma(K_0)\big) \leq C(a, F)\sqrt{|\delta|}.$$

Remark 2.2. Counter-examples from the literature show that this estimation is 'sharp', i.e. spectral gaps of order $\sqrt{|\delta|}$ may be created by these type of perturbations.

From Theorem 1.5 in [2] and some other similar results from the literature, one may expect a more regular behaviour of the spectral edges. In fact, in this situation we obtain the following result depending on the decay at infinity of the second order derivatives og the 'perturbing function' $F \in C_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that $\left|\left(\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_k}F\right)(x)\right| \le C < x >^{-(1+\mu)}$ for some $C > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and for any pair of indices (j,k). Then there exists $C(a, F) > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $|\delta| \le \delta_0$ we have the estimation:*

$$\left|\mathcal{E}_\pm(\delta) - \mathcal{E}_\pm(0)\right| \le C(a, F)|\delta|^{(1+\mu)/(2+\mu)}.$$

3   Proof of Theorem 2.1

The main idea of the proof is to construct a 'quasi-resolvent" (see (3.6)) and use the unitarity of x-translations and localization around a lattice of points in $\mathbb{R}_x^d$ in order to control the possible linear growth of F. We notice that the invariance of our arguments when changing F into $-F$ allows us to work with $\delta \ge 0$.

Let us consider some exponent $\kappa \in (0, 1]$ and the discrete family of points $\Gamma_\delta := \left\{z_\gamma(\delta) := \delta^\kappa\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d\right\}$. We notice that for any $\mathring{z} \in \Gamma_\delta$, the bounded operator $\tau_{-\mathring{z}}K_0\tau_{\mathring{z}}$ has the integral kernel $\mathfrak{K}_0(z + \mathring{z}, v)$, with $\mathfrak{K}_0(z, v)$ given in (1.9). Thus, given some $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ let us consider the difference: $K_\delta - \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)}K_0\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}$ and its associated distribution kernel, considered as smooth distribution valued function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and use Newton-Leibniz formula in the first variable to obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{K}_\delta(z, \cdot) - \mathfrak{K}_0\left(z + \delta^\kappa\gamma, \cdot\right) &= \mathfrak{K}_0\left(z + \delta\, F(z), \cdot\right) - \mathfrak{K}_0\left(z + \delta^\kappa\gamma, \cdot\right) \\
&= \int_0^1 ds\left(\left(\nabla_z\mathfrak{K}_0\right)\left(z + \delta^\kappa\gamma + s(\delta\, F(z) - \delta^\kappa\gamma), \cdot\right)\right)\cdot\left(\delta\, F(z) - \delta^\kappa\gamma\right) \\
&\equiv \delta^\kappa\left[\mathfrak{D}_1\mathfrak{K}_0\right](z, \cdot)\cdot\left(\delta^{1-\kappa}F(z) - \gamma\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}
$$

with the last line giving the definition of $\left[\mathfrak{D}_1\mathfrak{K}_0\right](z, \cdot)$. We can then define the mapping $\Psi_s[F]_\gamma^{(\delta)} : \mathbb{R}^d \ni z \mapsto z + \delta^\kappa\gamma + s(\delta\, F(z) - \delta^\kappa\gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and write that in the sense of tempered distributions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left[\mathfrak{D}_1\mathfrak{K}_0\right] &= \int_0^1 ds\left(\nabla_z\mathfrak{K}_0\right)\circ\left(\Psi_s[F]_\gamma^{(\delta)}, \mathbb{1}\right) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\int_0^1 ds\left(\left((\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathcal{F}^-)(\nabla_z a)\right)\circ\left(\Psi_s[F]_\gamma^{(\delta)}, \mathbb{1}\right)\right) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-d/2}(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathcal{F}^-)\left[\int_0^1 ds\left((\nabla_z a)\right)\circ\left(\Psi_s[F]_\gamma^{(\delta)}, \mathbb{1}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathbb{1} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ being the identity map, denoting by (Ψ, Φ) the map $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \ni (x, y) \mapsto \left(\Psi(x), \Psi(y)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for any pair of maps $\Psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$. The above formula evidengtly implies that:

$$
(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathcal{F})\left[\mathfrak{D}_1\mathfrak{K}_0\right] = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\left[\int_0^1 ds\left((\nabla_z a)\right)\circ\left(\Psi_s[F]_\gamma^{(\delta)}, \mathbb{1}\right)\right]
\tag{3.2}
$$

In order to estimate the operator norm of the linear operator defined by this distribution kernel, we use our boundedness criterion (1.8) and notice that:

$$
\partial_z^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta(\mathbb{1}\otimes\mathcal{F})\left[\mathfrak{D}_1\mathfrak{K}_0\right] = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\left[\int_0^1 ds\left((\nabla_z\partial_z^\alpha\partial_\xi^\beta a)\right)\circ\left(\Psi_s[F]_\gamma^{(\delta)}, \mathbb{1}\right)\right]
\tag{3.3}
$$

being bounded by $\nu_{|\alpha|+1, |\beta|}(a)$. Thus, if we can impose by some localization procedure, a bound uniform in $(z, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$ for the factor $\delta^{1-\kappa}F(z) - \gamma$ and its z-derivatives then we may obtain a decaying factor δ^κ going to 0 with $\delta \ge 0$. We are thus lead to consider the following partition of unity:

3

- We fix a function $g \in C_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d; [0,1]\right)$ such that: $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g(z - \gamma)^2 = 1, \ \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

- For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we define the cut-off function: $g[F_\delta]_\gamma(z) := g\left(\delta^{(1-\kappa)} F(z) - \gamma\right)$.

- Given $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we denote by V_γ the set of all $\gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with the property that the support of $g[F_\delta]_{\gamma'}$ has a non-empty overlap with the support of $g[F_\delta]_\gamma$, including $\gamma' = \gamma$. Denote by $\mathfrak{n}_g \in \mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \{0\}$ the cardinal of V_γ, notice that it is clearly independent of γ and δ and that:

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left[g[F_\delta]_\gamma(z)\right]^2 = 1, \ \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{3.4}$$

$$z \in \operatorname{supp} g[F_\delta]_\gamma \implies \exists L > 0, \ \left|\delta^{(1-\kappa)} F(z) - \gamma\right| \le L. \tag{3.5}$$

- Finally let us denote by $G[F_\delta]_\gamma$ the self-adjoint, bounded operator of multiplication with $g[F_\delta]_\gamma$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Obviously $G[F_\delta]_\gamma = \mathfrak{Op}^w\left(g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right)$ for $g[F_\delta]_\gamma \in S_{0,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ a symbol independent of the second variable.

The quasi-rezolvent for K_δ. Let us fix any $\mathfrak{z} \notin \sigma\left(K_0\right)$ and define:

$$T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) := \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)} \left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z} \mathbb{1}\right)^{-1} \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}, \quad \widetilde{T}(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G[F_\delta]_\gamma T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) G[F_\delta]_\gamma. \tag{3.6}$$

Remark 3.1. Unitarity of translations and the functional calculus for self-adjoint operators imply that for any $\delta \in [0, 1]$ we have the estimation:

$$\left\|T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z}; \delta)\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} = \left\|\left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z} \mathbb{1}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \le \operatorname{dist}\left(\mathfrak{z}, \sigma(K_0)\right)^{-1}, \ \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Lemma 3.2. *For any $\delta \in [0, 1]$ the series in (3.6) is convergent in the strong operator topology and we have the estimation*

$$\left\|\widetilde{T}(\mathfrak{z}; \delta)\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \le \sqrt{(\mathfrak{n}_g + 1)/2}\left\|\left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z} \mathbb{1}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \le \sqrt{(\mathfrak{n}_g + 1)/2}\operatorname{dist}\left(\mathfrak{z}, \sigma(K_0)\right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. (For the convenience of the reader we reproduce here our proof of Lemma 2.4 in [2]) For $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let us consider any $M \in \mathbb{N}$ let us define:

$$\widetilde{T}(\mathfrak{z}; \delta)^{(M)} := \sum_{|\gamma| \le M} G[F_\delta]_\gamma T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) G[F_\delta]_\gamma \tag{3.7}$$

and compute:

$$\sum_{|\gamma| \le M} \sum_{\gamma' \in V_\gamma} \langle G[F_\delta]_\gamma T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) G[F_\delta]_\gamma \psi, \, G[F_\delta]_{\gamma'} T_{\gamma'}(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) G[F_\delta]_{\gamma'} \psi \rangle \le$$

$$\le \frac{\nu + 1}{2} \sum_{|\gamma| \le M} \|T_{\gamma'}(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) G[F_\delta]_{\gamma'} \psi\|^2 \le \left\|\left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z} \mathbb{1}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 \frac{\mathfrak{n}_g + 1}{2} \sum_{|\gamma| \le M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \left[g[F_\delta]_\gamma(z)\right]^2 |\psi(z)|^2 \, dx$$

$$\le \left\|\left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z} \mathbb{1}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}^2 \frac{\mathfrak{n}_g + 1}{2} \|\psi\|^2,$$

where in the last equality we used the quadratic partition of unity identity in the definition of $g \in C_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d; [0, 1]\right)$. The convergence and the estimation in the Lemma are then evident. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 3.3. *With the above notations and hypothesis, we have the estimation:*

$$\left\|\left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z} \mathbb{1}\right) \widetilde{T}(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) - \mathbb{1}\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \le C(a, F) \delta^{1/2} \left[\operatorname{dist}\left(\mathfrak{z}, \sigma(K_0)\right)\right]^{-1}.$$

4

Proof. For any $M \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\widetilde{T}(\mathfrak{z};\delta)^{(M)}$ as in (3.7) be the partial sum approaching $\widetilde{T}(\mathfrak{z};\delta)$ in the strong operator topology and let us consider the product:

$$\left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)\widetilde{T}(\mathfrak{z};\delta)^{(M)} = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq M} \left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right) G[F_\delta]_\gamma \, T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z};\delta) \, G[F_\delta]_\gamma. \tag{3.8}$$

We notice that for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we can write that:

$$\left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right) G[F_\delta]_\gamma \, T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z};\delta) \, G[F_\delta]_\gamma = \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)} \left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1} \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)} G[F_\delta]_\gamma \, T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z};\delta) \, G[F_\delta]_\gamma + \tag{3.9}$$

$$+ \left[\left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right) - \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)} \left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1} \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}\right] G[F_\delta]_\gamma \, T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z};\delta) \, G[F_\delta]_\gamma$$

$$= \left[g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right]^2 \mathbb{1} + \left[\tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)} \left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1} \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}, G[F_\delta]_\gamma\right] T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z};\delta) \, G[F_\delta]_\gamma +$$

$$+ \left[\left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right) - \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)} \left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1} \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}\right] G[F_\delta]_\gamma \, T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z};\delta) \, G[F_\delta]_\gamma.$$

Lemma 3.4. *For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have the estimation:*

$$\left\|\left[\left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right) - \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)} \left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1} \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}\right] G[F_\delta]_\gamma\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C(a,F)\delta^\kappa.$$

Proof. We consider thr bounded operator:

$$H_\delta := \left[\left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right) - \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)} \left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1} \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}\right] G[F_\delta]_\gamma \tag{3.10}$$

appearing in the statement of the Lemma and compute its distribution kernel:

$$\mathfrak{K}[H_\delta] = \left[\mathfrak{K}[a[F]_\delta] - \left(\mathfrak{K}[a] \circ (\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)} \otimes \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)})\right)\right]\left(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right). \tag{3.11}$$

We shall prefer to work with the modified kernels:

$$\check{\mathfrak{K}}_\delta = \mathfrak{K}[H_\delta] \circ \Upsilon^{-1} = \left[\left(\mathfrak{K}[a[F]_\delta] - \left(\mathfrak{K}[a] \circ (\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)} \otimes \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)})\right)\right) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right]\left[\left(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right]$$

$$= \left(\mathfrak{K}_\delta - \left(\mathfrak{K}_0 \circ (\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)} \otimes 1)\right)\right)\left[\left(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right]$$

$$= \left[\left(\mathfrak{K}_\delta - \left(\mathfrak{K}_0 \circ (\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)} \otimes 1)\right)\right)\left(1 \otimes \mathfrak{s}_N\right)\right]\left[\left[\left(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right]\left(1 \otimes \mathfrak{s}_{-N}\right)\right]. \tag{3.12}$$

with the last line valid for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and the first factor above being bounded for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ due to the arguments using (3.3). In fact, by (3.1) we can write:

$$(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F})\check{\mathfrak{K}}_\delta = \delta^\kappa\left[\sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \left((\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F})[\mathfrak{D}_1\mathfrak{K}_0]_j\right) \star \left((\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F})\left[\left(\delta^{1-\kappa}F_j - \gamma_j\right) \otimes \mathfrak{s}_N\right]\right)\right] \star$$

$$\star \left[(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F})\left(\left[\left(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right]\left(1 \otimes \mathfrak{s}_{-N}\right)\right)\right]$$

Concerning the second factor above we notice that:

$$\left[\left(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right](z,v) = g\left(\delta^{(1-\kappa)} F(z - v/2) - \gamma\right) \tag{3.13}$$

and using the compactness of the support of the cut-off function g we deduce that on the support of the function $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F})\left(\left[\left(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right]\left(1 \otimes \mathfrak{s}_{-N}\right)\right)$ there exists some $L > 0$, depending only on the diameter of the support of g such that:

$$L \geq \left|\delta^{(1-\kappa)}F(z - v/2) - \gamma\right| = \left|\delta^{(1-\kappa)}\left(F(z) - \int_0^1 ds\left[(v/2) \cdot (\nabla F)(z - sv/2)\right]\right) - \gamma\right| \geq \tag{3.14}$$

$$\geq \left|\left|\delta^{(1-\kappa)}F(z) - \gamma\right| - \left|\delta^{(1-\kappa)}\int_0^1 ds\left[(v/2) \cdot (\nabla F)(z - sv/2)\right]\right|\right| \tag{3.15}$$

and thus we have the inequality:

$$\left|\delta^{(1-\kappa)}F(z) - \gamma\right| \le L + \delta^{(1-\kappa)}\left|\int_0^1 ds\left[(v/2)\cdot(\nabla F)(z - sv/2)\right]\right| \le L + \delta^{(1-\kappa)}\left((1/2)\|\nabla F\|_\infty\right) < v > .$$

Moreover, one easily notices that the function $\left(\left[(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right](1 \otimes \mathfrak{s}_{-N})\right)$ is of class $BC^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ having rapid decay in the second variable, with uniform bounds with respect to $\delta \in [0,1]$, so that its partial Fourier transform $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F})\left(\left[(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right](1 \otimes \mathfrak{s}_{-N})\right)$ is a function of class $BC^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) = S_{0,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, uniformly with respect to $\delta \in [0,1]$.

Recalling now our boundedness criterion (1.8):

$$\left\|\left[\left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right) - \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)}\left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1}\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}\right]g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \le \nu_{3d+4,3d+4}\left((\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F})\check{\mathfrak{K}}_\delta\right),$$

the conclusion of the Lemma follows. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 3.5. *For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have the estimation:*

$$\left\|\left[\tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)}\left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1}\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}, G[F_\delta]_\gamma\right]\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \le C(a,F)\,\delta^{(1-\kappa)}.$$

Proof. In a similar way with the proof of our previous Lemma 3.4 we consider the linear operator:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)}\left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1}\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}, G[F_\delta]_\gamma\right] = \\
&= \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)}\left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1}\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}, G[F_\delta]_\gamma - G[F_\delta]_\gamma\,\tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)}\left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)^{-1}\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

and its distribution kernel:

$$\mathfrak{K}_{C,\delta} := \left(\mathfrak{K}[a] \circ (\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)} \otimes \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)})\right)\left[(1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma) - (g[F_\delta]_\gamma \otimes 1)\right] \tag{3.17}$$

with the modified form:

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{C,\delta} := \mathfrak{K}_{C,\delta} \circ \Upsilon^{-1} = \left[\mathfrak{K}_0 \circ (\tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)} \otimes \mathbb{1})\right]\left[\left((1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right) - \left((g[F_\delta]_\gamma \otimes 1) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right)\right]. \tag{3.18}$$

Let us analyse the smooth function in the second factor above:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\left((1 \otimes g[F_\delta]_\gamma) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right) - \left((g[F_\delta]_\gamma \otimes 1) \circ \Upsilon^{-1}\right)\right](z,v) = \\
&= g\left(\delta^{(1-\kappa)}F(z - v/2) - \gamma\right) - g\left(\delta^{(1-\kappa)}F(z + v/2) - \gamma\right) = \\
&= -\int_0^1 ds\left((\nabla g)\left(\delta^{(1-\kappa)}F(z - v/2) - \gamma + s\delta^{(1-\kappa)}(F(z + v/2) - F(z - v/2))\right)\cdot \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \cdot(\delta^{(1-\kappa)})(F(z + v/2) - F(z - v/2))\right) = \\
&= -\delta^{(1-\kappa)}\sum_{1 \le j,k \le d}\int_0^1 ds \int_{-1/2}^{1/2}dt\, v_k\,\partial_k F_j(z + sv) \times \\
&\qquad\qquad \times (\partial_j g)\left(\delta^{(1-\kappa)}F(z - v/2) - \gamma + s\delta^{(1-\kappa)}(F(z + v/2) - F(z - v/2))\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

and our usual boundedness criterion clearly implies the conclusion of the Lemma. $\qquad\square$

Putting together (3.9), Remark 3.1 and the above two lemmas, and optimizing the estimation by taking $\kappa = 1 - \kappa = 1/2$ we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1}\right)G[F_\delta]_\gamma\,T_\gamma(\mathfrak{z};\delta)\,G[F_\delta]_\gamma - \left[g[F_\delta]_\gamma\right]^2\mathbb{1} = X_\gamma^{(\delta)}\,G[F_\delta]_\gamma, \\
&\left\|X_\gamma^{(\delta)}\right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \le C(a,F)\,\delta^{1/2}\left(\mathsf{dist}(\mathfrak{z},\sigma(K_0))\right)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Finally we have to use the fact that $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d}g(x - \gamma)^2 = 1$ and $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d}g(x - \gamma) \in [0, \mathfrak{n}_g]$, both series being locally finite, so that the finite sums in (3.8) are convergent and summing up over $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ using the estimation (3.20) clearly implies the conclusion of the Proposition. $\qquad\square$

End of the proof of Theorem 2.1. If $\mathsf{dist}\big(\mathfrak{z}, \sigma\big(\mathfrak{Op}(a)\big)\big) \geq C\delta^{1/2}$ the conclusion of Proposition 3.3 implies that $\mathfrak{z} \notin \sigma\big(K_\delta\big)$.

Finally, replacing $\widetilde{T}(\mathfrak{z}; \delta)$ in (3.6) by:

$$\widetilde{S}(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G[F_\delta]_\gamma \, \tau_{z_\gamma(\delta)} \left(K_\delta - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1} \right)^{-1} \tau_{-z_\gamma(\delta)} \, G[F_\delta]_\gamma \tag{3.21}$$

all the arguments above can still be applied in order to obtain the following estimation similar to the conclusion of Proposition 3.3:

$$\left\| \left(K_0 - \mathfrak{z}\mathbb{1} \right) \widetilde{S}(\mathfrak{z}; \delta) - \mathbb{1} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C(a, F)\, \delta^{1/2} \left(\mathsf{dist}\big(\mathfrak{z}, \sigma(K_\delta)\big) \right)^{-1}. \tag{3.22}$$

It follows that if $\mathsf{dist}\big(\mathfrak{z}, \sigma\big(\mathfrak{Op}^w\big(a[F]_\delta\big)\big)\big) \geq C\delta^{1/2}$ then $\mathfrak{z} \notin \sigma\big(K_0\big)$ and the Theorem is proven.

4 Proof of Theorem 2.3

In this case, we shall no longer estimate norms, but rather quadratic forms. The main idea is to replace the perturbation $x \mapsto x + \delta\, F(x)$ with a similar one in a new variable $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, namely $x \mapsto x + \delta\, F(u)$ and use the unitarity of translations in estimating the modified quadratic form. In order to control the distance between the new variable u and $z := (x+y)/2$ we shall use a scaled weight function $\mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z-u)$ as in [2] (see (4.2)).

We intend to estimate the difference $\mathcal{E}_+(\delta) - \mathcal{E}_+(0)$ for $\delta > 0$ small enough, and begin by making explicit the defining formula (1.10):

$$\mathcal{E}_+(\delta) = \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}=1} \big(\phi, \mathfrak{Op}\big(a[F]_\delta\big)\phi\big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}=1} \big\langle \mathfrak{K}\big[a[F]_\delta\big], \overline{\phi} \otimes \phi \big\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$$
$$= \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}=1} \big\langle \mathfrak{K}_\delta, (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \big\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{4.1}$$

The weight function. Let us consider the functions:

$$\mathfrak{W}(z) := (4\pi)^{-d/2}\, e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4}}, \qquad \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z) := \kappa^{d/2}\mathfrak{W}(\kappa z), \quad \forall \kappa \in (0, 1] \tag{4.2}$$

and the following identity:

$$2^{-1}\big(|w + v/2|^2 + |w - v/2|^2\big) = |w|^2 + |v|^2/4, \qquad \forall (w, v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{4.3}$$

We deduce that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z) = 1, \quad \forall \kappa \in (0, 1],$$
$$\mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) = \big((\kappa/4\pi)^{-d/2}\mathfrak{W}_\kappa(v)\big)^{-1/4}\mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u + v/2)^{1/2}\mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u - v/2)^{1/2}, \tag{4.4}$$
$$\forall (z, u, v, \kappa) \in [\mathbb{R}^d]^3 \times (0, 1].$$

Our strategy is to replace in formula (4.1) the distribution:

$$\mathfrak{K}_\delta = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du\, \big((\tau_u \mathfrak{W}_\kappa) \otimes 1\big) \right) \mathfrak{K}_\delta, \tag{4.5}$$

with the distribution:

$$\mathfrak{W}_\kappa[\mathfrak{K}_\delta] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du\, \big((\tau_u \mathfrak{W}_\kappa) \otimes 1\big)\big(\big(\tau_{-\delta F(u)} \otimes \mathbb{1}\big)\mathfrak{K}_0\big) \tag{4.6}$$

and estimate:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_+(\kappa, \delta) := \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L^2}=1} \big\langle \mathfrak{W}_\kappa[\mathfrak{K}_\delta], (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \big\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{4.7}$$

7

Proposition 4.1. *With the above notations and hypothesis, for any $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exists some $C(a, F) > 0$ such that we have the estimation:*

$$\left\langle \mathfrak{W}_\kappa[\mathfrak{K}_\delta], (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \left(\phi, \mathfrak{Op}(a)\,\phi \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + C(a, F)\,\kappa^2\,\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Proof. Starting from (4.7), we have to estimate the following iterated integrals:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z + v/2)}\phi(z - v/2)\, \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z + \delta F(u), v\big) \right|. \tag{4.8}$$

We shall use the rapid decay in $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of the kernel $\mathfrak{K}_0\big(z + \delta F(u), v\big)$ by breaking the integral in $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in a bounded region and its complementary. In fact we shall choose some function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, taking values in $[0, 1]$, having support in the ball $|v| \le R$ and being equal to 1 on the ball $|v| \le r$, for some strictly positive $r < R$.

Let us first estimate the integral on the unbounded region, for any $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z + v/2)}\phi(z - v/2)\, \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z + \delta F(u), v\big) <v>^N <v>^{-N} \left[1 - \chi(\kappa v) \right] \right|$$

$$\le \kappa^N \Big(r^{-N} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{|v| \ge r} <v>^N \big| \mathfrak{K}_0(z, v) \big| \Big) \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \le C_r(a)\, \kappa^N\, \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

On the support of χ we shall use the second formula in (4.4) in order to write that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z + v/2)}\phi(z - v/2)\, \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z + \delta F(u), v\big)\, \chi(\kappa v) = \tag{4.9}$$

$$= \left(\widetilde{\phi}, \big(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_u^d} \otimes \tau_{-\delta F(u)} \big)\big(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_u^d} \otimes \mathfrak{Op}^w(a_\kappa) \big)\big(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_u^d} \otimes \tau_{\delta F(u)} \big)\widetilde{\phi} \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}$$

with:

$$\big(\mathfrak{K}[a_\kappa] \circ \Upsilon \big)(z, v) := \big((\kappa/4\pi)^{-d/2} \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(v) \big)^{-1/4} \mathfrak{K}_0(z, v)\, \chi(\kappa v) = e^{|\kappa v|^2/16}\, \chi(\kappa v)\, \mathfrak{K}_0(z, v), \tag{4.10}$$

$$= \mathfrak{K}_0(z, v)\, \chi(\kappa v) + \kappa^2 \int_0^1 ds\, (|v|^2/16)\, e^{s|\kappa v|^2/16}\, \chi(\kappa v)\, \mathfrak{K}_0(z, v),$$

$$\widetilde{\phi} := \big(\tau_{-u} \mathfrak{W}_\kappa \big)\phi \in L^2\big(\mathbb{R}_u^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}_z^d) \big). \tag{4.11}$$

We notice that we have a unitary map

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni \phi \mapsto \widetilde{\phi} := \big(\tau_{-u} \mathfrak{W}_\kappa \big)\phi \in L^2\big(\mathbb{R}_u^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}_z^d) \big) \tag{4.12}$$

and the equality (taking into account the unitarity of translations):

$$\left(\widetilde{\phi}, \big(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_u^d} \otimes \tau_{-\delta F(u)} \big)\big(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_u^d} \otimes \mathfrak{Op}^w(a_\kappa) \big)\big(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_u^d} \otimes \tau_{\delta F(u)} \big)\widetilde{\phi} \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} = \left(\phi, \mathfrak{Op}^w(a_\kappa)\phi \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus if we change the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the Hilbert space $L^2\big(\mathbb{R}^d; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \big)$ via the above unitary map we may conclude that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z + v/2)}\phi(z - v/2)\, \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z + \delta F(u), v\big)\, \chi(\kappa v) = \tag{4.14}$$

$$= \left(\phi, \mathfrak{Op}^w(a_\kappa)\phi \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left(\phi, \mathfrak{Op}^w(a_\chi)\phi \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \tag{4.15}$$

$$+ \kappa^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z + v/2)}\phi(z - v/2)\Big(\int_0^1 ds\, e^{s|\kappa v|^2/16} \Big)(|v|^2/16)\, \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z + \delta F(u), v\big)\, \chi(\kappa v)$$

where we have put into evidence the symbol $a_\chi \in S^0_{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ associated to the integral kernel $\mathfrak{K}[a](x,y)\chi\big(\kappa(x-y)\big)$. Then we may control the factor $(|v|^2/16)$ using the rapid decay of $\mathfrak{K}_0$ with respect to the variable $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and write that $\exp\big(s|\kappa v|^2/16\big)\chi(\kappa v) \le \exp(R^2/16)$. Finally we use once again the estimation on the support of $1 - \chi$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big(\phi, \mathfrak{Op}(a_\chi)\,\phi\big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \\
&= \big(\phi, \mathfrak{Op}(a)\,\phi\big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z+v/2)}\phi(z-v/2)\,\mathfrak{K}_0\big(z,v\big)[1-\chi(\kappa v)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big|\big(\phi, \mathfrak{Op}(a)\,\phi\big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z+v/2)}\phi(z-v/2)\,\mathfrak{K}_0\big(z,v\big)[1-\chi(\kappa v)]\Big| \le \\
&\le \kappa^N\Big(r^{-N}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d}\sup_{|v|\ge r} < v >^N\big|\mathfrak{K}_0\big(z,v\big)\big|\Big)\|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C(a)\,\kappa^N\,\|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$

This Proposition clearly implies the estimation:

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_+(\delta) \le \mathcal{E}_+(0) + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^2). \tag{4.16}
$$

Proposition 4.2. *There exists $C(a,F) > 0$ such that for any $(\kappa,\theta) \in (0,1) \times (0,1)$ and for any $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big\langle \mathfrak{K}_\delta, (\overline{\phi}\otimes\phi)\circ\Upsilon^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d)} &- \big\langle \mathfrak{W}[\mathfrak{K}_\delta], (\overline{\phi}\otimes\phi)\circ\Upsilon^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d)} = \\
&= C_p(a,F)\,\|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\,\big(\delta/\theta + \delta\kappa^{-2}\theta^{1+\mu} + \delta^2\kappa^{-2}\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big\langle \mathfrak{K}_\delta, (\overline{\phi}\otimes\phi)\circ\Upsilon^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d)} &- \big\langle \mathfrak{W}[\mathfrak{K}_\delta], (\overline{\phi}\otimes\phi)\circ\Upsilon^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d)} = \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z-u)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z+v/2)}\phi(z-v/2)\big[\mathfrak{K}_0\big(z+\delta F(z),v\big) - \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z+\delta F(u),v\big)\big] \\
&= -\delta\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z-u)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z+v/2)}\phi(z-v/2)\big(\nabla_z\mathfrak{K}_0\big)\big(z+\delta F(z)+s\delta(F(u)-F(z)),v\big)\times \\
&\quad\times\Big[\int_0^1 ds\,\big((z-u)\cdot\nabla F\big)\big(z+s(u-z)\big)\Big].
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall need a second cut-off, this time on the perturbing field $F \in C^\infty_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let us consider the same function $\chi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as in the proof above and the weighted one $\chi_\theta(z) := \chi(\theta z)$ for some cut-off parameter $\theta \in (0,1]$. Then we define:

$$
F_\theta := \chi_\theta F, \qquad F^\perp_\theta := (1-\chi_\theta)F \tag{4.18}
$$

and the corresponding integral kernels $\mathfrak{K}^\circ_\delta$ and $\mathfrak{W}[\mathfrak{K}_\delta]^\circ$ with F replaced by F_θ and respectively $\mathfrak{K}^\perp_\delta$ and $\mathfrak{W}[\mathfrak{K}_\delta]^\perp$ with F replaced by $F^\perp_\theta$.

We have the evident estimations:

$$
\big\langle \mathfrak{K}^\circ_\delta, (\overline{\phi}\otimes\phi)\circ\Upsilon^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d)} - \big\langle \mathfrak{K}_0, (\overline{\phi}\otimes\phi)\circ\Upsilon^{-1}\big\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d)} = \tag{4.19}
$$

$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z+v/2)}\phi(z-v/2)\big[\mathfrak{K}_0\big(z+\delta F_\theta(z),v\big) - \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z,v\big)\big] = \tag{4.20}
$$

$$
= \delta\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z+v/2)}\phi(z-v/2)\int_0^1 ds\,\big[F_\theta(z)\cdot\big(\partial_z\mathfrak{K}_0\big)\big(z+s\delta\,F_\theta(z),v\big)\big], \tag{4.21}
$$

9

$$\langle \mathfrak{W}[\mathfrak{K}_\delta]^\circ , (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} - \langle \mathfrak{K}_0 , (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \tag{4.22}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z + v/2)} \phi(z - v/2) \big[\mathfrak{K}_0\big(z + \delta F_\theta(u), v\big) - \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z, v\big) \big] = \tag{4.23}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z + v/2)} \phi(z - v/2) \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u)\big(\mathfrak{K}_0\big(z + \delta F_\theta(u), v\big) - \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z, v\big)\big) \Big] \tag{4.24}$$

$$= \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z + v/2)} \phi(z - v/2) \big[\mathfrak{K}_0\big(z + \delta F_\theta(u), v\big) - \mathfrak{K}_0\big(z, v\big) \big] \Big| = \tag{4.25}$$

$$= \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, \overline{\phi(z + v/2)} \phi(z - v/2) \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) \times \tag{4.26}$$

$$\times \Big(\int_0^1 ds \big[F_\theta(u) \cdot \big(\partial_z \mathfrak{K}_0\big)\big(z + s\delta\, F_\theta(u), v\big)\big]\Big) \Big] \tag{4.27}$$

Lemma 4.3. *The symbol $a'_{\delta,\theta}(z, \eta)$ associated to the kernel $\mathfrak{K}'_{\delta,\theta}(z, v) := \int_0^1 ds \big[F_\theta(z) \cdot \big(\partial_z \mathfrak{K}_0\big)\big(z + s\delta\, F_\theta(z), v\big)\big]$ belongs to $S^0_{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ with seminorms bounded by $C\theta^{-1}$ uniformly for $(\delta, \theta) \in (0, 1]^2$.*

Proof. We can write that:

$$a'_{\delta,\theta}(z, \eta) = (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, e^{-i<\eta,v>} \mathfrak{K}'_{\delta,\theta}(z, v) = \tag{4.28}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, e^{-i<\eta,v>} \int_0^1 ds \big[F_\theta(z) \cdot \big(\partial_z(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^-)a\big)\big(z + s\delta\, F_\theta(z), v\big)\big] \tag{4.29}$$

$$= \int_0^1 ds \big[F_\theta(z) \cdot \big(\partial_z a\big)\big(z + s\delta\, F_\theta(z), \eta\big)\big]. \tag{4.30}$$

As in Remark 1.3, we notice that

$$\nu_{n,m}(a'_{\delta,\theta}) \leq \big(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} |F_\theta(z)|\big) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \nu_{n+1,m}(a[F]_s) \leq M_F\, \theta^{-1} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \nu_{n+1,m}(a[F]_s) \tag{4.31}$$

$\square$

Lemma 4.4. *The symbol $a''_{\delta,\theta}(z, \eta)$ associated to the kernel*

$$\mathfrak{K}''_{\delta,\theta}(z, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) \Big(\int_0^1 ds \big[F_\theta(u) \cdot \big(\partial_z \mathfrak{K}_0\big)\big(z + s\delta\, F_\theta(u), v\big)\big]\Big)$$

belongs to $S^0_{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ with seminorms bounded by $C\theta^{-1}$ uniformly for $(\delta, \theta) \in (0, 1]^2$.

Proof. We can write that:

$$a''_{\delta,\theta}(z, \eta) = (2\pi)^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv\, e^{-i<\eta,v>} \mathfrak{K}''_{\delta,\theta}(z, v) = \tag{4.32}$$

$$= \int_0^1 ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du\, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z - u) \Big(\big[F_\theta(u) \cdot \big(\partial_z a\big)\big(z + s\delta\, F_\theta(u), \eta\big)\big]\Big). \tag{4.33}$$

As in Remark 1.3, we notice that

$$\nu_{n,m}(a'_{\delta,\theta}) \leq \big(\sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} |F_\theta(u)|\big) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \nu_{n+1,m}(a[F]_s) \leq M_F\, \theta^{-1} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \nu_{n+1,m}(a[F]_s) \tag{4.34}$$

$\square$

Finally putting the above results together we conclude that:

$$\Big| \langle \mathfrak{K}^\circ_\delta , (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} - \langle \mathfrak{W}[\mathfrak{K}^\circ_\delta] , (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \Big| \leq C(F)\delta\theta^{-1}. \tag{4.35}$$

Let us consider now the 'outer region' integrals:

$$\left\langle \mathfrak{K}_\delta^\perp, (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} - \left\langle \mathfrak{W}[\mathfrak{K}_\delta^\perp], (\overline{\phi} \otimes \phi) \circ \Upsilon^{-1} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \tag{4.36}$$
$$= \delta \mathcal{I}_1[\phi](\delta, \theta, \kappa) + \delta^2 \mathcal{I}_2[\phi](\delta, \theta, \kappa)$$

where:

$$\mathcal{I}_1[\phi](\delta, \theta, \kappa) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z-u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv \, \overline{\phi(z+v/2)} \phi(z-v/2) \left(\nabla_z \mathfrak{K}_0 \right) \left(z + \delta F_\theta^\perp(z), v \right) \times$$
$$\times \left[\left((z-u) \cdot \nabla F_\theta^\perp \right)(z) + (1/2) \int_0^1 ds \, (1-s) \left((z-u) \otimes (z-u) (\nabla \otimes \nabla) F_\theta^\perp \right) \left(z + s(u-z) \right) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2[\phi](\delta, \theta, \kappa) := (1/2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dz \, \mathfrak{W}_\kappa(z-u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv \, \overline{\phi(z+v/2)} \phi(z-v/2) \times$$
$$\times \int_0^1 (1-s) ds \left((\nabla_z \otimes \nabla_z) \mathfrak{K}_0 \right) \left(z + \delta F_\theta^\perp(z) + s\delta(F_\theta^\perp(u) - F_\theta^\perp(z)), v \right) \times$$
$$\times \int_0^1 dt \left((z-u) \cdot \nabla F_\theta^\perp \right) (z + t(u-z)) \int_0^1 dt' \left((z-u) \cdot \nabla F_\theta^\perp \right) (z + t'(u-z))$$

We may conclude that:

$$\left| \mathcal{I}_1[\phi](\delta, \theta, \kappa) \right| \le \kappa^{-2} \theta^{1+\mu} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2, \qquad \left| \mathcal{I}_2[\phi](\delta, \theta, \kappa) \right| \le \kappa^{-2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.37}$$

$\square$

In order to finish the proof of Theorem 2.3, we only have to make the following choices for our scaling parameters:

- $\theta = \delta^{1-\rho}$ for some $\rho \in (0,1)$, so that $\delta\theta^{-1} = \delta^\rho$;

- $\kappa^2 = \delta^\rho$ so that $\delta\kappa^{-2}\theta^{1+\mu} = \delta^{(1-\rho)+(1-\rho)(1+\mu)} = \delta^{(2+\mu)(1-\rho)}$ and $\delta^2\kappa^{-2} = \delta^{(2-\rho)}$.

- imposing $\rho = (2+\mu)(1-\rho) \in (0,1)$ means taking $\rho = (1+\mu)/(2+\mu)$.

References

[1] Calderón, A.-P., Vaillancourt, R.: On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **23**, 374–378 (1971).

[2] Cornean, H.D., Purice, R.: Sharp spectral stability for a class of singularly perturbed pseudo-differential operators. *Journal of Spectral Theory.* **13** (3), (2023), 1129 - 1144

[3] Gröchenig, K: Time-frequency analysis of Sjöstrand's class. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **22**(2), 703-724 (2006).

[4] Gröchenig, K., Romero, J.L., Speckbacher, M.: Lipschitz Continuity of Spectra of Pseudodifferential Operators in a Weighted Sjöstrand Class and Gabor Frame Bounds. *Journal of Spectral Theory.* **13** (2023), 805–839

[5] L. Hörmander: *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III: Pseudo-Differential Operators.* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (2007).

[6] Laurent Schwartz: *Théorie des Distributions.* Hermann, 1978.

[7] Michael E. Taylor: *Pseudodifferential operators (PMS-34).* Princeton Mathematical Series 97. Princeton Legacy Library. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1981.